nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Brandon Zimmerman, Mark Bunnage
Last updated by author(s):	Oct 31, 2024

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

5	ta	t	S	tı	CS

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a Confirmed
The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
A description of all covariates tested
A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.
For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\square Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.
Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection Image scope/Web Scope from Leica

collection Image scope/Web Scope from Leica systems, Sophion QPatch Assay Software, FACS Diva, FlowJo, Screenworks software

Data analysis Sophion QPatch Assay Software, GraphPad Prism, FlowJo, Bruker SAINT, SADABS, Mestrelab Mnova, Genedata Screener, HALO

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

All data are available in the manuscript or the supplementary material. CIF file and crystallographic details are available in the supplementary information and have been deposited at the CCDC; deposition number: CCDC-2367433

Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with <u>human participants or human data</u>. See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation)</u>, <u>and sexual orientation</u> and <u>race, ethnicity and racism</u>.

Reporting on sex and gender

Use the terms sex (biological attribute) and gender (shaped by social and cultural circumstances) carefully in order to avoid confusing both terms. Indicate if findings apply to only one sex or gender; describe whether sex and gender were considered in study design; whether sex and/or gender was determined based on self-reporting or assigned and methods used. Provide in the source data disaggregated sex and gender data, where this information has been collected, and if consent has been obtained for sharing of individual-level data; provide overall numbers in this Reporting Summary. Please state if this information has not been collected.

Report sex- and gender-based analyses where performed, justify reasons for lack of sex- and gender-based analysis.

Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings

Please specify the socially constructed or socially relevant categorization variable(s) used in your manuscript and explain why they were used. Please note that such variables should not be used as proxies for other socially constructed/relevant variables (for example, race or ethnicity should not be used as a proxy for socioeconomic status).

Provide clear definitions of the relevant terms used, how they were provided (by the participants/respondents, the researchers, or third parties), and the method(s) used to classify people into the different categories (e.g. self-report, census or administrative data, social media data, etc.)

Please provide details about how you controlled for confounding variables in your analyses.

Population characteristics

Describe the covariate-relevant population characteristics of the human research participants (e.g. age, genotypic information, past and current diagnosis and treatment categories). If you filled out the behavioural & social sciences study design questions and have nothing to add here, write "See above."

Recruitment

Describe how participants were recruited. Outline any potential self-selection bias or other biases that may be present and how these are likely to impact results.

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

Ethics oversight

Identify the organization(s) that approved the study protocol.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit	for your research	h. If you are not sure,	read the appropriate	sections before i	making your	selection

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Behavioural & social sciences

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size

X Life sciences

No power calculations were preformed for these studies. Sample size was selected as the minimum number of animals required to demonstrate statistical significance when comparing the IFN gamma treated with or without vehicle from previous experiments to a compound treated group for both models, additionally, for the therapeutic model we accounted for animals reaching the study endpoint. This resulted in selecting 8 mice/group for the prophylactic model and 12 mice/group for the therapeutic model.

Data exclusions

Exclusion criteria were pre-established prior to the study start. If an animal has a baseline (0h) UACR of greater than 500 pg/mL they are excluded from the study, as it would indicate that the animal has more advanced disease progression compared to the rest of the cohort. One animal in the G2MC + IFN gamma group was excluded (Sup Fig 5B and C, Sup fig 6) due to high baseline UACR. If an animal has no measurable serum IFN gamma at 1h time point for the prophylactic model they are excluded from the study, as it would indicate that there was a technical administration error. No animals were excluded for no measurable serum IFN gamma. Animals with incomplete UACR data set are excluded from the UACR AUC analysis only as incomplete time course data would result in basis of AUC. One vehicle treated animal was excluded from UACR AUC analysis only (fig 4B, Sup fig 8).

Replication

Experiments are repeated and reviewed by different independent investigators to verify the reproducibility of findings. All attempts at replication were successful.

Randomization

Animals were assigned into treatment groups with similar number of female and males for all studies. For the therapeutic model, mice were assigned into two groups based on day 5 UACR, to establish groups with similar starting mean UACR.

Blinding

The investigators were not blinded to group allocation during data collection or analysis. Blinding was not relevant to our studies because studies are repeated and reviewed by different independent investigators.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

•		about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material,	
		your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.	
Materials & experimental systems		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
n/a Involved in the study Antibodies		n/a Involved in the study ☐ ChIP-seq	
Eukaryotic cell lines		Flow cytometry	
Palaeontology and			
Animals and other	organism		
Clinical data			
Dual use research o	of concer	n	
Plants			
Antibodies			
Antibodies Antibodies used	Descril	pe all antibodies used in the study; as applicable, provide supplier name, catalog number, clone name, and lot number.	
Validation	Describ	pe the validation of each primary antibody for the species and application, noting any validation statements on the	
	manuj	acturer's website, relevant citations, antibody profiles in online databases, or data provided in the manuscript.	
Eukaryotic cell lir	nes		
Policy information about <u>c</u>	ell lines	and Sex and Gender in Research	
Cell line source(s)		HEK293T-Rex(invitrogen) , Trypanosoma brucei brucei (ATCC)	
Authentication None of the cell lines		None of the cell lines were authenticated	
Mycoplasma contaminat	ion	All cell lines tested negative for mycoplasma contamination	
Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)		Name any commonly misidentified cell lines used in the study and provide a rationale for their use.	
Plants			
Seed stocks	Report on the source of all seed stocks or other plant material used. If applicable, state the seed stock centre and catalogue number. If plant specimens were collected from the field, describe the collection location, date and sampling procedures.		
Novel plant genotypes	Describe the methods by which all novel plant genotypes were produced. This includes those generated by transgenic approaches, gene editing, chemical/radiation-based mutagenesis and hybridization. For transgenic lines, describe the transformation method, the number of independent lines analyzed and the generation upon which experiments were performed. For gene-edited lines, describe the editor used, the endogenous sequence targeted for editing, the targeting guide RNA sequence (if applicable) and how the editor		
Authentication	was applied. Describe any authentication procedures for each seed stock used or novel genotype generated. Describe any experiments used to assess the effect of a mutation and, where applicable, how potential secondary effects (e.g. second site T-DNA insertions, mosiacism, off-target gene editing) were examined.		
Flow Cytometry			
Plots			
Confirm that:			
The axis labels state	the mar	ker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).	
The axis scales are cl	early vis	ible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).	
All plots are contour	plots wi	th outliers or pseudocolor plots.	
A numerical value for	numbe	er of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.	

Methodology

Sample preparation HEK293 cells expressing APOL1 were detached from plates and then stained with primary and secondary antibody.

Instrument Beckman Coulter CytoFLEX LX

במכות	20+1-150-7
	5 1
	<u>.</u>

		ı
		ì
١	`	j

Software	FACS Diva was used to collect the data and FlowJo was used to perform the analysis
Cell population abundance	Describe the abundance of the relevant cell populations within post-sort fractions, providing details on the purity of the samples and how it was determined.
Gating strategy	Cells gated cells by FSC-A and SSC-A and then fluorescence intensity was analyzed
Tick this box to confirm th	at a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.