ChemSusChem

Supporting Information

Biobased Self-Healing Thin Film Coatings Based on Poly (Itaconic Acid Esters)

S. Charlotte Fischer von Mollard, Patrick Fesser, Michael Klein, Martin Köhler, Martin Schreiber, Frank Kamphuis, Stefan Zechel, and Martin D. Hager*

Supporting Information

Biobased self-healing thin film coatings based on poly(itaconic acid esters)

S. Charlotte Fischer von Mollard, Patrick Fesser, Michael Klein, Martin Köhler, Martin Schreiber, Frank Kamphuis, Stefan Zechel, Martin D. Hager*

S. C. Fischer von Mollard, P. Fesser, M. Klein, Dr. S. Zechel, Dr. M. D. Hager
Laboratory of Organic and Macromolecular Chemistry (IOMC),
Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Humboldtstr. 10, 07743 Jena, Germany
Jena Center for Soft Matter (JCSM), Friedrich Schiller University Jena. Philosophenweg 7,
07743 Jena, Germany
E-mail: martin.hager@uni-jena.de

M. Köhler, Dr. M. Schreiber, F. Kamphuis ACTEGA Terra GmbH, Industriestraße 12, 31275 Lehrte, Germany

Dr. M. D. Hager Helmholtz Institute for Polymers in Energy Applications Jena (HIPOLE Jena), Lessingstraße 12-14, 07743 Jena, Germany

Table of content

1. NMR measurements	
2. SEC measurements	
3. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)	
4. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)	
5. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA)	
7. Self-healing of the coatings	
8. Self-healing of the bulk	
10. Barrier properties of the coatings against fat	

1. NMR measurements



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of P1a (300 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S2. ¹H NMR spectrum of P1c (300 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectrum of P2a (300 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S4. ¹H NMR spectrum of P2c (300 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S5. ¹H NMR spectrum of P3a (300 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S6. ¹H NMR spectrum of P3c (300 MHz, CDCl₃).

2. SEC measurements



Figure S7. SEC curve of P1a (eluent: THF, PMMA-standard).



Figure S8. SEC curve of P2a (eluent: THF, PMMA-standard).



Figure S9. SEC curve of P3a (eluent: THF, PMMA-standard).

3. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)



Figure S10. DSC-curves of the third cycle of polymer P1a with a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹.



Figure S11. DSC-curves of the third cycle of polymer P1c with a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹.



Figure S12. DSC-curves of the third cycle of polymer P2a with a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹.



Figure S13. DSC-curves of the third cycle of polymer P2c with a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹.



Figure S14. DSC-curves of the third cycle of polymer P3a with a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹.



Figure S15. DSC-curves of the third cycle of polymer P3c with a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹.



Figure S16. DSC-curves of the third cycle of polymer P1+P2a with a heating rate of 10 K min^{-1} .



Figure S17. DSC-curves of the third cycle of polymer **P1+P2c** with a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹.



Figure S18. DSC-curves of the third cycle of all polymers with a heating rate of 10 K min⁻¹.

4. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)



Figure S19. TGA-curves of the polymer P1a (heating rate 10 K min⁻¹).



Figure S20. TGA-curves of the polymer P1c (heating rate 10 K min⁻¹).

•



Figure S21. TGA-curves of the polymer P2a (heating rate 10 K min⁻¹).

•

•



Figure S22. TGA-curves of the polymer P2c (heating rate 10 K min⁻¹).



Figure S23. TGA-curves of the polymer P3a (heating rate 10 K min⁻¹).

•



Figure S24. TGA-curves of the polymer P3c (heating rate 10 K min⁻¹).



Figure S25. TGA-curves of the polymer P1+P2a (heating rate 10 K min⁻¹).



Figure S26. TGA-curves of the polymer P1+P2c (heating rate 10 K min⁻¹).



Figure S27. TGA-curves of all polymers (heating rate 10 K min⁻¹).

5. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA)



Figure S28. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis of P1a.



Figure S29. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis of **P2a** (the results are quite noisy due to bad contact of the polymer to the plates of the rheometer; this behavior could not be improved during further measurements).



Figure S30. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis of **P3a** (the results are quite noisy due to bad contact of the polymer to the plates of the rheometer; this behavior could not be improved during further measurements).



Figure S31. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis of **P1+P2a** (the results are quite noisy due to bad contact of the polymer to the plates of the rheometer; this behavior could not be improved during further measurements).



Figure S32. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis of **P1c** (the results are quite noisy due to bad contact of the polymer to the plates of the rheometer; this behavior could not be improved during further measurements).



Figure S33. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis of **P2c** (the results are quite noisy due to bad contact of the polymer to the plates of the rheometer; this behavior could not be improved during further measurements; below 70 °C no contact of the sample to the plates was achieved resulting in no valuable data).



Figure S34. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis of **P3c** (the results are quite noisy due to bad contact of the polymer to the plates of the rheometer; this behavior could not be improved during further measurements).



Figure S35. Dynamic mechanical thermal analysis of **P1+P2c** (the results are quite noisy due to bad contact of the polymer to the plates of the rheometer; this behavior could not be improved during further measurements).

6. Photos of the polymer films on paper



Figure S36. Polymer film of P1a. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m.



Figure S37. Polymer film of P1b. On the left 10 µm wet film thickness and on the right 100 µm.



Figure S38. Polymer film of P1c. On the left 10 µm wet film thickness and on the right 100 µm.



Figure S39. Polymer film of P1d. On the left 10 µm wet film thickness and on the right 100 µm.



Figure S40. Polymer film of P2a. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m.



Figure S41. Polymer film of P2b. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m.



Figure S42. Polymer film of P2c. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m.



Figure S43. Polymer film of P2d. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m.



Figure S44. Polymer film of P3a. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m. In some places, the top layer of the paper was torn off because the samples were sticky and had been stored in transparent sleeves before documentation (white areas).



Figure S45. Polymer film of P3b. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m. In some places, the top layer of the paper was torn off because the samples were sticky and had been stored in transparent sleeves before documentation (white areas).



Figure S46. Polymer film of **P3c**. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m. In some places, the top layer of the paper was torn off because the samples were sticky and had been stored in transparent sleeves before documentation (white areas).



Figure S47. Polymer film of P3d. On the left 10 µm wet film thickness and on the right 100 µm.



Figure S48. Polymer film of **P1+P2a**. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m.



Figure S49. Polymer film of **P1+P2b**. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m.



Figure S50. Polymer film of P1+P2c. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m.



Figure S51. Polymer film of P1+P2d. On the left 10 μ m wet film thickness and on the right 100 μ m.

7. Self-healing of the coatings



Figure S52. Coating of P1c with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different times of self-healing are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures. The crack that can be seen at the top of the picture is caused by taking the picture.



Figure S53. Analysis of the healing behavior of a coating of P1d with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different healing times are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures. The crack that can be seen at the top of the picture is caused by taking the picture.



Figure S54. Analysis of the healing behavior of a coating of P2b with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different healing times are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures. The crack that can be seen at the top of the picture is caused by taking the picture.



Figure S55. Analysis of the healing behavior of a coating of P2d with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different healing times are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures. The crack that can be seen at the top of the picture is caused by taking the picture.



Figure S56. Analysis of the healing behavior of a coating of P3b with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different healing times are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures.



Figure S57. Analysis of the healing behavior of a coating of P3c with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different healing times are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures. The crack that can be seen at the top of the picture is caused by taking the picture.



Figure S58. Analysis of the healing behavior of a coating of P3d with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different healing times are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures.



Figure S59. Analysis of the healing behavior of a coating of P1+P2b with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different healing times are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures.



Figure S60. Analysis of the healing behavior of a coating of P1+P2c with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different healing times are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures. The crack that can be seen at the top of the picture is caused by taking the picture.



Figure S61. Analysis of the healing behavior of a coating of P1+P2d with a layer thickness of 200 μ m. Different healing times are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h. The crack is in the middle of the pictures.

8. Self-healing of the bulk



Figure S62. Quantification of the healing behavior of P1a featuring 3D-plots of the profile at different times of self-healing. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h.



Figure S63. Quantification of the healing behavior of **P1c** featuring 3D-plots of the profile at different times of self-healing. Different times of self-healing are shown. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h.



Figure S64. Quantification of the healing behavior of P2a featuring 3D-plots of the profile at different times of self-healing. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h.



Figure S65. Quantification of the healing behavior of P2c featuring 3D-plots of the profile at different times of self-healing. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h.



Figure S66. Quantification of the healing behavior of P3a featuring 3D-plots of the profile at different times of self-healing. In a, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in b after 15 min, in c after 1 h and in d after 24 h.



Figure S67. Quantification of the healing behavior of P3c featuring 3D-plots of the profile at different times of self-healing. In **a**, the crack can be seen in its initial state, in **b** after 15 min, in **c** after 1 h and in **d** after 24 h.

9. Gloss measurements

Sample	Before damaging [%]	After damaging [%]	After healing for 15 min [%]	After healing for 1 h [%]
P1c	100 ± 3	16 ± 11	40 ± 8	54 ± 6
P1d	100 ± 4	55 ± 9	107 ± 11	111 ± 6
P2b	100 ± 2	97 ± 5	113 ± 8	120 ± 3
P2c	100 ± 10	86 ± 7	111 ± 2	114 ± 7
P2d	100 ± 2	79 ± 6	90 ± 7	95 ± 6
P3b	100 ± 8	40 ± 7	93 ± 5	105 ± 3
P3d	100 ± 3	17 ± 9	49 ± 18	63 ± 5
P1+P2b	100 ± 8	53 ± 5	78 ± 4	91 ± 2
P1+P2c	100 ± 25	41 ± 19	57 ± 14	57 ± 18
P1+P2d	100 ± 2	55 ± 4	73 ± 5	85 ± 6

Table S1. Gloss measurements with an angle of 20° and a coating thickness of $10 \,\mu\text{m}$ (values are normalized on the initial value).

Sample	Before damaging [%]	After damaging [%]	After healing for 15 min [%]	After healing for 1 h [%]
P1c	100 ± 1	21 ± 13	67 ± 4	78 ± 3
P1d	100 ± 1	61 ± 6	99 ± 4	102 ± 3
P2b	100 ± 1	82 ± 5	103 ± 6	112 ± 3
P2c	100 ± 8	83 ± 8	122 ± 6	124 ± 5
P2d	100 ± 1	73 ± 5	95 ± 6	103 ± 6
P3b	100 ± 4	40 ± 8	94 ± 4	99 ± 2
P3d	100 ± 1	22 ± 11	70 ± 15	81 ± 2
P1+P2b	100 ± 3	51 ± 7	84 ± 1	94 ± 1
P1+P2c	100 ± 13	42 ± 11	71 ± 11	78 ± 10
P1+P2d	100 ± 1	52 ± 4	83 ± 3	91 ± 3

Table S2. Gloss measurements with an angle of 60° and a coating thickness of 10 μ m (values are normalized on the initial value).

Sample	Before damaging [%]	After damaging [%]	After healing for 15 min [%]	After healing for 1 h [%]
P1c	100 ± 0	39 ± 6	90 ± 1	92 ± 1
P1d	100 ± 2	72 ± 2	96 ± 1	97 ± 1
P2b	100 ± 8	78 ± 6	98 ± 7	104 ± 4
P2c	100 ± 34	95 ± 27	151 ± 9	136 ± 10
P2d	100 ± 1	74 ± 3	91 ± 4	95 ± 6
P3b	100 ± 1	52 ± 5	96 ± 2	96 ± 2
P3d	100 ± 1	38 ± 10	87 ± 12	95 ± 2
P1+P2b	100 ± 1	50 ± 6	95 ± 1	98 ± 1
P1+P2c	100 ± 14	39 ± 7	91 ± 5	96 ± 5
P1+P2d	100 ± 0	51 ± 4	91 ± 1	94 ± 1

Table S3. Gloss measurements with an angle of 85° and a coating thickness of 10 μ m (values are normalized on the initial value).

Sample	Before damaging [%]	After damaging [%]	After healing for 15 min [%]	After healing for 1 h [%]
P1c	100 ± 6	20 ± 13	91 ± 4	104 ± 4
P1d	100 ± 1	31 ± 13	93 ± 5	93 ± 5
P2d	100 ± 3	50 ± 2	68 ± 3	72 ± 2
P3b	100 ± 4	24 ± 8	85 ± 7	85 ± 4
P3d	100 ± 5	20 ± 8	76 ± 12	82 ± 13
P1+P2b	100 ± 4	56 ± 13	93 ± 13	114 ± 14
P1+P2c	100 ± 5	29 ± 13	43 ± 11	51 ± 8
P1+P2d	100 ± 3	47 ± 5	88 ± 2	105 ± 2

Table S4. Gloss measurements with an angle of 20° and a coating thickness of $100 \ \mu m$ (values are normalized on the initial value).

Sample	Before damaging [%]	After damaging [%]	After healing for 15 min [%]	After healing for 1 h [%]
P1c	100 ± 3	24 ± 14	96 ± 1	101 ± 1
P1d	100 ± 2	39 ± 10	97 ± 3	97 ± 3
P2d	100 ± 1	59 ± 3	80 ± 1	84 ± 1
P3b	100 ± 2	32 ± 4	88 ± 3	89 ± 2
P3d	100 ± 2	32 ± 7	80 ± 5	82 ± 7
P1+P2b	100 ± 2	49 ± 14	85 ± 9	97 ± 10
P1+P2c	100 ± 2	33 ± 11	68 ± 6	75 ± 3
P1+P2d	100 ± 1	48 ± 3	93 ± 1	106 ± 1

Table S5. Gloss measurements with an angle of 60° and a coating thickness of $100 \ \mu m$ (values are normalized on the initial value).

Table S6. Gloss measurements with an angle of 85° and a coating thickness of 100 μ m (values are normalized on the initial value).

Sample	Before damaging [%]	After damaging [%]	After healing for 15 min [%]	After healing for 1 h [%]
P1c	100 ± 2	46 ± 6	99 ± 1	101 ± 2
P1d	100 ± 2	67 ± 4	97 ± 2	97 ± 2
P2d	100 ± 2	77 ± 4	89 ± 0	91 ± 1
P3b	100 ± 1	42 ± 7	75 ± 2	79 ± 3
P3d	100 ± 2	44 ± 8	74 ± 5	79 ± 3
P1+P2b	100 ± 2	43 ± 17	85 ± 9	84 ± 17
P1+P2c	100 ± 1	37 ± 9	91 ± 6	92 ± 2
P1+P2d	100 ± 1	48 ± 3	94 ± 1	96 ± 2

10. Barrier properties of the coatings against fat



Figure S67. Barrier properties of the coatings against fat. The films are divided into grids from left to right is the undamaged film, the damaged film and the film after 15 min curing at 100 °C. From top to bottom, the time dependency of the penetration in the paper is shown after 15 min, 1 h, 8 h and 15 h.



Figure S68. Barrier properties of the coatings against fat, showing the back of the paper. The films are divided into grids from left to right is the undamaged film, the damaged film and the film after 15 min curing at 100 °C. From top to bottom, the time dependency of the penetration in the paper is shown after 15 min, 1 h, 8 h and 15 h.

	Colored percentage of the surface [%]			
Application time	Virgin	Damaged	Healed 15 min at 100 °C	
15 min	3	4	3	
1 h	3	3	3	
8 h	3	3	3	
15 h	3	4	3	

Table S7. Colored percentage of the surface of the paper with a coating of P1d with a film thickness of 10 µm during the testing of the barrier properties of the coatings against fat.

Table S8. Colored percentage of the surface of the paper with a coating of P2d with a film thickness of 10 µm during the testing of the barrier properties of the coatings against fat.

	Colored percentage of the surface [%]			
Application time	Virgin	Damaged	Healed 15 min at 100 °C	
15 min	8	6	5	
1 h	20	15	16	
8 h	35	35	38	
15 h	45	42	41	

Cal d •+• f +h c. [0/]1

	Colored percentage of the surface [%]			
Application time	Virgin	Damaged	Healed 15 min at 100 °C	
15 min	0	0	0	
1 h	9	8	13	
8 h	28	26	31	
15 h	35	37	39	

Table S9. Colored percentage of the reverse side of the paper with a coating of P2d with a film thickness of 10 µm during the testing of the barrier properties of the coatings against fat.

Table S10. Colored percentage of the surface of the paper with a coating of P3d with a film thickness of 10 µm during the testing of the barrier properties of the coatings against fat.

	Colored percentage of the surface [70]			
Application time	Virgin	Damaged	Healed 15 min at 100 °C	
15 min	0	0	0	
1 h	0	0	0	
8 h	0	3	0	
15 h	0	1	0	

Colored nercentage of the surface [%]

	Colored percentage of the surface [%]			
Application time	Virgin	Damaged	Healed 15 min at 100 °C	
15 min	0	0	0	
1 h	0	0	0	
8 h	3	3	1	
15 h	3	3	4	

Table S11. Colored percentage of the surface of the paper with a coating of **P1+P2d** with a film thickness of 10 μ m during the testing of the barrier properties of the coatings against fat.