Supporting Text

Calculation of the Scattering Cross-Section

Form Factor. For specular data, we calculate the form factor $\tilde{\rho}(q_z) = \left|\int \rho(z)e^{iq_z z} dz\right|^2$ using the 1G-hybrid model:

$$\tilde{\rho}(q_z) = \frac{2(\rho_{CH_2} - \rho_{H_2O})\sin(q_z z_h)\cos(q_z \sigma_h)}{q_z(1 - (2/\pi q_z \sigma_h)^2)} + 2\sqrt{2\pi}\rho_h \sigma_h \cos(q_z z_h)\exp(-1/2q_z^2 \sigma_h^2) + 2\sqrt{2\pi}\rho_{CH_3}\sigma_{CH_3}\cos(q_z z_{CH_3})\exp(-1/2q_z^2 \sigma_{CH_3}^2).$$

[7]

Note that σ_h and σ_{CH_3} lump contributions not only from rms thermal fluctuations but also from the width of the transition between two neighboring regions.

For diffuse scattering data, we use the Fourier expansion

$$\tilde{\rho}(q_z) = \int_{D/2}^{D/2} [\rho(z) - \rho_w] e^{-iqz} dz = \sum_{h=-h_{\max}}^{h_{\max}} F_h \frac{\sin(qD/2 - \pi h)}{(qD/2 - \pi h)}.$$
[8]

Height:Height Correlation Function. To calculate the height:height correlation function $\langle z(\mathbf{0})z(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel})\rangle$, we take the Fourier transform of the Helfrich thermal fluctuation spectrum $\langle z(q_{\parallel})z(q_{\parallel})\rangle$ (see main text) and obtain

$$\langle z(\mathbf{0})z(\mathbf{r}_{\parallel})\rangle = \frac{k_B T}{2\pi\sqrt{\Delta}} \times \left[K_0(q_1 r_{\parallel}) - K_0(q_2 r_{\parallel})\right],$$
[9]

where $\Delta = \gamma^2 - 4U''\kappa$. K_0 is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order 0. For real arguments,

$$K_0(x)_{x\to 0} \approx Log 2 - \gamma_E Log(x)$$

[10]

where γ_E is the Euler constant and $\lim_{x\to\infty} K_0(x) = 0$.

If $\Delta > 0$, q_1 and q_2 are real:

$$q_{1} = \sqrt{\left(\gamma - \sqrt{\Delta}\right)/2\kappa}$$

$$q_{2} = \sqrt{\left(\gamma + \sqrt{\Delta}\right)/2\kappa}.$$
[11]

If $\Delta < 0$, they become complex:

$$q_{1} = \sqrt{(\gamma - i\sqrt{-\Delta})/2\kappa}$$

$$q_{2} = \sqrt{(\gamma + i\sqrt{-\Delta})/2\kappa}.$$
[12]

For |z| < 2, following Abramovitz and Stegun (1), we use

$$K_0(z) = -\left[\log\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) + \gamma_E\right] I_0(z) + z_d + \frac{3}{8}z_d^2 + \frac{11}{216}z_d^3 + \frac{25}{6912}z_d^4 + \dots$$
[13]

with $z_d = 1/4z^2$ and $I_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_d^k / (k!)^2$, where I_0 is the modified Bessel function of the first kind of order 0. For |z| > 2, we use

$$K_0(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z} \left[1 - \frac{1}{8z} + \frac{9}{2} \frac{1}{(8z)^2} - \frac{75}{2} \frac{1}{(8z)^3} + \frac{600}{(8z)^4} + \dots \right].$$
[14]

To calculate the scattered intensity, we insert the correlation function (Eq. 9) together with the appropriate form factor (Eq. 8) into the scattering cross-section (Eq. 3). The sensitivity to the parameters of the model is illustrated in Fig. 1.

Scattering by the ripple phase. To test the effect of possible static height-fluctuations, we calculate the scattering by ripples schematized in Fig. 9 Inset. The Fourier expansion is

$$z(x) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{2p+1} \sin\left[\frac{2\pi(2p+1)x}{\Lambda}\right],$$
[15]

with

$$a_{2p+1} = \frac{2\Lambda^2}{(2p+1)^2 \pi^2 \Lambda_1 (\Lambda - \Lambda_1)} \sin\left[(2p+1)\pi \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda}\right)\right].$$
[16]

Here, x is a one-dimensional coordinate, and we obtain the height:height correlation function as a two-dimensional average over randomly oriented domains (powder diffraction diagram):

$$\langle z(0)z(r_{\parallel})\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} a_{2p+1}^2 J_0 \left[\frac{2\pi(2p+1)r_{\parallel}}{\Lambda}\right],$$
[17]

where J_0 is the Bessel function of the first kind of order 0. Inserting this correlation function in Eq. 3, we can estimate the scattering by a ripple phase.

Although we do not know of publications reporting ripple phase in pure di-C₁₈-PC [DSPC], we can take orderof-magnitudes on related systems: di-C₁₄-PC [DMPC] (2), di-C₁₆-PC [DPPC] (3) and DSPC-DMPC mixtures (4). Typical values correspond to a wavelength Λ in the range of (~ $10^{-9}-10^{-7}$) m and an amplitude of the order of 0.5–10 nm. Fig. 9 demonstrates that, on such examples, in this wavelength range our experiment has a sensitive detection level as low as (0.1–0.2 nm) in amplitude. A smoother undulation shape, resulting in a higher and narrower peak in Fourier space, would have been even easier to detect. To summarize, unless it had a very small wavelength (of order of 10^{-9} m, or less) (5), a static undulation like a ripple phase would certainly have been detected.

- 1. Abramovitz, M. & Stegun, I. (1965) Handbook of mathematical functions (Dover, New York).
- 2. Woodward, J.T. & Zasadzinski, J.A. (1997) Biophys. J. 72, 964-976.
- 3. Fang, Y. & Yang, J. (1996) J. Phys. Chem. 100, 15614-15619.
- 4. Leidy, C., Kaasgaard, T., Crowe, J.H., Mouritsen, O. & Jorgensen, K. (2002) Biophys. J. 83, 2625-2633.
- 5. Cunningham, B.A., Brown, A.D., Wolfe, D.H., Williams, W.P. & Brain, A. (1998) Phys. Rev. E 48, 3662-3672.