Supplementary information for:

Unsupervised inter-domain transformation for virtually stained high-resolution mid-infrared photoacoustic microscopy using explainable deep learning

Eunwoo Park^{1,2,§}, Sampa Misra^{1,2,§}, Dong Gyu Hwang^{2,3,§}, Chiho Yoon^{2,4}, Joongho Ahn^{2,4}, Donggyu Kim^{1,2}, Jinah Jang^{1,2,3,6,7,8,*}, and Chulhong Kim^{1,2,4,5,6,7,9,*}

¹Department of Convergence IT Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Republic of Korea

²Medical Device Innovation Center, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Republic of Korea

³Center for 3D Organ Printing and Stem Cells, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Republic of Korea

⁴Department of Electrical Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Republic of Korea ⁵Opticho Inc., Pohang, Republic of Korea

⁶Department of Mechanical Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Republic of Korea

⁷Department of Medical Science and Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Republic of Korea

⁸Institute for Convergence Research and Education in Advanced Technology, Yonsei University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁹Graduate School of Artificial Intelligence, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Republic of Korea

[§]These authors contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding authors: Chulhong Kim (chulhong@postech.edu) and Jinah Jang (jinahjang@postech.ac.kr)

Table of contents

Supplementary Notes

Supplementary Note 1. Specifications of the MIR-PAM system	3
Supplementary Note 2. XDL-MIR-PAM of living cells	. 11

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Fig. 1. XDL-UIDT network architecture	2
Supplementary Fig. 2. Imaging performance of the MIR-PAM system	3
Supplementary Fig. 3. XDL-IREN-generated images and salience masks according to the training epochs	4
Supplementary Fig. 4. GradCAM heatmap in each transformer layer of the XDL-based generator	5
Supplementary Fig. 5. Verification for the HR-MIR-PAM	6
Supplementary Fig. 6. Visual comparison of frameworks by network combination	7
Supplementary Fig. 7. Biological feature extraction from XDL-MIR-PAM duplexed images	8
Supplementary Fig. 8. Magnified images of XDL-UDIT	9
Supplementary Fig. 9. XDL-MIR-PAM of fibrotic HCFs	10
Supplementary Fig. 10. XDL-MIR-PAM of living HCFs	12
Supplementary Fig. 11. XDL-UIDT performance according to the noise variances	13

Supplementary Tables

Supplementary Table 1. Four-fold cross-validation result	t	14	ł
--	---	----	---



Supplementary Fig. 1 | XDL-UIDT network architecture. a, Schematic diagram of XDL-based CycleGAN. b, Generator. c, Discriminator.

Supplementary Note 1 | Specifications of the MIR-PAM system

To evaluate the imaging performance of the MIR-PAM system, a 5 μ m wide gold pattern printed film was photoacoustically imaged in heavy water at a wavelength of 6.00 μ m to determine the spatial resolutions. The lateral resolution was measured to be 6.6 μ m, close to the theoretical value of 6.12 μ m. The axial resolution was measured to be 57.5 μ m, where the theoretical value is 55.2 μ m when the speed of sound in heavy water is assumed to be 1380 m/s⁻¹. Both spatial resolution values were calculated by the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of each profile envelope. In addition, we found an imaging depth of 60.7 μ m at which the PA signal intensity diminished by -6 dB using a sloped surgical suture. The laser power irradiated to the sample was 0.2 mW.

For HCF imaging (on day 7), the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) were 26.48 and 23.02, respectively. In detail, the peak-to-peak voltage levels of the signal, background, and noise were 27.8 mV, 3.63 mV, and 1.05 mV, respectively (with 200 A-line averages).



Supplementary Fig. 2 | **Imaging performance of MIR-PAM system. a**, PA maximum amplitude projection (MAP) image of a gold pattern printed film. **b**, Line profile along the orange line in **a** for the lateral resolution. **c**, B-scan PA image of a surgical suture. **d**, Line profile along a red line in **c** for the axial resolution. **e**, PA MAP images of a sloped surgical suture. **f**, Normalized PA signal amplitude along the central depth of the suture. **g**, PA MAP image of cultured HCF. **h**, PA line profile at the green dotted line in **g**. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 3 | XDL-generated images and saliency masks in a. IREN and b. VFSN according to the epochs. Scale bars, 50 µm.

XDL generator (Transformer)



Supplementary Fig. 4 | GradCAM heatmap in each transformer layer of the XDL-based generator. Scale bars, 50 µm.



Supplementary Fig. 5 | Verification for the HR-MIR-PAM. For quantitative evaluation of the XDL-IREN, line profiles along the yellow lines of each corresponding image are compared. Scale bars, 20 μ m. The XDL-IREN-generated HR-MIR-PAM images capture detailed structures of HCF (1–2 μ m) beyond the resolution of LR-MIR-PAM (6–7 μ m). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 6 | Visual comparison of frameworks by network combination.



Supplementary Fig. 7 | Biological feature extraction from XDL-MIR-PAM duplexed images.



Supplementary Fig. 8 | Magnified images of XDL-UDIT. Scale bars, 50 µm.



Supplementary Fig. 9 | XDL-MIR-PAM imaging of fibrotic HCFs. a, Conceptual schematic of activation. (Created in BioRender. Kim, M. (2024) https://BioRender.com/j39a961). b, Visual comparison of XDL-MIR-PAM images between the domains. Scale bars, 20 µm. c, Comparisons of biological features according to cell growth: Number of nuclei, nucleus area, and fibroblast area (n = 225). Significance by twoway ANOVA with uncorrected Fisher's LSD test: n.s, not significant (p > 0.05), *, p < 0.005, and ****, p < 0.0001. Source data and p-values are provided as a Source Data file.

Supplementary Note 2 | XDL-MIR-PAM of living cells

While traditional CFMs have struggled with photobleaching and phototoxicity, XDL-MIR-PAM can overcome these problems by implementing resolution enhancement and virtual staining in label-free living cells. The feasibility of MIR live-cell imaging is confirmed by the cell viability under MIR laser irradiation ². In the same way as fixed cells, LR-MIR-PAM images and CFM images of HCF were used as the input and ground truth, respectively. Supplementary Fig. 8a depicts a strategy for the live-cell XDL-MIR-PAM. To generalize XDL-UIDT, both fixed and living cell image sets are included in the training dataset. In particular, only images of days 1 and 7 were trained and targeted to generate XDL-MIR-PAM images of living HCF on days 1, 4, and 7. We adopted the pipelined framework (Net 6) and tested it to generate the VS-HR-MIR-PAM images. Supplementary Fig. 8b shows the results of XDL-MIR-PAM images were generated and compared with corresponding CFM images. The overall HCF confluency increases over the days. The F-actin structures that were indistinct and difficult to identify in the LR-MIR-PAM input images are predicted in detail and appear as fibers in the VS-HR-MIR-PAM images on all days. Supplementary Fig. 8c quantifies the change in the biological features. In the VS-HR-MIR-PAM images, the number and area of the cell nuclei do not vary significantly over the days. In contrast, the fibroblast area increases by 67.6% overall. It increased sharply to 42.2% in the early phase (day 1–4), and slowly to 17.8% in the late phase (day 4–7). These metrics show strong correlations (R² > 0.95) with values in CFM. The XDL-MIR-PAM accurately predicts every stages of living cell growth.



Supplementary Fig. 10 | XDL-MIR-PAM imaging of living HCFs. a, Conceptual workflow of live-cell XDL-MIR-PAM. b, Visual comparison of XDL-MIR-PAM images between the domains. Scale bars, 20 μ m. c, Comparisons of biological features according to cell growth: Number of nuclei, nucleus area, and fibroblast area (n = 49). Significance by two-way ANOVA with Šidák's multiple comparisons test: n.s, not significant (p > 0.05) and ****, p < 0.0001. Source data and p-values are provided as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 11 | **XDL-UIDT performance according to the noise variances. a–e**, Input MIR-PAM images with Gaussian noise. SNR of representative images is presented. **f–j**, Output XDL-MIR-PAM images according to the input of a–e, respectively. Results tested on the prebuilt XDL framework (Net 6). **k**, The corresponding reference CFM image. Scale bar, 50 μm. **I–m**, Quantitative comparisons of FID and KID scores according to noise variances. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

Supplementary Table. 1 | Four-fold cross-validation result

Fold	1	2	3	4	Mean
FID (↓)	100.43	106.81	100.87	105.45	103.39
KID (↓)	1.73	2.28	1.64	2.21	1.96

Supplementary References

1. Chen, C.-T. & Millero, F. J. Speed of sound in deuterium oxide relative to normal water as a function of temperature and pressure. *Journal of the Acoustic Society of America* **62**, 553–557 (1977).

2. Pleitez, M.A. et al. Label-free metabolic imaging by mid-infrared optoacoustic microscopy in living cells. *Nature biotechnology* **38**, 293-296 (2020).