# **Homology of Melanoma-Inducing Loci in the Genus** *Xiphophorus*

## **Manfred Schartl**

*GenzentrumlMax-Planck Institut fur Biochemie, 0-8033 Martinsried, Federal Republic* of *Germany*  Manuscript received June 12, 1990 Accepted for publication August 20, 1990

### ABSTRACT

Several species of the genus *Xiphophorus* are polymorphic for specific pigment patterns. Some of these give **rise** to malignant melanoma following the appropriate crossings. For one of these pattern loci from the platyfish *Xiphophorus maculatus* the melanoma-inducing gene has been cloned and found to encode a novel receptor tyrosine kinase, designated *Xmrk.* Using molecular probes from this gene in Southern blot analyses on single fish **DNA** preparations from 600 specimens of different populations of various species of the genus *Xiphophorus* and their hybrids, either with or without melanomapredisposing pattern, it was shown that all individuals contain the *Xmrk* gene as a proto-oncogene. It is located on the sex chromosome. All fish that carry a melanoma-predisposing locus which has been identified by Mendelian genetics contain an additional copy of *Xmrk,* closely linked to a specific melanophore pattern locus on the sex chromosome. The melanoma-inducing loci of the different species and populations are homologous. The additional copy of *Xmrk* obviously arose by a geneduplication event, thereby acquiring the oncogenic potential. The homology of the melanomainducing loci points to a similar mechanism of tumor suppression in all feral fish populations of the different species of the genus *Xiphophorus.* 

**T** N *Xiphophorus* two types of black pigment cells can  $\perp$  be distinguished: one, micromelanophores, small pigment cells, which contribute to the uniform grayish body coloration of all individuals of the genus and in some species form polymorphic dark spot patterns and two, macromelanophores, large, intensely black pigment cells that compose certain bold markings **(GORDON** 1927; **KALLMANN** 1975). Nine of the 18 species recognized to date **(ROSEN** 1979; **MEYER** and **SCHARTL** 1979; **LECHNER** and **RADDA** 1988; **SCHARTL**  and **SCHRODER** 1988) are polymorphic for such macromelanophore patterns **(M** pattern). These **M**  patterns have attracted a lot of attention because for several of them it has been found that they develop into tumorous lesions following the appropriate interpopulational or interspecific hybridizations **(GORDON**  1927; **KOSSWIG** 1928; **HAUSSLER** 1928; **ATZ** 1962; **ZANDER** 1969; **ANDERS, ANDERS** and **KLINKE** 1973; KALLMANN 1975). Detailed studies on the M-pattern genes of the platyfish (X. maculatus), in particular on Spotted dorsal (Sd) in fish of the Rio Jamapa population, have led to the definition of a dominant acting melanoma-inducing gene  $(Tu)$  as an integral part of the Sd locus being referred to as Tu-Sd. **A** model has been proposed by which the transforming activity of  $Tu-Sd$  in wild-type platyfish is suppressed by antioncogenic loci (R), which are nonlinked to Tu-Sd. **Fol**lowing crossing-conditioned elimination **of** R-bearing chromosomes of the Rio Jamapa platyfish by backcrossing,  $e.g.,$  to X. *helleri*, the  $Tu-Sd$  oncogene can exert its transforming function in the pigment cell

lineage. This leads to development of malignant melanomas in the hybrid fish (see **AHUJA** and **ANDERS**  1976; **ANDERS** et al. 1984). Based on this melanoma formation, Xiphophorus became a unique genetic system in experimental oncology, allowing the dissection of the multiple factors involved in establishing the neoplastic phenotype of the transformed cells.

Using reverse genetic approaches, identification of a marker sequence for the Tu-Sd locus **(SCHARTL**  1988) led to molecular cloning of a gene which is a structural constituent of Tu-Sd **(WITTBRODT** et *al.*  1989). This gene, designated Xmrk, encodes a novel putative cell surface growth factor receptor with an intracellular tyrosine kinase domain and belongs to the family of epidermal growth factor receptor-related genes. Disruption of Xmrk in an insertion mutant leads to loss of the malignant phenotype, namely no development of melanoma in hybrids. This showed that Xmrk activity is essential for melanoma formation and is the critical functional constituent of the  $Tu$ locus.

In addition to the  $Tu-Sd$ -encoded sequence, a second nontumorigenic copy of Xmrk, designated **INV-**Xmrk, has been cloned from the platyfish, which due to its differential expression during normal embryonic development is supposed to represent the corresponding proto-oncogene **(ADAM, MAUELER** and **SCHARTL**  1990). Its physiological function is currently unknown.

The question of whether the polymorphic M-pattern loci of the different Xiphophorus species are ho-

mologous, i.e., identical by descent and if they all contain an activated oncogene, is of major importance for our understanding of the evolution of the melanoma-inducing gene and its tumorigenic function. The problem has been approached by detailed formal genetic experiments (KALLMAN and ATZ 1966) but could not be solved totally. The authors could show that some M-pattern genes occupy homologous regions on the sex chromosomes but others were found clearly on nonhomologous chromosomes. While some pattern following the appropriate crossings give rise to extremely malignant melanomas of high frequencies, others lead only to a mild melanosis in any crossing, and a third group is not enhanced at all but tends to be reduced following hybridization (ATZ 1962; ZANDER 1969; ANDERS and KLINKE 1965). In addition, the problem of homology of the M-pattern loci implicates other important *so* far unsolved questions.  $(1)$  Do the M-pattern loci represent the wildtype situation **or** are they due to a mutational event that has become fixed during evolution of the genus? This point is especially intriguing because in all populations polymorphic for M pattern, a certain number of individuals (sometimes in preponderance) can be found which do not show M pattern at all. Some pattern occur with high frequency while others are extremely rare,  $e.g.$ , the  $N^2$ -pattern gene of *X. macu*latus has been found only twice among thousands of fish inspected (K. D. KALLMAN, personal communication). (2) Does the M-pattern locus contain one or more genes which determine on the one hand the physiological properties of the macromelanophore and on the other hand the pattern information directing the development of macromelanophores to distinct compartments of the body? Several phenotypically similar M patterns have been found even in different species, while, e.g., in X. maculatus up to five different M-patterns may be found in the same population (GORDON and GORDON 1957).

With the availability of molecular probes from the Xmrk gene of the  $Tu-Sd$  locus from the Rio Jamapa platyfish these questions on gene homology and genomic arrangements can now be approached on the molecular genetic level.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Animals:** Fish used in this study were either from closely inbred strains maintained under standard conditions (KALL-MAN 1975) in the aquarium of the gene center **or** collected from their original habitats in Mexico during a collection trip in the spring of 1989. Founder fish for our strains were obtained from A. and F. ANDERS (Genetics Institute, University of Giessen, Federal Republic of Germany), from K. D. KALLMAN (Osborne Laboratories, New York Aquarium, Brooklyn, New York) or from nonscientific institutions. All strains employed in this study were derived from at least one brother-sister mating to warrant absence of polymorphy for the sex chromosomal loci analyzed.

**DNA probes:** Probes used for Southern analysis were: (1) pXX2 1: 814-bp EcoRI/SstI fragment from the *X* chromosomal Xmrk gene of X. maculatus (Rio Jamapa) (ADAM *et* al. 1988). **(2)** pl7-2: cDNA fragment encompassing the tyrosine kinase and carboxy-terminal domains of a *Y* chromosomal Xmrk (WITTBRODT *et* al. 1989). (3) p3-2: cDNA fragment encompassing the extracellular, transmembrane and iuxtamembrane domains of a *Y* chromosomal Xmrk (WITTBRODT *et* al. 1989). **(4)** Xsrc 724: 900-bp fragment containing the entire 3'-untranslated region of the Xiphophorus c-src cDNA **(F.** RAULF, unpublished results).

**Isolation of DNA and Southern analysis:** For field sampling fish were killed by cervical dislocation and the pooled organs (brain, gills, liver, spleen, kidney and testes) were immediately lysed in a sample-storage buffer and gently homogenized with a pestle. The buffer contained 0.5 **<sup>M</sup>** EDTA, pH 8, 0.2 M NaCI, 1% SDS, and 1 drop/ml of freshly prepared proteinase **K** solution (10 mg/ml). In this buffer the DNA can be stored as a crude lysate without further purification for more than **4** weeks without any sign of degradation. This buffer was found to be superior to others based **on** saturated CsCl solutions or guanidine thiocyanate. In the laboratory the samples were then diluted with 2 volumes  $H_2O$ , extracted with phenol/chloroform and the DNA was dissolved following ethanol precipitation in 10 mM Tris/l mM EDTA (pH **7.6).** Generally the average molecular mass of the DNA was above 40 kb. DNA from laboratory fish was prepared essentially **as** described elsewhere (SCHARTL 1988). The DNA samples were subjected to Southern analysis **as** described for homologous probes (SCHARTL 1988) except that the probes were labeled by random oligonucleotide priming in the presence of  $[{}^{32}P]$ dCTP (FEINBERG and VOCELSTEIN 1984).

### RESULTS

**Presence of sequences related to the** *Xmrk* **protooncogene of X.** *maculatus* **in other** *Xiphophorus* **species:** To investigate if the Xmrk gene is present in other Xiphophorus species, EcoRI-digested genomic DNA from 16 species of 23 different populations was hybridized to the X. maculatus Xmrk-probes (pXX21, p17-2). Under conditions of high stringency, in *X.*  gordoni, X. couchianus and X. meyeri a 10-kb fragment was detected, while all individuals of all other species exhibited a 7.0-kb fragment corresponding exactly in size to the fragment detected with the same probes as the INV-Xmrk proto-oncogene of X. maculatus (Table 1). It is therefore concluded that the Xmrk gene is present in all Xiphophorus species, although expression studies will have to be performed to confirm that these sequences are functional as proto-oncogenes **as**  in *X.* maculatus. The fragment length polymorphism observed in X. gordoni, X. couchianus and X. meyeri *us.*  all other species is in line with the taxonomic status of these three species as comprising the phylogenetically oldest species group distinct from all other species of the genus (ROSEN 1979; SCHARTL and SCHRODER 1988). Using pXx21 which comprises 0.8 kb of the total 25 kb locus **of** Xmrk (ADAM, MAUERLER and SCHARTL 1990) on Sad-, BamHI- **or** HindIII-digested DNA, always single bands were detected (data not

#### **TABLE 1**

**Presence of sequences related to the INV-Xmrk gene of** *X.*  **maculatus in other** *Xiphophorus* **species** 

Species	Population	n	EcoRI-RFLP
X. gordoni	Laguna St. Tecla	3	10.0
X. meyeri	Musquiz	2	10.0
X. couchianus	La Huasteca	$\overline{2}$	10.0
X. birchmanni	Rio Chilcoaloya	$\overline{2}$	7.0
X. cortezi	Rio Axtla	6	7.0
X. montezumae	Cascadas de Tamasopo Rio Salto Nasciemento Ojo Frio	12 10 5 11	7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0
X. milleri	Laguna Catemaco	4	7.0
X. variatus	Rio Panuco Rio Coy	3 4	7.0 7.0
X. evelynae	Necaxa	7	7.0
X. xiphidium	Rio Purification Rio Soto la Marina Santa Engracia	18 6 $\overline{2}$	7.0 7.0 7.0
X. pygmaeus	Rio Huchihuayan	$\overline{2}$	7.0
X. nigrensis	Rio Choy	$\overline{2}$	7.0
X. andersi	Rio Atoyac	$\overline{4}$	7.0
X. clemenciae	Rio Sarabia	l	7.0
X. helleri	Rio Lancetilla Rio San Juan Rio Agua fria	21 3 3	7.0 7.0 7.0
X. signum	Rio Chaymaic	2 $\Sigma$ 135	7.0

shown) supporting that  $INV-Xmrk$  is a single copy gene in Xiphophorus.

**Sequences homologous to the** *Tu-Sd* **encoded** *Xmrk*  **oncogene of the Rio Jamapa platyfish in other platyfish populations:** In the Rio Jamapa platyfish it was shown that the  $X$  chromosomal  $Tu-Sd$  M-pattern locus, which gives rise to malignant melanoma following the appropriate crossings, encodes an oncogenic version of the Xmrk gene (WITTBRODT et al. 1989). A genomic Xmrk probe ( $pXX21$ ) detects in EcoRI digests the Tu-Sd-Xmrk as a 5-kb fragment in Southern analysis, additional to the INV-Xmrk proto-oncogene which is represented by the 7-kb fragment (see above). This restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) is due to a different genomic organization in the 3' region of the INV-Xmrk-locus (WITTBRODT et *al.*  1989; ADAM, MAUELER and SCHARTL 1990). Similarly a 6.5-kb EcoRI RFLP for the *Y* chromosomal Tu-Sd of the Rio Jamapa platyfish was observed.

To determine whether a homologous situation is found in other platyfish populations with different Mpattern loci, Southern blot analysis was performed using pXX21 as an Xmrk-specific probe under conditions of high stringency (Table 2). In 73 individuals without any M pattern only the 7-kb fragment of the INV-Xmrk proto-oncogene was observed. However, all 191 animals with M-pattern loci, including Rio Jamapa platyfish, showed either a 5- or 6.5-kb additional Xmrk fragment, depending on the sex chromosome analyzed. In all individuals from natural populations  $X$  chromosomal M-pattern loci were represented by the 5-kb Xmrk fragment, while *Y* chromosomal loci were represented by a 6.5-kb fragment, as in the Rio Jamapa platyfish. Only in the two domesticated stocks was this situation reversed. This may simply be explained by a crossing over between the sex chromosomes during the intensive breeding and selection procedures of the domesticated stocks. Sex chromosomal crossing over occurs in *X.* maculatus at a frequency of 0.2-0.3% (KALLMAN 1965). In summary, these data suggest that the Xmrk oncogene which is part of Tu-Sd is also present at the other **M**pattern loci of platyfish, namely *Sr* (*Striped*)—as already shown in the Rio Jamapa platyfish (WITTBRODT et *al.* 1989), *Sp* (Spotted), *N* (Nigra), Sb (Spotted belly) and  $Fu$  (Fuliginosus). To find out if the additional  $Xmrk$  fragment detected in M-pattern carrying fish represents indeed an additional Xmrk gene or a polymorphic allele of the INV-Xmrk proto-oncogene locus, the Xmrk gene dosage was determined. For this purpose Southern blot analysis was performed using a probe (p3-2) from the 5' portion of the cDNA. In EcoRI-digested DNA this probe was found to detect a single fragment of 8.4 kb for all loci or Xmrk copies in *X.* maculatus and X. helleri (data not shown). When exactly the same amount of DNA was loaded on the gel, samples from fish with one or more  $Tu$  loci gave a more intensively hybridizing band than those from fish which carry only the INV-Xmrk (Figure 1). This indicates that the Tu-associated  $X$ mrk sequences represent true additional copies of the gene in the genome of such fish.

**Localization of the** *Xmrk* **proto-oncogene and the**  *Xmrk* **oncogene on the sex chromosome of X.** *maculatus:* To define linkage between sex chromosomal loci, backcross hybrids were analyzed for segregation. Such intercrosses and backcrosses have been shown previously to segregate in agreement with Mendelian expectation using 41 protein-coding loci of **6** linkage groups, and they gave no evidence for segregation distortion or unusual crossover frequencies (MORIZOT and SICILIANO 1984). This we could also demonstrate for the sex chromosomal melanoma oncogene loci. In 47 broods of backcross offspring from *X.* maculatus (Rio Jamapa, Tu-Sd) and *X.* helleri using X. helleri as the recurrent parent **(BC,** to BC7) 14 10 fish exhibited the Tu-Sd phenotype, while 1424 were absolutely tumor-free, demonstrating highly significant  $(\chi^2 =$ 0.069) a 1:1 segregation and confirming that  $Xmrk-$ 

## **1086 M. Schartl**

## **TABLE 2**

**Xmrk RFLPs (EcoRI)** for different *Tu* alleles

Allele of Tu locus	Pterinophore locus	Sex chromo- some	Origin	<b>Restriction</b> fragment length (kb)	No. of fish ana- lyzed
$Tu-Sd$	Dr	X	Rio Jamapa <sup>ª</sup>	5.0	88
$Tu-Sr$	Ar	Y	Rio Jamapa <sup>ª</sup>	6.5	53
		Y	Rio Usumacinta <sup>b</sup>	6.5	$\overline{4}$
		Y	Rio Coatzecoalcos	6.5	$\overline{2}$
$Tu-N'$	Br	Y	Belize River <sup>a</sup>	6.5	4
$Tu-N^2$	Br	Y	Rio Coatzecoalcos <sup>ª</sup>	6.5	4
	Ar	Y	Rio Papaloapan	6.5	3
$Tu-Sp'$		X	Rio Jamapa <sup>ª</sup>	5.0	4
$Tu-Sp4$	Dr	Y	Rio Usumacinta <sup>b</sup>	6.5	3
$Tu-Sp9$		Y	Rio Coatzecoalcos <sup>b</sup>	6.5	$\overline{2}$
$Tu-Sp^{10}$	Dr	$\boldsymbol{X}$	Rio Papaloapan <sup>b</sup>	5.0	8
$Tu-Fu$	$Bv^c$	X	<b>Domesticated stock</b>	6.5	3
$Tu-Sb^d$	Rt	Y	<b>Domesticated stock</b>	5.0	13
		W	Rio Usumacinta <sup>ª</sup>		32
		Y	Rio Usumacinta <sup>ª</sup>		3
	I <sub>y</sub>	Y	Rio Usumacintab		1
		W	Rio Coatzecoalcos <sup>b</sup>		$\overline{4}$
		W	Lake Catazaja		$\overline{\mathbf{4}}$
	Cpy	Y	Lake Catazaja		$\overline{2}$
	Dr	$\boldsymbol{X}$	<b>Rio Coatzecoalcos</b>		1
		Y	Rio Coatzecoalcos <sup>b</sup>		3
	I <sub>y</sub>	X	Rio Papaloapan <sup>b</sup>		7
	Ar	Y	Rio Papaloapan <sup>b</sup>		3
		$\boldsymbol{X}$	Rio Papaloapan		$\overline{4}$
		ND'	Rio Tonala		5
		$\mathbf{ND}$	Rio Tulija		
					$\Sigma 264$

' Original stock obtained from A. and **F.** ANDERS, Giessen. ' Original stock obtained from K. D. KALLMAN, New York.

' *By,* body yellow, yellow coloration of body and unpaired fins, red in fertile males.

According to KALLMAN (1975). the *Sb* gene described by GORDON (1946) is presumably a type B allele of *Sp* in the Rio Papaloapan population.

' Only the invariant restriction fragment is seen in Southern blots.

*f* ND, not determined.



FIGURE 1.-Gene dosage analyses of *Xmrk* in platyfish carrying differing numbers of *Tu* loci. Equal amounts of EcoRl-digested DNA from *X. maculatus carrying (1)* four *Tu loci*  $(X^{T_u S_d T_u S_d}/X^{T_u S_d})$ (mutant, unpublished), **(2)** two *Tu* loci *(Xrusd/Xrusd)* (Rio Jamapa), (3) one  $Tu$  locus  $(W/Y^{T u \cdot S r})$  (laboratory stock, origin of *yrusr.* . Rio Jamapa, origin **of W:** Rio Usumacinta), and *(4)* no *Tu* loci *(W/Y)* (Lake Cataraja) was hybridized **to** p3-2.

mediated melanoma formation is due to a single Mendelian locus.

The order of known loci  $(P =$  sexual maturation, *Ir* = iris pigmentation,  $Pt$  = pterinophore pattern,  $Tu$ ) on the **X.** maculatus sex chromosomes has been determined as cen-P-(Zr-Pt)-Tu-ter **(KALLMAN 1970, 1975)**  with a distance of  $P$  and the pigmentary pattern loci of approximately **1** cM **(GORDON 1937).** In **3 163** backcross hybrids of  $62$  broods  $(BC_2$  to  $BC_7$ , crossing as above) we obtained no recombinant for the  $Tu-Sd$  and the Pt-Dr loci (parental class **1,** Pt-Dr Tu-Sd: **1735**  individuals, parental class **2,** wild type: **1428** individuals). This indicates a very close linkage of less than **0.03 cM** for both phenotypic markers, consistent with earlier notions that crossing over between these loci is an extremely rare event (see **KALLMAN** and **ATZ 1966; KALLMANN 1975).** 

The EcoRI polymorphism observed for the INV-Xmrk gene in **X.** couchianus, **X.** gordoni and **X.** meyeri allowed chromosomal assignment of the INV-Xmrk gene of **X.** maculatus. In the Rio Jamapa platyfish it was shown that **a** 5-kb EcoRI fragment represents the Tu-Sd-Xmrk gene on the X chromosome **(WITTBRODT** 



#### **TABLE 3**

**Linkage analysis of the INV-Xmrk and Tu-Sd-Xmrk genes of** *X.*  **maculatus in** *X.* **maculatus1X. couchianus backcross hybrids** 

Parental class 1	$INV- Xmrk 7.0$	$Tu-Sd- Xmrk 5.0$	97
Parental class 2			20
Recombinant class 1	$INV- Xmrk 7.0$		
Recombinant class 2		$Tu-Sd-Xmrk 5.0$	
Total			

As *X. couchianus* was used as the recurrent parent in the backcrosses **all** animals exhibit the *X. couchianus* specific 10-kb *Xmrk*  fragment.

*et al.* **1989).** The *INV-Xmrk* proto-oncogene in platyfish is represented by a 7-kb fragment, while in *X. couchianus* it is represented by a 10-kb fragment. *No*  additional *Xmrk* fragment is detected in *X. couchianus.*  F1 hybrids of female platyfish with *X. couchianus* revealed as expected the **lo-, 7-** and 5-kb fragments in Southern blot analysis. Backcross hybrids using *X. couchianus* as the recurrent parent showed cosegregation of the *X. maculatus* 7-kb *INV-Xmrk* fragment with the *X* chromosomal 5-kb *Tu-Sd-Xmrk* fragment (Figure 2, Table **3).** This shows that the *INV-Xmrk*  gene is also located on the sex-chromosome. The recombination fraction was 2%, therefore both copies of the gene reside on the *X* chromosome within a distance of approximately 2 cM. **It** can, however, not be excluded that the one "recombinant" observed results from a deletion, gene conversion event, etc. since close flanking markers were not available for this analysis. In such case the distance of both copies would be even closer.

**Association of additional** *Xmrk* **copies in other**  species with M-pattern loci predisposing for mela**noma formation:** To investigate if-as in *X. maculatus*melanoma formation may be due to additional *Xmrk*  copies specifically associated with M-pattern loci also in other species, the genomic structure of *Xmrk* in *X. xiphidium* was analyzed. This species is-as is *X. macu*latus-polymorphic for M patterns. A strain derived from the Rio Purification population which exhibits

FIGURE 2.—Linkage analysis of **INV-***Xmrk* and the oncogenic *X* chromosomal *Xmrk* locus. BcoRI-digested **DNA** of *(I) X. maculatus,* Rio Jamapa, female, *X'".*  chromosomal *Tu* locus, (3) mac/couch  $F_1$ hybrid, **(4-1 3)** backcross segregants. was hybridized in Southern blot analyses to the pl7-2 probe of *Xmrk.* Note cosegregation of the *X. marulatus* 7-kb **INV**  fragment and the *X* chromosomal 5-kb band. Because *X. rourhianus* was used as the recurrent parent for backcrossing, all segregants carry the 10-kb *X. couchi-* $S^d/X^{Tu-Sd}$ , (2) X. couchianus, male, no sex anus-specific fragment. Specimen 7 is a recombinant, obviously due to interspecies sex chromosomal crossing over.

the *Y* chromosomal "flecked" *(TU-Fl')* M pattern (see ANDERS and KLINKE **1965),** one from the Rio Soto la Marina and one from Santa Engracia, both without detectable M pattern, were employed in this study. To assure that the two M-pattern-free strains do not contain cryptic M-pattern loci with low penetrance or without phenotypic expression, five males from each of the three strains were hybridized to *X. helleri.* While all  $F_1$  offspring from the M-pattern-free strains  $(n =$ 82 from the Rio Soto la Marina males,  $n = 167$  from the Santa Engracia males) did not exhibit a single macromelanophore spot, half of the offspring from  $Y^{Tu-Fl'}$  males showed strong enhancement of the M pattern. Backcrossing of such hybrids using *X. helleri*  as the recurrent parent yielded the expected segregation (53 with *Tu-Fl'* expression, **60** without M pattern) where the phenotype of the *Tu-Fl'* carrying fish varied from enhanced expression as compared to the wild type to severe malignant melanoma (Figure 3).

For the *Xmrk* gene of *X. xiphidium* a *BamHI* RFLP was found. An additional 10.5-kb fragment was invariably detected in DNA of male fish of the Rio Purification which carry the  $Tu-Fl<sup>1</sup>$  locus. In the  $F<sub>1</sub>$  and backcross hybrids with *X. helleri* this *BamHI* fragment cosegregated with *Tu-Fl'* without detectable recombination (Table **4).** 

A similar result was obtained for the "lined" M pattern of *X. variatus* (Rio Panuco), encoded by the *X*  chromosomal *Tu-Li* locus. This pattern is also enhanced following crossing and backcrossing with *X. helleri* (ANDERS and KLINKE **1965);** however, highly malignant melanomas develop only in fish homozygous for *Tu-Li.* A *HindIII* RFLP was found, giving an additional 12-kb fragment that cosegregates with *Tu-Li* with no recombinants found (Table **4).** 

For the *Tu-Sc* (*Spotted caudal*) M-pattern locus of *X*. *cortezi* (Rio Axtla), which is strongly enhanced upon hybridization with *X. helleri,* an additional *Xmrk HindIII* fragment of **6.5** kb was detected (Table **4).** 

Three M patterns have been described which *so* far have not been found to be enhanced in any of the



FIGURE 3.-Crossing scheme of *X. helleri* and *X. xiphidium*, Tu-*Fl'* (a) female of purebred *X.* helleri; (b) *X.* xiphidium, male, exhibiting the Flecked<sup>1</sup> pattern as dark black spots at the midlateral line of the body; (c)  $F_1$ -hybrid, male with strongly enhanced Flecked<sup>1</sup> pattern, forming two-dimensional growing benign melanoma; (d) tumor-free backcross segregants; (e) backcross segregants with **be**nign melanoma (phenotype comparable to (c)); and (f) backcross segregants with malignant, fatal melanoma.

numerous intra- and interspecific crosses, namely *"Punctatus" (Pu)* of *X. variatus, "Atromaculatus" (At)*  of *X. cortezi* and *"Dabbed"* (Db) of *X. helleri* (see ATZ 1962; ZANDER 1969). These loci obviously do not predispose for melanoma formation. To investigate if such loci are also associated with an additional *Xmrk*  fragment, DNA samples of *X. helleri* fish with or without  $Db^2$  were digested with 19 different restriction enzymes and probed with the *Xmrk* gene in Southern blot analyses. In no case an indication for an additional *Xmrk* gene as in the case of the melanoma-predisposing loci of *X. maculatus, X. xiphidium, X. variatus* and *X. cortezi* was found, neither as an additional polymorphic restriction fragment nor by twice intense bands in the  $Db^2$ -containing fish DNA relative to the  $Db^2$ -less fish DNA (Figure 4). Both phenomena would be indicative of higher *Xmrk* gene dosage in  $Db^2$ -containing fish. Using those restriction enzymes which most frequently detect *Xmrk* RFLPs in *Xiphophorus,* a similar result was obtained for *At* of *X cortezi* from the Rio Axtla population and *Pu'* of *X. variatus* from Rio Panuco (data not shown).

## **DISCUSSION**

In the Rio Jamapa platyfish *Xmrk,* a new growth factor receptor gene of the multigene family of receptor tyrosine kinases has been detected due to its involvement in melanoma formation. The gene was found to be present in two forms: (1) as proto-oncogene, which obviously serves a physiological, *so* far unknown function, and (2) as an activated oncogene instrumental in induction of melanoma in hybrid fish. In this study sequences hybridizing to the platyfish *Xmrk* probe under conditions of high stringency, which exclude cross-hybridization to other closely related members of the gene family, were found in all species of the genus investigated. This indicates that

**TABLE 4 Presence of oncogenic** *Xmrk* **fragments in other** *Xiphophorus*  **species** 

			$\boldsymbol{n}$
	$Tu$ - $Fl'$	$X$ mrk Bam $H1$ 10.5	
X. xiphidium			4
			12
$xiph/hellF_1$			9
			7
$xiph/hell/hellBC_1$			28
			27
	$Tu-Li$	Xmrk HindIII 12.0	
X. variatus			7
			6
$var/hellBC_n$			24
			20
	$Tu-Sc$	Xmrk HindIII 6.5	
X. cortezi			8
			5
			157

the *Xmrk* proto-oncogene is present in all *Xiphophorus*  fish, further strengthening its supposed physiological function, and that these genes in the different species are homologous. In all platyfish from other populations as well as in fish from other *Xiphophorus* species which contain, as in the Rio Jamapa platyfish, Mpattern loci that predispose to melanoma formation in hybrids additional *Xmrk* hybridizing sequences were found. Fish without such M-pattern loci contain only the proto-oncogenic *INV-Xmrk* locus. It is therefore suggestive that these additional *Xmrk* copies also represent sequences which, as in the Rio Jamapa platyfish, are activated oncogenes that induce melanoma formation in the hybrid genome. They are in the different populations and species considered to be homologous at least by sequence. Further information will be obtained from cloning of these genes and from studies on their expression. The apparent inactivity of such potentially oncogenic *Xmrk* copies in the purebred feral fish poses the question of whether a similar mechanism of tumor suppression as in the Rio Jamapa platyfish acts in the other populations and species.

The simultaneous presence of *Xmrk* as proto-oncogene and as activated oncogene in the genome **of**  some fish is intriguing with respect to the generation of this situation. The linkage analysis described showed that both forms of *Xmrk* reside on the sexchromosome within quite some distance. As there are no data in *Xiphophorus* relating to genetic versus molecular distance, it is impossible to determine at present how far both copies are molecularly. Both copies are identical with respect to exon/intron arrangement and intron sizes, therefore ruling out the possibility that one copy is a functional processed pseudogene (ADAM, MAUELER and SCHARTL 1990). Obviously a gene duplication event once generated a second copy of the *Xmrk* proto-oncogene which was translocated



FIGURE 4.-Xmrk dosage analysis by Southern hybridization of **DNA** of *X. helleri* (Rio Lancetilla) without  $(-)$  or homozygous  $(+)$ for  $Db^2$  with (A) the p17-2 Xmrk probe. For this experiment DNA **from ten individuals was pooled and roughly equal amounts were digested with 19 different restriction enzymes. Representative examples are shown. Similar results were obtained for** *Xbal. Xhol. Alul, AuaI,* **HaelII, Hindll,** *Hinff,* **Mspl, Hj~alI,** *RsaI,* **SauIIlA and**  TaqI (data not shown). The additional bands in *HindIII-digested* **DNA of the Db'-less fish are due to an intrastrain polymorphism (data not shown). (B) For estimation of the DNA amounts loaded, the filter was stripped and rehybridized to the Xsrc 724 probe specifically detecting the** *Xiphophorus* **c-src gene, which is a bona fide single copy gene in all vertebrates. The additional bands in Accl-, HindIIl- and Pstldigested DNA of the Db'-containing fish are due to an intrastrain polymorphism (data not shown).** 

into the preexisting macromelanophore locus and thereby acquired its oncogenic potential. The preexistence of the macromelanophore locus is supported by the finding that those M-pattern loci which do not give rise to melanoma following hybridization do not contain an additional Xmrk copy.

Such considerations have several implications for our understanding of the evolution, structure and function of the M-pattern loci. First, at present it is impossible to decide whether the potential oncogenic Xmrk copies of the different populations and species, which are homologous by sequence, have arisen due to a single gene duplication event early in phylogenesis of the genus, or if such gene duplications have occurred independently several times during speciation. Within different species the simultaneous occurrence of fish with tumorigenic M pattern and with nontumorigenic M pattern in one species as found in *X.*  variatus and *X.* cortezi could be explained by such a polyphyletic origin of activated Xmrk copies in the tumorigenic M-pattern loci. Within one species, *X*. maculatus, the data obtained *so* far point to a single gene duplication event. It was unequivocally apparent from sequence analyses (ADAM, MÄUELER and SCHARTL **1990)** that in the Rio Jamapa platyfish, where different oncogenic Xmrk copies are present in the *Y* chromosomal "Striped" and in the *X* chromosomal "Spotted-dorsal" M-pattern loci, a gene duplication of the *Y* chromosomal INV-locus generated the *Y* chromosomal oncogenic "striped" Xmrk copy. The *X* chromosomal "Spotted-dorsal" Xmrk copy is derived not from the *X* chromosomal INV-Xmrk allele by a second gene duplication, but from the *Y* chromosomal "Striped" associated Xmrk copy, obviously by sex chromosomal crossover. The constancy of sex chromosomal Xmrk polymorphic fragment sizes (see Table **2)**  in feral platyfish **(6.5** kb for *Y* chromosomal, **5.0** kb for *X* chromosomal loci) points to the assumption that this gene duplication was a single event in the evolutionary history of *X.* maculatus.

Second, the M-pattern loci which give rise to melanomatous enhancement in the hybrid consist of at least two genes, one, the oncogenic (additional) Xmrk copy and two, of one (or more) genes which determine the specific phenotype of the macromelanophore and the pigmentation pattern. These genes are very intimately linked because *so* far in **more than 500** fish analyzed, no recombination between the oncogenic Xmrk and the M-pattern locus was detected (SCHARTL **1988;** ADAM et al. **1988;** WITTBRODT et*al.* **1989;** this study).

The compartment where the macromelanophores appear and their density are within a given genotype very stable, indicating that the pattern information is also very closely linked to the macromelanophoredetermining gene(s). However, in rare instances genetic changes which result in variant patterns have been reported following X-irradiation (ANDERS, AN-DERS and KLINKE **1973)** or spontaneously (KALLMAN and SCHREIBMAN **197 1).** Further molecular studies are needed to clarify whether structural genes or regulatory elements of the macromelanophore gene(s) are responsible for the pattern information. This pattern information is obviously independent from the additional, oncogenic Xmrk copy. Different patterns

are associated with the *X* or the *Y* chromosomal Xmrk oncogene and one pattern-as shown for *"spotted"* of *X.* maculatus-can be associated with the one or the other.

Last, the complexity of M patterns in the genus *Xiphophorus* and their frequent, although not general, association with the capacity to develop into melanoma of varying degrees of malignancy after hybridization has prompted several authors to postulate a complex genetic system involved in these phenomena **(ZANDER** 1969; **KALLMAN** and **ATZ** 1966). However, the most widely accepted general model of melanoma formation in *Xiphophorus* is very simplistic (for review see **ANDERS** and **ANDERS** 1978; **ANDERS** 1983). Entirely all M-pattern loci of all *Xiphophorus* fish have been formally equated with the melanoma oncogene *Tu* which was thought to determine also the macromelanophore phenotype. Pattern information was postulated to be encoded in a series of closely linked "compartment regulatory genes." Differences in the capacity to develop melanoma in hybrids were explained by the melanoma suppressor locus being located on other chromosomes, which are eliminated after backcrossing, or by the melanoma suppressor locus being linked to *Tu.* Nonlinkage would consequently allow spontaneous melanoma formation as in the case of  $Tu-Sd$  of *X. maculatus* or  $Tu-Fl<sup>T</sup>$  of *X. xiphidium* **(AHUJA** and **ANDERS** 1977). Linkage of *Tu*  and the suppressor and therefore the inability to develop spontaneously melanoma was postulated for the pattern "Lined" (Li), "Striped' (Sr) and "Dabbed" (Db) **(AHUJA** and **ANDERS** 1977), ignoring the fact that *Tu-Li* and *Tu-Sr* are strongly enhanced in some hybrids and even generate melanoma under certain conditions **(ZANDER** 1969, and our unpublished data). The data presented here show that the macromelanophore-determining gene(s) are definitely distinct from the melanoma-inducing Xmrk gene which is encoded by the *Tu* locus **(WITTBRODT** *et* al. 1989). They are preexisting. Loci such as *Db2, Pu'* and *At,* which have no oncogenic potential, do not contain Xmrk and may therefore represent the "wild-type pattern" as a phylogenetic old situation while *Sd, Li, Sr, F1'* and *Sc*  contain Xmrk and are tumorigenic. It has also been argued that the "tumor gene"  $\overline{T}u$  is present in addition to the M-pattern loci-associated copies in a multiplicity of so-called "indispensable" *Tu* copies spread all over the autosomes of *Xiphophorus* **(ANDERS** 1983). The data presented here show that Xmrk exists only in two copies, proto-oncogenic and oncogenic, both of which reside on the sex chromosome.

**1** thank **S.** HOLTER and B. WILDE for expert technical assistance and A. SCHARTL and D. ADAM for stimulating discussions and critically reading the manuscript. **I** am especially indebted to N. GILKE, F.3. JACOBI, K. LEPPER and F. STORCH for their help in the field studies in Mexico. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through "Schwerpunkt: Tumorzytogenetik" (Scha **408/2-3),** the Bundesministerium fur Forschung und Technologie through "Schwerpunkt: Grundlagen und Anwendungen der Gentechnologie," the Stiftung Volkswagenwerk and the **Max-Planck-Gesellschaft.** 

## **LITERATURE CITED**

- ADAM, D., **W.** MAUELER and M. SHARTL, **1990** Transcriptional activation of the melanoma inducing *Xmrk* oncogene in Xiphophorus. Oncogene (in press).
- ADAM, D., J. WITTBRODT, A. TELLING and M. SCHARTL, **1988** RFLP for an EGF-receptor related gene associated with the melanoma oncogene locus **of** *Xiphophorus maculatus.* Nucleic Acids Res. **16, 7212.**
- AHUJA, M. R., and F. ANDERS, **1976** A genetic concept of the origin of cancer, based in part upon studies of neoplasms of fishes. Prog. Exp. Tumor Res. **20: 380-397.**
- AHUJA, **M.** R., and **F.** ANDERS, **1977** Cancer as a problem of gene regulation, pp. **103-1 17** in *Recent Advances in Cancer Research: Cell Biology, Molecular Biology and Tumor Virology,* edited by *R.*  C. GALLO. CRC Press, Cleveland, Ohio.
- ANDERS, A,, and F. ANDERS, **1978** Etiology **of** cancer **as** studied in the platyfish-swordtail system. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **516 61-95.**
- ANDERS, A,, F. ANDERS and **K.** KLINKE, **1973** Regulation of gene expression in the Gordon-Kosswig melanoma system, pp. **33- 63** in *Genetics and Mutagenesis of Fish,* edited by J. H. SCHRODER. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- ANDERS, F. **1983** The biology of an oncogene, based upon studies on neoplasia in *Xiphophorus,* pp. **186-206** in *Haematology and Blood Transfusion, Vol. 28, Modern Trends in Human Leucaemia V,* edited by NETH, GALLO, GREAVES, MOORE and WINKLER. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- ANDERS, F., and K. KLINKE, **1965** Untersuchungen iiber die erbbedingte **Aminosaurekonzentration,** Farbgenmanifestation und Tumorbildung bei lebendgebarenden Zahnkarpfen. (Poeciliidae). Z. Vererbungsl. **96: 49-65.**
- ANDERS, F., **M.** SCHARTL, A. BARNEKOW and A. ANDERS, **1984** *Xiphophorus* as an in-vivo model for studies on normal and defective control of oncogenes. Adv. Cancer Res. **42: 19 1** - **275.**
- ATZ, J. W., **1962** Effects **of** hybridization on pigmentation in fishes of the genus *Xiphophorus.* Zoologica **47: 153- 18 1.**
- FEINBERG, A. P, and B. VOGELSTEIN, **1984** A technique for radio labelling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity. Anal. Biochem. **137: 266-267.**
- GORDON, H., and M. GORDON, **1957** Maintenance of polymorphism by potentially injurious genes in eight natural populations of the platyfish *Xiphophorus maculatus.* J. Genet. *55:* **1- 44.**
- GORDON, M., **1927** The genetics of a viviparous top-minnow, *Platy-poecilus,* the inheritance of two kinds **of** melanophores. Genetics **12: 253-283.**
- GORDON M., **1937** Genetics of *Platypoecilus.* **3.** Inheritance of sex chromosomes in the platyfish. Genetics **22: 376-392.**
- GORDON, **M., 1946** Interchanging genetic mechanisms for sex determination in fishes under domestication. J. Hered. **37: 307-320.**
- HAUSSLER, G., **1982** Uber Melanombildungen bei Bastarden von *Xiphophorus maculatus,* var. rubra. Klin. Wochenschr. **7: 1561- 1562.**
- KALLMAN, K. D., **1965** Genetics and geography **of** sex determination in the poeciliid fish, *Xiphophorus maculatus.* Zoologica *50:* **151-190.**
- KALLMAN, K. D., **1970** Sex determination and the restriction **of**  sex-limited pigment patterns to the **X** and Y chromosomes in populations of a poeciliid fish, *Xiphophorus maculatus,* from the

Belize and Sibun rivers of British Honduras. Zoologica *55:* **1-**  16.

- KALLMAN, **K. D.,** 1975 The platyfish, *Xiphophorus maculatus,* pp. 81-132 in *Handbook of Genetics,* vol. 4, edited by R. C. KING. Plenum Press, New York.
- KALLMAN, K. **D.,** and J. W. ATZ, 1966 Gene and chromosome homology in fishes **of** the genus *Xiphophorus.* Zoologica **51:**  107-135.
- KALLMAN, **K. D.,** and M. P. SCHEIBMAN, 1971 The original and possible genetic control of new, stable pigment patterns in the poeciliid fish *Xiphophorus maculatus.* J. **Exp. Zool. 176:** 147- 168.
- KOSSWIG, C., 1928 Uber Kreuzungen zwischen den Teleostiern *Xiphophorus helleri* and *Platypoecilus maculatus.* Z. Indukt. Abstammungs Vererbungsl. 47: 150-158.
- LECHNER, P., and A. **C.** RADDA, 1987 Revision des *Xiphophorus*  **montezumae/cortezi-Komplexes** und Neubeschreibung einer Subspecies. Aquaria **34:** 189-196.
- MEYER, M., and M. SCHARTL, 1979 Eine neue *Xzphophorus-Art*  aus Vera Cruz, Mexico. Senckenb. Biol. **60:** 747-751.
- MORIZOT, **D.** C., and M. J. SCILIANO, 1984 Gene mapping in fishes and in other vertebrates, pp. 172-234 in *Evolutionary Genetics*  of *Fishes,* edited by B. J. TURNER. Plenum Press, New York.
- ROSEN, D. E., 1979 Fishes from the uplands and intermontane basins of Guatemala: revisionary studies and comparative geography. Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. **162:** 269-375.
- SCHARTL, **M.,** 1988 A sex chromosomal restriction fragment length marker linked to melanoma-inducing (Tu)-loci in *Xiphophorus.* Genetics **119** 679-685.
- SCHARTL, M., and J.-H. SCHRÖDER, 1988 A new species of the genus *Xiphophorus* Heckel 1948, endemic to northern Coahuila, Mexiko. Senckenb. Biol. *68:* 3 **1** 1-32 **l.**
- WITTBRODT, J., D. ADAM, B. MALITSCHEK, W. MÄUELER, F. RAULF, A. TELLING, **S.** M. ROBERTSON and M. SCHARTL, 1989 The melanoma-inducing locus *(Tu)* of *Xiphophorus* encodes a novel putative receptor tyrosine kinase. Nature **341:** 4 15-42 1.
- ZANDER, C. D., 1969 Über die Entstehung und Veränderung von Farbmustern in der Gattung *Xiphophorus* (Pisces). **I.** Qualitative Veranderungen nach Artkreuzung. Mitt. Hamb. Zool. Mus. Inst. *66:* 241-271.

Communicating editor: R. **E.** GANSCHOW