Table 1. Protocol Items for Meta-Analysis compared to Record Review Part 1		
	Record Review	Meta-analysis
Study Objectives	Identify treatments/exposures	Identify treatments/exposures
	and outcomes to be studied	and outcomes to be studied
	Define major and secondary hypotheses to be tested	Define effects to be estimated and whether focus is on estimating effect, explaining variability of results or both
Study Population		
Observational Unit	Subject	Study
Inclusion/exclusion Criteria	Prespecified characteristics of subjects	Prespecified characteristics of studies such as design, population, treatment
Randomization	Not Applicable	Determine whether limited to randomized studies or not
Identification/Locating	All or a random sample of all	Attempt to find all studies of
Subjects	subjects with the condition of interest	the condition and treatment of interest
Screening and Evaluation		
Evaluation	Informed consent needed, physical examination and tests	Quality of information about the study
Blinding	Raters should be blinded to exposure or outcome	Reviewers should be blinded to identity of study investigators and either methods or results
<u>Data Collection</u>		
Method	Systematically measured on individual subjects, as specified in the study protocol	Systematically abstract results from individual studies as specified in the protocol
Outcome Data Collected	Same outcome data collected on all subjects	Various outcome measures available in different studies
Data Analysis	Standard methods for analysis	Special methods for meta-
	of individual variables	analysis

Table 1. Protocol Items for Meta-Analysis compared to Record Review Part 2			
Reporting and Interpretation	Demographic description of	Description of all studies	
	study population	reviewed	
		Details of studies analyzed,	
		including results, quality	
		scores	
	Graphic displays of	Graphical displays of	
	comparison values, scatter	individual study outcomes	
	plots		
	G	E66-4-:	
	Summary statistics and test	Effect size and test results	
	results	from Meta-analysis	
	Evaluation including quality	Evaluation including quality	
	of data	of studies	
	Oi data	or studies	
	Statistical significance versus	Statistical significance versus	
	Statistical significance versus clinical importance	Statistical significance versus clinical importance	
	ciinicai importance	chinear importance	