# GENETIC BASIS FOR TWO TYPES OF RECESSIVE LETHALITY AT THE NOTCH LOCUS OF DROSOPHILA<sup>1</sup>

## W. J. WELSHONS

Department of Genetics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50010

Received November 12, 1970

NOTCHES, symbolized as N, are inherited as sex-linked dominant mutations that are lethal in the homozygous and hemizygous condition. In heterozygotes N/+, typical females have notched wings, thickened wing veins and an irregular distribution of thoracic hairs. Since many Notch mutants are associated with a visible cytological deficiency for salivary band 3C7, it has been concluded that the Notch gene is contained within this single band (Mohr 1919, 1923; SLIZYNSKA 1938; DEMEREC 1939). In addition to these deficiency types of Notches, many N's are cytologically normal and phenotypically similar to or, in some instances, indistinguishable from the deficiency type; they have been used to demonstrate the linear arrangement of mutant sites in the Notch gene within salivary band 3C7 (Welshons 1958, 1965; Welshons and von Halle 1962).

Interspersed in the linear array of N's, are mutant sites inherited as recessive visibles. The phenotypes of these recessives fall into two catagories: Those that roughen the eye are facet (fa), facet-glossy  $(fa^g)$  and split (spl). Those that produce wing notching or alterations in wing venation are facet-notchoid  $(fa^{no})$ , notchoid (nd), and notchoid-2  $(nd^2)$ . Additional details relevant to these mutants will be found in Lindsley and Grell (1968).

In heterozygotes of a N (deficiency or nondeficiency type) with fa,  $fa^g$ , spl, nd, and  $nd^2$ , there is a phenotypic expression of the recessive in addition to that of the dominant Notch. In the case of  $N/fa^{no}$ , depending upon the N mutant used, heterozygotes are very inviable or completely lethal, although the recessive in homozygous or hemizygous condition has good viability and fertility. Excepting  $fa^{no}$  for the moment, the pseudodominant manifestation of recessive visibles when combined with Notch suggests that these recessive mutant sites permit the synthesis of a gene product that is functional but slightly aberrant, whereas the N's totally lack a product or fashion one that is largely or completely impaired at the functional level. The mutant  $fa^{no}$ , like some of the other recessives, seems to be a hypomorph (Welshons 1965), and the lethality of  $N/fa^{no}$  evidently results from the hypomorphism of  $fa^{no}$  combined with the amorphism of Notch, yielding a heterozygous hypomorphic condition more extreme than in  $fa^{no}$  homozygotes.

There is in Drosophila stocks an X chromosome tandemly duplicated for a series of salivary bands, one of which is 3C7; the chromosome is symbolized as Dp(1,1)Co. When heterozygous with a normal X, the flies express a Confluens

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Journal Paper No. J-6767 of the Iowa Agriculture and Home Economics Experiment Station, Ames. Iowa, Project No. 1659. Work supported in part by National Science Foundation grants GB-5014, GB-7631, and GB-8651.

phenotype recognized as a thickening of one or more wing veins; the homozygous condition is more extreme. In his investigation of this tandem duplication, Schultz (in Morgan, Schultz and Curry 1941) provided a convincing demonstration that the extra 3C7 alone was responsible for the Confluens expression. Combining the Notch and Confluens information, one sees that individuals with no functional 3C7 bands are lethal, with one less than normal they are Notch, and when an extra band is present, the phenotype in Confluens. Females of the genotype N/Dp(1;1)Co are wild type rather than Notch or Confluens since they have the normal complement of two functional 3C7 bands, although both are carried on the same chromosome.

An autosome, especially useful in this research, contains an insertion of band 3C7 plus some adjacent material; it is identified as Dp(1;2)51b7 (Lefevre, 1952; Lindsley and Grell 1968). Even though the extra element is inserted into an autosome in this case, while carried as a tandem duplication on the X in Dp(1;1)Co, the generalities relevant to the number of 3C7's and associated phenotypes remain unchanged. The genotype N/+; Dp(1;2)51b7 equals N/Dp(1;1)Co, phenotypically wild type, since both genomes have only two functional 3C7 bands.

Another dominant called Abruptex  $(Ax^{28a})$  was investigated by Schultz. Homozygous females have shortened wing veins, generally lack some prominent bristles, and the hairs on head and thorax are fewer. In heterozygotes,  $Ax^{28a}/+$ , the phenotype is less extreme. Cytological investigation revealed the presence of a duplication for a single band presumed to be 3C7 since  $Ax^{28a}/N^s$  females had a phenotype that approached wild type in all characteristics (Morgan, Schultz and Curry 1941). However, the convincing demonstration that a duplication for 3C7 is Confluens suggested that the extra cytological element might not represent the locus of Notch. Alternatively, if two 3C7's are present, the contiguity has evoked a position effect recognized phenotypically as Abruptex.

We have investigated two newly originated Ax mutants that were isolated after the irradiation of mature sperm (Green, personal communication). Noting the origin of the two mutants, one would not expect them to carry duplications, and cytologically they appear completely normal, possessing only one salivary band 3C7. Interestingly, these two mutants, unlike the original Ax, are distinctly semilethal at  $22^{\circ}$ C, and lethality at  $25^{\circ}$ C very closely approximates 100%. Furthermore, N/Ax heterozygotes are lethal, suggesting the interruption of a common function. The data to be presented indicate that these recessive lethal Ax's represent mutant sites in the Notch gene and lead to a plausible explanation for their Abruptex phenotype, although the lethality of N/Ax would logically suggest that they should be phenotypically Notch. Additional observations and comparisons are in harmony with the view that the original Abruptex does in fact carry an extra 3C7 band and suggest a plausible alternative for the appearance of the Abruptex phenotype in  $Dp(1;1)Ax^{28a}$ .

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Abruptex mutants  $Ax^{59b}$  and  $Ax^{59d}$ , lethal in the combination  $Ax^{59b}/Ax^{59d}$ , express both

wing and bristle abnormalities as Ax/+ heterozygotes. The wing effects recognized primarily as a shortening of longitudinal veins 4 and 5 are the most obvious phenotypic manifestations, although some specific bristle abnormalities seem somewhat more reliable. (A more complete description of the two mutants will appear in a future issue of Drosophila Information Service.) Since these Ax's have quite good viability and fertility in combination with recessive visibles at the Notch locus, recombination values and the linear order of mutant sites could be directly obtained from appropriately marked heterozygotes. When the recessives  $fa^g$  and spl were used, both wild-type and double mutant recombinants were detected. With  $nd^2$ , only the wild-type recombinant could be isolated from heterozygotes with an Ax.

The detection of recombination and linear order of mutant sites in Ax/N heterozygotes required the introduction of Dp(1;2)51b7 into the genome to cover the recessive lethality. Recombinants cannot be detected in progeny when the autosomally inherited duplication also is present; hence, the resolving power is diminished. Fortunately, some compensation can be achieved by eliminating significant numbers of nonrecombinant flies by mating Ax/N; Dp(1;2)51b7 heterozygotes to males carrying  $fa^{no}$ , thereby killing a large proportion of the nonrecombinant  $N/fa^{no}$  progeny that are free of the duplication. Only wild-type recombinants with respect to Ax and N were recovered in these experiments.

A direct test for recombination between  $Ax^{59b}$  and  $Ax^{59d}$  was not attempted since we discovered early in the investigation that  $Ax^{59b}/Ax^{59d}$ ; Dp(1;2)51b7 females were quite inviable and infertile. It is possible to obtain some progeny from the heterozygotes, but they are so infrequent as to make the experiment impracticable.

The autosomal mutant Enhancer of split E(spl) was used to make comparisons between the coupled mutant combinations  $N \, spl$  and  $spl \, Ax$ . In homozygous or heterozygous condition, E(spl) enhances the split phenotype to such a degree that spl/+ females are indistinguishable from homozygotes spl/spl (see Lindsley and Greil 1968).

## RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

Heterozygotes of  $Ax^{sgb}$  and  $Ax^{sgd}$  with point mutation N's are lethal, suggesting the interruption of a function common to both Notch and Abruptex. When Ax's are combined heterozygously with recessive visibles at Notch, unlike N's in the same combinations, the pseudodominant expression of the recessives is observed only with the eye mutant facet-glossy. Heterozygotes  $Ax/fa^g$  are phenotypically Abruptex; the eyes are rough, but not glossy or only very slightly so. No eye effect was noticeable in heterozygotes of Ax with fa or spl, nor were the notched phenotypes of wing mutants  $fa^{no}$  or  $nd^s$  expressed in heterozygotes, although the expression of Ax was moderately enhanced when heterozygous with a recessive wing mutant.

The relationship between Notch and Abruptex can be understood if Ax's are represented as mutant sites within the Notch gene; however, the N mutants represent a complete or virtually complete loss of function, and the lethality of N/Ax suggests the loss is shared by  $Ax^{sgb}$  and  $Ax^{sgd}$ . Why then are the phenotypes of N/+ and Ax/+ so different and the pseudodominant expression of an N and an Ax with recessive visibles at Notch so variant? Alternatively, one might suppose that recessive lethal Notches represent the loss of function in one gene and that the Ax's represent a loss in an adjacent cistron functionally related to Notch as in an operon. Discrimination between alternative interpretations should be provided by genetic tests. If N's and Ax's represent mutant sites in the same cistron, Abruptex mutants will localize within the Notch gene; otherwise, they will be found outside the presently defined limits of Notch.

To determine the position of the Abruptex mutants relative to mutant sites at the Notch locus, recombinants were sought among the progeny of appropriately marked heterozygotes. The mutant sites defining the left and right limits of the Notch gene, plus the intervening ones used in these experiments, exist in a linear order as indicated:

$$N^{55611}$$
— $fa^g$ — $spl$ — $N^{Co}$ — $N^{60g11}$ — $nd^2$ 

The mutants y, sc, z, and  $w^a$  to the left of Notch and rb to the right were used in various combinations to determine the direction of crossing over if an exchange occurred between an Ax and a mutant site at Notch.

TABLE 1 
The number and types of recombinant chromosomes obtained from heterozygotes of  $Ax^{59d}$  with other mutant sites at the Notch locus

```
sc z + + Ax^{59d} + + + w^a fa^g + rb ? x y w^a fa^g rb d
                          (8) \underline{\text{sc}} \ \underline{z} + \underline{+} + \underline{+} \underline{\text{rb}}
(3) \underline{+} + \underline{w}^{a} \underline{\text{fa}^{g}} \underline{\text{Ax}}^{59d} \underline{+}
                                                                                          Tested chromosomes = 19,100
                                                                                                     Recombination = .058%
                        *(1) sc z \pm \pm + + +
                                sc z + Ax + + + spl + rb ? x w fa^{no} spl rb o
                        (1) \pm \pm \frac{\text{sp1}}{\text{sp1}} \frac{\text{Ax}^{59d}}{\text{Ax}^{59d}} \pm 
*(1) \frac{\text{sc}}{\text{sc}} \frac{\text{z}}{\text{sp1}} \frac{\text{Ax}^{59d}}{\text{Ax}^{59d}} \pm 
                                                                                      Tested chromosomes = 32,100
                                                                                                     Recombination = .003%
                   y \stackrel{a}{w} fa^g Ax^{59d} + +/+ w^a + + N^{Co} rb; Dp/+ ? x \stackrel{a}{w} fa^g fa^{no} rb
                          (1) \pm \underline{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{a}} \pm \underline{\mathbf{+}} \pm \underline{\mathbf{+}}
                                                                                        Tested chromosomes = 25,200
                                                                                                          Recombination ≈ .008%
              y \overset{a}{w} fa^g Ax^{59d} + \frac{+}{+} \frac{+}{w} + \frac{n^{60g11}}{+^{10g10}} rb; pp/+ ? x \overset{a}{w} fa^g fa^{no} rb c^{no}
                          (4) \pm w^{\frac{a}{2}} \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm Tested chromosomes = 25,200
                                                                                                         Recombination = .032%
                                  \underline{y} \underline{w}^{a} \underline{f}_{a} \underline{g} \underline{A} \underline{x}^{59d} \underline{+} \underline{+} \underline{/} \underline{+} \underline{+} \underline{+} \underline{n} \underline{d}^{2} \underline{r} \underline{b} ? x \underline{n} \underline{d}^{2} \underline{r} \underline{b} ?
5
                          (7) \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm
                                                                                            Tested chromosomes = 36,600
                                                                                                          Recombination = .038%
```

Dp/+ indicates the presence of Dp (1;2) 51b7.

\* Exceptionals not associated with recombination between outside markers, and not used for the calculation of recombination values.

The results of recombination experiments utilizing  $Ax^{59d}$ , recessive visibles and recessive lethals at Notch are summarized in Table 1. Proceeding from left to right within the Notch gene and from top to bottom in Table 1, Ax is to the right of  $fa^{g}$ . It also locates to the right of spl, but is more closely linked to spl than to  $fa^g$ . The experiments with  $N^{co}$  indicate that  $Ax^{5gd}$  lies a short distance to the left of this recessive lethal and can be represented as a mutant site between spl and  $N^{Co}$ . The observations that  $Ax^{ssd}$  also lies to the left of  $N^{sog11}$  and  $nd^2$ , but is more loosely linked to these two mutant sites, supports the conclusion.

Similar recombination experiments were performed with  $Ax^{59b}$ , except that the recessive lethal  $N^{c_0}$  was not utilized (Table 2). Proceeding as before, the experiments indicate that  $Ax^{59b}$  is located to the right of both  $fa^g$  and spl, but is more closely linked to the spl mutant. No recombinants were detected with  $N^{60g11}$ , although they might appear in an enlarged recombination experiment. If  $Ax^{59b}$  is closely linked to  $N^{60g11}$ , one would expect to find recombinants in the progeny of  $Ax^{59b}/nd^2$  heterozygotes and the Ax mutant site at a position to the left of  $nd^2$ . As

TABLE 2 The number and types of recombinant chromosomes obtained from heterozygotes of Ax59b with other mutant sites at the Notch locus

```
\frac{2}{y} \underline{z} \underline{+} \underline{+} \underline{Ax} \underline{59b} \underline{+/+} \underline{+} \underline{w} \underline{fa} \underline{fa} \underline{+} \underline{rb} \mathbf{?} \mathbf{x} \underline{y} \underline{w} \underline{fa} \underline{fa} \underline{rb} \underline{\sigma}
1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (8) \frac{y^2}{x^2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         Tested chromosomes = 23,200
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              Recombination = .047%
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       \frac{y^2}{z} + \frac{x^{59b}}{z} + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{
2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (1) \frac{y^2}{2} = \pm \pm \frac{rb}{59b}
(1) \pm \pm \frac{sp1}{4} = \frac{Ax}{59b} = \pm \frac{1}{2}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             Tested chromosomes = 32,200
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              Recombination = .006%
                                                                                                                                  \underline{y} \ \underline{w}^{a} \ \underline{f} \underline{a}^{g} \ \underline{A} \underline{x}^{59b} \ \underline{+} \ \underline{+} / \underline{+} \ \underline{w}^{a} \ \underline{+} \ \underline{+} \ \underline{N}^{60g11} \ \underline{r} \underline{b} \ ; \ \underline{Dp} / \underline{+} \ ? \ \ \underline{x} \ \underline{w}^{a} \ \underline{f} \underline{a}^{g} \ \underline{f} \underline{a}^{no} \ \underline{r} \underline{b} \ \underline{\sigma}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          (0) Recombinants
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             Tested chromosomes = 40,400
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      y = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{a}{b} + \frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} + \frac{b}{b} + \frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{b} + \frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{b} + 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 Tested chromosomes = 51,100
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      (8) \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm \pm
                                                                                                                                                                                                                          *(2) <u>+ + + + + rb</u>
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Recombination = .031%
```

Dp/+ indicates the presence of Dp (1;2) 51b7. \* Exceptionals not associated with recombination between outside markers, and not used for the calculation of recombination values.

indicated in Table 2, the expectation is confirmed;  $Ax^{59b}$ , like  $Ax^{59d}$ , localizes within the presently defined limits of the Notch gene.

Because these two Ax's were isolated as mutants of independent origin upon irradiation of mature sperm (Green, personal communication), it is logical to suspect that they represent separable Ax mutant sites in the Notch gene, and the data of Tables 1 and 2 support this view, although falling short of actual proof. The failure of  $Ax^{sgb}$  to recombine with  $N^{eog11}$  suggests that the Ax site is quite close to the N, and if it is,  $Ax^{sgb}$  must be separable from and to the right of  $Ax^{sgd}$ . As indicated earlier, a direct test for recombination in  $Ax^{sgd}/Ax^{sgb}$ ; Dp(1;2)51b7 females is precluded by the relatively great inviability and infertility of the heterozygotes, but appropriate crosses involving  $Ax^{sgb}$  and  $N^{co}$  might eventually prove the point in a different manner. In any event, two independently isolated Ax's are positioned within the Notch gene.

As shown in Tables 1 and 2, a few exceptionals that were nonrecombinant for peripheral markers were isolated in these experiments. One  $sc\ z\ spl\ Ax^{sgd}$  coupled mutant combination was detected (Table 1, cross 2), and from appropriately marked  $fa^g/Ax^{sgd}$  heterozygotes, one  $sc\ z$  chromosome was noted (Table 1, cross 1). With  $Ax^{sgb}$ , two rb exceptionals were found (Table 2, cross 4). The paucity of data relevant to the occurrence of these exceptionals does not call for additional discussion except to note that events of a similar nature are commonly detected at a low frequency in recombination experiments involving Notch.

Some pertinent phenotypic comparisons became possible when spl was coupled to either  $Ax^{59b}$  or  $Ax^{59d}$ . As indicated in Table 1, two cases of the coupled condition spl  $Ax^{59d}$  were detected, one in which the origin of the double mutant was associated with exchange of peripheral markers, and one that was not. Similarly, the coupled mutant chromosome  $spl Ax^{sgb}$  associated with exchange was isolated as shown in Table 2. All three coupled mutants were detected in females that had inherited a chromosome carrying spl from the male parent; all were Abruptex, and with reference to the eye phenotype associated with spl, they were extreme split, that is to say more aberrant than spl/spl homozygotes. The observation that spl Ax/spl + expressed the split phenotype to a greater degree than split homozygotes was less surprising when it was subsequently discovered that the genotype spl Ax/+ + also was phenotypically split, but somewhat less mutant than spl/splfemales. In other words, when the recessive spl is coupled to an Ax, it behaves as a dominant, and since spl Ax/++ is phenotypically split-Abruptex, whereas + Ax/spl + expresses only Abruptex, the expected results of the cis-trans comparisons are reversed.

No such reversal occurs in the  $fa^g$ -Ax comparison;  $fa^g$  Ax/+ is Abruptex, and +  $Ax/fa^g$  + is facet-glossy and Abruptex, although the expression of  $fa^g$  is diminished. Heterozygotes  $fa^g$  Ax/ $fa^g$  + are phenotypically double mutant.

The reversal of the *cis-trans* comparison seen with spl and Ax was puzzling, especially since the recombination experiments clearly indicated that the N's, the recessive visibles at Notch and the Ax's were allelic mutant sites in the same gene. Further consideration of the problem did, however, suggest an interpretation relevant to the genetic nature of the two Ax's and identified what

must be the essential difference between recessive lethal Notches and Abruptexes. Since the mutant phenotype of split, a recessive visible, is discernible in the cis condition  $spl\ Ax/++$ , a gene product marked simultaneously with  $spl\ and\ Ax$  must be present. This, in turn, implies that, when the gene carries a mutant site for either of these two Ax mutants, unlike the case for recessive lethal N's, it does make a functional product, albeit so aberrant that at  $25\,^{\circ}$ C homozygotes and hemizygotes fail to survive.

To explain the unexpected expression of spl in cis with Ax, it seems reasonable to suppose that, given two allelic mutants each capable of synthesizing a functional but aberrant polypeptide, the associated phenotypes might be altered when coupled in the cis condition. Said differently, two lesions simultanously present in one gene can alter the typical expression of one or both. Certainly the actions of both lesions are modified in the case of intragenic suppressors, although, unlike the situation for spl and Ax, one is dealing initially with a loss of function associated with the individual mutants, and a restoration of partial or complete function in the coupled condition. In our case, both Ax and spl make aberrant products, and when coupled, the action of Ax enhances the recessive spl to the point where it behaves as a dominant, but there is no obvious effect of spl on Ax. This enhancement of spl is slightly less surprising, yet the basic cause remains obscure, when one knows that spl has the potentiality for enhancement as demonstrated by its interaction with the autosomal dominant Enhancer-split.

The view that, in the presence of Ax, a highly aberrant but partly functional polypeptide is synthesized can explain the lethality of Ax/N heterozygotes and the quite different phenotypes recognized as Abruptex and Notch associated with the genotypes Ax/+ and N/+, respectively. With Ax/N, the presence of N in one 3C7 band is associated with lack of a functional polypeptide, while Ax, in the other band, makes a highly aberrant product in place of the  $N^+$  substance that would normally occur; consequently, the heterozygous condition is lethal. Heterozygotes Ax/+ are phenotypically aberrant because one gene in 3C7 functions normally, and the other produces an altered polypeptide that interferes with normal development, culminating in the expression of Abruptex. The N/+ combination (with either a cytologically deficient N or a point mutant N) is phenotypically Notch because the product of one of the two genes is absent or not functional.

Further support relevant to the genetic nature of these Ax's and N's derives from a comparison of phenotypes when the two mutants are present in genomes that also have Dp(1;2)51b7. In males of the genotype N; Dp(1;2)51b7, one 3C7 band in the X chromosome marked by the Notch recessive lethal fails to function, but an additional functional 3C7 is carried in the autosome and covers the lethality. Since one functional 3C7 is the normal situation for a hemizygous male, the flies are phenotypically wild type rather than Notch. Substitute Ax for N in the same genome, yielding Ax; Dp(1;2)51b7 males, and note the difference: The recessive lethality is covered, but the Abruptex phenotype is expressed. The autosomally transposed 3C7 provides the necessary  $N^+$  substance, lethality is circumvented, but the X-linked Ax still produces an altered product that brings

forth the aberrant phenotype. Indeed, the Abruptex expression in these males is strikingly similar to that in Ax/+ females, a seemingly logical observation since both genomes are heterozygous for Ax and its normal allele, but a consideration of dosage compensation could have inclined one to expect a lesser degree of similarity.

When comparisons similar to those just described are extended to females, the interpretation of Ax mutants still holds. N/+; Dp(1;2)51b7 heterozygotes are phenotypically wild type because two functional 3C7 bands are present as in a normal female. Ax/+; Dp(1;2)51b7 heterozygotes have one X-chromosomal locus making the aberrant product, the females are thereby Abruptex, although, as expected, the mutant expression is somewhat diminished compared with Ax/+ because of the presence of two  $N^+$  loci. Females N/N; Dp(1;2)51b7 are viable but phenotypically Notch; only one functional 3C7 band is present, whereas two are required for normality. As previously noted, Ax/Ax; Dp(1;2)51b7 females are quite inviable and infertile; they also have an extreme Abruptex phenotype because two of the three 3C7 bands present are producing the altered product.

As noted in a previous report (Welshons 1965), our interpretation of the point mutant N's led to the conclusion that a N spl coupled mutant chromosome should be phenotypically indistinguishable from N + since in both conditions the single gene product is absent or nonfunctional. Support for this illation was obtained when we acquired a new  $N^{64d6}$  that had been induced on a chromosome already carrying spl (Judd, personal communication). Cytological observation revealed that the salivary chromosome was normal, thereby eliminating the possibility of mutation to N by deletion of 3C7, and suggesting the origin of a coupled mutant combination by mutation to N in a gene with a pre-existing spl. Proof was obtained when spl was separated from the N in appropriate recombination experiments.

Additional support for our interpretation of the genetic nature of N's and Ax's can be obtained by the use of coupled mutants and the incorporation of Enhancersplit into appropriate genotypes. E(spl) is inherited as an autosomal dominant. It is a powerful enhancer, capable of converting spl/+ heterozygotes, normal in appearance, into females phenotypically split to the same degree as seen in spl/spl homozygotes. If one starts with homozygous spl females or hemizygous mutant males, then, upon addition of E(spl), the aberrant phenotype is even more extreme.

When N is coupled to spl, and E(spl) is introduced into the genome, the heterozygotes  $N^{s_4ds}$  spl/+ +; E(spl)/+ fail to express the split phenotype, whereas spl/+; E(spl)/+ females will do so. This result is interpreted to mean that the N site will not allow the synthesis of a functional polypeptide and that, since spl represents a second lesion in the same gene, there will be no product marked by spl available for interaction with E(spl). If our interpretation relating to the genetic nature of the Ax's and the probable cause of the unexpected reversal of the cis-trans comparison is correct, then one would expect spl coupled with Ax to respond to the enhancer because an aberrant but partly functional product is synthesized. The expectation is fully realized; spl Ax/+ +; E(spl)/+ females

show enhancement of split and, since spl already acts as a dominant when coupled to Ax, the split phenotype is more extreme than in spl/+; E(spl)/+ females.

As noted earlier, Schultz (in Morgan, Schultz and Curry 1941) investigated two tandem duplications of the X chromosome. Dp(1;1) Co, associated with a Confluens phenotype, was duplicated for a number of bands, one of which was 3C7. In this case, the two 3C7 bands on one chromosome are separated by intervening salivary bands.  $Dp(1;1)Ax^{28a}$  was believed to be a tandem duplication for the single band 3C7, and the duplicated elements would be adjacent. The particularly convincing demonstration that the Confluens phenotype in Dp(1;1)Coresulted from the presence of the extra 3C7, rather than from the numerous other bands similarly duplicated, led to the suggestion that the Abruptex phenotype in  $Dp(1;1)Ax^{28a}$  might be due to a position effect evoked by the adjacency of the two genetic elements, implying that, if it were possible to separate the two bands, each would resume its normal function. Our observations relevant to the action of  $Ax^{59b}$  or  $Ax^{59d}$  in the presence of Dp(1;2)51b7 suggest a plausible alternative interpretation to the position-effect explanation while assuming that  $Ax^{28a}$  does in fact possess two contiguous, homologous elements. Both  $Dp(1;1)Ax^{28a}$  and, for example, our recessive lethal combination  $Ax^{59b}$ ; Dp(1;2)51b7 are then similar genomes in that each has two 3C7 bands. It could be that in the former case one of the two adjacent elements on the X is marked by a dominant Abruptex mutant site. whereas the other has a normal function; in the latter instance,  $Ax^{59b}$  is on the sex chromosome and a functional 3C7 has been transposed to an autosome. The hypothetical mutant site in  $Ax^{2sa}$  could be associated with lethality or semilethality as is  $Ax^{59b}$ , but it is an unnecessary presumption.

In theory, it is possible to distinguish between the alternative explanations by taking advantage of unequal pairing and exchange that might occur in homozygotes and heterozygotes of  $Dp(1,1)Ax^{28a}$ , but such information is not presently available.

## SUMMARY

Two X-ray-induced Abruptex mutants are represented as mutant sites within the defined limits of the Notch gene. N/Ax heterozygotes are lethal, suggesting the cessation of a function common to both, but requiring explanation as to why the functional interruption is expressed phenotypically as Notch in one case and Abruptex in the other.—The unexpected results of a cis-trans comparison involving spl and Ax, plus a presumption relevant to cause, suggested that an Ax mutant site causes the synthesis of a highly aberrant, partly functional product, whereas, with a N site, the product is absent or nonfunctional. Various phenotypic comparisons are in accord with the hypothesis as are observations of coupled mutant combinations in the presence of Enhancer-split.—This work is in harmony with the view that the original  $Dp(1;1)Ax^{28a}$  possesses two 3C7 bands. The Abruptex phenotype is due to a position effect that is caused by the adjacency of the two genetic elements, or one of the 3C7's is normal in function, whereas the other harbors a mutant site for Ax.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Demerec, M., 1939 The nature of changes in the w-N region of the X chromosome of Drosophila melanogaster. Proc. 7th Intern. Congr. Genet. 99-103.
- Lefevre, Jr., G., 1952  $Dp(1;2R)w^{51b7}$ . Drosophila Inform. Serv. 26: 66.
- LINDSLEY, D. L. and E. H. Grell, 1968 Genetic variations of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Carnegie Inst. Washington Publ. **627**.
- Монк, O. L., 1919 Character changes caused by mutation of an active region of a chromosome in Drosophila. Genetics 4: 275-282. ——, 1923 A genetic and cytological analysis of a section deficiency involving four units of the X chromosome in Drosophila melanogaster. Z. Ind. Abst. Vererbl. 32: 108-214.
- MORGAN, T. H., J. SCHULTZ and V. CURRY, 1941 Carnegie Inst. Washington Yearbook 40: 283.
- SLIZYNSKA, H., 1938 Salivary chromosome analysis of the white-facet region of *Drosophila* melanogaster. Genetics 23: 291-299.
- Welshons, W. J., 1958 The analysis of a pseudoallelic recessive lethal system at the Notch locus of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. **23**: 171-176.

  ——, 1965 Analysis of a gene in Drosophila. Science **150**: 1122-1129.
- Welshons, W. J. and E. S. von Halle, 1962 Pseudoallelism at the Notch locus in Drosophila. Genetics 47: 743-759.