# *MEZ4,* **a Yeast Gene Required for Meiotic Recombination**

## **Thomas M. Menees and G. Shirleen Roeder**

*Department of Biology, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 0651 1-81 12*  **Manuscript received May 3 1, 1989 Accepted for publication August 24, 1989** 

## **ABSTRACT**

**Mutants at the** *ME14* **locus were detected in a search for mutants defective in meiotic gene conversion.** *mei4* **mutants exhibit decreased sporulation and produce inviable spores. The spore inviability phenotype is rescued by a** *sf013* **mutation, which causes cells to bypass the meiosis I division. The** *ME14* **gene has been cloned from a yeast genomic library by complementation of the recombination defect and has been mapped to chromosome** *V* **near** *gln3.* **Strains carrying a deletion/insertion mutation of the** *ME14* **gene display no meiotically induced gene conversion but normal mitotic conversion frequencies. Both meiotic interchromosomal and intrachromosomal crossing over are completely abolished in** *mei4* **strains. The** *mei4* **mutation is able to rescue the spore-inviability phenotype of** *\$1013 rad52* **strains** *(ie., mei4 spol3 rad52* **mutants produce viable spores), indicating that** *ME14* **acts before** *RAD52* **in the meiotic recombination pathway.** 

MEIOSIS is a form of eukaryotic cell division in<br>
which a diploid cell divides to yield four haploid progeny. Cells entering meiosis undergo DNA synthesis followed by an extensive prophase, during which homologous chromosomes pair and recombine. Pairing is mediated by a structure called the synaptonemal complex, which assembles and then disassembles in prophase I (for a review, see VON WETTSTEIN, RASMUSSEN and HOLM 1984). Recombination in meiosis occurs at a frequency 100- to 1000-times higher than in mitosis and is thought to take place during prophase when chromosomes are paired. The meiosis I (reductional) division ensues, in which homologous chromosomes segregate from each other. This is followed by the meiosis I1 (equational) division, in which sister chromatids separate and segregate from each other to complete meiosis.

In organisms that undergo meiotic chromosome pairing and recombination, these processes are required for the proper segregation of chromosomes at the first division (BAKER *et al.* 1976). *Drosophila melanogaster c(3)C* mutants (GOWEN 1933) lack synaptonemal complexes and produce inviable gametes due to nondisjunction at meiosis I (HALL 1972). Drosophila mutants *mei-41* and *mei-218* alter the distribution and frequency of recombination events in meiosis and undergo nondisjunction at meiosis **I** (BAKER *et al.*  1976). Plant mutants that fail to pair (asynaptic) **or**  recombine homologs, or terminate pairing prematurely (desynaptic), have severe defects in the meiosis I division and concomitant reductions in fertility (BAKER *et al.* 1976).

A number of mutants defective in meiotic recom-

bination have been identified in the yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* These mutants have come from many sources including screens for mutations affecting spore viability *(merl)* (ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER 1989), meiotic recombination *(hopl)* (HOLLING-SWORTH and BYERS 1989), sporulation *(9011)* **(Es-**POSITO and ESPOSITO 1969), radiation sensitivity *(rad50, rad52, rad57)* (GAME 1983), gene conversion (con, rec) (RODARTE-RAMON and MORTIMER 1972; ROTH and FOGEL 1971), and various mitotic cell functions (JOHNSON and NASMYTH 1978; KASSIR and SIMCHEN 1978; LIRAS *et al.* 1978; SIMCHEN 1974). In cases where it has been examined (merl, hop1, spo11, *rad50, rad52, rad57),* these mutants produce inviable spores, consistent with the hypothesis that recombination is required for proper chromosome disjunction at meiosis I.

These yeast mutants can be classified into groups according to the stages in the meiotic recombination pathway at which they are believed to act. **For** simplicity, meiotic recombination can be considered to occur in three stages: the pairing of homologous chromosomes, the initiation of recombination and the resolution of recombination intermediates. Whether pairing precedes the initiation of exchange **or** these events are mutually dependent is unknown. The determination of the stage in which a mutant is defective is based on the severity of the phenotype, the types of recombination affected and the interactions of the mutant with other meiotic mutants.

The *spol3* mutation (KLAPHOLZ and ESPOSITO 1980) is particularly useful in classifying recombination mutants. In meiosis, *spol3* diploids undergo pairing and recombination followed by a single meiosis I1 (equational) division to form two diploid spores. Many recombination-defective mutants produce viable, al-

**of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked** *"advertisement"*  **The publication costs of this article were partly defrayed by the payment in accordance with 18 U.S.C. 81734 solely to indicate this fact.** 

beit nonrecombinant, spores in a *spol3* background (see below). Apparently, mutants blocked early in the recombination pathway can produce viable spores when the meiosis **I** division is bypassed because recombination is not required for meiosis I1 chromosome segregation. Mutants blocked later in the recombination pathway produce inviable spores in a *spol3* background, perhaps because recombination has introduced breaks that cannot be repaired in the absence of the defective gene product. Alternatively, recombination may have established connections that cannot be resolved; subsequent attempts at chromosome segregation either destroy the integrity of the chromosomes or result in massive nondisjunction, producing inviable spores.

The *spoll* (KLAPHOLZ, WADDELL and ESPOSITO 1985) and *rad50* (GAME *et al.* 1980; WAGSTAFF, GOTT-LIEB and ESPOSITO 1986) mutants are completely defective in both meiotic inter- and intrachromosomal recombination. The double mutants, *spoll spol3* and *rad50 \$1013,* produce viable, nonrecombinant spores (MALONE 1983). Neither *spoll* nor *rad50* null mutants form synaptonemal complexes (DRESSER, GI-**ROUX** and MOSES 1986; FARNET *et al.* 1988). Thus, *spoll* and *rad50* are presumed to be defective in the initiation of all forms of meiotic exchange.

Mutants at the *HOP1* locus (HOLLINGSWORTH and BYERS 1989) undergo meiotic interchromosomal recombination at 10% of the wild-type level. Strains containing both *hopl* and *spol3* produce viable spores, suggesting that *hopl* mutants are defective early in the recombination pathway. These mutants do, however, undergo wild-type levels of meiotic intrachromosomal recombination between repeated sequences on the same chromosome. Meiotic recombination between, but not within, chromosomes is thought to be dependent on homologous pairing (HOLLINGSWORTH and BYERS 1989). Thus, *hopl* has been classified as a pairing-defective mutant (HOLLINGSWORTH and BYERS 1989).

Mutants at the *MERl* locus (ENGEBRECHT and ROE-DER 1989) undergo meiotic inter- and intrachromosoma1 recombination at 10% **of** wild-type levels. The *merl spol3* double mutant produces viable spores. The *MERl* gene product may be required for initiation of the major pathway of meiotic recombination. The residual recombination in *merl* mutants presumably represents a minor pathway, which is not sufficient to ensure proper chromosome segregation at meiosis I.

Mutations in *RAD52* and *RAD57* have severe effects on meiotic interchromosomal recombination (GAME *et al.* 1980). The spore-inviability phenotype of these mutants is not overcome by a *spol3* mutation (RESNICK 1987). Presumably, recombination initiates in *rad52*  and *rad57* mutants, leaving the chromosomes either broken or inseparably joined. Introduction of a mutation that blocks the initiation of recombination *(e.g., spoll* or *rad50)* into *rad52 \$1013* or *rad57 spol3*  strains restores spore viability. Using a physical assay, *rad52* and *rad57* mutants were shown to form recombined molecules in meiosis (BORTS, LICHTEN and HA-BER 1986), which is consistent with the hypothesis that these mutants are competent in the initiation of exchange.

A search for additional yeast genes involved in meiotic recombination was initiated by screening for recombination-defective mutants. By expanding the catalog of genes required for meiotic recombination and examining the relationships between them, it is hoped that a better understanding of meiotic exchange will emerge. This study focuses on the isolation and characterization of a new gene, called *MEI4*, which is required for meiotic recombination in yeast.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Strains:** Yeast strains used for this study are shown in Table 1; karC2-4 was obtained from NANCY HOLLING-SWORTH (HOLLINGSWORTH and BYERS 1989), PLM39 from PATTY MINEHART and BORIS MAGASANIK and NKY611 from NANCY KLECKNER. *Escherichia coli* strains DH1 and YCMlO *recA* were used, as well as strains for the shuttle mutagenesis system of SEIFERT et al. (1986).

**Genetic manipulations:** Yeast media and genetic methods are described by SHERMAN, FINK and HICKS (1986). SC is synthetic complete medium, which can also be prepared lacking specific amino acids **(e.g.,** SC-histidine). Cycloheximide was added at a concentration of  $2 \mu g/ml$ . Rich medium is YPD. Yeast transformations were carried out using the lithium acetate procedure (ITO *et al.* 1983).

Transposon mutagenesis was carried out in strains and plasmids specified for that purpose (SEIFERT *et al.* 1986). Individual transposon insertions were introduced into the yeast strain, Y20, by transformation with linear fragments (ROTHSTEIN 1983). Substitutions of transposon-containing fragments for yeast chromosomal sequences were selected by virtue of the *URA3* gene carried on the transposon. Transformants were patched and their recombination phenotypes assessed by the number of His<sup>+</sup> and Leu<sup>+</sup> papillae appearing following sporulation.

Deletion alleles of *ME14* were also introduced into yeast by transformation with linear fragments (ROTHSTEIN 1983). Southern blot analysis (SOUTHERN 1975) of DNA isolated from yeast transformants confirmed the presence of the deletion alleles on the chromosome.

**Mutant screen:** Strain Y 17 (see Figure 1) was used in the screen for yeast mutants defective in meiotic recombination. This strain was plated on rich medium (200 colonies per plate) and mutagenized with UV light to *50%* killing. Plates were incubated in the dark at **30"** for 4 days. Survivors were patched to rich medium, grown at **30"** and then replica-plated to sporulation medium. Following sporulation at *30°,* patches were replica-plated to SC-histidine and SCleucine to select His+ and Leu+ recombinants. Patches that contained fewer recombinants than wild type (as indicated by the number of His<sup>+</sup> and Leu<sup>+</sup> papillae) were examined for sporulation-competence. Dyads were dissected in order to assess spore viability.

**MMS sensitivity:** The sensitivity of the *mei4-1* mutant to the radiomimetic drug, methylmethane sulfonate (MMS; Kodak, Rochester, NY), was assessed in a plate assay. The mutant and a wild-type control were patched onto rich

#### **TABLE 1**

Yeast strains

Strain	Genotype
Y17	MATa CRY1' leu2-112 his4-260,39 leu2-3 his4-280 ura3 trp1-H3 spo13::TRP1 $MAT\alpha$ cryl'
Y21	$\frac{MATa \, CNY1^s \, leu2-112 \, his4-260,39}{MAT\alpha \, crv1'}$ leu2-3 his4-280 ura3 mei4-1 trpl-H3 spo13::TRP1 $MATa$ crv $I'$
TM18-52B	MATa leu2 his4 cyh10 lys2-1 ura3 ade2-1 trp1-H3
Y63	MATa CRY1 <sup>s</sup> leu2 his4-260,39 trp1 spo13::TRP1 ADE2 lys2-1 cyh10' ura3 mei4-1 leu2 his4-280 trp1 SPO13 ade2 LYS2 cyh10' ura3 mei4-1 $MAT\alpha$ cryl'
Y20	MATa CRY1' leu2-112 his4-260,39 leu2-3 his4-280 ura3 trpl-H3 spo13::TRP1 ade2-1 lys2-1 cyh10 MATa cryl'
Y33	$\frac{MATa \, CNY^1 \, leu2-112 \, his4-260,39}{MAT\alpha \, cryI'} \quad leu2-3 \, his4-280$ ura3 mei4::ADE2 trpl-H3 spo13::TRP1 ade2-1 lys2-1 cyh10 $MAT\alpha$ cryl'
Y34	MATa CRY1' leu2-112 his4-260.39 $\frac{1}{1000}$ = $\frac{1}{200}$ = $\frac{1}{200}$ = $\frac{1}{200}$ ura3 mei4::URA3 trpl-H3 spo13::TRP1 ade2-1 lys2-1 cyh10 MATa cryl'
<b>NKY611</b>	MATa leu2::hisG ho::LYS2 lys2 ura3 MATa leu2::hisG ho::LYS2 lys2 ura3
Y64	MATa leu2::hisG ho::LYS2 lys2 ura3 mei4::URA3 MATa leu2::hisG ho::LYS2 lys2 ura3 MEI4
Y50	MATa leu2::hisG ho::LYS2 lys2 ura3 mei4::URA3 MATa leu2::hisG ho::LYS2 lys2 ura3 mei4::URA3
Y58	MATa leu2 his4 lys2-1 cyh10' spo13::TRP1 ARG4 THR1 ade2-1 trpl-H3 ura3 MATa leu2 HIS4 LYS2 CYH10 spol3::TRP1 arg4-8 thr1-4 ade2-1 trpl-H3 ura3
Y59	MATa leu2 his4 lys2-1 cyh10' spo13::TRP1 ARG4 THR1 ade2-1 trpl-H3 ura3 mei4::URA3 MATa leu2 HIS4 LYS2 CYH10 spo13::TRP1 arg4-8 thr1-4 ade2-1 trpl-H3 ura3 mei4::URA3
Y60	MATa leu2 his4 lys2-1 cyh10' spo13::TRP1 ARG4 THR1 ade2-1 trpl-H3 ura3 rad52::ADE2 MATa leu2 HIS4 LYS2 CYH10 spo13::TRP1 arg4-8 thr1-4 ade2-1 trpl-H3 ura3 rad52::ADE2
Y61	MATa leu2 his4 lys2-1 cyh10' spo13::TRP1 ARG4 THR1 ade2-1 trp1-H3 ura3 mei4::URA3 rad52::ADE2 MATa leu2 HIS4 LYS2 CYH10 spo13::TRP1 arg4-8 thr1-4 ade2-1 trp1-H3 ura3 mei4::URA3 rad52::ADE2
$karC2-4$	MATa CDC10 leu2 his4 MATa cdc10-2 LEU2::pNH18-1 HIS4 ura3 can1 trp1 cyh2' ade2-1 spo13-1 sap3
Y56	MATa CDC10 leu2 his4 MATa cdc10-2 LEU2::pNH18-1 HIS4 mei4::ADE2 ura3 can1 trp1 cyh2' ade2-1 spo13-1 sap3
<b>PLM39</b>	MATa leu2-3,112 ade2-101 ura3-52 gln3::LEU2

Y2 I is a mutant derivative of Y 17. Y33 and Y34 **are** transformants of Y20. Y59, Y60 and Y6 1 are transformants of Y58. Y56 **is** a transformant of karC2-4 and Y64 **is** a transformant of NKY611. Y50 **was** constructed **by** mating two meiotic Ura+ segregants of Y64. pNHl8-I refers to the plasmid containing *VRA3* and *CYH2* which was integrated into chromosome *III* to create an 11.4-kbp duplication of sequences that lie between *HIS4* and *LEV2* **(HOLLINGSWORTH** and BYERS 1989).

medium and then replica-plated to rich medium containing 0.02% MMS. Following an overnight incubation at **30",**  patches were replica-plated to rich medium and scored for **MMS** sensitivity after 24 hr.

**Complementation testing:** The ability of *mei4-1* to be complemented by *MERl, SPOl I,* and *RAD50* was tested by transforming a mei4-1 mutant strain (Y21) with plasmids containing wild-type copies of these genes (see section below on plasmid constructions). **To** test *mei4-1* for its ability to complement *hopl,* a *hop1* haploid was mated to a matingcompetent derivative of Y2 1. Y2 1 is heterozygous at *CRYl,*  with a recessive **cryptopleurine-resistant** allele linked to the *MATa* locus. Selection for resistance to cryptopleurine (Chemasea, Sydney, Australia) detects primarily crossover events that render homozygous the right arm of the chromosome *ZII* homolog containing *cryl* and *MATa* (see Figure 1).

**Determination of recombination frequencies:** Mitotic

intragenic recombination frequencies were determined by growing three independent cultures to saturation in rich medium and plating on SC-histidine, SC-leucine (to select for recombinants) and SC (to determine the viable cell titer). The median frequency was used as the measure of the mitotic recombination frequency. Cells were washed, transferred to sporulation medium, and incubated for four days at **30"** with shaking. Meiotic recombination frequencies were determined by plating sporulated cultures on SChistidine, SC-leucine and SC. The average of the meiotic frequencies for the three cultures was used as the measure **of** the meiotic recombination frequency. Cultures containing mitotic jackpots were not considered for either frequency determination. Meiotic frequencies were also determined by plating isolated spores **(LAMBIE** and **ROEDER**  1988). Intrachromosomal recombination frequencies were determined in a similar fashion except that cells were plated

onto **SC-histidine-leucine+cycloheximide** to identify recombinants (HOLLINGSWORTH and BYERS 1989).

**Plasmid constructions:** All plasmid constructions were carried out using standard methods (MANIATIS, FRITSCH and SAMBROOK 1982). The yeast genomic library was provided by FORREST SPENCER and PHIL HIETER and comprised Sau3A partial digest fragments, 9-12 kbp in size, inserted into a CEN vector derived from YCp50 (PARENT, FENIMORE and BOSTIAN 1985), in which the URA3 gene has been replaced with LEU2. The ME14 clone originally isolated from the yeast genomic library was designated pTM 1 1.

The following MEI4 plasmids were constructed. pTM12 was made by cloning the 3.8-kbp MEI4-complementing SalI fragment from pTMl1 (see Figure 2) into the *SalI* site of YCp50 (PARENT, FENIMORE and BOSTIAN 1985). A ME14 plasmid used in shuttle mutagenesis (SEIFERT et *al.* 1986), was constructed in two steps. First, the 3.8-kbp *Sal1* fragment of pTMl1 was cloned into the *SalI* site of the polylinker on pATH3 (obtained from ALEX TZAGALOFF). Second, a 3.8-kbp EcoRI-BglII fragment from this construct, containing the original 3.8-kbp *SalI* fragment carrying ME14, was inserted into EcoRI-BglII digested pHSS6 (SEI-FERT et al. 1986) to form pTM13. A series of transposon insertions was generated in pTM13. Insertions that gave a Mei- phenotype were given *ME14* allele names according to the numbers assigned to the insertions (e.g., transposon insertion #17 gave rise to  $mei4::T17$ ). Plasmids containing mei4 deletion/disruption alleles were derived from  $pTM14$ , which carries mei4::T17 on pHSS6. The mei4::URA3 deletion/disruption was made by cloning the 1.9-kbp BglII-Sal1 fragment of YRplO (PARENT, FENIMORE and BOSTIAN 1985), containing the URA3 gene, into BamHI-XhoI digested  $pTM14$ , to generate  $pTM6$ . The BamHI site in  $pTM14$  lies at one end of the transposon sequences; thus, this construction resulted in replacement by  $\dot{U}RA3$  of approximately 750 bp of ME14 and all the transposon sequences, except one of the 38 bp repeats (see Figure 2). The mei4::ADEZ deletion/ disruption allele was constructed in two steps. First, pTM 14 **was** digested with *StuI* (which cuts in the transposon) and SnaBI and religated. This results in removal of approximately one third of the transposon sequences and 500 bp of ME14. Second, the resulting plasmid was cut at the BamHI site in the remaining transposon sequences and a 3.6 kbp BamHI fragment of Yp3.6 ADE (obtained from JEFF LE-MONTT), containing the ADE2 gene, was ligated into this site to generate pTM7 (see Figure 2).

 $pTMI$ , a plasmid carrying a TRP1 disruption of SPO13, was constructed by cloning the 1.5-kbp NaeI fragment of YRp7 (PARENT, FENIMORE and BOSTIAN 1985), containing the TRPl gene, into the *StuI* site of p(spo13)16 (WANG *et al.* 1987). pTM4, a CEN plasmid carrying the RAD50 gene, was constructed by cloning the 4.3-kbp Sall-HindIII fragment from pMK50-1 (KUPIEC and SIMCHEN 1984) into Sall-HindIII digested YCp50. pTM5, a plasmid carrying an  $ADE2$  disruption of  $\overline{R}AD52$ , was constructed in two steps. First, the LEU2 gene was removed from pSM20 (obtained from DAVID SCHILD), aplasmid carrying a LEU2 disruption of RAD52, by digestion with BglII and religation. The resulting plasmid was redigested with BglII and the 3.6-kbp BamHI fragment, containingADE2, was inserted to generate pTM5. YCp50 plasmids containing wild-type copies of  $MER1$  and  $SPO11$  were obtained from JOANNE ENGEBRECHT and CRAIG GIROUX, respectively.

## RESULTS

**Isolation and characterization of the** *mei4-1* **mutant: A** search for recombination-defective mutants was carried out using a strain in which the frequency



### *trpl spol3::TRPI ura3*

FIGURE 1.—Starting strain for mutant screen. Y17 is disomic for chromosome *111* but otherwise haploid. See text **for** an explanation **of** the genetic markers.

of meiotic gene conversion could easily be assessed. Y 17, the starting strain for the mutagenesis, is disomic for chromosome *III* and heterozygous for mating type, and carries the  $$p013$  mutation (Figure 1). This strain undergoes meiosis in a manner characteristic of spol3 strains; pairing and recombination are followed by a single equational division, resulting in the formation of two disomic spores. Recessive mutations can be recovered on all chromosomes except III. The chromosome *III* homologs carry heteroalleles at HIS4 and LEU2 so that recombination to yield His<sup>+</sup> and Leu<sup>+</sup> prototrophs can be assayed. Finally, the strain is heterozygous for the MAT-linked cryptopleurine-resistance mutation  $(cryI')$ , allowing mating-competent derivatives to be selected (see MATERIALS AND METH-**ODS).** This scheme is essentially similar to that described by ROTH and FOGEL (1971), except that the starting strain carries the spo13 mutation.

**Y** 17 was mutagenized with **UV** light to *50%* killing; 1440 survivors were patched to rich medium, replicaplated to sporulation medium and then to SC-histidine and SC-leucine to assess meiotic recombination. Meiotic recombination in a patch of the wild type, Y17, resulted in confluent growth of His<sup>+</sup> and Leu<sup>+</sup> recombinants. One mutant (Y21) was identified that demonstrated no meiotic induction of heteroallelic recombination (see below) and produced viable spores in the spol3 starting strain.

**A cryptopleurine-resistant** derivative of Y2 **1** that behaved as an  $\alpha$ -mater (see MATERIALS AND METHODS) was crossed to a wild-type strain, TM 18-52B. Pairwise crosses of segregants of this cross indicated that the mutation affecting meiotic recombination was recessive and segregated  $2^{\text{+}}:2^{\text{-}}$ . The mutation was designated mei4-1 (for meiosis-specific).

Yeast mutants affected in the repair of x-ray induced **DNA** damage (rad50 series) are also sensitive to the radiomimetic drug, methylmethane sulfonate (MMS). Many of these radiation-sensitive mutants also are defective in meiotic recombination. Strains bearing the mei4-1 mutation demonstrate wild-type sensitivity to MMS and lack any other obvious mitotic defects. Thus, mei4-1 does not belong to the  $rad50$ series of mutants.

The mei4-1 mutant was tested for allelism with other mutants that are deficient in meiotic recombination and spol3-rescued. The mei4-1 mutation is not an allele of SPOll, RAD50, MERl, or HOPl.



FIGURE 2.--Restriction map of the MEI4 region. Filled triangles **indicate transposon insertions that confer a Mei' phenotype; open**  triangles indicate transposon insertions that confer a Mei<sup>-</sup> pheno**type. The position of transposon insertion #I7 is indicated. The minimum size of ME14 is indicated by the thick line on the restriction map. The two deletion/disruption alleles** of **ME14 (mei4::ADEZ and** *mei#::UR43)* **are shown above and below the restriction map**  with the deletion endpoints noted. S, Sall; Hp, HpaI; H, HindIII; **Sp, SspI; Sn, SnaBI; X,** *XhoI;* **RV, EcoRV.** 

**Cloning of** *MEZ4* The *ME14* gene was cloned from a yeast genomic library by complementation of the meiotic recombination defect. **A** *mei4-Z* diploid strain (Y63) carrying *his4* heteroalleles and *leu2* was transformed with a yeast genomic library carried on a *CEN*  plasmid marked with the *LEU2* gene. Transformants were patched to SC-leucine and then replica-plated to sporulation medium. Following sporulation, transformants were replica-plated to SC-histidine to select recombinants. One transformant, out of approximately 400 screened, underwent wild-type levels of meiotic recombination as determined by the number of His<sup>+</sup> prototrophs. Spontaneous mitotic Leu<sup>-</sup> segregants were unable to recombine at wild-type levels, indicating that the complementing activity was plasmid-borne. Total yeast **DNA** from the transformant was used to transform *E. coli* and a plasmid with a 9.2 kbp insert of yeast **DNA** was recovered. When this plasmid was reintroduced into a *mei4-1* strain (Y21), the resulting transformants displayed wild-type levels of recombination. Subcloning delimited the complementing region to a 3.8-kbp SalI fragment, a restriction map of which is shown in Figure 2.

Further localization of the *ME14* complementing activity was accomplished by transposon mutagenesis of the *ME14* region, employing mini-Tn3 (SEIFERT *et al.* 1986). Transposon insertions into the 3.8-kbp SalI fragment were introduced into yeast and the phenotypes of the transformants assessed as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. The results delimit the gene to a 2-kbp region (Figure 2).

To analyze the phenotype of *mei4* null mutants, deletion alleles were constructed (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). For the *mei4::URA3* allele, approximately **750** bp **of** *ME14* was deleted and replaced with *URA3.*  For the mei4::ADE2 allele, approximately 500 bp of *MEI4* was deleted and replaced with *ADE2*. The two deletion alleles studied are diagrammed in Figure 2. Strains carrying these deletion alleles failed to com-

**TABLE 2** 



**Tetrads were dissected for strains Y64 (45 tetrads) and Y50 (42 tetrads). Dyads were dissected for strains Y58 (177 dyads) and Y59**  ( **175 dyads).** 

plement the *mei4-1* mutant, indicating that the gene cloned from the yeast library **is** the wild-type *ME14*  gene.

*mei4* is a meiotic-lethal mutation which is rescued **by** *spol3:* The *mei4::URA3* mutation was introduced into a wild-type diploid **(NKY611)** by substitutive transformation (ROTHSTEIN 1983). Tetrad dissection of a sporulated transformant resulted in two Ura<sup>+</sup> and two Ura- spores indicating that the *ME14* gene is not essential for mitotic growth. Matings of segregants from this tetrad produced a homozygous *mei4::URA3*  diploid. This mutant diploid (Y50) and the original heterozygous transformant (Y64) were sporulated and tetrads dissected to assess spore viability. The homozygous *mei4* diploid produced inviable spores, indicating that *mei4* is a meiotic-lethal mutation (Table **2).** 

Isogenic spo13 diploids, homozygous for MEI4 or *mei4::URA3,* were constructed and sporulated and dyads were dissected to assess spore viability. The *mei4 spol3* diploid produced viable spores, indicating that the *mei4* mutation is *spol3*-rescued (Table 2).

*mei4* **is defective in meiotic interchromosomal recombination:** Meiotic recombination between two different mutant alleles (heteroalleles) of a gene to generate a wild-type gene generally occurs by gene conversion (HURST, FOGEL and MORTIMER 1972; FINK and STYLES 1974). Interchromosomal gene conversion was measured in isogenic *spol3* strains carrying either *MEZ4, mei4::URA3* **or** *mei4::ADE2,* as well as heteroalleles at *HIS4* and *LEU2,* as described in MA-TERIALS AND METHODS. **As** shown in Table 3A, strains carrying either *ME14* disruption allele fail to undergo meiotic induction of interchromosomal gene conversion. Mitotic recombination frequencies are unaffected by *mei4* mutations.

Isogenic *ME14* and *mei4::URA3* diploid strains were constructed and used to measure reciprocal crossing over. These diploids are heterozygous for pairs **of**  markers that define intervals on three different chromosomes. The three intervals in which recombination



ABL	
-----	--

Recombination in *meil* mutants



' One-hundred sixty-three two-spore-viable dyads were scored for strain Y58 and 263 for strain Y59. Dyads classified as recombinants for the *ARG4-THRI* interval displayed either 2<sup>+</sup>:0<sup>-</sup> segregation for *ARG4* and 1<sup>+</sup>:1<sup>-</sup> segregation for *THR1* or 2<sup>+</sup>:0<sup>-</sup> segregation for *THR1* and 1<sup>+</sup>:1<sup>-</sup> segregation for *ARG4.* Dyads classified **as** recombinants for the *HIS4-MAT* interval displayed either I+: 1- segregation for *HIS4* and **2** nonmater:O mater segregation for *MAT or 1a*: la segregation for *MAT* and 2<sup>+</sup>:0<sup>-</sup> segregation for *HIS4*. Four-strand double crossovers in the *HIS4-MAT* interval displayed  $\alpha$ His<sup>+</sup>:aHis<sup>-</sup> segregation. Dyads classified as recombinants for the *CYH10-LYS2* interval fell into two classes: (1) those in which either *LYS2* segregated 1<sup>+</sup>:1<sup>-</sup> and both spore clones were Cyh<sup>\*</sup> but generated Cyh<sup>r</sup> mitotic recombinants and (2) those in which *LYS2* segregated 2<sup>+</sup>:0<sup>-</sup> with one segregant being Cyh<sup>r</sup> and the other being Cy calculated using a derivation of PERKIN's (1949) formula as follows: map distance = [single crossovers + 6(4-strand double crossovers)/total]  $\times$ **100.** Four-strand double crossovers in the *ARG4-THRI* and *CYHIO-LYS2* intervals could only be detected when followed by a reductional division; thus, the map distances for these intervals are underestimates. **See KLAPHOLZ, WADDELL** and **ESPOSITO** (1985), **ROCKMILL** and **ROEDER** 

 $b$  Dyads showing 1 nonmater:1 mater segregation were scored as aberrant segregations for chromosome III.

The fold meiotic induction *of* His+ **Leu'** Cyh' recombinants over mitotic levels was normalized for the amount *of* equational division **by**  multiplying the uncorrected fold meiotic induction by (loo%/% equational segregation). The amount **of** equational segregation for chromosome *Ill* was determined by the segregation of the centromere-linked *cdcl0-2* mutation, which is heterozygous in karC2-4 and its derivatives. The *cdcl0-2* allele confers a temperature-sensitive lethal phenotype.

was measured are *CYH10-LYS2* on chromosome *II*, *HZS4-MAT* on chromosome *ZII* and *ARG4-THRl* on chromosome VIII. The diploids were sporulated and dissected and dyads were scored for recombination. As shown in Table 3B, *mei4* abolishes meiotic intergenic crossing over in all three regions.

As has been shown for *spoll* **(KLAPHOLZ, WADDELL**  and **ESPOSITO** 1985), *mei4* improves the spore viability **of** *\$1013* strains (Table **3B).** Furthermore, the amount of aberrant segregation observed for chromosome *III* is greatly reduced by the *mei4* mutation (Table 3B). It has been suggested that recombination causes a decrease in the fidelity of chromosome segregation in a *spol3* meiosis because chromosomal associations (chiasmata) resulting from recombination are not effectively processed on the meiosis I1 spindle **(KLA-PHOLZ, WADDELL** and **ESPOSITO** 1985). Defects in the initiation of recombination eliminate these chromosomal associations.

*mei4* **is defective in meiotic intrachromosomal** 

**recombination:** Meiotic intrachromosomal recombination was measured in a mei4 spo13 background using the karC2-4 strain **(HOLLINGSWORTH** and **BYERS**  1989). This strain has a duplication of an 11.4-kbp segment of DNA between *LEU2* and *HIS4* on one of the chromosome *III* homologs. The intervening vector sequences contain *CYH2;* crossing over between the repeats results in excision of the plasmid and subsequent **loss** of the *CYH2* marker. Recombinants that have lost the *CYH2* marker can be selected by plating on medium containing cycloheximide because the strain carries a recessive mutation conferring drug resistance at the *CYH2* locus. Selection for **His+** Leu+ Cyh' derivatives allows the recovery of intrachromosoma1 recombinants (for details, see **HOLLINGSWORTH**  and **BYERS** 1989). A *meil* mutation abolishes meiotic recombination in this assay (Table 3C).

As discussed above, chromosomes usually undergo a single equational division during meiosis in most spo13 strains. In contrast, the chromosome *III* homologs of the disomic strain, karC2-4, most often undergo a single reductional division during meiosis. **As** has been shown for *hop1* (HOLLINGSWORTH and BYERS **1989)** and *mer1* (ENGEBRECHT and ROEDER **1989),** the presence of *mei4* in the karC2-4 background results in primarily equational segregation of both copies of chromosome *111* (see Table 3C).

*mei4* **is epistatic to** *rad52:* The epistatic relationship between *mei4* and *rad52* was examined by assessing the spore viability of multiply mutant strains. The *rad52 spol3* strain **(Y60)** produced no viable spores out of 34 examined. In contrast, the *mei4 rad52 spo13*  strain **(Y61)** produced 34 viable spores out of 44 examined **(77%),** which is comparable to the viability observed in *mei4 sf013* strains (see Table **2).** This result is consistent with *ME14* acting before *RAD52* in the meiotic recombination pathway.

*ME14* **maps to chromosome V:** Radiolabeled *ME14*  DNA was hybridized to a Southern blot of whole yeast chromosomes that had been separated on a CHEF gel (CHU, VOLLRATH and DAVIS **1986).** The *ME14* probe hybridized to chromosome V (data not shown). Tetrad analysis indicates that *ME14* maps **2.5** cM centromeredistal of *GLN3* **(77** PD: *0* NPD: 4 T) and **8.2** cM centromere-proximal of *HOM3* **(1 48** PD: *0* NPD: **29**  T).

## **DISCUSSION**

A new yeast gene that is required for meiotic recombination has been identified. Mutations in *ME14* abolish meiotic inter- and intrachromosomal recombination; mitotic recombination is unaffected. The *mei4*  mutant produces inviable spores, a phenotype expected for a mutant defective in meiotic recombination.

The rescue of *mei4* spore-inviability in *spo13* strains suggests that *ME14* acts early in the meiotic recombination pathway. Furthermore, the *mei4* mutation rescues the spore-inviability phenotype of *rad52 spol3*  strains, indicating that *ME14* acts before *RAD52.* It is likely that the lethal recombination intermediates generated in *rad52* strains do not form in the presence of the *mei4* mutation because recombination is blocked at an earlier, MEI4-dependent stage.

The meiotic phenotype of *mei4* is indistinguishable from that of *spoll* or *rad50.* It is conceivable that the *ME14, SPOll* and *RAD50* gene products act at the same step in recombination, possibly as part of *a*  complex. Alternatively, these gene products may act at different steps, which cannot be distinguished with current methods of analysis.

The possibilities for the function of *ME14* are numerous. For example, the *ME14* gene product may function in the search for homology between chromosomes early in prophase I. This search may involve interactions between proteins bound at specific sites or direct interactions between the DNA molecules

themselves. It has been proposed that such DNA-DNA interactions involve late-replicating DNA, such as the zygotene DNA observed in meiocytes of Lilium (STERN and HOTTA **1987).** Strand exchange has also been proposed as a mechanism for identifying homology (CARPENTER **1987).** Homologous sequences could be joined by strand exchange while the extent **of**  homology is assessed. Based on this assessment, the chromosomes may then engage in full synapsis or disengage. These exchange events could be responsible for ectopic recombination observed between homologous sequences on nonhomologous chromosomes (JINKS-ROBERTSON and PETES 1985, 1986; LICHTEN, BORTS and HABER **1987).** 

A second possibility is that the *ME14* gene product is a structural component of the synaptonemal complex, and therefore required for the synapsis of homologs. The fact that *mei4* does not have the same phenotype as *hop1* could reflect differences in the phenotypes of various classes of pairing mutants.

A third possibility is that the *ME14* gene product may play a role in the initiation of recombination events that depend on the effective pairing of homologs (VON WETTSTEIN, RASMUSSEN and HOLM 1984). *ME14* may encode an enzyme required for initiation of recombination, such as an endonuclease that introduces single-strand nicks or double-strand breaks. Alternatively, the *ME14* gene product may be an enzyme involved in strand-transfer. Such a model requires that the search for homology that precedes synapsis not involve recombination or that such recombination events are independent of the *ME14* gene product.

A final possibility is that the *ME14* gene product regulates the activity of one or more proteins involved in synapsis and exchange but does not play a direct role in either process. The *ME14* gene product could regulate the transcription of other meiotic genes or modify gene products post-transcriptionally *(e.g.,* by phosphorylating proteins or by increasing the stability of their mRNAs).

Sequence analysis of *ME14* is in progress with the hope that insight into the function of this gene will emerge. In addition, *mei4* mutants will be examined for the presence of synaptonemal complexes. Antibodies against the *ME14* gene product may be useful in determining the location of the *ME14* protein within meiotic cells. Elucidation **of** the function of *ME14* may lead to a better understanding of meiotic recombination in yeast and of the relationship between recombination, pairing and disjunction.

**This work was supported by National Institutes** of **Health grant GM 28904. T.M. is supported by Public Health Service training grant** *5* **T32 GM07499 from the National Institutes** of **Health. We wish to thank JAVA BARGAVA, JOANNE ENGEBRECHT, BRAD OZEN-BERGER, BETH ROCKMILL, MIKE SNYDER and SUE STEWART** for **critical reading of the manuscript.** 

## LITERATURE CITED

- BAKER, B. **S.,** A. T. C. CARPENTER, M. **S.** ESPOSITO, R. E. ESPOSITO and L. SANDLER, **1976** The genetic control of meiosis. Annu. Rev. Genet. **10 53-134.**
- BORTS, R. H., M. LICHTEN and J. E. HABER, **1986** Analysis **of**  meiosis-defective mutations in yeast by physical monitoring of recombination. Genetics **113: 551-567.**
- CARPENTER, A. T. C., **1987** Gene conversion, recombination nodules, and the initiation of meiotic synapsis. BioEssays 6: 232-**236.**
- CHU, **G.,** D. VOLLRATH and R. W. DAVIS, **1986** Separation of large DNA molecules by contour-clamped homogeneous electric fields. Science **234 1582-1 585.**
- DRESSER, M. E., C. N. GIROUX and M. J. MOSES, **1986** Cytological analysis of meiosis using synaptonemal complexes in spread preparations of yeast nuclei. Yeast **2: S96.**
- ENGEBRECHT, J.,and G. **S.** ROEDER, **1989** Yeast *mer1* mutants display reduced levels of meiotic recombination. Genetics **121: 237-247.**
- ESPOSITO, M. **S.,** and R. E. ESPOSITO, **1969** The genetic control of sporulation in Saccharomyces. **I.** The isolation of temperature-sensitive sporulation-deficient mutants. Genetics **61: 79- 89.**
- FARNET, C., R. PADMORE, L. CAO, E. ALANI and N. KLECKNER, **1988** The *RAD50* gene of *S. cereuisiae,* pp. **201-215** in *Mechanisms and Consequences of DNA Damage Processing,* edited by E. FRIEDBERG and P. HANAWALT. Alan R. Liss, New York.
- FINK, G. R., and C. A. STYLES, **1974** Gene conversion of deletions in the *HIS4* region of yeast. Genetics **77: 231-244.**
- GAME, J. C., **1983** Radiation-sensitive mutants and repair in yeast, pp. **109-137** in *Yeast Genetics,* edited by J. **F.** T. SPENCER, D. M. SPENCER and A. R. W. SMITH. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- GAME, J. C., T. J. ZAMB, R. J. BRAUN, M. RESNICK and R. M. ROTH, **1980** The role **of** radiation *(rad)* genes in meiotic recombination in yeast. Genetics **94: 51-68.**
- COWEN, J.W., **1933** Meiosis as a general character in *Drosophila melanogaster.* J. Exp. Zool. **65: 83-106.**
- HALL, J., **1972** Chromosome segregation influenced by two alleles of the meiotic mutant *c(3)G* in *Drosophila melanogaster.* Genetics **71: 367-400.**
- HOLLINGSWORTH, N. M., and B. BYERS, **1989** *HOPI:* a yeast meiotic pairing gene. Genetics **121: 445-462.**
- HURST, D., **S.** FOGEL and R. K. MORTIMER, **1972** Conversionassociated recombination in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **69: 101-105.**
- ITO, H., Y. FUKADA, K. MURATA and A. KIMURA, **1983**  Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J. Bacteriol. **153: 163-168.**
- JINKS-ROBERTSON, **S.,** and T. D. PETES, **1985** High-frequency meiotic gene conversion between repeated genes on nonhomologous chromosomes in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **82: 3350-3354.**
- JINKS-ROBERTSON, **S.,** and T. D. PETES, **1986** Chromosomal translocations generated by high-frequency meiotic recombination between repeated yeast genes. Genetics **114: 731-752.**
- JOHNSON, L. **H.,** and K. A. NASMYTH, **1978** *Saccharomyces cereuisiae* cell cycle mutant *cdc9* is defective in DNA ligase. Nature **274: 891-893.**
- KASSIR, Y., and **G.** SIMCHEN, **1978** Meiotic recombination and DNA synthesis in a new cell cycle mutant of *Saccharomyces cereuisiae.* Genetics **90 49-68.**
- KLAPHOLZ, **S.,** and R. E. ESPOSITO, **1980** Recombination and chromosome segregation during the single division meiosis in *spol2-1* and *spol3-1* diploids. Genetics **96 589-61 1.**
- KLAPHOLZ, **S.,** *C.* **S.** WADDELL and R. E. ESPOSITO, **1985** The role

of the *SPOl I* gene in meiotic recombination in yeast. Genetics **110:** 187-216.

- KUPIEC, M., and **G.** SIMCHEN, **1984** Cloning and mapping of the *RAD50* gene of *Saccharomyces cereuisiae.* Mol. Gen. Genet. **193: 525-53 1.**
- LAMBIE, E. J., and **G. S.** ROEDER, **1988** A yeast centromere acts in *cis* to inhibit meiotic gene conversion of adjacent sequences. Cell **52: 863-873.**
- LICHTEN, M., R. H. BORTS and J. E. HABER, **1987** Meiotic gene conversion between dispersed homologous sequences occurs frequently in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Genetics **115 233-246.**
- LIRAS, P., J. MCCUSKER, **S.** MASCIOLI and J. E. HABER, **1978**  Characterization of a mutation in yeast causing nonrandom chromosome **loss** during mitosis. Genetics *88* **651-67 1.**
- MALONE, R. **E., 1983** Multiple mutant analysis of recombination in yeast. Mol. Gen. Genet. **189: 405-412.**
- MANIATIS, T., E. F. FRITSCH and J. SAMBROOK, **1982** *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- PARENT, **S.** A., C. M. FENIMORE and K. A. BOSTIAN, **1985** Vector systems for the expression, analysis and cloning of DNA sequences in *S. cerevisiae.* Yeast **1: 83-138.**
- PERKINS, D. D. **1949** Biochemical mutants in the smut fungus *Ustilago maydis.* Genetics **34: 607-627.**
- RESNICK, M. A., **1987** Investigating the genetic control of biochemical events in meiotic recombination, pp. **157-210** in *Meiosis,* edited by P. B. MOENS. Academic Press, New York.
- ROCKMILL, B., and G. **S.** ROEDER, **1988** *REDI:* a yeast gene required **for** the segregation of chromosomes during the reductional division of meiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **85: 6057-606 1.**
- RODARTE-RAMON, U., and R. K. MORTIMER, 1972 Radiationinduced recombination in Saccharomyces: isolation and genetic study recombination-deficient mutants. Radiat. Res. **49 133- 147.**
- ROTH, R., and **S.** FOGEL, **197 1** A selective system for yeast mutants defective in meiotic recombination. Mol. Gen. Genet. **112: 295-305.**
- ROTHSTEIN, **R., 1983** One step gene disruption in yeast. Methods Enzymol. **101: 202-2 11.**
- SEIFERT, H. **S.,** E. Y. CHEN, M. **SO** and F. HEFFRON, **1986** Shuttle mutagenesis: a method of transposon mutagenesis for *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **83: 735-739.**
- SHERMAN, F., **G.** R. FINK and J. B. HICKS, **1986** *Methods in Yeast Genetics: Laboratory Manual.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- SIMCHEN, G., **1974** Are mitotic functions required in meiosis? Genetics **76 745-753.**
- SOUTHERN, E.M., **1975** Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J. Mol. Biol. **98: 503-517.**
- STERN, H., and Y. HOTTA, **1987** The biochemistry of meiosis, pp. **303-331** in *Meiosis,* edited by **P.** B. MOENS. Academic Press, New York.
- VON WETTSTEIN, D., S. W. RASMUSSEN and P. B. HOLM, 1984 The synaptonemal complex in genetic segregation. Annu. Rev. Genet. **18: 331-413.**
- WAGSTAFF, J. E., **S.** GOTTLIEB and R. E. ESPOSITO, **1986** The role of *RAD50* in meiotic intrachromosomal recombination, pp. **75- 83** in *Mechanisms of Yeast Recombination,* edited by A. KLAR and J. STRATHERN. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- WANG, H., **S.** FRACKMAN, J. KOWALISYN, R. E. ESPOSITO and R. ELDER, **1987** Developmental regulation of *SPOI3,* a gene required for separation of homologous chromosomes at meiosis **I.** Mol. Cell. Biol. **7: 1425-1435.**

Communicating editor: N. KLECKNER