Two Maize Genes Are Each Targeted Predominantly by Distinct Classes of Mu Elements

Kristine J. Hardeman¹ and Vicki L. Chandler

Institute of Molecular Biology, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403 Manuscript received May 1, 1993 Accepted for publication August 12, 1993

ABSTRACT

The Mutator transposable element system of maize has been used to isolate mutations at many different genes. Six different classes of Mu transposable elements have been identified. An important question is whether particular classes of Mu elements insert into different genes at equivalent frequencies. To begin to address this question, we used a small number of closely related Mutator plants to generate multiple independent mutations at two different genes. The overall mutation frequency was similar for the two genes. We then determined what types of Mu elements inserted into the genes. We found that each of the genes was preferentially targeted by a different class of Mu element, even when the two genes were mutated in the same plant. Possible explanations for these findings are discussed. These results have important implications for cloning Mu-tagged genes as other genes may also be resistant or susceptible to the insertion of particular classes of Mu elements.

M UTATOR stocks of maize have a 20-50-fold higher mutation frequency than non-Mutator stocks (ROBERTSON 1978). This high mutation frequency is caused by the movement of a heterogeneous family of transposable elements referred to as Muelements. All Mu elements share similar ~200-bp terminal inverted repeats [reviewed in WALBOT (1991)]. Different Mu elements are grouped into classes according to the sequences internal to their inverted repeats. When elements share similar internal sequences, they are defined as belonging to the same class of Mu elements. Different classes of Mu elements have completely unrelated internal sequences.

A total of six classes of Mu elements have now been characterized [reviewed in CHANDLER and HARDEMAN (1992)]. The first class of Mu elements includes Mu1, the first Mu element identified (BARKER et al. 1984; BENNETZEN et al. 1984), Mu2, a 1.7-kb element also referred to as Mul.7 (TAYLOR and WALBOT 1987) and Mul-del, a 1.0-kb element (HARDEMAN and CHAN-DLER 1989). The second and third classes of elements are defined by Mu3 (CHEN et al. 1987; OISHI and FREELING 1987) and Mu4 (TALBERT, PATTERSON and CHANDLER 1989). The fourth class of Mu elements includes Mu6, Mu7 (V. CHANDLER, unpublished data; CHANDLER and HARDEMAN 1992), and rcy:Mu7 (SCHNABLE, PETERSON and SAEDLER 1989). Mu8 defines the fifth class of Mu elements (FLEENOR et al. 1990). The sixth class includes Mu5 (TALBERT, PAT-TERSON and CHANDLER 1989), MuA1 (QIN and EL-LINGBOE 1990), MuA2 (QIN, ROBERTSON and ELLING-BOE 1991), dMuR, MuR1 (CHOMET et al. 1991) and Mu9 (HERSHBERGER, WARREN and WALBOT 1991). MuR1, which is very similar if not identical to MuA2and Mu9, has been shown to be the genetically defined regulator of Mutator activity (CHOMET *et al.* 1991; QIN, ROBERTSON and ELLINGBOE 1991; HERSHBER-GER, WARREN and WALBOT 1991). The regulatory element has been renamed MuDR, in honor of DON ROBERTSON who discovered this system.

Because of the high mutation frequency in Mutator stocks, and the ability to obtain mutants at many different loci, Mutator elements have been widely used as insertional tags for cloning genes. However, the heterogeneity of Mu elements can complicate the cloning of Mu-induced alleles since there is no simple genetic test to identify which class of elements is inserted in the gene of interest. The Mul class of elements has been found most often in Mu-induced alleles. For example, of the 58 molecularly characterized Mu-induced alleles reviewed by WALBOT (1991), 44 (76%) contained an insert belonging to the Mul class (28, Mu1; 12, Mu1-del; and 4, Mu2); 2 (3.5%) belonged to the Mu3 class; 2 (3.5%) belonged to the Mu7 class, 7 (12%) to the Mu8 class; 2 (3.5%) to the MuDR class, and 1 is an unnamed Mu insertion. This high Mul bias has been proposed to be caused by the average higher copy number and higher transposition frequencies of Mul elements compared to other Mu element types in most Mutator stocks (BENNETZEN et al. 1993). A few mutant alleles isolated from Mutator stocks have contained non-Mu element insertions, including two belonging to the Spm family of elements (PATTERSON et al. 1991) and one whose identity is unknown (MCCARTY et al. 1989).

It must be emphasized that although Mul has been

¹ Present address: Department of Biology, 010A Coker Hall, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599.

the most frequently isolated insertion in Mu-induced mutations overall, the frequency at which it is found in different loci can vary greatly. There are four genes for which the nature of multiple Mutator-induced insertions has been determined. The Mul class of elements are clearly found at a high frequency in bz1. For example, in one study, all 11 Mu-induced bz1 mutants were found to contain a Mu1 insertion (BROWN, ROBERTSON and BENNETZEN 1989). In another study, all 8 Mu-induced bz1 mutants were found to contain only Mu1 or Mu1-del insertions (HARDEMAN and CHANDLER 1989). Of 22 Mu-induced bz1 mutants characterized (BROWN, ROBERTSON and BENNETZEN 1989; HARDEMAN and CHANDLER 1989; TAYLOR, CHANDLER and WALBOT 1986), only one contains a different class of element from Mu1 (SCHNABLE, PE-TERSON and SAEDLER, 1989). In contrast, among four Mu-induced normal derivatives of the Kn1-0 mutation, one was found to contain a Mul insertion, one a Mu8 insertion, one a Mu7 insertion and the remaining derivative contained a non-Mu insertion (VEIT et al. 1990). Mul was found more often in adh1 and bz2, but additional elements have also been found at these genes. Of four reported adh1 mutants, three contained Mul elements and one contained Mu3 (BEN-NETZEN et al. 1984; FREELING and BENNET 1985; CHEN et al. 1987). For bz2, three insertions were Mul and one was a MuDR element (MCLAUGHLIN and WALBOT 1987; NASH, LUEHRSEN and WALBOT 1990; HERSHBERGER, WARREN and WALBOT 1991). The data collected so far could be significantly biased by the large number of bz1 alleles examined relative to other genes, especially if Mul has an increased specificity for bz1 relative to other elements as discussed in BENNETZEN et al. (1993).

Several hypotheses could explain the variation in the frequency with which different Mu elements are found inserted at different loci. First, it is possible that different Mu elements are more or less active in different Mutator stocks. The experiments mentioned above were performed in different laboratories, with the Mutator stocks in different genetic backgrounds. Second, different Mu elements may be targeted to different genes, perhaps due to a specific sequence found in the gene or a difference in the chromatin structure surrounding the gene. Third, as most investigators screen for mutable alleles, various Mu element types may be under- or overrepresented. For example, as proposed by BENNETZEN et al. (1993), an element that could serve as an efficient intron might insert without causing a detectable phenotype. Finally, if Mu elements move preferentially to linked locations, then the location of the gene, with respect to the Mu elements linked to it in the progenitor stock, would affect which Mu element would insert into that gene. Although Ac elements are known to preferentially move to linked locations [reviewed in FEDOROFF (1989)], this remains an open question for Mu elements. These results raise several important questions. Are some genes better targets than other genes for Mu elements in general? Are particular genes preferred targets for certain classes of Mu elements?

To begin to address these questions, we used a small number of Mutator plants from similar backgrounds, to generate multiple independent mutations at two different genes, bz1 and sh1. We then determined whether insertions were present in these mutant alleles and if so, what type. Since the same stocks were used to isolate both sets of mutations, any difference in the types of insertions found in the two genes should not be due to major differences in genetic background. Furthermore, as the bz1 and sh1 genes reside two map units apart on chromosome 9, both would be linked to the same Mu elements in the progenitor stock. Finally, by isolating multiple mutations at two different genes, we could compare the overall mutation frequency of these genes and determine what classes of Mu elements are inserted into the two genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Stocks: The purple aleurone Bz1 Sh1 Mutator stock was obtained from D. ROBERTSON, Iowa State University, and details of its stock construction were previously described (HARDEMAN and CHANDLER 1989). The tester stock containing the recessive bz1 sh1 alleles is a W23/K55 hybrid and was obtained from M. G. NEUFFER, University of Missouri. The maize nomenclature used in this manuscript is according to the latest maize nomenclature committee recommendations. The name and symbol of a gene locus is represented in lower case italics (*bronze1*, *bz1*). When a mutant allele is recessive, it is designated by a lower case italicized symbol followed by a hyphen and allele designation (*bz1-A326*). Dominant alleles are designated with the first letter of the symbol capitalized (*Bz1*).

Isolation of mutations: The isolation and initial characterization of the bz1 mutants was previously described as mutations isolated from a high copy number Mu1 stock (HARDEMAN and CHANDLER 1989). A total of 650 Mu; Bz1Sh1/Bz1 Sh1 plants were crossed as female by bz1 sh1/bz1sh1 tester pollen, resulting in 587 ears that were scored for mutants. A total of ~76,300 kernels were scored, estimated by weighing the kernels, and dividing this number by the average weight of the kernels, as determined by weighing several samples of 100 kernels.

DNA samples: The Mul plasmid, pMJ9, was obtained from J. BENNETZEN (BENNETZEN et al. 1984) and the Mulinternal fragment used as a probe, A/B5, is the 650-bp AvaI/BstNI fragment from pMJ9 (CHANDLER, RIVIN and WALBOT 1986). The Mu2 plasmid, pMul.7, was obtained from L. TAYLOR, and the Mu2-specific probe was prepared as described (TAYLOR, CHANDLER and WALBOT 1986). The Mu3 plasmid, pKO121, was obtained from K. OISHI and the Mu3 internal fragment used as a probe is the ~1.0-kb XbaI/ HindIII fragment from pKO121 (OISHI and FREELING 1987). The Mu4 internal fragment used as a probe was the ~650-bp EcoRI/TthIII-1 fragment from p6R6-680 (TAL-BERT, PATTERSON and CHANDLER 1989). The Mu6 and Mu7internal fragments used were the ~360-bp EcoRI/AvaI fragment of Mu6 and the ~160-bp EcoRI/BstXI fragment of Mu7 (V. CHANDLER, unpublished results). The Mu8 plasmid, p713, was obtained from S. WESSLER and the Mu8 internal fragment used as a probe was the ~730-bp PstI/SalI fragment from p713 (FLEENOR et al. 1990). The MuDR-specific probes were the Mu* probe (~1.3-kb EcoRI/BamHI fragment of dMuR) and the ~700 bp BamHI/HindIII fragment of dMuR (CHOMET et al. 1991). The bz1 probe was a PstI subclone obtained from D. FURTEK and O. NELSON (FEDO-ROFF, FURTEK and NELSON 1984; FURTEK 1986). Two of the sh1 probes, indicated in Figure 7, were prepared from the sh1 plasmid p17.6, obtained from C. HANNAH (SHELDON et al. 1983) as follows: sh1-B is the ~1.2-kb BglII fragment, and sh1-C is the ~1.8-kb SacI fragment. The sh1-A probe is an ~800-bp PstI/SacI fragment of the sh1 gene prepared from an ~8-kb PstI fragment of the sh1-A83 allele (CHOMET et al. 1991).

Southern blot analysis: Maize DNA was isolated from leaf tissue as described by DELLAPORTA, WOOD and HICKS (1983), and digested with restriction enzymes for 2 hr (conditions according to suppliers). Approximately 3 μ g of each maize DNA sample were loaded per lane, electrophoresed, and blotted as previously described (CHANDLER, RI-VIN and WALBOT 1986), except that MSI nylon membrane (Fisher) was used. All restriction fragments used as hybridization probes were purified from low melting-point agarose (FMC) gels and labeled by random hexamer priming (FEIN-BERG and VOGELSTEIN 1983).

RESULTS

Isolation of mutants: A maize stock of Mutator parentage that contained a typical number of Mullike elements ($\sim 20-60$), was used to isolate mutations in bz1 and sh1. The bz1 and sh1 genes are located 2 map units apart on chromosome 9. Ears from the Mutator plants were crossed by pollen from bz1 sh1 tester plants as described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. The F_1 progeny from these crosses were screened for bz1 and sh1 mutations by examining the phenotype of the kernels. The bz1 gene encodes UDPglucose: flavonol 3-O-glucosyl transferase, an enzyme involved in anthocyanin biosynthesis (LARSON and COE 1977; DOONER and NELSON 1977; DOONER 1983). The sh1 gene encodes sucrose synthase, an enzyme that catalyzes the interconversion of sucrose and UDP-glucose plus fructose (CHOUREY and NELSON 1976). If no mutation occurred, the F_1 kernels would be plump with purple aleurones. Stable bz1 mutant kernels would be plump with bronze aleurones, and unstable bz1 mutant kernels would be plump and have bronze aleurones with purple revertant sectors. Stable or unstable mutations at sh1 would result in shrunken purple kernels, as small revertant sectors do not visibly change the phenotype.

A total of 11 putative bz1 mutations (10 with an unstable and 1 with a stable phenotype), and a total of 18 putative sh1 mutations were isolated. The putative mutants were planted and crossed by a bz1 sh1homozygous tester stock to determine if the mutations were heritable. Four of the unstable bz1 mutants survived and transmitted the mutant phenotype to their progeny. Of the remaining seven putative mutants, four could not be tested because no progeny

TABLE 1

Number of bz1 and sh1 mutants isolated

Loci	Mutants		
	Isolated	Transmitted ^a	Estimated mutation Frequency ^b
bz1	11	4	8×10^{-5}
sh 1	18	8	1×10^{-4}

A total of 587 ears containing \sim 76,300 kernels were scored for bronze or shrunken kernels.

^a Of the putative bz1 mutants isolated, four either did not germinate or failed to produce progeny, two produced only wild-type progeny, and one, which was originally reported as having transmitted a mutant phenotype (HARDEMAN and CHANDLER 1989), upon further outcrossing transmitted only the chromosome containing the bz1 sh1 tester alleles to progeny. Of the putative sh1 mutants isolated, five either did not germinate or failed to produce progeny and five produced only wild-type progeny.

^b The mutation frequencies were estimated as follows:

 $\frac{\text{no. mutants}}{\text{no. putative mutants tested}} \times \text{total putative mutants}$

 $\times \frac{1}{\text{total kernels scored}}$

were produced, two produced wild-type progeny, and one was not transmissible as only the bz1 sh1 tester chromosome was inherited by progeny. Eight sh1mutants survived and transmitted the mutant phenotype to their progeny. Of the remaining 10 putative mutants, 5 could not be tested as no progeny were produced and 5 produced only wild-type progeny. Table 1 summarizes these data. The estimated frequency of mutations recovered at bz1 and sh1 was 8×10^{-5} and 1×10^{-4} , respectively (Table 1). The transmission of wild-type progeny by several putative mutants could be due to nonconcordance between the embryo and endosperm caused by Mu element instability or by mistaken scoring.

All of the mutations were recovered as single mutant kernels on otherwise non-mutant ears. Two of the Mutator plants produced both a bz1 and a sh1mutation. The bz1-A326 mutant and the sh1-A344mutant were derived from different ears of the same Mutator plant. Similarly, the bz1-A328 mutant and the sh1-A345 mutant were derived from different ears of the same Mutator plant.

Molecular analysis of the bz1 mutants: Two of the bz1 mutations (bz1-A48 and bz1-A52) were previously examined using Southern blot analyses and both appeared to contain Mu1-related insertions (HARDEMAN and CHANDLER 1989). This conclusion was based on the size of the elements, ~ 1.4 -kb, and the presence of a single BstEII and a single NotI restriction enzyme site. Although several known Mu elements (Mu1, Mu5 and Mu8) are ~ 1.4 kb, only Mu1 contains both BstEII and NotI restriction enzyme sites. In addition, we found that the unique bz1-hybridizing sequences in these two alleles also hybridize to a Mu1 internal probe. Thus, the insertions in the bz1-A48 and bz1-



FIGURE 1.—DNA blot analysis of bz1 mutants bz1-A326 and bz1-A328. (A) Southern blot of leaf DNA samples (~3 μ g) digested with BglII and hybridized with the bz1 probe P1, indicated in Figure 2. Lane a, bz1-A326/bz1; lane b, bz1-A328/bz1; lane c, Bz1/bz1 (wild-type sibling of bz1-A326); lane d, bz1 tester. (B) The same blot hybridized with the Mu1 internal probe. The arrowheads indicate the altered bz1 restriction fragment observed in each mutant relative to the progenitor Bz1 fragment. The migration of HindIII digested λ DNA, used as a size standard, is indicated in kilobase pairs (kb).

A52 mutants are most likely Mu1-related elements (HARDEMAN and CHANDLER 1989).

To determine what type of insertions were responsible for the unstable mutant phenotype of the two remaining bz1 mutations, bz1-A326 and bz1-A328, DNA was prepared from progeny of the mutants and examined by Southern analyses using bz1 and Mu1 probes. An example of one such Southern blot hybridized with the bz1 probe is shown in Figure 1A. The bz1-A326 mutant contains a unique ~10.3-kb BglII restriction fragment (lane a) that is ~ 1.4 kb larger than the ~8.9-kb BglII restriction fragment in the progenitor allele (lane c). Further experiments revealed that the insertion in bz1-A326 contained both BstEII and NotI restriction enzyme sites (data not shown). In addition, the bz1-hybridizing fragment from bz1-A326 is the same size as a fragment that hybridizes with the Mu1-internal probe (compare lane a in Figure 1, A and B), suggesting that these two fragments may be the same. As there are a large number of Mu1-hybridizing fragments in this stock, it is possible that the Mul-hybridizing fragment is merely comigrating with the bz1-hybridizing fragment in this mutant. However, the combination of the presence of Mul-diagnostic restriction sites and the comigration of a fragment hybridizing to bz1 and Mu1 probes suggest that the insertion in bz1-A326 is a Mu1related element.

The bz1-A328 mutant contains a unique BglII restriction fragment of ~11.3 kb (lane b) that is ~2.4 kb larger than the progenitor allele (lane c). This finding is consistent with an insertion of ~2.4 kb that contains no BglII sites. Digests with two other restriction enzymes were also consistent with an insert size of ~2.4 kb (data not shown). As can be seen by comparing lane b in Figure 1, A and B, the bz1hybridizing fragment in this mutant also appears to be the same size as a fragment that hybridizes with the Mu1-internal probe. However, with this digest, a Mu1-homologous restriction fragment of approximately the same size is also observed in the bz1 tester stock (Figure 1B, lane d). To determine if the bz1homologous fragment in bz1-A328 also hybridizes with Mu1 probes additional digests were done. A SacI fragment from bz1-A328 hybridized to both bz1 and Mu1 probes and a similar sized fragment was not observed in the bz1 tester (data not shown). Thus, the insertion in bz1-A328 appears to hybridize with Mu1probes.

As the insertion in bz1-A328 appeared to be related to, but larger than Mu1, we were interested in determining whether the insertion was related to Mu2, a Mu element that is closely related to Mu1, but contains an additional 385 bp of novel DNA. Therefore, we rehybridized the blot in Figure 1 with a fragment unique to Mu2 and found that the insertion in bz1-A328 also appears to hybridize to the Mu2-specific probe (data not shown). The Mu2-specific probe did not hybridize with the Mu1-hybridizing fragment in the bz1 tester.

The Mu2 elements studied previously contain one BstEII site and no NotI sites (TAYLOR and WALBOT 1987). Therefore we tested whether the insertion in bz1-A328 contained these sites and found that the insertion contains a NotI site but is not digested by the BstEII restriction enzyme, the opposite of what had been found with the Mu2 element (TAYLOR and WAL-BOT 1987). Interestingly, the Mu element rcy:Mu7, an \sim 2.2-kb element that shares no internal sequence identity with Mu2, contains one NotI site and no BstEII site. To determine if the insertion in *bz1-A328* might be related to the rcy:Mu7 element, a blot similar to the one shown in Figure 1 was hybridized to fragments from Mu6 and Mu7, both of which are closely related to rcy:Mu7. The insertion in bz1-A328 did not hybridize to either the Mu6 or Mu7 fragments, suggesting the insertion is not related to rcy:Mu7.

These data suggest that the insertion in bz1-A328 belongs to the Mu1 class of elements. However, its precise relationship to this class is unclear, as it appears to be larger than Mu2, the largest known member of this class, and it has a different restriction map with NotI and BstEII than either Mu1 or Mu2.

The data presented above suggest that all four of the insertions in the bz1 mutants belong to the Mu1 class of Mu elements. The approximate locations of the insertions in the bz1-A326 and bz1-A328 alleles, as well as the insertions in the two previously described alleles, are shown in Figure 2.

Molecular analysis of the sh1 mutants: To determine whether insertions were present in the sh1 mutants, blot analyses were performed on DNA from progeny of each of the eight sh1 mutants and from the progenitor Sh1 allele, using several restriction



FIGURE 2.—Restriction map of Bz1 progenitor allele and the approximate location of the insertions in the bz1 mutants. The restriction enzyme sites are abbreviated as follows: G, BglII; K, KpnI; Bs, BstEII; N, NotI; S, SacI. The bz1 probes P1 and P17, used in mapping the insertion sites, are indicated below the map (FURTEK 1986). The location of the bz1 transcript is indicated by the arrow with the intron shown (RALSTON, ENGLISH and DOONER 1988). The position of each insertion was determined by performing single and double digestions of maize DNA using enzymes that cut at the indicated sites.

TABLE 2

Summary of the insertions found in the sh1 mutants

Allele name	Size if insert (kb)	Class of Mu elements ^a
sh1-A83	~4.0	MuDR
sh1-A85	~4.0	MuDR
sh1-A89	~3.7	MuDR
sh1-A95	~1.4	Mul
sh1-A337	~3.0	Unknown
sh1-A340	~1.4	Mul
sh1-A344b	~2.2	MuDR
sh1-A345c	~5.0	MuDR

^{*a*} The determination of the class of *Mu* elements inserted in these alleles is described in the text.

^b The *sh1-A344* mutant was derived from the same Mutator parent as the *bz1-A326* mutant.

^c The *sh1-A345* mutant was derived from the same Mutator parent as the *bz1-A328* mutant.

enzymes. A summary of the sh1 mutant alleles, the size of the insertion in each allele, and the potential class of Mu insertion is in Table 2. Examples of data supporting our conclusions are in Figures 3–6, and a summary of the insertion sites is in Figure 7. Briefly summarized, five of the insertions belonged to the MuDR class and two of the insertions belonged to the Mu1 class of elements. We were unable to determine the identity of one of the insertions.

As stated, our data suggest that five of the *sh1* mutants contained *MuDR*-related insertions ranging in size from 2.2 to 5.0 kb. An example of a DNA blot containing DNA from progeny of four of these *sh1* mutants; *sh1-A83*, *sh1-A85*, *sh1-A344* and *sh1-A345* is shown in Figure 3A. Each of the plants from which DNA was prepared was heterozygous, containing the



FIGURE 3.—DNA blot analysis of *sh1* mutants; *sh1-A83*, *sh1-A85*, *sh1-A344* and *sh1-A345*. (A) Southern blot of leaf DNA samples (~3 μ g) digested with *Pst1* and hybridized with the *sh1-B* probe indicated in Fig. 7. Lane a, *sh1-A83/Sh1*; lane b, *sh1-A85/sh1*; lane c, *sh1-A344/sh1*; lane d, *sh1-A345/sh1*; lane e, *sh1* tester; lane f, *Sh1/sh1* (wild-type sibling of *sh1-A83*). (B) Same blot hybridized with the *MuDR* internal probe, Mu* (MATERIALS AND METHODS). The arrowheads point to the altered restriction fragments observed in each mutant relative to the progenitor *Sh1* fragment. The migration of *Hind*IIII digested λ DNA, used as a size marker, is indicated in kilobase pairs (kb).



FIGURE 4.—DNA blot analysis of sh1 mutant sh1-A89. (A) Southern blot on leaf DNA samples (~3 µg) digested with PstI and hybridized with the sh1-C probe indicated in Figure 7. Lane a, sh1-A89/sh1; lane b, Sh1/sh1 (wild-type sibling of sh1-A89); lane c, sh1 tester. (B). Same blot hybridized with the BamHI/HindIII internal sequence of MuDR. The arrows point to the altered restriction fragment observed in sh1-A89 relative to the progenitor Sh1 fragment. The migration of HindIIII digested lambda DNA, used as a size marker, is indicated in kilobase pairs (kb).

mutant allele and the tester sh1 allele. The DNA was digested with PstI and the blot was hybridized with the sh1-B probe. The size of the PstI fragments of the progenitor Sh1 allele is ~5 kb (Figure 3A, lane f); this is approximately the same size as the sh1 tester allele (lane e). The sh1-A83 and sh1-A85 alleles, contain an ~9-kb fragment in addition to the ~5-kb sh1 tester fragment (Figure 3A, lanes a and b), consistent with insertions in both the sh1-A83 and sh1-A85 allele of ~4.0 kb that contain no PstI sites. The sh1-A85 allele has an additional fragment of ~10 kb, that other digests have shown is due to incomplete digestion of



FIGURE 5.—DNA blot analysis of the *sh1* mutant *sh1-A95*. (A) Southern blot of leaf DNA samples ($\sim 3 \mu g$) digested with *Bgl*II and hybridized with the *sh1*-C probe indicated in Figure 7. Lane a, *sh1-A95/sh1*; lane b, *Sh1/sh1* (wild-type sibling of *sh1-A95*); lane c, *sh1* tester. (B). Same blot hybridized with the *Mu1* internal probe. The arrowheads point to the altered restriction fragment observed in *sh1-A95* relative to the fragment from the progenitor *Sh1* allele. The migration of *Hind*III-digested λ DNA, used as a size marker, is indicated in kilobase pairs (kb).

the PstI site in the sh1-A85 allele. The sh1-A344 allele contains an ~7.2-kb fragment (Figure 3A, lane c), consistent with an ~2.2-kb insert that does not contain a PstI site. The sh1-A345 allele contains an ~10-kb fragment (Figure 3A, lane d), consistent with an insertion of ~5.0 kb that does not contain a PstI site. Two other restriction enzymes (or combinations of enzymes) have been used to analyze these sh1 mutations. The results for all four alleles and with all enzymes tested were consistent with the size of the inserts indicated by the PstI digests. In addition, DNA samples from the original mutant plants, digested with one restriction enzyme, were analyzed by DNA blot analyses and the indicated insertion sizes were the same as in those of the progeny (data not shown).

As previously reported, the sh1-A83 allele has been cloned, and the ~4.0-kb insert shown to be in the same class of elements as MuDR (CHOMET et al. 1991). Restriction mapping of this allele was consistent with the hypothesis that the insertion in sh1-A83 lacks ~1 kb relative to the full length MuDR element. Given the similar size range, we were interested in determining whether any of the other insertions in the sh1 alleles were related to MuDR. Therefore we removed the sh1 probe from the blot shown in Figure 3A and rehybridized with Mu*, a MuDR internal probe (Figure 3B). As can be seen by comparing Figure 3, A and B, the fragments that hybridize to the sh1 probe in the sh1-A83, sh1-A85, sh1-A344 and sh1-A345 alleles (lanes a-d) also hybridize to the MuDR probe. This experiment has been repeated with DNA digested with PstI in combination with two other restriction enzymes and in all cases the fragments from the sh1 alleles hybridized to both the sh1 and the MuDR probes (data not shown). These data are consistent with the hypothesis that the insertions in the *sh1-A85*, sh1-A344 and sh1-A345 alleles are all members of the MuDR class, as is the insertion in the sh1-A83 allele (Сномет et al. 1991).

MuDR has unique BglII, EcoRI and BclI sites char-



FIGURE 6.—DNA blot analysis of sh1 mutants sh1-A337 and sh1-A340. (A) Southern blot on leaf DNA samples (~3 μ g) digested with PstI and hybridized with the sh1-B probe indicated in Figure 7. Lane a, sh1-A337/sh1; lane b, sh1-A340/sh1; lane c, Sh1/sh1 (wild-type sibling of sh1-A337); lane d, sh1 tester. (B). The same blot hybridized with the Mu1 internal fragment. The arrowheads point to the altered restriction fragment observed in the sh1-A337 and sh1-A340 alleles relative to the fragment from the progenitor Sh1 allele. The fragment in sh1-A340 also hybridizes to the Mu1 internal probe, while the fragments in sh1-A337 do not. The migration of HindIII digested lambda DNA, used as a size marker, is indicated in kilobase pairs (kb).

acteristic for this class of element. To determine whether these restriction enzyme sites were present in the MuDR-related elements in the sh1 mutants, double digests using PstI and each of the three restriction enzymes listed above were carried out on DNA samples from plants with each of the three alleles. These digests were then analyzed on DNA blots hybridized with either the sh1-A or sh1-B probes shown in Figure 7. The ~4-kb insert in the sh1-A85 allele contains a BclI site and an EcoRI site, but does not contain a BglII site. The ~2.2-kb insert in the sh1-A344 allele does not contain a BglII, EcoRI or BclI site. The ~5-kb insert in the sh1-A345 allele has a BclI site, an EcoRI site, and a BglII site. Assuming these inserts are closely related to MuDR, we used the position of these three restriction sites in MuDR, and the location of these restriction sites in the insertions to map the approximate location of the insertions in the sh1 gene (Figure 7).

The fifth *sh1* mutation that contains a *MuDR* related insertion is *sh1-A89*. Figure 4 illustrates a DNA blot containing *PstI* digested DNA from progeny of the *sh1-A89* mutant that is heterozygous for the *sh1-A89* allele and the *sh1* tester allele. The blot was hybridized with the *sh1-*C probe, shown in Figure 7. The progenitor *Sh1* fragment is 1.9 kb (lane b) and the fragment in the *sh1-A89* allele is 5.9 kb (lane a), suggesting the *sh1-A89* allele contains an ~4-kb insertion without a *PstI* site. To determine whether the insertion was related to *MuDR*, the *sh1* probe was removed from the blot in Figure 4A and the blot was rehybridized with a *MuDR* probe, as shown in Figure 4B. As can be seen by comparing lane a in Figure 4, A and B, a



FIGURE 7.—Restriction map of the Sh1 progenitor allele and the approximate locations of the insertions of the sh1 mutants. The restriction enzyme sites are abbreviated as follows: B, BamHI; Bc, BclI; Bs, BstEII; E, EcoRI; G, BglII; H, HindIII; N, NotI; S, SacI; X, XbaI. The sh1probes used in Figures 3–6 are indicated below the map. Additional probes that are not shown were also used in mapping these alleles. The location of the start of the sh1 transcript is indicated as an arrow marked 5' (WERR *et al.* 1985). The positions of the insertions were determined by single and double digestions. The fragments that contain the insertions in sh1-A337 and sh1-A344 are shown as lines.

fragment that hybridizes to the sh1 probe in sh1-A89 also hybridizes to the MuDR fragment. This experiment has been repeated with two separate combinations of restriction enzymes and in all cases the fragment in sh1-A89 hybridizes to both the sh1 and MuDR probes. Therefore the insertion in sh1-A89 is likely to be a member of the MuDR class of Mu elements. Other restriction digests of progeny of the sh1-A89 allele have also suggested that the insert in this allele is ~4 kb, however two different restriction digests of DNA from the original mutant plant indicated that the insert was ~5 kb (data not shown). Further Southern blot analysis using DNA from progeny of sh1-A89 revealed that the insertion in sh1-A89 is not cut with EcoRI or BglII, even though analysis of DNA from the original mutant plant demonstrated that the insertion in sh1-A89 did contain an EcoRI site and a BglII site (data not shown). As instability of the MuDR class has been reported (CHOMET et al. 1991), we hypothesize that a deletion occurred in the insertion in the sh1-A89 allele and the deleted element was transmitted to the progeny we analyzed. Assuming the insertion in sh1-A89 to be related to MuDR, its location in the sh1 gene was determined as shown in Figure 7.

Two of the sh1 mutations appear to contain Mu1 related insertions. Figure 5A shows a DNA blot containing BglII-digested DNA from progeny of the sh1-A95 mutant, which is heterozygous for the sh1-A95 allele and the tester sh1 allele. This blot was hybridized to the sh1-C probe. The progenitor Sh1 allele is

~6 kb (lane b) and the *sh1-A95* allele is ~7.4 kb (lane a), suggesting an insertion of ~1.4 kb. Figure 6 illustrates DNA blot analysis of PstI digested DNA from progeny of the sh1-A340 mutant which is heterozygous for the sh1-A340 allele and the tester sh1 allele. This blot was hybridized to the sh1-B probe. The progenitor Sh1 allele (lane c) and the tester sh1 allele (lane d) are both ~5 kb. The sh1-A340 allele contains an ~6.4-kb PstI fragment (lane b), suggesting that the insert in sh1-A340 is ~1.4 kb. The size of the inserts in sh1-A95 and sh1-A340 have been confirmed by two separate restriction digests of DNA from the original mutant plants. Further restriction mapping of progeny of the sh1-A95 and sh1-A340 mutants revealed that both of the insertions contain a BstEII site and a NotI site characteristic of Mu1-related elements. The sh1 probe was removed from each blot and each blot was hybridized with a Mu1-internal probe (Figures 5B and 6B). As can be seen by comparing lane a in Figure 5, A and B, and lane b in Figure 6, A and B, a Sh1 hybridizing band in the two mutants also hybridizes to the Mu1-internal probe. Assuming the insertions in the two sh1 alleles are related to Mu1, their positions in sh1 are shown in Figure 7.

The *sh1-A337* allele contains two fragments that hybridize to the *sh1*-B probe, (Figure 6A, lane a), an ~4.3-kb fragment and an ~2.7-kb fragment, suggesting the insertion contains at least one *PstI* site. Southern blot analyses of DNA of plants containing the *sh1*-*A337* allele digested with either *SacI*, *BglII*, or *BclI*

produced single fragments indicative of an insertion in sh1-A337 of ~3.0 kb (data not shown). Taken together, these data suggest that the insert in sh1-A337 is at least ~ 3.0 kb and contains at least two PstI sites as the size of the two PstI fragments from this allele together are only ~ 2 kb larger than the ~ 5.0 kb PstI fragment of the Sh1 allele. To determine if this insertion might be related to a Mu element, we removed the sh1-B probe from blots and successively reprobed with internal fragments specific for each class of Mu element. None of the Mu-internal fragments tested hybridized to the insertion in sh1-A337. As the classes of Mu elements can be quite heterogeneous, it is still possible that this insertion belongs to one of the previously identified Mu classes. It is also possible that the insertion represents a novel Mu element class, or a non-Mu insertion.

DISCUSSION

A small number (650) of Mutator plants were used to isolate mutations at both bz1 and sh1. From this screen, 4 bz1 and 8 sh1 mutations were isolated and all 12 alleles contained insertions, 11 of which appear to be related to Mu elements. The bz1 and sh1 genes were mutated at similar frequencies, suggesting that both genes are equally receptive to Mu element insertions. However, the two genes appeared to be targeted by different classes of Mu elements.

All four insertions into bz1 are related to the Mu1 class of elements. The insertions in three of the bz1 mutations are the size of Mu1 and contain both a BstEII site and a NotI site, diagnostic of Mul elements. Furthermore, the restriction fragment that hybridized to bz1 sequences in each mutant was the same size as a restriction fragment that hybridized to an internal probe from Mu1. These findings strongly suggest that these three insertions are Mul-like elements. The fourth bz1 mutation contains an additional ~2.4 kb of DNA relative to the progenitor allele and the restriction fragment that hybridized to bz1 sequences in this mutant also hybridized to an internal probe from Mu1 and a unique probe from the Mu2 element (a member of the Mul class of elements) suggesting that this insertion is also related to the Mul class of Mu elements.

Using similar criteria, two of the sh1 mutants also appear to contain Mu1-like insertions. Of the six remaining sh1 mutations, five appear to contain insertions that belong to the MuDR class of Mu elements. This conclusion is based on the finding that in three different restriction digests, the sh1-hybridizing sequences in these alleles also hybridize to an internal MuDR probe. In addition, four of these inserts have restriction sites found in MuDR and one of these four inserts has been cloned and found to contain a MuDRlike insert (CHOMET *et al.* 1991). The final sh1 mutation contains an ~3.0 kb insert that does not hybridize to internal sequences of any of the known Mu elements. It is possible that this insert is a novel Mu element, or it might belong to a family of elements distinct from Mu. Therefore, five out of eight sh1 mutations appear to contain MuDR related insertions, two appear to contain Mu1 related insertions, and one contains an insertion whose identity is unknown.

Previously, only two MuDR related elements, Mu9 and the MuDR related insertion at sh1-A83, had been isolated as insertions in a gene (CHOMET et al. 1991; HERSHBERGER, WARREN and WALBOT 1991). It is interesting that the MuDR related insertions we isolated at sh1 are very heterogeneous. The insertion at sh1-A345 is approximately the same size (\sim 5 kb) as MuDR and has the three unique restriction sites found in MuDR. Insertions at the two alleles sh1-A83 and sh1-A85 are both \sim 4 kb and therefore appear to be deleted for a region of MuDR. However, these insertions differ with respect to several restriction sites found in MuDR, suggesting they are missing different regions of MuDR. The original insertion at sh1-A89 appears to have been unstable, as demonstrated by our finding that DNA from the original mutant contained an ~5-kb insertion that was digested by both EcoRI and BglII, whereas the progeny of this mutant contained an ~3.7-kb insertion that was not digested by either EcoRI or BglII. Finally, the insertion at sh1-A344 is only ~ 2.2 kb and therefore appears to be missing much of the MuDR sequence.

It is interesting that even in the small data set examined, we have observed a difference in the class of Mu element that inserted most often into the bz1and sh1 genes. All of the Mu elements in the bz1mutants were Mu1-related (100%). In contrast, the Mu1 class of elements accounted for only two (25%) of the insertions at sh1. The class of Mu elements found inserted most often in the sh1 mutants is MuDR(62.5%).

When our data is combined with other published studies, it is clear that either the bz1 gene is particularly susceptible to the insertion of Mu1 elements, or resistant to the insertion of other classes of elements (reviewed in BENNETZEN *et al.* 1993). Of 26 independent alleles, 25 contained elements of the Mu1 class (TAYLOR, CHANDLER and WALBOT 1986; BROWN, ROBERTSON and BENNETZEN 1989; HARDEMAN and CHANDLER 1989; this study), whereas only 1 contained a different class of Mu element (SCHNABLE, PETERSON and SAEDLER 1989). We would predict that as more *sh1* alleles are characterized a MuDR preference for *sh1* will be observed, as suggested by our data.

All the mutations examined in this study were derived from the same Mutator stock. Therefore, the simple hypothesis that different Mu elements are active in different Mutator stocks cannot explain why the two genes were targeted predominantly by distinct classes of Mu elements. Furthermore, the finding that in two independent cases a single Mutator plant gave rise to a bz1 mutation containing a Mu1-related element and a sh1 mutation with a MuDR-related element, clearly demonstrates that different Mu elements can be active in the same Mutator plant.

Another hypothesis is that Mu elements transpose to linked sites and a difference in the class of Muelement linked to each gene cause the two genes to be mutated predominantly by different classes of elements. To invoke this hypothesis, one must invoke that Mu elements move preferentially to very tightly linked locations, as bz1 and sh1 are only two map units apart. Since there is no conclusive evidence that Muelements move to linked locations [reviewed in CHAN-DLER and HARDEMAN (1992) and BENNETZEN *et al.* (1993)], we believe that the difference in the types of insertions found most often at the two genes is unlikely to be due to a difference in the Mu elements linked to the two genes.

A hypothesis that could account for our findings is that each class of Mu element has specific preferences for certain genes. The classes of Mu elements contain distinct features that could contribute to differences in targeting. One obvious difference between different classes of Mu elements is that they do not share the same internal sequences. It is possible that the differences in targeting are due to the differences in internal sequences among the various classes of Mu elements. One potential mechanism is suggested by studies with Drosophila P elements. P element derivatives containing a region of upstream regulatory DNA from the engrailed (en) gene insert at a very high frequency near the endogenous en gene and also at genes with similar expression patterns. The selective insertion of P elements did not occur at specific DNA sites. The authors speculated that the insertion specificity might be the result of a protein bound to the en fragment within the P elements bringing the Pelements to a particular location in the genome via protein-protein or protein-DNA interactions (HAMA, ALI and KORNBERG 1990; KASSIS et al. 1992).

Another difference in the classes of Mu elements resides in their termini. Although all Mu elements contain similar Mu-termini, they are not all identical [reviewed in WALBOT (1991)]. For example, the termini of the MuDR and Mu1 classes of elements are only ~85% identical (QIN and ELLINGBOE 1990). It is not known which sequences in the termini are necessary for transposition. Theoretically, some termini might require greater amounts of transposase or additional host factors to transpose. If this is the case, the timing of transposition could differ among the various classes of Mu elements. This could have an effect on which genes are targeted by a particular class of Mu element. For example, particular genes may be in an accessible chromatin structure for limited times only.

Mu elements have been found inserted within introns, exons, promoters or 5' leaders [reviewed in BENNETZEN et al. (1993)]. Prior to our study, there were only three genes for which a large number of insertions had been analyzed, adh1, bz1 and kn1. For all three genes, there did appear to be a bias in that a majority of the mutants contained insertions into particular regions of the genes [reviewed in BENNETZEN et al. (1993)]. Similarly, five of the eight sh1 mutants we isolated contained insertions near the 5' end of the gene. This potential intragenic specificity could be caused by element preferences, hot spots within the genes for insertions, or bias introduced by screening for particular phenotypes [reviewed by BEN-NETZEN et al. (1993)]. Since different Mu elements have different DNA sequences, how these sequences interact with the adjacent gene regulatory sequences could influence the frequency with which a mutant phenotype is generated, and the type of element found most often in a particular gene.

These results have important implications for cloning Mu-tagged genes as other genes may also be resistant or susceptible to particular classes of Mu elements. It remains to be determined what factors affect the target sites of the various classes of Mu elements. Transposition assays in which the Mu elements could be altered *in vitro* and then reintroduced into plants would facilitate testing the hypotheses discussed.

We thank S. BELCHER and J. KORTE for technical assistance, and G. PATTERSON, K. KUBO, A. BARKAN, H. FOSS and M. SAINZ for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (GM 35871) to V.L.C. K.J.H. was supported by a Predoctoral National Institute of Health Training Grant (GM07413).

LITERATURE CITED

- BARKER, R. F., D. V. THOMPSON, D. R. TALBOT, J. SWANSON and J. L. BENNETZEN, 1984 Nucleotide sequence of the maize transposable element Mul. Nucleic Acids Res. 12: 5955–5967.
- BENNETZEN, J. L., J. SWANSON, W. C. TAYLOR and M. FREELING, 1984 DNA insertion in the first intron of maize *adh1* affects message levels: cloning of progenitor and mutant *adh1* alleles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81: 4125-4128.
- BENNETZEN, J. L., P. S. SPRINGER, A. D. CRESSE and M. HENDRICKX, 1993 Specificity and regulation of the *Mutator* transposable element system in maize. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 12: 57–95.
- BROWN, W. E., D. S. ROBERTSON and J. L. BENNETZEN, 1989 Molecular analysis of multiple Mutator-derived alleles of the *bronze* locus of maize. Genetics **122**: 439–445.
- CHANDLER, V. L., and K. J. HARDEMAN, 1992 The Mu elements of Zea mays. Adv. Genet. 30: 77-122.
- CHANDLER, V., C. RIVIN and V. WALBOT, 1986 Stable non-Mutator stocks of maize have sequences homologous to the *Mu1* transposable element. Genetics **114**: 1007–1021.
- CHEN, C.-H., K. K. OISHI, B. KLOECKENER-GRUISSEM and M. FREEL-ING, 1987 Organ-specific expression of maize *adh1* is altered after a *Mu* transposon insertion. Genetics **116**: 469–477.
- CHOMET, P., D. LISCH, K. J. HARDEMAN, V. L. CHANDLER and M. FREELING, 1991 Identification of a regulatory transposon that controls the Mutator transposable element system in maize. Genetics **129**: 261-270.
- CHOUREY, P. S., and O. E. NELSON, 1976 The enzymatic defi-

ciency conditioned by the *shrunken-1* mutations in maize. Biochem. Genet. 14: 1041-1055.

- DELLAPORTA, S. L., J. WOOD and J. B. HICKS, 1983 A plant DNA mini-preparation: Version II. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 1: 19–21.
- DOONER, H. K., 1983 Coordinate genetic regulation of flavonoid biosynthetic enzymes in maize. Mol. Gen. Genet. 189: 136-141.
- DOONER, H. K., and O. E. NELSON, 1977 Genetic control of UDPglucose: flavonol 3-O-glucosyl-transferase in the endosperm of maize. Biochem. Genet. 15: 509-519.
- FEDOROFF, N., 1989 Maize transposable elements, pp. 375-411 in *Mobile DNA*, edited by D. BERG and M. HOWE. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- FEDOROFF, N. V., D. B. FURTEK and O. E. NELSON, 1984 Cloning of the *bronze* locus in maize by a simple and generalizable procedure using the transposable controlling element Activator (Ac). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81: 3825-3829.
- FEINBERG, A. P., and B. VOGELSTEIN, 1983 A technique for radiolabeling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity. Anal. Biochem. 132: 6–13.
- FLEENOR, D., M. SPELL, D. ROBERTSON and S. WESSLER, 1990 Nucleotide sequence of the maize Mutator element, Mu8. Nucleic Acids Res. 18: 6725.
- FREELING, M., and D. CLARK BENNETT, 1985 Maize Adh1. Annu. Rev. Genet. 19: 297–323.
- FURTEK, D. B., 1986 Cloning and sequence analysis of the *bronze* locus of maize: location of transposable element insertions and comparison of two wild-type alleles. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison.
- HAMA, C., Z. ALI, and T. B. KORNBERG, 1990 Region-specific recombination and expression are directed by portions of the Drosophila *engrailed* promoter. Genes Dev. 4: 1079-1093.
- HARDEMAN, K. J., and V. L. CHANDLER, 1989 Characterization of *bz1* mutants isolated from Mutator stocks with high and low numbers of *Mu1* elements. Dev. Genet. 10: 460-472.
- HERSHBERGER, R. J., C. A. WARREN and V. WALBOT, 1991 Mutator activity in maize correlates with the presence and expression of Mu9, a new Mu transposable element. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88: 10198-10202.
- KASSIS, J. A., E. NOLL, E. P. VAN SICKLE, W. F. ODENWALD and N. PERRIMON, 1992 Altering the insertional specificity of a Drosophila transposable element. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 1919–1923.
- LARSON, R. L., and E. H. COE, JR., 1977 Gene-dependent flavonoid glucosyl-transferase in maize. Biochem Genet. 15: 153– 156.
- MCCARTY, D. R., C. B. CARSON, M. LAZAR and S. C. SIMONDS, 1989 Transposable element-induced mutations of the *viviparous1* gene in maize. Dev. Genet. **10**: 473-481.
- MCLAUGHLIN, M., and V. WALBOT, 1987 Cloning of a mutable

bz2 allele of maize by transposon tagging and differential hybridization. Genetics **117**: 771–776.

- NASH, J., K. R. LUEHRSEN and V. WALBOT, 1990 Bronze2 gene of maize: reconstruction of a wild-type allele and analysis of transcription and splicing. Plant Cell 2: 1039–1049.
- OISHI, K. K., and M. FREELING, 1987 A new Mu element from a Robertson's Mutator line, pp. 289–291 in Plant Transposable Elements, edited by O. NELSON. Plenum Press, New York.
- PATTERSON, G. I., L. J. HARRIS, V. WALBOT and V. L. CHANDLER, 1991 Genetic analysis of *B-Peru*, a regulatory gene in maize. Genetics 126: 205-220.
- QIN, M., and A. H. ELLINGBOE, 1990 A transcript identified by MuA of maize is associated with Mutator activity. Mol. Gen. Genet. 224: 357-363.
- QIN, M., D. S. ROBERTSON and A. E. ELLINGBOE, 1991 Cloning of the Mutator transposable element *MuA2*: a putative regulator of somatic mutability of the *al-Mum2* allele in maize. Genetics **129**: 845-854.
- RALSTON, E. J., J. J. ENGLISH and H. K. DOONER, 1988 Sequence of three *bronze* alleles of maize and correlation with the genetic fine structure. Genetics **119**: 185–197.
- ROBERTSON, D. S., 1978 Characterization of a mutator system in maize. Mutat. Res. 51: 21–28.
- SCHNABLE, P. S., P. A. PETERSON and H. SAEDLER, 1989 The bzrcy allele of the Cy transposable element system of Zea mays contains a Mu-like element insertion. Mol. Gen. Genet. 217: 459-463.
- SHELDON, E., R. FERL, N. FEDOROFF and L. C. HANNAH, 1983 Isolation and analysis of a genomic clone encoding sucrose synthetase in maize: evidence for two introns in Sh. Mol. Gen. Genet. 190: 421-426.
- TALBERT, L. E., G. I. PATTERSON and V. L. CHANDLER, 1989 Mu transposable elements are structurally diverse and distributed throughout the genus Zea. J. Mol. Evol. 29: 28-39.
- TAYLOR, L. P., V. L. CHANDLER and V. WALBOT, 1986 Insertion of 1.4 kb and 1.7 kb *Mu* elements into the *bronze1* gene of *Zea mays* L. Maydica **31**: 31–45.
- TAYLOR, L. P., and V. WALBOT, 1987 Isolation and characterization of a 1.7-kbp transposable element from a Mutator line of maize. Genetics 117: 297-307.
- VEIT, B., E. VOLLBRECHT, J. MATHERN and S. HAKE, 1990 A tandem duplication causes the *Kn1-0* allele of *Knotted*, a dominant morphological mutant of maize. Genetics **124**: 623–631.
- WALBOT, V., 1991 The Mutator transposable element family of maize. Genet. Eng. 13: 1-37.
- WALBOT, V., 1992 Reactivation of Mutator transposable elements of maize by ultraviolet light. Mol. Gen. Genet. 234: 353–360.
- WERR, W., W.-B. FROMMER, C. MAAS and P. STARLINGER, 1985 Structure of the sucrose synthase gene on chromosome 9 of Zea mays L. EMBO J. 4: 1373-1380.

Communicating editor: W. F. SHERIDAN