Deletion of the Schizophyllum commune A α Locus: The Roles of A α Y and Z Mating-Type Genes

C. Ian Robertson,*^{,†} Kirk A. Bartholomew,[‡] Charles P. Novotny^{*,§} and Robert C. Ullrich^{*,†}

*Cell and Molecular Biology Program, [†]Department of Botany and Agricultural Biochemistry, [§]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405 and [‡]Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Quebec, Canada

> Manuscript received May 29, 1996 Accepted for publication September 11, 1996

ABSTRACT

The A α locus is one of four master regulatory loci that determine mating type and regulate sexual development in Schizophyllum commune. We have made a plasmid containing a URA1 gene disruption of the A α YI gene. YI is the sole A α gene in A α l strains. We used the plasmid construction to produce an A α null (*i.e.*, A $\alpha\Delta$) strain by replacing the genomic YI gene with URA1 in an A α l strain. To characterize the role of the A α genes in the regulation of sexual development, we transformed various A α Y and Z alleles into A $\alpha\Delta$ strains and examined the acquired mating types and mating abilities of the transformants. These experiments demonstrate that the A α Y gene is not essential for fungal viability and growth, that a solitary $ZA\alpha$ mating-type gene does not itself activate development, that A β proteins are sufficient to activate the A developmental pathway in the absence of A α proteins and confirm that Y and Z genes are the sole determinants of A α mating type. The data from these experiments support and refine our model of the regulation of A-pathway events by Y and Z proteins.

CEXUAL development, *i.e.*, the formation of the di-Not karyon, follows fusion of homokaryotic mycelia with different mating types in the basidiomycete fungus, Schizophyllum commune. Classical genetic studies (KNIEP 1920; PAPAZIAN 1951; RAPER 1966) have shown that mating events comprise two independently regulated developmental pathways, A and B, which in concert comprise the transition from homokaryon to dikaryon. The A pathway is controlled by two loci, A α and A β . There are 9 A α mating types and 32 A β mating types in the worldwide population of S. commune. The A pathway is activated by mates that differ for A α and/or A β mating types. Thus, A α and A β are considered redundant in the sense that either is sufficient to activate A-regulated development. The B pathway is controlled in a similar fashion by two loci, $B\alpha$ and $B\beta$, also considered redundant to one another.

We have shown that the A α locus contains two divergently transcribed genes (Y and Z), which encode mating type proteins, Y and Z (Figure 1, STANKIS *et al.* 1992). Each A α locus contains a unique pair of Y and Z alleles (*e.g.*, A α 3 contains alleles Y3 and Z3), except for A α 1, which encodes only the Y allele, Y1. The Y and Z proteins contain homeodomains and other motifs that suggest that they may be DNA-binding regulatory proteins. Transformation experiments suggest that A α activation of the A pathway occurs when Y and Z proteins from different mating types (non-self pairs) of A α are brought together in the fusion cell formed by two mates $(Y_i+Z_j \text{ where } A\alpha_i \neq A\alpha_j)$ (SPECHT *et al.* 1992). Mutational analysis shows that the homeodomain of the Y protein is necessary for activation of the A pathway, but the homeodomain of Z protein is not (LUO *et al.* 1994). Assays using the yeast two hybrid system (MAGAE *et al.* 1995) have shown that protein interactions occur between *non-self* (*e.g.*, Y4 Z5), but not *self* (*e.g.*, Y4 Z4), pairs of Y and Z proteins.

The A α locus is flanked by genes SMIP and X with function apparently unrelated to sexual development (Figure 1). The SMIP gene is located to the left of the A α locus. The SMIP gene encodes mitochondrial intermediate peptidase, an enzyme involved in processing nuclear encoded peptides as they are being imported to the mitochondrion (ISAYA et al. 1995). Gene X is located to the right of the A α locus. Three alleles of X: X1, X3 and X4 have been sequenced (MARION et al. 1995). Neither the DNA sequences nor the protein sequences derived from the DNA sequences match known sequences of protein or DNA databases. Gene disruptions of the X gene cause no alteration of prototropy, mating or homokaryotic or dikaryotic phenotypes under the conditions used. Therefore we consider the X gene to have an undefined function which is likely to be unrelated to sexual development.

Other basidiomycete fungi have similar mating-type systems. In *Coprinus cinereus* and *Ustilago maydis*, development is initiated when non-self pairs of homeodomain proteins are brought together by fusion of haploid cells (KUES *et al.* 1994; GILLISSEN *et al.* 1992, respectively). Protein affinity assays of the *C. cinereus* A mating-

Corresponding author: Robert C. Ullrich, Department of Botany, Marsh Life Sciences, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405-0086. E-mail: rullrich@moose.uvm.edu



FIGURE 1.—Structure of the A α 1, A α 3, and A α 4 mating-type loci (STANKIS *et al.* 1992). *SMIP*, *Y*, *Z*, and *X* genes thought to encode proteins are boxed; shaded regions have been sequenced. Proposed introns are indicated by solid boxes. Arrows indicate the direction of transcription. *SMIP* encodes mitochondrial intermediate peptidase (ISAVA *et al.* 1995). *Y* and *Z* genes encode A α mating proteins Y and Z. X is a gene of unknown function (MARION *et al.* 1995). HD, homeodomain motif; hd, similarity to a homeodomain motif.

type proteins (BANHAM *et al.* 1995) and both protein affinity assays and yeast two-hybrid assays of the *U. maydis* b proteins (KÄMPER *et al.* 1995), show that non-self pairs of homeodomain proteins interact, self pairs do not.

The most complete functional analysis of mating-type proteins has been done in the biallelic mating-type system of the ascomycete, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, where the regulatory properties of **a**1, α 1 and α 2 mating-type proteins have been defined (for a review, see HERSKOW-ITZ 1989). By comparison to the work presented here, it is noteworthy that the **a**1/ α 2 protein complex has been shown to function as a negative regulator (GOUTTE and JOHNSON 1988; DRANGINIS 1990).

Here we describe experiments in which we replaced the Y gene of the A α 1 mating type with a functional URA1 gene. Because the A α 1 locus contains Y1 but no Z1 allele, this replacement has created an A α -null (A $\alpha\Delta$) strain of S. commune devoid of A α mating-type genes. The A $\alpha\Delta$ strain was used as a recipient in transformation studies of A α regulation of the A developmental pathway. These experiments provide insights to the function of the Y and Z genes and the relation of A α Y and Z genes to A β genes. The results confirm and extend our model of the means by which Y and Z proteins regulate development (Luo *et al.* 1994).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains: Homokaryotic strains of *S. commune* used or created in this work are shown in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* strain DH5 α F'IQ was used for gene cloning.

Construction of the A α YI deletion vector, pIR5: Plasmid pIR5, which contains a URA1 gene disruption of the A α YI gene, is shown in Figure 2. Genomic sequences flanking the YI gene were subcloned from cosmid pLAB08 (GIASSON *et al.*

1989). A 2.2-kb BamHI fragment containing sequence from the right flank of the Y1 gene was subcloned to plasmid vector pUC-18 (YANISCH-PERRON et al. 1985). This fragment contains the 3'-most 116 nucleotides of the Y1 gene and the 1.7-kb X gene lacking the 5'-most 342 nucleotides. A 3.2-kb BamHI-BglII fragment containing sequence from the left flank of the Y1 gene was subcloned into plasmid vector pUC18. This fragment contains the 2.5-kb SMIP gene lacking 399 nucleotides from the 3' end. These flanking sequences were then sequentially ligated into plasmid pEF3 (FROELIGER et al. 1989), which consists of plasmid pIBI30 (International Biotechnologies Inc.) containing S.commune URA1. The 2.2-kb BamHI fragment containing the right flank of the Y1 gene was ligated into a BamHI site on the right flank of the URA1 gene. Proper orientation of the insert was shown by MluI and PstI digests. The 3.2-kb BamHI-BglII fragment containing the left flank of the Y1 gene was made blunt-ended with the Klenow fragment of DNA Polymerase I and ligated into a XhoI site on the left flank of the URA1 gene. Proper orientation of the insert was shown by KpnI and PstI digests.

Construction of plasmid, pIR6, containing the full length SMIP gene: Plasmid, pIR6, containing the SMIP and TRP1 genes was constructed for use in transformations. A 7-kb BamHI fragment containing the SMIP gene and all but the 3'-most 291 nucleotides of the Y1 gene was subcloned from cosmid pLAB08 to plasmid vector pUC18. This plasmid was digested with DraIII and made blunt ended with T4 DNA polymerase. Digestion with DraIII removed nucleotides 1300-3436 of the Aal locus containing the promoter and the 5'most 1991 nucleotides of the Y1 gene. A 4.5-kb EcoRI-HindIII fragment containing a functional TRP1 gene was made blunt ended with T4 polymerase and ligated into the DraIII cut, blunt ended plasmid. The construction retains 740 nucleotides from the midregion of the Y1 gene. Confirmation of the construction and orientation of the insert were shown by digestion with BglII.

Transformation of S. commune and analysis of transformants: Transformation was done as previously described (SPECHT *et al.* 1988; FROELIGER *et al.* 1989). Transformation of protoplasts derived from strain T54 with plasmid pIR5 to produce an $A\alpha\Delta$ transformant used 2.5 µg of plasmid pIR5

hvr

 TABLE 1

 S. commune homokaryotic strains and genotypes used

	Mating type				Auvotrophic
Strains	Αα	Aβ	Βα	Bβ	markers
T54	1	1	2	2	ura 1ª
18-1	Δ	1	2	2	
$18-2^{b}$	Δ	5	4	2	
18-5	Δ	1	2	2	trp1 ^a
18-11 ^e	Δ	1	3	2	•
18-17	Δ	1	2	2	
18-18	Δ	1	2	2	
20-1	$\Delta Y I^d$	1	2	2	
20-2	$\Delta Y I^d Z 4^d$	1	2	2	
20-3	$\Delta Z 3^d$	1	2	2	
20-4	$\Delta Z 4^d$	1	2	2	
20-5	$\Delta Z 3^d$	1	3	2	
T2	4	1	3	2	ural trpl
T11	4	1	1	6	ural trp1
T13	4	5	3	2	ural trp1
T14	4	5	2	2	ura1
T17	3	1	3	2	
T29	2	1	3	2	ural trpl
T3 0	6	1	3	2	ura1
T31	8	1	3	2	ural trpl
T32	9	1	3	2	ural trp1
T33	3	1	3	2	ural trp1
T34	5	1	3	2	ural trp1
T35	7	1	3	2	ural trp1
T52	3	5	4	2	ura1
8-7	3	5	3	2	$arg7^{a}$
12-43	3	5	2	2	ural
19-2	1	1	1	1	

^{*a*} ura1 mutant for orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase (DIRUSSO *et al.* 1983); *trp1* mutant for indole-3-glycerol phosphate synthetase (MUÑOZ-RIVAS *et al.* 1986); and *arg7* mutant for arginine biosynthesis.

^b Derived from strains 18-1 \times T52.

^e Derived from strains 18-1 \times T13.

^d Genes inserted by transformation.

and selection for Ura⁺ phenotype on minimal medium (SPECHT *et al.* 1988). Cotransformations of $A\alpha\Delta$ strains with $A\alpha$ genes, Y or Z, used 10 μ g of plasmid containing either Y or Z and 2.5 μ g of plasmid pAM1 containing the *TRP1* gene, (MUÑOZ-RIVAS *et al.* 1986). Selection was for Trp⁺. The DNA fragments containing the Y and Z genes (specified below) were cloned in plasmid vector pUC18.

The mating types of transformants were determined by mating tests. Each transformant was paired with a tester strain of appropriate mating type, incubated for 3 days at 30° and scored for the mating reaction (see below).

Cells of strain T54 transformed with plasmid pIR5 were screened for gene replacements (*i.e.*, $A\alpha\Delta$) by pairing Ura⁺ transformants with tester strain T31. Strains T54 and T31 are identical for $A\beta$ 1 mating-type, but differ for the $A\alpha$ matingtype, (*i.e.*, $A\alpha$ 1 and $A\alpha$ 8, see Table 1). Therefore, in a pairing of strain T54 with strain T31, activation of the A pathway depends on the presence of the Y1 gene in strain T54; replacements yielding $A\alpha\Delta$ would be incapable of activating A-regulated development because only the $A\alpha$ 8 locus of strain T31 would be present. Matings between strains identical at the $A\alpha$ and $A\beta$ loci, but different at either the B α and/or the B β loci, produce mycelia with a distinctive morphology (*i.e.*, sparse hyphae with short, perpendicular branches and mycelium appressed to the agar with few aerial hyphae). This morphology is termed the "flat" phenotype. In contrast, matings between strains differing in both the A and the B mating types activate both the A and B pathways and produce dikaryotic cells with copious aerial mycelium and lateral appendages of the hyphae at every septum. These appendages are termed "clamp connections"; they are readily visualized with a light microscope at $\times 200$ magnification.

Transformations of A $\alpha\Delta$ strains used plasmids, (specified below) containing A α DNA (*i.e.*, Y and/or Z), and plasmid pAM1 (MUÑOZ-RIVAS et al 1986) containing the TRP1 gene. Trp⁺ transformants were selected on CYM medium (RAPER and HOFFMAN 1974). Trp⁺ transformants were crossed with appropriate tester strains containing a different A α , an identical $A\beta$, and different B α and/or $B\beta$ mating types. Pairings were made on CYM and examined microscopically after incubation at 30° for 3 days in order to distinguish between dikaryotic and flat mycelia. Introduction of Aa DNA by transformation would produce a difference at the A α locus between the mates. Thus both the A and B pathways would be activated leading to the production of clamp connections. If TRP1, but not A α , DNA were introduced the B pathway would be active but the A pathway would not; in this case the matings result in the flat phenotype. The morphologies described above were used to assay for activation of the A and B pathways.

Extraction of DNA from Schizophyllum: Genomic DNA for use in Southern analysis and PCR was extracted from *S. commune* as previously described (SPECHT *et al.* 1982).

PCR: Ura⁺ transformants unable to activate the A developmental pathway in A α -dependent pairings were screened by PCR for gene replacement of A α 1. Two primers were used. Primer 1: 5'CAAGAGCACGCTCACGCACG was specific to the URA1 gene sequence and primer 2: 5'CCGTTCTCTACGAG-GCAGCT was specific to the X gene sequence (Figure 2). Reactions were run for 35 cycles (45 sec at 95°, 1 min at 65° and 1 min at 72°). PCR was concluded with a general extension step (10 min at 72°). Each reaction used 100 ng of template DNA, 200 nM concentration of each primer, 200 μ M dNTPs, 3 mM MgCl and 5 units of Taq polymerase in 1× buffer supplied by Perkin Elmer. PCR products were electrophoresed on a 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, visualized with UV light. The size of the PCR products was estimated against a 1-kb ladder (GIBCO).

Southern analysis: DNA was digested with *Eco*RI or *Bam*HI, separated on an agarose gel, denatured and transferred to Zetaprobe membrane (BioRad, USA) according to the manufacturer's specifications. The transfer was made in a downward direction according to the protocol of KOETSIER *et al.* (1993). [α^{32} P]dCTP was used to label probes to specific activities of ~1 × 10⁸ cpm/µg using a random primer DNA labeling kit (U.S. Biochemical). Prehybridizations and hybridizations were done in 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 M NaHPO₄, pH 7.2, 7% SDS. Hybridizations were done overnight at 70°. Membranes were washed twice in 1 mM EDTA, 40 mM NaHPO₄, pH 7.2, 5% SDS at 70° for 30 min. and once in 1 mM EDTA, 40 mM NaHPO₄, pH 7.2, 1% SDS at 70° for 45 min. Following these washes the membranes were exposed to Kodak X-OMAT film for periods of 1–14 days.

RESULTS

Deletion of the YI gene to produce an $A\alpha\Delta$ strain: Structural analysis suggests that the $A\alpha 1$ mating-type differs from the other eight $A\alpha$ mating-types in that it lacks a Z gene and contains only a Y gene, allele YI (STANKIS *et al* 1992; SPECHT *et al.* 1994). Thus, deletion



FIGURE 2.—Structure of the A α 1 locus, the URA1 disruption of the Y1 gene in pIR5 and the A α \Delta locus. B, BamHI; G, Bg/II; E, E α RI; P, PstI; L, BalI; S, SalI. (A) Genomic structure of the A α 1 locus and neighboring SMIP and X genes. (B) Y1 disruption construct, pIR5, used to make the Y1 gene replacement. The construction process is described in MATERIALS AND METHODS. Hatched box shows 3' 116 nucleotides of the Y1 gene remaining in pIR5. (C) Structure of the genomic gene replacement of the Y1 gene generating the A α \Delta mutation. Primers used for PCR (PCR1 and PCR2) are complementary to sequences specified by arrows. The X gene primer binds outside and to the right of the flanking sequence included in pIR5. Homologous recombination of pIR5 places the URA1 gene in the A α locus linking URA1 to the X gene. A 2.3-kb PCR product is anticipated using primers 1 and 2 described above and substrate DNA from strain 18-1 as a template.

of the Y1 gene should yield a strain with no A α matingtype genes, *i.e.*, an A $\alpha\Delta$ mutant. Strain T54 with A α 1 mating type was transformed with pIR5 circular plasmid DNA. Ura⁺ transformants could contain sequence from plasmid pIR5 by ectopic integration and/or gene replacement. A homologous event would replace all but the 3'-most 116 nucleotides of the Y1 gene with the URA1 gene.

Eighty-five Ura⁺ transformants of strain T54 ($A\alpha I$ $A\beta 1 B\alpha 2 B\beta 2$, were selected on minimal medium. These transformants were tested for A α -dependent mating competence by pairing them with strain T31 ($A\alpha 6$ $A\beta 1 B\alpha 3 B\beta 2$). One transformant produced a "flat" mycelium in this mating assay. The "flat" reaction, diagnostic of A pathway off, B pathway on, is anticipated of an A $\alpha\Delta$ strain derived from T54 in a mating with tester strain T31 (Table 1). The transformant was tested further in mating assays using tester strains T11, T29, T32 and T35 containing A α 4, A α 2, A α 9 and A α 7 mating types respectively (Table 1). Each of these pairings is dependent on the presence of the Y1 gene to activate the A pathway because each tester contains the $A\beta I$ mating type, as does the putative $A\alpha\Delta$ strain. Each of these pairings gave a flat reaction. This putative A $\alpha\Delta$ transformant was named strain 18-1, and examined further by PCR.

PCR primers were chosen complementary to sequence in URA1 and in X1 sequence located outside of the flanking sequence used in the construction of pIR5 (Figure 2). Because URA1 and X are normally unlinked, a PCR product resulting from the use of these primers

would indicate that URA1 had integrated contiguous to the X1 flanking sequence. A PCR product of the anticipated length, 2.3 kb, was obtained when DNA of transformant strain 18–1 was used as a PCR template (data not included). The 2.3-kb product was not observed when these primers were used with template DNA from the progenitor strain, T54. This result is consistent with transformant 18-1 being an $A\alpha\Delta$ produced by gene replacement.

Further analysis of the replacement strain was conducted by Southern analysis (Figure 3). The Y1 probe (2.8-kb Ball-BamHI fragment, Figure 2) lacks the 3' most 291 nucleotides of the Y1 allele and hybridizes to the anticipated 9.4-kb EcoRI fragment in DNA from the progenitor, strain T54, but not to DNA of strain 18-1. The 1.2-kb BamHI-SalI URA1 probe hybridizes to the resident URA1 locus contained on an EcoRI fragment >24 kb in length in DNA from strains T54, 18-1, and progeny of 18-1: strains 18-2 and 20-1. A 7.1-kb EcoRI fragment unique to the DNA of transformant 18-1, and its progeny, strains 18-2 and 20-1, is identified by the URA1 probe. Finally a 0.7-kb PstI fragment containing X1 DNA reveals the 9.4-kb EcoRI fragment of T54 and the 7.1-kb EcoRI fragment of transformant 18-1 to contain X1 sequence. The results confirm the deletion of Y1 to produce A $\alpha\Delta$ strain, 18-1, by homologous recombination.

The results of mating tests with the A $\alpha\Delta$ strain 18-1 confirm earlier analyses (STANKIS *et al.* 1992; SPECHT *et al.* 1994) that A α 1 strains contain only a single A α mating-type determinant.



FIGURE 3.—Southern analysis of DNA from progenitor strain T54 and $A\alpha\Delta$ strain 18-1 to show deletion of the Y1 gene. Probes: Y1, 2.8-kb BalI-BamHI fragment; X1, 0.7-kb PstI fragment; URA1, 1.2-kb SalI-BamHI fragment; each fragment used as a probe is identifiable in Figure 2.

Phenotype of $A\alpha\Delta$ **:** Strain 18-1 was crossed with other strains to associate the $A\alpha\Delta$ with other $A\beta$, $B\alpha$ and $B\beta$ mating types and auxotrophic markers. $A\alpha\Delta$ strains have normal homokaryotic phenotypes (including simple septa) in all but two respects: they cannot activate the A pathway by virtue of the $A\alpha$ locus and they have a slightly reduced growth rate (radial extension ~85% of the progenitor, strain T54). When mated with strains containing different $A\beta$ and B mating types, $A\alpha\Delta$ strains demonstrate normal A-pathway development, produce typical dikaryons and have normal ability to fruit, sporulate and make viable progeny.

Fully $A\beta$ -dependent mating: Wild-type strains that differ at either the A α or A β loci activate the A pathway when paired. We wished to determine if the A α and $A\beta$ loci function entirely independently of each other, *i.e.*, does activation of development by $A\beta$ require participation by A α protein? To answer this question, a fully A β -dependent mating was made between two A $\alpha\Delta$ strains in the following manner. Strain 18-1 was mated with strain T52 and a recombinant $A\alpha\Delta A\beta$ strain, 18-2, was recovered. Strain 18-1 ($A\alpha\Delta A\beta I B\alpha 2 B\beta 2$) was crossed with 18-2 ($A\alpha\Delta A\beta 5 B\alpha 4 B\beta 2$). In the mating of strains $18-1 \times 18-2$ development was normal; both the A and B pathways were activated, clamp connections were produced and both fruiting bodies and spores were formed. The spores had typical viability with >90% germination. Because activation of the A pathway was dependent solely on the A β locus we conclude that the A-developmental pathway may be activated by $A\beta$ in the complete absence of $A\alpha$ proteins.

Cotransformations with TRP1 and Y1: Strain 18-5 $(A\alpha\Delta A\beta 1 B\alpha 2 B\beta 2 trp1)$ was cotransformed with plas-

mids containing the Y1 and TRP1 genes. For the Y1 gene, fragment b of SPECHT et al. (1992) was cloned into plasmid vector pUC18. Twenty-five Trp⁺ transformants were examined for the presence of the Y1 gene by mating tests using strain T30 ($A\alpha 6 A\beta 1 B\alpha 3 B\beta 2$) as a tester. Clamp connections were produced by 12 of 25 Trp⁺ transformants in these matings (12 of 25 is within the expected frequency of cotransformation under the conditions used, SPECHT et al. 1988). Y1 transformants crossed with strain T30 formed dikaryons with clamp connections, produced fruiting bodies and sporulated. The spores had typical viability. Y1 transformants paired with strain 19-2 ($A\alpha 1 A\beta 1 B\alpha 1 B\beta 1$) gave flat mating reactions anticipated of strains with identical A α and A β loci. Transformation with Y1 did not restore a wildtype rate of growth. Genetic analysis showed that the YI gene integrated ectopically (i.e., unlinked to either the A or B loci, data not included). We conclude that the Y1 gene restores A α 1 mating-type specificity to the $A\alpha\Delta$ strain 18-5 and that the Y1 gene functions normally when ectopically integrated.

Cotransformation with TRP1 and Z4: Strain 18-5 $(A\alpha\Delta A\beta 1 B\alpha 2 B\beta 2 trp 1)$ was cotransformed with plasmids containing the Z4 and TRP1 genes. For the Z4 gene, fragment g of SPECHT et al. (1992) was cloned in plasmid vector pUC18. Twenty-five Trp⁺ transformants were examined for the acquisition of the Z4 gene by mating with tester strain 19-2 ($A\alpha I A\beta I B\alpha I B\beta I$). Eighteen of 25 Trp⁺ transformants contained the Z4 gene as shown by formation of clamp connections in these test matings. We tested if Z4 transformants would activate the A pathway with eight tester strains, each possessing one of the other eight $A\alpha$ mating types. Four Z4 transformants were chosen at random and paired in A dependent matings (*i.e.*, A β the same), with strains 19-2, T29, T30, T31, T32, T33, T34 and T35 (Table 1). Each pairing activated the A pathway as evidenced by the production of clamp connections. The matings were normal with respect to the ability to fruit, sporulate and produce progeny. The Z4 transformants did not acquire the wild-type rate of growth. We also tested each of the 18 Z4 transformants in pairings with tester strain T2 ($A\alpha 4 A\beta 1 B\alpha 3 B\beta 2$). Each of these pairings produced "flat" mating reactions. These results show that Z4 transformants of the A $\alpha\Delta$ strain behave as A $\alpha4$ strains in mating. Analysis of one of the transformants showed the Z4 gene to be integrated ectopically and unlinked to either the A or B loci, (data not included). We conclude that Z protein does not activate the A pathway in the absence of Y protein, that ectopically integrated Z4 confers an A α mating-type upon the cell and that this cell has $A\alpha 4$ mating-type specificity.

Cotransformations with *TRP1*, *Y4* and *Z4*: Strain 18-5 ($A\alpha\Delta \ A\beta \ B\alpha \ B\beta \ trp1$) was cotransformed with three plasmids, each containing one of the following genes: *TRP1*, *Y4* and *Z4*. Fragment c of SPECHT *et al.* (1992) containing the *Y4* gene was cloned in plasmid vector pUC18; the other plasmids are described above. Twenty-five Trp⁺ transformants were tested for the presence of the Z4 and/or Y4 genes in mating tests. Tester strain 19-2 ($A\alpha 1 A\beta 1 B\alpha 1 B\beta 1$) was used to detect the Z4 gene; this exploits the fact that $A\alpha 1$ strains possess the Y1 gene but no Z allele. The test to detect the Y4 gene in the Trp⁺ transformants of strain 18-5 relied upon the presence of the ectopically integrated Z3 gene in tester strain 20-5 ($A\alpha\Delta A\beta 1 B\alpha 3 B\beta 2 Z3$). Strain 20-5 was created from a Z3 transformant of strain 18-5 by crossing the transformant with T32. Mating tests to detect the Y4 and Z4 genes show that 11 of the 25 Trp⁺ transformants received Z4, 11 received Y4 and 8 received both Y4 and Z4. The reduced growth rate persisted in all of the transformants.

One transformant that acquired both the Y4 and Z4 genes was paired with A α 4 strain T2 (A α 4 A β 1 B α 3 B β 2). This mating produced the flat mating reaction expected of an A α 4 strain and shows that Y4 Z4 transformants behave as A α 4 strains. Furthermore, the matings with compatible strains were entirely normal with respect to dikaryotic phenotype and the ability to fruit, sporulate and produce viable progeny. We conclude that the integrated Y4 and Z4 genes confer A α 4 specificity on the A α Δ strain.

Cotransformations with TRP1, Y1 and Z4: Strain 18-5 ($A\alpha\Delta A\beta I B\alpha 2 B\beta 2 trp I$) was cotransformed with the TRP1, Y1 and Z4 genes (plasmids described above), each on a separate plasmid. Twenty-five Trp+ transformants were tested for the presence of the Y1 and Z4 genes by mating tests using tester strain 18-11 ($A\alpha\Delta$ $A\beta 1 B\alpha 3 B\beta 2$). Transformants that receive both the Y1 and Z4 genes should be constitutive for A-regulated development, due to the presence of a non-self Y-Z pair in the cell. Three of 25 Trp⁺ transformants produced clamp connections in pairings with strain 18-11, showing that they had received genes Y1 and Z4. These three unmated cotransformants have the reduced rate of growth of their progenitor and an abnormal homokaryotic phenotype; they make pseudoclamps, i.e., clamp connections that are not fused to the subterminal hyphal cell. Pseudoclamps are normally found in cells activated for the A-pathway but not for the B pathway (e.g., in $A \neq B$ = heterokaryons and constitutive developmental mutants of the A loci, RAPER 1966). Thus pseudoclamps on the unmated Y1 Z4 transformants are anticipated and indicative of activation of the A pathway by genes Y1 and Z4. When the Y1 Z4 transformants were mated the matings were normal with respect to the A pathway phenotype, dikaryotic phenotype and the ability to fruit, sporulate and produce progeny. These experiments show that a cell constitutive for the A pathway of development can be created by cotransformation with non-self pairs of Y and Z genes.

Transformation with the *SMIP* gene alleviates the reduced growth rate of $A\alpha\Delta$ strains: $A\alpha\Delta$ strains grow more slowly than strain T54 from which they were de-

rived. One possible explanation of the reduced growth rate is that truncation of the SMIP gene may produce a form of the endopeptidase that is functional but less efficient than the wild-type protein. Construction of the Y1 gene replacement plasmid, pIR5, removed 399 nucleotides from the 3' end of the SMIP gene (Figure 2). Gene replacement of the YI gene by pIR5 would thus truncate the SMIP gene and the resultant Schizophyllum mitochondrial intermediate peptidase would lack amino acids 693-727 (however, it would retain the SMIP active site, amino acids 549-553). Mitochondrial intermediate peptidases cleave amino-terminal octapeptides from imported mitochondrial proteins (HEN-DRICK et al. 1989). Aa Δ strains transformed with plasmids containing the Y1, Z4, Y4 and Z4, or Y1 and Z4 genes retain the slow growth phenotype.

To confirm that the slow growth of the A $\alpha\Delta$ strains was due to a mutant SMIP, we transformed strain 18-5 with plasmid pIR6, which contains the TRP1 gene and the complete SMIP gene. Two Trp⁺ transformants, strains 18-17 and 18-18, were isolated for Southern analysis. Strains 18-17 and 18-18 have normal growth rate equal to that of strain T54, the A $\alpha\Delta$ progenitor. Southern analysis of these transformants shows that a probe consisting of the 2-kb EcoRI fragment encoding most of SMIP hybridizes to a single BamHI fragment in DNA from either strain T54 or 18-1. These fragments contain the endogenous wild-type SMIP gene in strain T54 and the truncated SMIP gene in strain 18-1. The SMIP probe hybridizes to two BamHI fragments in DNA isolated from strains 18-17 and 18-18. One of these BamHI fragments contains the truncated endogenous SMIP gene and the other fragment contains the full-length SMIP gene ectopically integrated (data not included). Transformation of the SMIP gene into strain 18-5 restores the wild-type growth rate, and we conclude that the reduced rate of growth of A $\alpha\Delta$ strains is most likely due to the truncation of the SMIP gene.

DISCUSSION

By creating an $A\alpha\Delta$ strain and transforming it with Y and Z alleles we have studied the roles of Y and Z proteins in $A\alpha$ -regulated activation of the A developmental pathway. The studies also refine our understanding of the relationship between genes of the $A\alpha$ and $A\beta$ loci in A-regulated development. Our results permit conclusions about the mechanisms by which the $A\alpha$ proteins regulate the A pathway.

Z protein alone does not activate the A pathway: No A α mating types are known to contain only a Z gene. Therefore, it was unknown if a Z protein in the absence of Y protein would activate the A pathway. Because Z4 did not activate the A pathway when introduced into A $\alpha\Delta$ strain 18-5, we conclude that Z protein is not sufficient to activate A-pathway events in a homokaryon. Nevertheless, in A α -dependent pairings the ectopically

integrated Z4 behaves as expected of an A α 4 specificity. Z4 activates the A-pathway in matings that provide a non-A α 4 Y gene and does not activate the pathway in matings with A α 4 strains.

Independence of $A\alpha$ and $A\beta$ proteins in regulation of the A pathway: Matings that pair $A\alpha\Delta$ strains containing different $A\beta$ mating types are fertile. This shows that $A\alpha$ proteins are not necessary for $A\beta$ proteins to activate the A pathway. We do not currently know enough about the structure of the $A\beta$ locus to make an $A\beta$ knockout mutation. Without this mutant we cannot test whether $A\alpha$ proteins can activate the A pathway in the absence of $A\beta$ proteins.

Y is not an essential gene: The existence of $A\alpha\Delta$ homokaryons demonstrates that the Y gene is not essential for growth. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that an $A\beta$ gene (e.g., $A\beta$ V, SHEN et al. 1996) may be analogous to the Y gene and provide an essential function in the absence of the $A\alpha$ Y gene.

Further, it may be anticipated that A α and A β proteins provide a function beyond mating-type specificity to homokaryotic cells. For example, note the role of *S. cerevisiae* α 1 protein in activating α -specific genes (HER-SKOWITZ 1989). However, we detect no change in phenotype for the homokaryotic A $\alpha\Delta$ strains as a consequence of deletion of the *Y1* gene. The slightly reduced rate of growth in the A $\alpha\Delta$ strains is alleviated by transformation with full length *SMIP*. Nevertheless, it is possible that an A β gene analogous to *Y1* provides a function that masks a phenotypic change, which would otherwise appear in the absence of the *Y1* gene in the A $\alpha\Delta$ strains.

Normal regulation by ectopically integrated $A\alpha$ genes: The linkage analysis of an $A\alpha\Delta$ strain transformed with the *Y1* gene and another transformed with the *Z4* gene show that the integrated genes assort independently of the $A\alpha$ and $A\beta$ loci. These transformants mate normally as $A\alpha$ 1 and $A\alpha$ 4 strains, respectively. We conclude that the *Y* and *Z* genes can function normally when integrated ectopically and the *Y* and *Z* genes need not be located in the $A\alpha$ locus to provide normal function.

Y and Z genes are the sole determinants of $A\alpha$ mating type: $A\alpha\Delta$ strains were derived from strain T54, an $A\alpha$ 1 strain. Transformation of the Z4 and Y4 genes into an $A\alpha\Delta$ strain (derived from a progenitor whose genetic background is $A\alpha$ 1), produced a strain with homokaryotic phenotype and $A\alpha$ 4 mating type specificity. The gene deletion and reconstruction experiments demonstrate that the Y and Z genes are the sole determinants of $A\alpha$ mating type as suggested previously, (STANKIS *et al.* 1992).

Gene replacement: This study employed the standard methods of ROTHSTEIN (1983) to make gene replacements in *S.commune*. One of the 85 URA⁺ transformants selected had the expected deletion of gene Y1 (*i.e.*, 1.2%). The percentage of gene replacements may have been higher if the plasmid had been linearized as was the case for other *S. commune* gene replacements: *TRP1*, 4% (unpublished results) and *X*, 33% (MARION *et al.* 1995).

Y protein is not a simple negative regulator of the A pathway: Because the A pathway is not activated in $A\alpha\Delta$ homokaryons, it can be surmised that Y protein is not a simple negative regulator of the A pathway. Simple negative regulation of sexual development by homeodomain proteins is not unprecedented in fungi. α^2 protein is a simple negative regulator of **a**-specific genes in S. cerevisiae. $\alpha 2$ protein binds to the operator of a-specific genes as a multimer in combination with MCM1 protein (KELEHER et al. 1989). In $a1/\alpha 2$ diploid cells, $\alpha 2$ also acts in combination with yeast protein **a1**, binding tightly to the operators of haploid specific genes and marking them for repression (GOUTTE and JOHNSON 1994). Conservation of an intron within the region that encodes the conserved WF.N.R motif of the homeodomain in the Y genes of S. commune, HD2 genes of C. cinereus, bW genes in U. maydis and the al gene of S. cerevisiae led KUES et al. (1994) to suggest a shared lineage among these genes. It is therefore appropriate to ask whether these proteins act in a manner similar to **a1**. Deletion of both *bE* and *bW* genes from *U. maydis* causes an inability to activate development in pairings (GILLISSEN et al. 1992). Thus bE and bW proteins are not simple negative regulators. Similarly, our results in S. commune show that Y protein is not a simple negative regulator and strongly suggest that, unlike al, Y protein is more likely a positive regulator of sexual development. Also the redundant, but independent, function of A α and A β argue against Y protein acting as a simple negative regulator.

Support of model for $A\alpha$ activation of the A pathway: The results of experiments reported here support our model of the functions of $A\alpha$ proteins in activation of A-pathway events. We proposed (LUO et al. 1994) that Y and Z proteins from different A α mating types form multimeric complexes (possibly with other proteins) and bind to the recognition sites of developmental target genes to be controlled in A-regulated development. The results reported here demonstrate that the A β proteins function independently of A α proteins. We speculate that the converse is likely to be true, and therefore A β proteins are likely not part of the Y/Z protein complex. Regulation of transcription could be by activation or, as in the case of **a1** and α 2 proteins in yeast, repression. In light of the findings that Y protein is not a simple negative regulator and Z protein is not by itself an activator, we propose that the $Y_i + Z_i$ $i \neq j$ protein complex is most likely an activator of A-pathway genes. There remains a remote possibility that Y is a negative regulator of a negative regulator.

This work was supported by the Vermont Agricultural Experimental Station, the Lucille P. Markey Charitable Trust and by a research grant from the National Institutes of Health (GM-34023).

LITERATURE CITED

- BANHAM, A. H., R. N. ASANTE-OWUSU, B. GÖTTGENS, S. A. THOMPSON, C. S. KINGSNORTH *et al.*, 1995 An N-terminal dimerization domain permits homeodomain proteins to choose compatible partners and initiate sexual development in the mushroom *Coprinus cinereus*. Plant Cell 7: 773–783.
- DIRUSSO, C., C. P. NOVOTNY and R. C. ULLRICH, 1983 Orotidylate decarboxylase activity in *Schizophyllum commune*. Exp. Mycol. 7: 90-93.
- DRANGINIS, A. M., 1990 Binding of yeast al and $\alpha 2$ as a heterodimer to the operator of a haploid specific gene. Nature 347: 682– 685.
- FROELIGER, E., R. C. ULLRICH and C. P. NOVOTNY, 1989 Primary molecular analysis of the OMP decarboxylase URA1 gene from Schizophyllum commune. Gene 83: 387–393.
- GIASSON, L., C. A. SPECHT, C. MILGRAM, C. P. NOVOTNY and R. C. ULLRICH, 1989 Cloning and comparison of the Aα mating type alleles of the basidiomycete *Schizophyllum commune*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 218: 72–77.
- GILLISSEN, B., J. BERGEMANN, C. SANDMANN, B. SCHROEER, M. BOLKER et al., 1992 A two component regulatory system for self/nonself recognition in Ustillago maydis. Cell 68: 647–657.
- GOUTTE, C., and A. D. JOHNSON, 1988 **a1** protein alters the specificity of the alpha 2 repressor. Cell. **52**: 875–82.
- GOUTTE, C., and D. JOHNSON, 1994 Recognition of a DNA operator by a dimer composed of two different homeodomain proteins. EMBO J. 13: 1434–1442.
- HENDRICK, J. P., P. E. HODGES and L. E. ROSENBERG, 1989 Survey of amino-terminal proteolytic cleavage sites in mitochondrial precurssor proteins: leader peptides cleaved by two matrix proteases share a three amino acid motif. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 86: 4056-4060.
- HERSKOWITZ, I., 1989 A regulatory hierarchy for cell specialization in yeast. Nature 342: 749–757.
- ISAYA, G., W. R. SAKATI, R. A. ROLLINS, G. P. SHEN, L. C. HANSON et al., 1995 Mammilian mitochondrial intermediate peptidase: structure/function analysis of a new homologue from Schizophyllum commune and relationship to thimet oligopeptidases. Genomics 28: 450-461.
- KÄMPER, J., M. REICHMANN, T. ROMAIS, M. BÖLKER and R. KAHMANN, 1995 Multiallelic recognition: nonself-dependent dimerization of the bE and bW homeodomain proteins in *Ustillago maydis*. Cell 81: 73–83.
- KELEHER, C. A., S. PASSMORE and A. D. JOHNSON 1989 Yeast repressor α2 binds to its operator cooperatively with yeast protein Mcm1. Mol. Cell Biol. 9: 5228-5230.
- KNIEP, H, 1920 Uber morpologische und physiologische Geschlechtsdifferenzierung (Untersuchungen an Basidiomyzeeten). Verh. Phys. Med Ges. Wurzberg 46: 1–18.
- KOETSIER, P. A., J. SCHORR and W. DOERFLER, 1993 A rapid optimized protocol for downward alkaline Southern blotting of DNA. Biotechniques 15: 260–262.
- KUES, U., R. N. ASANTE-OWUSU, E. S. MUTASA, A. M. TYMON, E. H.

PARDO *et al.*, 1994 Two classes of homeodomain proteins specify the multiple A mating types of the mushroom *Coprinus cinereus*. Plant Cell **6:** 1467–1475.

- LUO, Y., R. C. ULLRICH and C. P. NOVOTNY, 1994 Only one of the paired *Schizophyllum commune* Aα mating-type putative homeobox genes encodes a homeodomain essential for Aα regulated development. Mol. Gen. Genet. **244:** 318–324.
- MAGAE, Y., C. P. NOVOTNY and R. C. ULLRICH, 1995 Interaction of the A alpha Y and Z mating-type homeodomain proteins of *Schizophyllum commune* detected by two-hybrid system. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 211: 1071–1076.
- MARION, A. L., K. A. BARTHOLOMEW, J. WU, H. YANG, C. P. NOVOTNY et al., 1995 The Aα mating-type locus of Schizophyllum commune. structure and function of gene X. Curr. Genet. 29: 143–149.
- MUNOZ-RIVAS, A., C. A. SPECHT, R. C. ULLRICH and C. P. NOVOTNY, 1986 Isolation of the DNA sequence coding indole-3-glycerol phosphate synthetase and phosphoribosylanthrilate isomerase of *Schizophyllum commune*. Curr. Genet. 10: 909-913.
- PAPAZIAN, H. P., 1951 The incompatibility factors and a related gene in Schizophyllum commune. Genetics 36: 441–459.
- RAPER, J. R., 1966 Genetics of Sexuality in Higher Fungi. Ronald Press, New York.
- RAPER, J. R., and R. M. HOFFMAN, 1974 Schizophyllum commune, pp. 597-626 in Handbook of Genetics, edited by R. C. KING. Plenum Press, New York.
- ROTHSTEIN, R. J., 1983 One step gene disruption in yeast. Methods Enzymol. 101: 202-211.
- SHEN, G. P., D. S. PARK, R. C. ULLRICH and C. P. NOVOTNY, 1996 Cloning and characterization of a *Schizophyllum* gene with A??6 mating-type activity. Curr. Genet. 29: 136–142.
- SPECHT, C. A., C. C. DIRUSSO, C. P. NOVOTNY and R. C. ULLRICH, 1982 A method for extracting high molecular weight deoxyribonucleic acid from fungi. Anal. Biochem. 119: 158–163.
- SPECHT, C. A., A. MUNOZ-RIVAS, C. P. NOVOTNY and R. C. ULL-RICH,1988 Transformation of *Schizophyllum commune*: an analysis of parameters for improving transformation frequencies. Exp. Mycol. 12: 357-366.
- SPECHT, C. A., M. M. STANKIS, L. GIASSON, C. P. NOVOTNY and R. C. ULLRICH, 1992 Functional analysis of the homeodomain related proteins of the $A\alpha$ locus of *Schizophyllum commune*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **89**: 7174–7178.
- SPECHT, C. A., M. M. STANKIS, C. P. NOVOTNY and R. C. ULLRICH,1994 Mapping the heterogeneous regions that determines the nine Aα mating-type specificities of *Schizophyllum commune*. Genetics 137: 709–714.
- STANKIS, M. M., C. A. SPECHT, H. YANG, L. GIASSON, R. C. ULLRICH *et al.*, 1992 The Aα mating locus of *Schizophyllum commune* encodes two dissimilar, multi allelic homeodomain proteins. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 7169–7173.
- YANISCH-PERRON, C., J. VIEIRA and J. MESSING, 1985 Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13p18 and pUC19 vectors. Gene 33: 103–119.

Communicating editor: R. H. DAVIS