Hsp28^{stl}: A P-Element Insertion Mutation That Alters the Expression of a Heat Shock Gene in Drosophila melanogaster

Joel C. Eissenberg and Sarah C. R. Elgin

Department of Biology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri 63130 Manuscript received June 30, 1986 Revised copy accepted November 10, 1986

ABSTRACT

We have identified and cloned a mutant allele of the small heat shock gene Hsp28 of Drosophilamelanogaster. This allele, which we have called $Hsp28^{stl}$, produces small amounts of a single aberrantly large, heat-inducible transcript in heat-shocked flies, while a normal-sized Hsp28 transcript is present only in fertile females. No Hsp28 transcript at all is detected in mutant prepupae, a stage when wildtype flies show high levels of Hsp28 RNA. We have cloned the $Hsp28^{stl}$ allele, and have found that a 1.3-kb defective *P*-element is present 5' to Hsp28 in the mutant line. The site of *P*-element insertion lies between the Hsp28 "TATA box" sequence and the Hsp28 RNA cap site; in contrast to previously described *P*-element insertions, the element at $Hsp28^{stl}$ is flanked by a two base pair duplication of the insertional target sequence. The results suggest that this insert may separate elements regulating heat-inducible and developmental expression of Hsp28, leading to the different patterns of transcription observed.

RANSPOSON mutagenesis has been shown to be the source of many spontaneously arising regulatory mutations in a variety of organisms including yeast (ERREDE et al. 1980; WILLIAMSON, YOUNG and CIRIACY 1981), plants (BURR and BURR 1983; DORING et al. 1984), vertebrates (HAYWARD, NEEL and ASTRIN 1981; JENKINS et al. 1981; NEEL et al. 1982) and in particular Drosophila (SNYDER et al. 1982; BENDER et al. 1983; MCGINNIS, SHERMOEN and BECKENDORF 1983; SCOTT et al. 1983; TSUBOTA, ASH-BURNER and SCHEDL 1985; ZACHAR et al. 1985; COTÉ et al. 1986). In Drosophila, the recognition that extensive transposable element mobilization is the basis for the high levels of mutation and chromosome rearrangement that accompany hybrid dysgenesis has provided a mechanism for the efficient generation of mutant alleles, useful in elucidating the basis for transcriptional regulation. It has been suggested that transposon mutagenesis may be more efficient for the generation of regulatory mutations than EMS (TSU-BOTA, ASHBURNER and SCHEDL 1985), perhaps because cis-acting regulatory elements are relatively insensitive to single base changes. It has recently been shown that additional mutational variation induced by P-element mobilization in a dysgenic population results in an accelerated response to selection much greater than that seen in similar experiments using Xray irradiation (MACKAY, 1985). The more drastic mutagenic effect of transposable elements may be due to the fact that many transposable elements contain transcription units; thus, insertion may interfere with or alter the transcription of nearby genes by the direct effect of transcription within the element itself (ZA-

CHAR et al. 1985), or by the introduction of novel chromatin structure associated with the element (EIS-SENBERG et al. 1984; EISSENBERG and ELGIN 1986).

In the course of P-element mediated transformation experiments aimed at elucidating the relationship between chromatin structure and gene expression at the small heat shock gene cluster at 67B in Drosophila melanogaster, we induced a mutation of Hsp28, one of the heat-inducible genes within this cluster. We have cloned the mutant Hsp28 allele, which we have called $Hsp28^{stl}$. Sequence analysis of the clones $Hsp28^{stl}$ allele revealed a defective P-element insertion between the Hsp28 "TATA box" element and the mRNA cap site sequence. The P-element insert is flanked by a dinucleotide duplication of the target site. The introduction of P-element sequences 5' to Hsp28 dramatically perturbs transcription at the locus, differentially affecting heat shock and developmental regulation in Hsp28^{stl} flies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly stocks and maintenance: The $Hsp28^{stl}$ -bearing chromosome was originally identified in a stock carrying an Xlinked transduced copy of Hsp28 (EISSENBERG and ELGIN 1986). This stock had been transformed by co-injection of a plasmid consisting of the Hsp28 gene cloned into the Pelement transforming vector Carnegie 4 (RUBIN and SPRA-DLING 1983) and the intact P-element-bearing plasmid $p\pi25.1$ (O'HARE and RUBIN 1983). The distal part of 3L bearing $Hsp28^{stl}$ and a linked, spontaneously arising mutant allele of *sepia* were crossed onto the *ru cu ca* multiply marked third chromosome (LINDSLEY and GRELL 1968). $Hsp28^{stl}$ was then maintained as a homozygous stock marked with *se th st cu sr e* and *ca*. These flies show somewhat reduced fertility when maintained at 25°, but survival and fertility are not discernibly affected by a 45-min heat shock at 37° . Flies were maintained at room temperature on a cornnealagar medium in half-pint disposable bottles. Flies were heat shocked by placing them in 1.5-ml Eppendorf tubes at 37° for 45 min.

Cloning of the Hsp28st allele: DNA from the Hsp28st line was prepared by flash-freezing adult flies in liquid nitrogen, and then grinding the flies to a powder using a prechilled pestle and a mortar filled with liquid nitrogen. After the nitrogen evaporated, the fly powder was dissolved in homogenization buffer (0.2 M sucrose, 0.1 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.2, 50 mm EDTA, 0.5% SDS), the DNA extracted twice with phenol and twice with chloroform, and then ethanol precipitated. Since genomic restriction analysis had shown that Hsp28^{stl} is contained on a ca. 12.5-kb BamHI restriction fragment, approximately 20 μ g of Hsp28^{stl} DNA were digested to completion with BamHI and size-fractionated on a 5-ml Sephacryl S-1000 (Sigma) column equilibrated in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.0)-1 mM EDTA before use. Column fractions were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and fractions containing DNA of between 10 and 15 kb in size were pooled. Approximately 2 µg of sizeselected genomic DNA were ligated overnight to approximately 1 μ g of EMBL 3 λ phage arms (predigested with BamHI, Vector Cloning Labs, San Diego) according to manufacturer's directions. A sample of the completed ligation reaction was then packaged using commercial packaging extract (GigaPak, Vector Cloning Labs), and used to infect restrictive host bacteria according to the manufacturer's directions. Infected bacteria were plated in soft agar, and the phages were screened by plaque hybridization (BEN-TON and DAVIS 1977) using the insert fragment of plasmid 88B13 which contains Hsp28 (Corces et al. 1980) as a hybridization probe. Plaques which hybridized strongly were selected, and phage DNA was prepared from a 1-L cleared lysate by polyethylene glycol (PEG) precipitation of intact phage (7% PEG weight/volume), followed by phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation of phage DNA. Restriction fragment subclones from phage DNA were prepared by electroelution of the desired fragment from an agarose gel, followed by ligation into the appropriate restriction sites of pUC 13 (MESSING 1983).

DNA sequencing: Subcloned fragments bearing regions of interest were sequenced directly from plasmid DNA. Two micrograms of DNA were denatured in 0.2 N NaOH, 0.2 mM EDTA for 5 min at room temperature, then made 0.3 N with sodium acetate (pH 5.0), and the DNA was precipitated with two volumes of ethanol. The plasmid template was annealed to either direct or reverse sequencing primer (New England Biolabs) and sequenced by the dideoxy chain termination method of SANGER, NICKLEN and COULSON (1977).

Northern blot analysis: Total nucleic acids were purified from individual flies of the stage indicated, essentially according to the method of MEYEROWITZ and HOGNESS (1982), as modified by STEINER, EISSENBERG and ELGIN (1984). Samples were electrophoresed in agarose-formaldehyde gels according to LEHRACH *et al.* (1977). After electrophoresis, the gels were soaked in 20 × SSC for 30 min with one change, and blotted to nitrocellulose paper in 20 × SSC.

DNase I digestion of larval nuclei: Larval nuclear preparations and DNase I digestions were performed essentially as described in EISSENBERG and LUCCHESI (1983). Purified DNA was then digested to completion with restriction enzyme and electrophoresed in agarose-TAE gels (HAYWARD and SMITH 1972). DNA was then denatured *in situ* by soaking the gel in 0.5 N NaOH-1.5 N NaCl for 45 min,

neutralized in 0.5 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.0)-3 N NaCl for 30 min, and blotted to nitrocellulose paper in $20 \times SSC$.

Hybridization analysis of Northern blots, Southern blots and plaque filter lifts: Probes used in the hybridization analysis of nucleic acids immobilized on nitrocellulose paper were labeled to high specific activity $(1-3 \times 10^8 \text{ cpm}/\mu g)$ by the incorporation of ³²P-labeled nucleotides using the nick-translation method of MANIATIS, JEFFREY and KLEID (1975). Labeled probe DNA was separated from unincorporated nucleotides by Sephadex G-100 chromatography. Filters were baked, prehybridized and hybridized to labeled probes essentially as described in WAHL, STERN and STARK (1979), except that prehybridizations and hybridizations were at 65° without formamide. Hybridized filters were subsequently washed as described, dried and exposed to XAR 5 X-ray film (Kodak) at -80° , using a Chronex Lightning Plus intensifying screen (DuPont).

RESULTS

During the course of our characterization of *P*element transduced *Hsp28* genes, we identified a line of transformed flies which had spontaneously mutated to a *sepia* phenotype. Southern and Northern blot analysis showed an aberrant genomic restriction digestion pattern at the 67B locus, and an absence of a normal heat-inducible *Hsp28* transcript in some of these flies. As the absence of *Hsp28* RNA was not strictly correlated with the eye color phenotype, we assume that the two traits are merely chromosomally linked [*sepia* appears to lie between 66A and 66D; LINDSLEY and GRELL (1968)] and not causally related.

Comparison of the genomic restriction enzyme digestion patterns at 67B in wild-type and the sepia- $Hsp28^-$ (hereafter referred to by the name of the mutant allele $Hsp28^{stl}$) flies suggested that an insertion of 1.3 kb of DNA had occurred in the vicinity of Hsp28. In particular, the EcoRI fragment containing Hsp28 (Figure 1A) is 1.3 kb larger in Hsp28^{stl} flies (data not shown). In order to map more precisely the site of this insertion, we exploited the strong sequencepreferential cleavage of DNA by micrococcal nuclease (KEENE and ELGIN 1981, 1984; FLICK, EISSENBERG and ELGIN 1986). Sites of preferential micrococcal nuclease cleavage occur at an average of every 200 bp in eukaryotic noncoding DNA, so that an insertion of 1.3 kb of heterologous DNA should introduce an easily discernible disruption of the wild-type pattern. Purified genomic DNA from both wild-type and Hsp28st flies was digested briefly with micrococcal nuclease, digested to completion with BamHI, and electrophoresed in 0.8% agarose gel. The DNA was then analyzed by the technique of indirect end-labeling (WU 1980; NEDOSPASEV and GEORGIEV 1980). Figure 1B shows the results of this analysis. The pattern of micrococcal nuclease cleavage is perfectly aligned in the wild-type and Hsp28^{stl} samples up to a position corresponding to the 5' end of Hsp28. An obvious gap appears in the $Hsp28^{stl}$ pattern at this point, followed by a resumption of the wild-type frag-



FIGURE 1.—A, Map of the 67B heat shock gene cluster. Genes are indicated by blocks, with arrows above showing the direction of transcription. Lines below the map indicate the relative positions of sequences used as probes in this paper. B, Positioning of the insertion element at 67B in the Hsp28st line using partial micrococcal nuclease digestion mapping. Genomic DNA was subjected to partial micrococcal nuclease digestion and subsequent indirect end labeling analysis as described in the text. The hybridization probe was 88.3 (CORCES et al. 1980). Lane A: Oregon R DNA digested with BamHI. Lane B: Oregon R DNA digested briefly with micrococcal nuclease, then digested to completion with BamHI. Lane C: Hsp28stl genomic DNA digested briefly with micrococcal nuclease, then digested to completion with BamHI. Lane D: Hsp28st genomic DNA, digested with BamHI. Maps flanking the figure indicate the relative positions of genes within the region under analysis. A gap in the right-hand map marks the position of the discontinuity in the micrococcal nuclease digestion pattern, which indicates the position of the inserted sequence.

ment pattern associated with the *Hsp28* coding region and 3' sequences. Thus, the 1.3-kb insert is located immediately 5' to *Hsp28*. The nature of this insertion is suggested by the following observations. The insertion-bearing chromosome was identified in a line containing active *P*-elements (introduced by injection of $p\pi 25.1$) which yielded several spontaneous visible mutations as well as *sepia*. *P*-element DNA is present at



FIGURE 2.—The novel heat shock RNA of Hsp28st is homologous to both Hsp28 and $p\pi25.1$. Panel A, Northern blot analysis of heat shock (lanes 2, 4 and 6) and non-heat-shock (lanes 1, 3 and 5) RNA from Oregon R (lanes 1 and 2) adult males, Hsp28^{stl} (lanes 3 and 4) adult males, and adult males of a transformed line (lanes 5 and 6). An equivalent amount of each RNA sample was electrophoresed in a 1.5% agarose-formaldehyde gel. The hybridization probe is 88.1 (CORCES et al. 1980), a plasmid with homology to Hsp28. The transformed line HSAd010 contains a transduced copy of Hsp28 tagged with 1.4 kb of heterologous sequence inserted into the protein-coding region of the gene (EISSENBERG and ELGIN 1986). The position of Hsp28 RNA is indicated to the left of the figure. Arrowheads indicate the relative position of the heat-inducible Hsp28^{stl} and transduced Hsp28 RNA (lanes 4 and 6, respectively). Hybridization in the position of Hsp26 (lowest band) represents residual signal from previous hybridization of the filter with probe 88.3. Panel B, Same as panel A, except that the Northern blot was hybridized using the P-element bearing plasmid $p\pi 25.1$ and plasmid 88.3 (CORCES et al. 1980). Probe 88.3 carries sequences homologous to Hsp26, and was used to indicate both the position of the heat shock RNA and relative levels of that heat-induced RNA. The filled arrowhead indicates the P-homologous transcript in Hsp28^{sd}, while the open arrowhead indicates the position of the transduced Hsp28 RNA, which has no homology to P-element. Hybridization signal near the top of the blot represents hybridization to residual high molecular weight DNA.

67B, as demonstrated by *in situ* hybridization (data not shown). Since the full-sized *P*-element is 2.9 kb, we suspected that the 1.3-kb insertion was probably a defective *P*-element.

Figure 2A shows a comparison, by Northern blot analysis, of heat shock and nonheat shock RNA prepared from wild-type and mutant flies. Even on long autoradiographic exposure, no normal Hsp28 RNA is detectable in mutant flies after heat shock. A novel RNA appears in these flies, however, which is heat induced (compare lanes 3 and 4) and not present in wild-type heat-shocked flies (compare lanes 2 and 4). This RNA is slightly smaller than an Hsp28-adenovirus fusion transcript which contains a 1.4-kb adenovirus insert (compare lanes 4 and 6).

An RNA of this size would be expected if the 1.3kb insert 5' to Hsp28 were cotranscribed with the Hsp28 gene as a fusion transcript. To test this idea, we hybridized the blot shown in panel A with a P-



FIGURE 3.—Developmental expression of $Hsp28^{std}$: fertile females. Non-heat-shock RNA was prepared from fertile $Hsp28^{std}$ females (lane 1) and fertile Oregon R females (lane 2). Equivalent amounts of RNA were electrophoresed in a 1% agarose formaldehyde gel and analyzed using Northern blots. Lanes 3 and 4 show heat shock RNA from $Hsp28^{std}$ female and Oregon R females, respectively. The relative positions of Hsp28 and the $Hsp28^{std}$ heatshock specific transcripts are indicated to the left of the figure. "dev." indicates the position of a developmentally regulated transcript encoded downstream of Hsp28, detectable with this hybridization probe (J. C. EISSENBERG and S. C. R. ELGIN, unpublished data). The hybridization probe was 88.1 (CORCES *et al.* 1980).

element-specific probe (Figure 2B). The larger heatinducible RNA is homologous to both the Hsp28 and *P*-element probes. Note that another line containing many *P*-element copies, but not the $Hsp28^{stl}$ allele, contains no such heat-inducible *P*-element homologous transcript (compare lanes 4 and 6 in Figure 2B). The quantity of the larger Hsp28 RNA induced by heat shock in the mutant is dramatically lower than the amount of normal Hsp28 RNA induced by heat shock in wild-type flies, perhaps as a consequence of reduced transcription, reduced stability of the larger transcript, or both.

The small heat shock genes at 67B are subject to developmental regulation. Hsp28 is normally expressed at high levels in white prepupae and in the ovaries of fertile adult females (CHENEY and SHEARN 1983; ZIMMERMAN, PETRI and MESELSON 1983). A comparison of RNA prepared from fertile females of wild-type and $Hsp28^{stl}$ lines, using Northern blots, is shown in Figure 3. In contrast to heat-shocked adult male flies, low levels of Hsp28 RNA of the normal size are detectable from non-heat-shock $Hsp28^{stl}$ fertile females. No larger RNA of the size detectable after heat shock in this line is seen in the non-heat-shock size after heat shock in this line is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable after heat shock in the size detectable after heat shock in this line is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable after heat shock in this line is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable after heat shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable after heat shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock is shown in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-shock in the size detectable is seen in the non-heat-s

FIGURE 4.—Developmental expression on $Hsp28^{ul}$: white prepupae. Non-heat-shock RNA was prepared from a later third instar larva (lane 1) and white prepupae (lanes 2 and 3) of the $Hsp28^{ul}$ line. Lane 4: non-heat-shock RNA from an Oregon R prepupa. Equal amounts of RNA were electrophoresed on the same 1% agarose-formaldehyde gel. The hybridization probe was 88.5 (CORCES *et al.* 1980). Lanes 1–3 were overexposed relative to lane 4 in order to detect possible low abundance Hsp28 transcripts.

shocked females. The normal sized Hsp28 RNA present in samples from heat-shocked $Hsp28^{stl}$ flies probably reflects stable non-heat-shock RNA since (1) no induction is apparent upon heat treatment, and (b) this transcript is not visible in RNA from heat-shocked $Hsp28^{stl}$ males (Figure 2) or newly enclosed $Hsp28^{stl}$ females (data not shown). A similar Northern blot analysis of RNA prepared from wild-type and $Hsp28^{stl}$ white prepupae is shown in Figure 4. In this case, no Hsp28 RNA of any size from $Hsp28^{stl}$ flies is detectable, even after long exposures.

The different effects of the insert DNA on the expression of $Hsp28^{stl}$ under different conditions and at different developmental times suggested to us that the insertion lay in an important regulatory region. We therefore cloned the $Hsp28^{stl}$ allele into the phage lambda vector EMBL 3 and sequenced the region of the insertion site from plasmid subclones. A schematic map of the $Hsp28^{stl}$ locus, showing the results of this analysis, is presented in Figure 5. The following results were obtained from the sequence analysis. First, the insertion occurs between the normal TATA box and cap site for Hsp28, effectively separating these two signals, originally 30 bp apart, by 1.3 kb. Only 2 bp of the insertion target are duplicated on each side of the insert. Second, sequences for several dozen base



FIGURE 5.—A schematic map showing the molecular organization of the $Hsp28^{std}$ allele. The extent of the wild-type Hsp28 transcript is indicated by the blocked region, with the filled area indicating the protein-coding sequences. A long vertical line immediately to the left of the gene indicates the position of the defective P-element. The P-element is represented by a line above the map, with a break in the line indicating the position of the internal deletion in the defective P-element. The last 60 nucleotides at the proximal end of the insert are shown above the P-element map with the P-element TATA motif indicated by a box. Below the map is shown the sequence flanking the insert. The wild-type TATA motif is indicated by a box, while the wild-type Hsp28 cap site and direction of transcription are indicated by an arrow. Nucleotides duplicated at the P-element insertion site are underlined.

pairs at each end of the insert correspond exactly to those found at the termini of the published P-element sequence (O'HARE and RUBIN 1983). The P-element copy here is oriented in the opposite direction (with respect to its normal transcriptional polarity) to the adjacent Hsp28 gene. A deletion of 1595 bp of Pelement sequence beginning at position 153 was revealed by sequence analysis (data not shown) and comparison to the published sequence (O'HARE and RUBIN 1983). This deletion would completely account for the size difference between this element and the full-sized 2.9-kb element. Finally, the orientation of the P-element places a "TATA" motif 60 bp upstream to the normal Hsp28 cap site. This sequence, which also reads "TATA" 5' to 3' on the other strand, is the presumptive promoter for the wild-type P-element transcript. Note, then, that the direction of normal Pelement transcription is divergent from Hsp28 transcription.

A detailed analysis of the chromatin structure of the wild-type Hsp28 locus has recently been presented revealing a complex pattern of DNA-protein interactions 5' to this gene (CARTWRIGHT and ELGIN 1986). In addition to the introduction of 1.3 kb of novel DNA sequence 5' to Hsp28 in $Hsp28^{stl}$ flies, the effect of the *P*-element insertions could be to alter the chromatin structure upstream of Hsp28 in such a way so as to interfere with DNA-protein interactions required for properly regulated expression. Since $Hsp28^{stl}$ shows a different expression pattern under each regulatory program, we were interested in determining whether features of chromatin structure 5' to Hsp28 were also dramatically altered by the transposable element insertion. Nuclei prepared from wildtype and Hsp28^{stl} third instar larvae were digested with DNase I to various extents, after which the DNA was purified and subjected to indirect end labeling analysis. Figure 6A shows the results of such an analysis. The pattern of DNase I hypersensitivity in wildtype larvae agrees well with the general pattern previously reported for non-heat-shock tissue culture cells (CARTWRIGHT and ELGIN 1986). In the chromatin of the Hsp28^{stl} larvae, the pattern of DNase I hypersensitive sites within the wild-type sequences upstream of the P-element insert does not appear at this level of resolution to be altered. An additional prominent DNase hypersensitive site is associated with the distal terminal sequences of the P-element insert as shown in Figure 6B.

DISCUSSION

Few mutants of heat shock genes in Drosophila are described, presumably owing to the absence of a simple screen for such a mutation. To our knowledge, $Hsp28^{stl}$ is the first developmental mutation to be described at the 67B locus; the differential effects of the mutation provide insight into the mechanism of Hsp28 regulation.

The results obtained suggest that in the mutant $Hsp28^{stl}$, heat-induced expression of Hsp28 is regulated by sequences moved distally due to the insertion of the transposable element. RNA polymerase prob-



FIGURE 6.—The chromatin structure of $Hsp28^{st}$ in third instar larvae. A, Nuclei were prepared from third instar Oregon R and $Hsp28^{st}$ non-heat-shock larvae and aliquots of nuclei were digested to various extents with DNase I. The purified nuclear DNA was digested to completion with *Eco*RI, electrophoresed in a 1.2% agarose-TAE gel, and subjected to indirect end labeling analysis using 88.2 as a hybridization probe (CORCES *et al.* 1980). Lane 1: Oregon R, control (no DNase I); lanes 2–4: Oregon R, digested with increasing amounts of DNase I; lanes 6 and 5: $Hsp28^{st}$, digested with increasing amounts of DNase I; lane 7; $Hsp28^{st}$, control (no DNase I). Maps flanking each panel indicate the relative positions of sequence elements within the *Eco*RI fragment under analysis. The small square brackets in each map indicate the relative positions of sequences showing homology to the heat shock consensus sequence (see DISCUSSION). An open box in the right-hand map indicates the relative position of the defective *P*-element insert. B, Nuclei from $Hsp28^{st}$ larvae were digested with DNase I, and the DNA analyzed as in panel A, except that the restriction enzyme was *Bam*HI, samples were electrophoresed in a 0.8% agarose-TAE gel, and the indirect end-labeling probe was fragment a (Figure 1). Lane 1: control (no DNase I); lanes 2–4: increasing amounts of DNase I.

ably associates with the normal TATA sequence, which in $Hsp28^{stl}$ is distal to the *P*-element insert, initiating transcription at some nearby point downstream and within the *P*-element. This would give rise to the low level fusion transcript, 1.3 kb larger than normal Hsp28, detected in Northern blots of $Hsp28^{stl}$ heat shock RNA. This interpretation is consistent with the results of deletion analysis. From a series of engineered deletions transduced into flies using *P*-element germ line transformation, HOFFMAN and CORCES (1986) reported that deletion of sequences more than 124 bp upstream of the Hsp28 cap site abolishes all detectable transduced Hsp28 heat-shock-induced expression. Using a transient expression assay of Hsp28 upstream sequences, RIDDIHOUGH and PELHAM (1986) further localized sequences essential to heat induction to the interval -455 to -227. Finally, CART-WRIGHT and ELGIN (1986) report a major shift in nuclease sensitivity (from a hypersensitive site to strong nuclease protection) upon heat shock centered at position -310. This region contains three blocks of sequences with an excellent match of nondegenerate positions to the heat shock consensus sequence (MIR-AULT, SOUTHGATE and DELWART 1982; PELHAM 1982; RIDDIHOUGH and PELHAM 1986). In nuclei of $Hsp28^{stl}$ flies, the chromatin structure of this region, now shifted 1.3 kb away from the Hsp28 coding sequence, is not visibly altered by the *P*-element insertion (Figure 6A). One can suggest that the interaction of the heat shock transcription factor with this region can stimulate the initiation of transcription, resulting in the induced product seen.

Initiation of transcription does appear to occur, however, at or close to the normal start site in adult fertile Hsp28^{stl} females. To account for this, we suggest that at least some of the sequence elements directing ovarian expression are clearly separable from the heat shock regulatory elements and may reside downstream of the insert. HOFFMAN and CORCES (1986) similarly observed approximately 30% of wildtype expression in non-heat-shocked prepupae and fertile females in cases where sequences upstream of -124 bp had been deleted and no heat-shock-induced expression was observed. Note, however, that no Hsp28 RNA was detected of any size in Hsp28^{stl} white prepupae. Prepupal regulation therefore seems to differ to some degree from ovarian regulation. Differences in mRNA stability, as well as differences in transcription, could play a role in maintaining the levels of developmentally regulated Hsp28 expression. Preferential RNA stabilization is known to play an important role in ecdysone-regulated expression of the small heat shock genes (VITEK and BERGER 1984).

Sequence analysis of the cloned Hsp28^{stl} allele places the site of the P-element insertion between the Hsp28 "TATA box" and the normal Hsp28 mRNA cap site sequence. This region is hypersensitive to several DNA cleavage reagents in nuclei from embryos and larvae, as well as from tissue culture cells (COSTLOW and LIS 1984; CARTWRIGHT and ELGIN 1986). The relatively exposed state of the DNA in this region in nuclei might render it particularly sensitive to transposable element insertions. While this speculation makes the untested assumption of hypersensitive sites in germ line chromatin, we note that in 0-2-hr-old Drosophila embryos (i.e., preblastoderm), DNase I hypersensitive sites are already established upstream of Hsp28, before Hsp28 expression can be heat induced (LOWENHAUPT et al. 1983).

Another notable feature of the defective *P*-element at $Hsp28^{stl}$ is the fact that only two nucleotides of the target site are duplicated at each end of the insert. This is the first instance that we are aware of of an exception to the finding that *P*-element insertion is accompanied by an 8-bp duplication of the target site (O'HARE and RUBIN 1983). We cannot rule out the possibility that the original insertion was flanked by 8-bp duplications, but that a 6-bp deletion occurred subsequently; we simply call attention to this apparent exception to the 8-bp rule since, if similar exceptions are reported, such exceptions may have implications for models of *P*-element transposition.

Both ends of the defective *P*-element at $Hsp28^{stl}$ appear to be hypersensitive to DNase I (Figure 6B). While a majority of the normal *P*-element sequences are deleted in this defective copy, the 31-bp terminal

repeats necessary for transposition remain intact. We have recently shown that the termini of the *P*-elementderived vector Carnegie 4 (RUBIN and SPRADLING 1983) are also DNase I hypersensitive sites in chromatin (EISSENBERG and ELGIN, 1986). A previous report showed that the termini of the *copia*-like transposable element HMS Beagle contained DNase I hypersensitive sites as well (EISSENBERG *et al.* 1984), suggesting that this may be a general feature of transposable elements.

The presence of intact terminal repeats flanking the defective *P*-element at 67B in $Hsp28^{stl}$ suggests the possibility that, in the presence of *P*-element transposase function, this element could be mobilized to generate further regulatory mutations at this locus. Since imprecise transposable element excision can sometimes lead to polar chromosomal deletions, $Hsp28^{stl}$ may also be useful in generating deletions within the 67B locus, which in turn could lead to a better understanding of the function of small heat shock genes in the physiology of the stress response in Drosophila.

We thank Drs. T. C. JAMES and GRAHAM H. THOMAS for much helpful advice on cloning and sequencing. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grant R01-GM30273 to S. C. R. E. and National Institutes of Health postdoctoral fellowship F32-GM09214 to J. C. E.

LITERATURE CITED

- BENDER, W., M. AKAM, F. KARCH, P. A. BEACHY, M. PEIFER, P. SPIERER, E. B. LEWIS and D. S. HOGNESS, 1983 Molecular genetics of the bithorax complex in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Science **221**: 23-29.
- BENTON, W. D. and R. W. DAVIS, 1977 Screening lambda-gt recombinant clones by hybridization to single plaques *in situ*. Science **196**: 180–182.
- BURR, G. and F. A. BURR, 1982 *Ds* controlling elements of maize at the *Shrunken* locus are large and dissimilar insertions. Cell **29:** 977–986.
- CARTWRIGHT, I. L. and S. C. R. ELGIN, 1986 Nucleosomal instability and induction of new upstream protein-DNA associations accompany activation of four small heat shock protein genes in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mol. Cell Biol. 6: 779–791.
- CHENEY, C. M. and A. SHEARN, 1983 Developmental regulation of Drosophila imaginal disc proteins: synthesis of a heat shock protein under non-heat shock conditions. Dev. Biol. **95:** 325– 330.
- CORCES, V., R. HOLMGREN, R. FREUND, R. MORIMOTO and M. MESELSON, 1980 Four heat shock proteins of *Drosophila melanogaster* coded within a 12-kilobase region in chromosome subdivision 67B. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77: 5390-5393.
- COSTLOW, N. and J. T. LIS, 1984 High-resolution mapping of DNase I-hypersensitive sites of Drosophila heat shock genes in Drosophila melanogaster and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4: 1853-1863.
- COTÉ, B., W. BENDER, D. CURTIS and A. CHOVNICK, 1986 Molecular mapping of the rosy locus in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **112**: 769–783.
- DORING, H.-P., M. FREELING, S. HAKE, M. JOHNS, R. KUNZE, A. MERCKELBACH, F. SALAMINI and P. STARLINGER, 1984 A Dsmutation of the Adhl gene in Zea mays L. Mol. Gen. Genet. 183: 199-204.

- EISSENBERG, J. C. and S. C. R. ELGIN, 1986 Chromatin structure of a *P*-element transduced *Hsp28* gene in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6: 4126-4129.
- EISSENBERG, J. C. and J. C. LUCCHESI, 1983 Chromatin structure and transcriptional activity of an X-linked heat shock gene in *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. J. Biol. Chem. **258**: 13986–13991.
- EISSENBERG, J. C., D. A. KIMBRELL, J. W. FRISTROM and S. C. R. ELGIN, 1984 Chromatin structure at the 44D larval cuticle gene locus in Drosophila: the effect of a transposable element insertion. Nucleic Acids Res. 12: 9025–9038.
- ERREDE, B., T. S. CARDILLO, F. SHERMAN, E. DUBOIS, J. DESCHAMPS and J. M. WAIME, 1980 Mating signals control expression of mutations resulting from insertion of a transposable repetitive element adjacent to diverse yeast genes. Cell 22: 427–436.
- FLICK, J. T., J. C. EISSENBERG and S. C. R. ELGIN, 1986 Micrococcal nuclease as a DNA structural probe: its recognition sequences, their genomic distribution, and correlation with DNA structure determinants. J. Mol. Biol. 190: 619–633.
- HAYWARD, G. S. and M. G. SMITH, 1972 The chromosome of bacteriophage T5. II. Arrangement of the single stranded DNA fragments in the T5⁺ and T5st (O) chromosomes. J. Mol. Biol. **63**: 397-407.
- HAYWARD, W., B. NEEL and S. ASTRIN, 1981 Activation of cellular onc genes by promoter insertion in ALV-induced lymphoid leukosis. Nature 290: 475-479.
- HOFFMAN, E. and V. CORCES, 1986 Sequences involved in temperature and ecdysterone-induced transcription are located in separate regions of a *Drosophila melanogaster* heat shock gene. Mol. Cell Biol. 6: 663–673.
- JENKINS, N. A., N. G. COPELAND, B. A. TAYLOR and G. K. LEE, 1981 Dilute (d) coat colour mutation of DBA/2J mice is associated with the site of integration of an ecotropic MuLV genome. Nature **293:** 370–374.
- KEENE, M. A. and S. C. R. ELGIN, 1981 Micrococcal nuclease as a probe of DNA sequence organization and chromatin structure. Cell 27: 57–64.
- KEENE, M. A. and S. C. R. ELGIN, 1984 Patterns of DNA structural polymorphism and their evolutionary implications. Cell 36: 121–129.
- LEHRACH, H., D. DIAMOND, J. M. WOZNEY and H. BOEDTKER, 1977 RNA molecular weight determinations by gel electrophoresis under denaturing conditions, a critical reexamination. Biochemistry **16:** 4743–4751.
- LINDSLEY, D. L. and E. H. GRELL, 1968 Genetic variations of Drosophila melanogaster. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Publ. 627.
- LOWENHAUPT, K., I. L. CARTWRIGHT, M. A. KEENE, J. L. ZIMMER-MAN and S. C. R. ELGIN, 1983 Chromatin structure in preand postblastula embryos of Drosophila. Dev. Biol. **99:** 194– 201.
- MACKAY, T. F. C., 1985 Transposable element-induced response to artificial selection in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **111**: 351–374.
- MANIATIS, T., A. JEFFREY and D. G. KLEID, 1975 Nucleotide sequence of the rightward operator of phage lambda. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 72: 1184–1188.
- MCGINNIS, W., A. W. SHERMOEN and S. K. BECKENDORF, 1983 A transposable element inserted just 5' to a Drosophila glue protein gene alters gene expression and chromatin structure. Cell **34**: 75–84.
- MESSING, J., 1983 New M13 vectors for cloning. Methods Enzymol. 101: 20–78.
- MEYEROWITZ, E. M. and D. S. HOGNESS, 1982 Molecular organization of a Drosophila puff site that responds to ecdysone. Cell 28: 165–176.

- MIRAULT, M.-E., R. SOUTHGATE and E. DELWART, 1982 Regulation of heat shock genes: a DNA sequence upstream of Drosophila *Hsp* 70 genes is essential for their induction in monkey cells. EMBO J. 1: 1279-1285.
- NEDOSPASOV, S. A. and G. P. GEORGIEV, 1980 Non-random cleavage of SV40 DNA in the compact minichromosome and free in solution by micrococcal nuclease. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 92: 532–539.
- NEEL, B., W. HAYWARD, H. ROBINSON, J. FANG and S. ASTRIN, 1981 Avian leukosis virus-induced tumors have common proviral integration sites and synthesize discreet new RNAs: oncogenesis by promoter insertion. Cell **23**: 323–334.
- O'HARE, K. and G. M. RUBIN, 1983 Structures of P transposable elements and their sites of insertion and excision in the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. Cell **34:** 25-35.
- PELHAM, H. R. B., 1982 A regulatory upstream promoter element in the Drosophila *Hsp* 70 heat shock gene. Cell **30:** 517–528.
- RIDDIHOUGH, G. and H. R. B. PELHAM, 1986 Activation of the Drosophila *Hsp* 27 promoter by heat shock and by ecdysone involves independent and remote regulatory sequences. EMBO J 5: 1653–1658.
- RUBIN, G. M. and A. C. SPRADLING, 1983 Vectors for P element mediated gene transfer in Drosophila. Nucleic Acids Res. 11: 6341-6351.
- SANGER, R., S. NICKLEN and A. R. COULSON, 1977 DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74: 5463–5467.
- SCOTT, M. P., A. J. WEINER, T. I. HAZELRIGG, B. A. POLISKY, V. PIRROTTA, F. SCALENGE and T. C. KAUFMAN, 1983 The molecular organization of the *Antennapedia* locus in Drosophila. Cell 35: 763-776.
- SNYDER, M., D. KIMBRELL, M. HUNKAPILLER, R. HILL, J. FRISTROM and N. DAVIDSON, 1982 A transposable element which splits the promoter region inactivates a Drosophila cuticle protein gene. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79: 7430–7434.
- STEINER, E. K., J. C. EISSENBERG and S. C. R. ELGIN, 1984 A cytological approach to the ordering of events in gene activation using the Sgs-4 locus of Drosophila melanogaster. J. Cell Biol. 99: 233-238.
- TSUBOTA, S., M. ASHBURNER and P. SCHEDL, 1985 P-elementinduced control mutations at the r gene of Drosophila melanogaster. Mol. Cell Biol. 5: 2567–2574.
- VITEK, M. P. and E. M. BERGER, 1984 Steroid and high-temperature induction of the small heat-shock protein genes in Drosophila. J. Mol. Biol. 178: 173–189.
- WAHL, G. M., M. STERN and G. R. STARK, 1979 Efficient transfer of large DNA fragments from agarose gels to diazobenzyloxymethyl-paper and rapid hybridization by using dextran sulfate. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 76: 3683–3687.
- WILLIAMSON, V. M., E. T. YOUNG and M. CIRIACY, 1981 Transposable elements associated with constitutive expression of yeast alcohol dehydrogenase II. Cell 23: 605–614.
- WU, C., 1980 The 5' ends of Drosophila heat shock genes in chromatin are hypersensitive to DNase I. Nature 286: 854– 860.
- ZACHAR, Z., D. DAVISON, D. GARZA and P. M. BINGHAM, 1985 A detailed developmental and structural study of the transcriptional effects of insertion of the *copia* transposon into the white locus of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics **111**: 495–515.
- ZIMMERMAN, J. L., W. PETRI and M. MESELSON, 1983 Accumulation of a specific subset of *D. melanogaster* heat shock mRNAs in normal development without heat shock. Cell **32**: 1161–1170.

Communicating editor: V. G. FINNERTY