Retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor (ROR) $\alpha 4$ is the predominant isoform of the nuclear receptor ROR α in the liver and is up-regulated by hypoxia in HepG2 human hepatoma cells

Caroline CHAUVET, Brigitte BOIS-JOYEUX and Jean-Louis DANAN¹

Centre de Recherche sur l'Endocrinologie Moléculaire et le Développement, CNRS-UPR 9078, 9 rue Jules Hetzel, F92190 Meudon-Bellevue, France

The retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor α (ROR α) is critically involved in many physiological functions in several organs. We find that the main ROR α isoform in the mouse liver is the ROR α 4 isoform, in terms of both mRNA and protein levels, while the ROR α 1 isoform is less abundant. Because hypoxia is a major feature of liver physiology and pathology, we examined the effect of this stress on *Rora* gene expression and ROR α transcriptional activity. HepG2 human hepatoma cells were cultured for 24 h under normoxia (20 % O₂) or hypoxia (10, 2, and 0.1 % O₂) and the abundance of the *Rora* transcripts measured by Northern blot and semi-quantitative RT-PCR. Hypoxic HepG2 cells contained more *Rora* mRNA than controls. This was also observed in rat hepatocytes in primary culture.

INTRODUCTION

Nuclear hormone receptors are ligand-regulated transcription factors that modulate the expression of target genes in response to physiological and environmental stimuli (for review, see [1]). Many nuclear hormone receptors have been identified over the past ten years using similarities between their sequences and those of hormone receptors, such as steroid and retinoid receptors. Subsequent investigations have focussed on the functions of these newly identified regulators of gene transcription. One challenge is to identify their target genes. Another is to describe the regulatory pathway(s) that modulate the production and activity of the nuclear receptors, as this is essential for understanding their physiological function. This includes finding their specific ligands and the stimuli that alter their production and/or activity.

The retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor α (ROR α) (NR1F1 in the unified nomenclature [2]) belongs to the steroid hormone receptor superfamily and is closely related to the retinoic acid receptors [3,4]. ROR α is classified as an orphan receptor because no natural ligand has yet been identified. ROR α usually binds as a monomer to a ROR response element (RORE) composed of a 6 bp AT-rich sequence 5' to a half-site core PuGGTCA motif, and activates transcription [4-7]. The Rora gene generates four isoforms by a combination of alternative promoter use and exon splicing: ROR α 1, ROR α 2, ROR α 3, and ROR α 4 (also termed RZR α). These isoforms have common DNA and putative ligand-binding domains, but differ by their amino-terminal sequences, which confer slightly different DNA binding preferences [3,4,8,9]. The wide distribution of the Rora transcripts suggests that this receptor functions in several organs, including the brain, heart, liver, testis, and skin [5]. ROR α

Cobalt chloride and desferrioxamine also increased the amount of *Rora* mRNA in HepG2 cells. It is likely that these treatments increase the amount of the ROR α 4 protein in HepG2 cells as evidenced by Western blotting in the case of desferrioxamine. Transient transfection experiments indicated that hypoxia, cobalt chloride, and desferrioxamine all stimulate ROR α transcriptional activity in HepG2 cells. Hence, we believe that ROR α participates in the control of gene transcription in hepatic cells and modulates gene expression in response to hypoxic stress.

Key words: transcription factor, low oxygen tension, hepatic cell.

plays a crucial role in the central nervous system. Staggerer (Rora^{sg}/Rora^{sg}) mice, whose Rora gene has a deletion [8], and $ROR\alpha$ -deficient mice obtained by homologous recombination, both suffer from severe cerebellar ataxia due to a defect in the development of their Purkinje cells [10–12]. ROR α has also been recently shown to be involved in susceptibility to atherosclerosis and hypoalphalipoproteinaemia [13,14], regulation of the inflammatory response [15], myogenesis [16], and bone formation [17]. Rora gene expression is also up-regulated during adipocyte differentiation [18]. Lastly, thyroid hormone stimulates Rora gene expression in the cerebellum [19], and the Ca²⁺/calmodulindependent kinase IV activates the ROR α transcriptional activity [20,21]. This suggests that studying the role of ROR α in different organs can provide useful information. However, little is known of the physiological or pathophysiological stimuli that regulate ROR α synthesis and transcriptional activity.

We recently established that ROR α stimulates the transcription of the gene coding for α -fetoprotein, a plasma protein principally produced by the fetal liver and yolk sac, and also by the adult liver undergoing regeneration or carcinogenesis [22]. This result prompted us to postulate that ROR α is involved in liver physiology and/or pathology. This involvement was recently confirmed with the demonstration that, in liver, ROR α controls the transcription of the gene encoding apolipoprotein C-III, a glycoprotein that plays a key role in plasma triglyceride metabolism [23].

Decreased tissue oxygenation (hypoxia) is an essential modulator of liver physiology and pathology. The metabolic activity of the liver causes the oxygen tension to drop between the periportal and the perivenous zones as blood passes through the sinusoids. Under physiological conditions, this oxygen tension gradient is a key regulator for the zonal expression of genes coding for

Abbreviations used: ROR, retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor; RORE, ROR response element; RT-PCR, reverse transcription-PCR; sg, staggerer.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed (e-mail danan@infobiogen.fr).

metabolic enzymes (for review, see [24]). Various hormones and growth factors, including erythropoietin and vascular endothelial growth factor, are also regulated by oxygen tension (for review, see [25]). Hypoxia appears to be a major factor in several liver diseases, such as cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [26]. Hypoxia also plays a key role in the development of solid tumours, influencing processes such as genetic instability, cell growth and differentiation, metastasis, and angiogenesis (for review, see [27]).

We have therefore determined those $ROR\alpha I-4$ isoforms that are produced in liver cells, by identifying the mRNA and the protein present. We have also investigated the effect of hypoxia on *Rora* gene expression and ROR α transcriptional activity in the hepatic cell, using the HepG2 human hepatoma cell line.

EXPERIMENTAL

Animals

Animal studies were conducted according to French guidelines for the care and use of experimental animals.

The staggerer mutation is maintained in a C57BL6/J genetic background in our breeding colony. It was developed from mice kindly provided by Professor Jean Mariani (UMR Neurobiologie des Processus Adaptatifs, Université P. et M. Curie, Paris, France). Staggerer (Rora^{*g}/Rora^{sg}) and wild-type (Rora⁺/Rora⁺) mice were obtained by crossing fertile heterozygous (Rora⁺/Rora^{sg}) mice and identifying homozygous offspring by PCR genotyping [28]. Mice were housed at 24 ± 1 °C in a temperature-controlled room with a 12 h light-dark cycle. Water and food (A03 granules, UAR, Epinay-sur-Orge, France) were provided *ad libitum*. Mashed moistened food was provided for staggerer mice because their ataxia prevents them from feeding conventionally.

Hepatocytes were prepared from 2-month-old male rats of the Wistar CF strain.

Cell culture and experimental conditions

Human hepatoma HepG2 cells (American Type Culture Collection HB 8065) were cultured as monolayers at 37 °C in a humidified 95% air/5% CO₂ incubator. The culture medium used was a 1:1 mixture of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium, and Ham-F12 media with Glutamax-I, supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 100 μ g/ml gentamycin, and 2.5 μ g/ml fungizone (all from Gibco/BRL). HepG2 cells were 70–80% confluent before use.

HepG2 cells plated on 60-mm-diameter glass dishes were made hypoxic by placing them in stainless steel hypoxia chambers. The chambers were evacuated until the desired oxygen partial pressure, deduced by measuring the pressure with an electronic pressure sensor (SMC digital pressure sensor, Tokyo, Japan), was reached. The chambers were then refilled with a gas mixture containing 5% CO₂ and 95% N₂. Final oxygen concentrations of 10, 2, or 0.1% were used. The chambers were then placed in an incubator at 37 °C for 24 h. Cells were still viable under these conditions (Trypan Blue exclusion, 98% viability after 24 h at 0.1% O₂).

When desired, HepG2 cells were incubated for 24 h with 100 μ M cobalt chloride or desferrioxamine mesylate (Sigma), and for a further 24 h period after adding 100 μ M cobalt chloride or desferrioxamine.

For transfection experiments, the hypoxic condition was 5% O_2 . Hepatocytes were prepared from 2-month-old Wistar CF rats as previously described [29] and cultured under 20 or 10% O_2 for 24 h.

RNA isolation

Total RNA was isolated from HepG2 cells and mouse tissues by a one-step guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform method, based on the protocol of Chomczynski and Sacchi [30], using TRIZOL[®] LS reagent (Gibco/BRL) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. RNA was recovered in 0.5 mM EDTA and quantified by spectrophotometry at 260/280 nm. The integrity of each of the RNA samples was assessed by electrophoresis on 1 % (w/v) agarose gels (28S:18S ratio).

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Reverse transcription was performed at 37 °C for 1 h in a total volume of 20 µl of first-strand buffer [250 mM Tris/HCl, 375 mM KCl, 15 mM MgCl₂] containing 12.5 ng/ μ l of the pd(T)12–18 primers (Amersham Bioscience, Orsay, France), 0.5 mM each dNTP (Gibco/BRL), 10 mM dithiothreitol, 200 U M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Gibco/BRL), 20 U RNasin (Promega, Charbonnières, France) and $2 \mu g$ of total RNA. The absence of contaminating DNA from each RNA sample was checked by omitting the reverse transcriptase from the RT reaction (RT control). When the reaction was complete, $2 \mu l$ of the cDNA solution were amplified in a final volume of 50 μ l of PCR buffer [20 mM Tris/HCl, 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂] containing 0.5 µM each primer, 0.2 mM each dNTP (Gibco/BRL), and 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Gibco/BRL). The PCR primers used were: for Rora (all transcripts), RORa-5', 5'-GTCAGCAGCTT-CTACCTGGAC-3', and RORa-3', 5'-GTGTTGTTCTGAGA-GTGAAAGGCACG-3' (482 bp product); for β -actin, β -actin-5', 5'-TCTACAATGAGCTGCGTGTGG-3', and β -actin-3', 5'-GGAACCGCTCATTGCCAATG-3' (496 bp product). Each of the Roral-4 transcripts was analysed using the ROR α -3'iso primer, 5'-AACAGTTCTTCTGACGAGGACAGG-3' for all the isoforms, and the RORa1-5' primer, 5'-GAGGTATCTCA-GTCACGAAG-3' (183 bp product) for Roral; the RORa2-5' primer, 5'-CAGTGTATCCTGTCTTCAGG-3' (274 bp product) for Rora2; the RORα3-5' primer, 5'-ACATAAACTGGG-ATGGAGCC-3'(234 bp product) for Rora3; and the ROR α 4primer, 5'-TGTGATCGCAGCGATGAAAG-3' (170 bp 5′ product) for *Rora4*. The ROR α isoform-specific PCR primer sequences were deduced from the published sequences of the human and mouse ROR α 1 and ROR α 4, and the human ROR α 2 and RORa3 cDNA (Genbank accession numbers U04897, U53228, L14611, Y08640, U04898, and U04899). The thermal cycle conditions included 1 cycle at 95 °C for 10 min, n cycles of (95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 sec), and 1 cycle at 72 °C for 10 min by using an iCycler thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Marnes La Coquette, France). The number (n) of amplification cycles was 17 for β -actin and usually 25 for all the Rora targets. To ensure the absence of contaminating material in the PCR step, the product of the RT step was replaced by distilled water (PCR control). Amplification products (10 μ l of the reaction mixture) were resolved by electrophoresis in a 1 % (w/v) agarose gel (2%) for the specific *Roral-4* amplification products). The gels were stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 μ g/ml) and photographed under UV light. The molecular weight marker used was the 1 kb DNA ladder (Gibco/BRL). Amplification products were then transferred to a nylon membrane (Hybond N⁺, Amersham Bioscience) and fixed by exposure to UV light. The ROR α , ROR α 4, and β -actin cDNA fragments used as probes were obtained by PCR amplification of the pCMXmROR α 4 expression plasmid [4] (with the ROR α -5' and ROR α -3' primers for ROR α , and with the ROR α 4-5' and ROR α -3'iso primers for ROR α 4) and the HepG2 cDNA (with the β -actin-5' and β -actin-3' primers), respectively. Probes

were labelled with $[\alpha^{-3^2}P]$ -dATP using the Prime-It II random labelling kit (Stratagene). The membranes were prehybridized for 2 h at 65 °C in the hybridization buffer [25 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.8, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8, 7 % (w/v) SDS, 1 % (w/v) BSA Cohn fraction V] and then hybridized with the [³²P]-labelled probe (1–2 × 10⁶ cpm/ml hybridization buffer) for 16 h at 65 °C. The hybridized membranes were washed twice at room temperature for 15 min each with 2 × SSC, 0.1 % SDS and once for 30 min with 0.1 × SSC, 0.1 % SDS at 60 °C. Radioactive signals were detected by exposing the filters to Biomax Hyperfilms MP (Kodak). The radioactivity in the bands was quantified with an InstantImager (Packard).

Northern blot analysis

Total RNA (10 μ g/lane) was fractionated by electrophoresis through 1% (w/v) agarose gel containing 0.7 M formaldehyde, transferred to a nylon membrane (Hybond N⁺, Amersham Bioscience) and fixed by exposure to UV light. The integrity of the blotted RNA was evaluated by staining the 28S and 18S ribosomal RNA subunits with Methylene Blue. The ROR α probe was obtained as described above. The membranes were hybridized in *Expresshyb* buffer (Clontech, Erembodegem, Belgium) following the manufacturer's guidelines, and radioactive signals were detected by exposing the filters to Biomax Hyperfilms MP (Kodak) with screens at -80 °C.

Preparation of nuclear extracts and Western blot analysis

Nuclear extracts from mouse liver were prepared according to Gorski et al. [31], except that 3 g aliquots of minced liver were diluted to 30 ml with homogenization buffer [2 M sucrose, 10 % (v/v) glycerol, 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.6, 15 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSF, 0.3 mM spermine, 1 mM spermidine, 20 μ g/ml aprotinin, and 1 μ g/ml each of antipain, leupeptin, and pepstatin]. HepG2 cell nuclear extracts were prepared as previously described [32]. Briefly, about 5×10^7 cells were removed from plates using trypsin and suspended in 3 ml buffer H [0.4 M sucrose, 11.4% (v/v) glycerol, 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.6,60 mM KCl, 15 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM PMSF, 0.15 mM spermine, 0.5 mM spermidine, $20 \,\mu g/ml$ aprotinin, and $1 \mu g/ml$ each of antipain, leupeptin, and pepstatin]. Cells were lysed by adding 6 ml of buffer H containing 0.5% (v/v) NP40 and using a Dounce homogenizer (pestle A). The suspension was diluted with 9 ml of buffer H. Nuclei were recovered by centrifugation through a 0.5 M sucrose cushion (in buffer H). The nuclei extracts were then prepared as previously described [31]. Human ROR α 1 and mouse ROR α 4 proteins were produced in vitro from the pCMX-hRORa1 and pCMXmROR α 4 plasmids [4] using the TNT-T7 Quick coupled transcription/translation system (Promega). Aliquots of the protein extracts (25 μ g of mouse liver extracts and 50 μ g of HepG2 cells extracts) and of the programmed and unprogrammed lysates were electrophoresed by SDS/PAGE (10 % w/v gel) and transferred by semi-dry blotting to a nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham Bioscience) using standard procedures. The molecular weight marker used was the full range rainbow marker (Amersham Bioscience). The membrane was stained with Ponceau Red (Sigma) to confirm equal protein loading and transfer. The membrane was first incubated overnight at 4 °C in blocking solution [PBS, 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20, 5% (w/v) non-fat milk powder], and then for 5 h at 24 °C with a polyclonal antibody against RORa (sc-6062, Santa Cruz Biotechnology/Tebu, Le Perray en Yvelines, France) diluted 1:100 in blocking solution. The membrane was treated with a horseradish peroxidasecoupled secondary antibody (Sigma) diluted 1:4000 in blocking solution, and immunocomplexes were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL[®] kit, Amersham Bioscience), according to the manufacturer's guidelines.

Reporter plasmids, transient transfection and luciferase assay

The pRORE3-TK-Luc and pTK-Luc reporter plasmids were kindly provided by Professor Bart Staels (Institut Pasteur, Lille, France). Approximately 10⁶ exponentially growing HepG2 cells were transfected using the calcium phosphate method [33] with $4 \mu g$ of pRORE3-TK-Luc or pTK-Luc reporter plasmid in a 60-mm-diameter dish. The precipitate was incubated with the cells for 4 h, the medium was replaced with fresh medium, and the cells were incubated for a further 16 h. Cells were then incubated under 5 or 20 % O₂, or with 100 μ M cobalt chloride or desferrioxamine for 24 h. The cells were lysed in 200 μ l of reporter lysis buffer (Promega) per dish. Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method [34] with bovine immuno-globulin as the standard (Bio-Rad). Luciferase activity was assayed as described previously [33].

Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as means \pm S.E.M. of the number of experiments indicated in every case. Statistical analysis was carried out using the paired version of the Student's *t*-test. Differences were considered to be significant at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

ROR α 4: the main ROR α isoform in the liver

We first detected the Rora mRNA in liver by RT-PCR with RORa specific primers designed to amplify all four Rora transcripts (Figure 1A). Rora transcripts were detected in the fetal and adult mouse liver, and in the mouse yolk sac, another tissue of endodermal origin (Figure 1B). We wanted to identify which of the four ROR α isoforms generated by the *Rora* gene were present in these tissues. We designed primers for the selective detection of each Roral-4 transcript (Figure 1A). The Rora4 transcript was readily detected in the liver and yolk sac using 25 cycles of PCR amplification (Figure 1B). The same PCR conditions did not reveal any Roral transcript in these tissues, although this transcript was readily detected in the adult mouse brain (Figure 1B). This tissue was used as a positive control since it is known that the ROR α 1 isoform is present in the cerebellum [9]. However, the Roral transcript was detected in the liver and yolk sac when the number of PCR cycles was increased to 35 (Figure 1B). Rora2 and Rora3 transcripts remained undetectable, even after 35 cycles of PCR amplification (data not shown). These data clearly indicate that the *Rora4* transcript is the main transcript in the mouse liver and yolk sac, while the concentration of *Roral* transcript is much lower.

We analysed protein extracts from the livers of wild-type $(Rora^+/Rora^+)$ and *staggerer* $(Rora^{sg}/Rora^{sg})$ adult mice by Western blotting to determine whether the *Rora* transcripts in the liver are translated into the corresponding proteins. $Rora^{sg}/Rora^{sg}$ mice were used as negative controls as their *Rora* gene has a deletion that prevents production of a full length ROR α protein. Preliminary Western blot experiments on total protein extracts from mouse liver showed unspecific immunoreactive bands near the expected molecular weight of the ROR α proteins. These bands were unspecific because they were detected in both $Rora^+/Rora^+$ and $Rora^{sg}/Rora^{sg}$ mice (data not shown). We therefore performed the Western blot experiments with protein extracts prepared from purified nuclei from the livers of



Figure 1 $\ \mbox{ROR}\alpha$ isoforms in the mouse liver and HepG2 human hepatoma cells

(A) Location of the PCR primers on the ROR α 1–4 cDNAs. Similar (black and empty boxes) and distinct (hatched boxes) regions of the four ROR α coding sequences, and regions coding for the DNA-binding domains (DBD) and the ligand-binding domains (LBD) are shown. Primers for detecting all of the Rora isoforms (ROR α -5' and ROR α -3') are depicted as white arrows. Primers for the specific detection of each of the four isoforms (RORa1-5', RORa2-5', RORa3-5', ROR α 4-5', and ROR α -3'iso) are depicted as black arrows. (**B**) Total RNA from E17 mouse yolk sac (lanes 3) and liver (lanes 4), 2-month-old mouse liver (lanes 5), HepG2 human hepatoma cells (lanes 6), and 2-month-old mouse brain (lanes 7) were analysed by RT-PCR for the presence of Rora (all isoforms) (upper panel), of Rora1 (middle panels), and of Rora4 (lower panels) transcripts. The PCR reactions were performed with 25 or 35 cycles (35 cy.). The PCR control (lanes 1) and a representative RT control (lanes 2) are shown. M, molecular mass markers. (C) Aliquots of liver nuclear extracts from 3-month-old wild-type (lanes 3 and 3*) and staggerer (lanes 4 and 4*) mice were analysed by Western blotting with an antibody against RORa. Lanes 3* and 4* correspond to a five-fold longer exposure of the ECL® revelation reaction than lanes 3 and 4. A mix of lysates programmed for producing RORx1 and RORx4 proteins (lane 1) and the control unprogrammed lysate (lane 2) were processed simultaneously.

adult Rora⁺/Rora⁺ and Rora^{sg}/Rora^{sg} mice. ROR α 1 and ROR α 4 proteins produced in vitro were used as controls. An immunoreactive band with the same mobility as the *in vitro* produced ROR α 4 was readily detected in liver nuclear extracts prepared from *Rora⁺*/*Rora⁺* mice (Figure 1C, lane 3). An immunoreactive band with the same mobility as the *in vitro* produced ROR α 1 was also detected in liver nuclear extracts of *Rora*⁺/*Rora*⁺ mice when autoradiographic films were exposed for longer (Figure 1C, lane 3*). None of these bands was detected in the liver nuclear extracts from Rora^{sg}/Rora^{sg} mice (Figure 1C, lanes 4 and 4*). Thus ROR α 4 and ROR α 1 proteins are present in the nuclei of hepatic cells and the relative concentrations of $ROR\alpha 1$ and ROR α 4 proteins reflect those of the corresponding mRNAs. Hence, our RT-PCR and Western blotting results indicate that ROR α 4 is the most abundant ROR α isoform in the liver. We never detected any specific immunoreactive proteins with a molecular mass corresponding to that of the putative $ROR\alpha$ truncated proteins in liver nuclear extracts from Rora^{sg}/Rora^{sg} mice (Figure 1C, lanes 4 and 4* and data not shown).



Figure 2 Increased Rora transcripts in HepG2 hepatoma cells grown under hypoxia

HepG2 cells were incubated in normoxia (20% O2) or hypoxia (10, 2, or 0.1% O2) for 24 h. (A) Aliguots of total RNA were analysed for *Rora* mRNA (all isoforms) by Northern blotting (top panel). The Methylene Blue staining of RNA is shown as a control of RNA loading and transfer (bottom panel). The positions of the 18S and 28S rRNA are marked with arrows. Two samples are shown for each condition of culture. (B) Total RNA was analysed for Rora mRNA (all isoforms) by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. *β-actin* mRNA was amplified to confirm the use of equal amounts of cDNA in the PCR step and to allow PCR products to be quantified comparatively. Aliquots of the PCR mixture were electrophoresed and transferred to nitrocellulose filters, which were then hybridized with probes specific for *Rora* or β -actin. Ethidium bromide stained gels and their corresponding autoradiograms (\blacklozenge) of a typical experiment with two samples are shown. The PCR control (lanes 1) and a representative RT control (lanes 2) are shown. M, molecular weight markers. (C) The intensities of the radioactive signals corresponding to Rora (black columns) and β -actin (open columns) amplification products were quantified by InstantImager (Packard). Results are expressed relative to the values at 20% O2. They are given as means ± S.E.M. of five independent experiments, each carried out in triplicate. Significant differences (P < 0.05) from 20% 0₂ controls are indicated by *. (**D**) Total RNA was analysed for Rora mRNA (all isoforms) and Rora4 mRNA by semi-quantitative RT-PCR as described in (B) for the 20% (lanes 3) and 2% (lanes 4) O2 conditions. A representative experiment out of six is shown.

Stimulation of *Rora* gene expression by hypoxia in HepG2 cells and in adult rat hepatocytes in primary culture

We studied the effect of hypoxia, a stimulus implicated in liver physiology and pathology, on *Rora* gene expression. We chose the HepG2 human hepatoma cell line, a widely used model for studying the effects of oxygen deprivation on gene expression. *Rora* gene expression was detected by measuring the mRNA by RT-PCR. The *Rora4* transcript was more abundant than the *Rora1* transcript in HepG2 cells, as in the mouse liver (Figure 1B). The *Rora2* and *Rora3* transcripts were not detected in HepG2 cells, even after 35 cycles of PCR amplification (data not shown). We examined the effect of hypoxia on *Rora* mRNA amount, by Northern blotting of total RNA samples from HepG2 cells cultured for 24 h under oxygen concentrations of 20, 10, 2, and 0.1% (Figure 2A). There were more *Rora* transcripts in HepG2 cells cultured under 2 or 0.1% O₂ than in





Figure 3 Increased *Rora* transcripts in rat primary hepatocytes grown under hypoxia

Adult rat primary hepatocytes were incubated in normoxia (20% 0₂, lanes 2) or hypoxia (10% 0₂, lanes 3) for 24 h. Total RNA was analysed for *Rora* mRNA (all isoforms) and *Rora4* mRNA by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. *β-actin* mRNA was amplified to confirm the use of equal amounts of cDNA in the PCR step. Aliquots of the PCR mixture were electrophoresed and transferred to nitrocellulose filters, which were then hybridized with probes specific for *Rora* (all isoforms), *Rora4*, or *β-actin*. Ethidium bromide stained gels and their corresponding autoradiograms (\blacklozenge) of a typical experiment out of three are shown. The PCR control (lanes 1) is shown. M, molecular weight markers.

HepG2 cells cultured under 20 % O₂. However, the pattern of the Rora transcripts in these Northern blot experiments was too complex for optimal and accurate quantification of the effect of hypoxia on Rora gene expression. We therefore developed a semi-quantitative RT-PCR assay. Part of the β -actin transcript was amplified to check reverse transcription efficiency and that equal amounts of RNA were used. Preliminary experiments showed that 25 cycles were optimal for the semi-quantitative analysis of *Rora* mRNA and 17 for β -actin mRNA (data not shown). We therefore used these conditions to measure the *Rora* mRNA in total RNA from HepG2 cells cultured for 24 h under 20, 10, 2, or 0.1 % O₂ (Figure 2B and C). HepG2 cells incubated under 10 % O₂ had slightly increased Rora transcripts (about 1.8 times that of the 20 % O₂ control). Incubation under 2 or 0.1 %O2 resulted in step-wise increases in Rora mRNA (about 4.5 times that of the 20 % O₂ control). Similar results were obtained using the RORa4 specific PCR primers (Figure 2D). In contrast, the abundance of β -actin mRNA was not significantly affected by hypoxia, except that incubation under 0.1% O₂ led to a 40% decrease in the amount of the β -actin mRNA compared to the 20 % O₂ control. The Northern blot and the RT-PCR analyses, therefore, show that in HepG2 hepatoma cells, hypoxia specifically stimulates expression of the Rora gene in a manner that

Figure 4 Increased Rora transcripts in HepG2 hepatoma cells treated with cobalt chloride and desferrioxamine

HepG2 cells were untreated (Control) or treated with CoCl2 or desferrioxamine (DFO) as described in the Experimental section. (A) Aliquots of total RNA were analysed for Rora mRNA (all isoforms) by Northern blotting (top panel). The methylene blue staining of RNA is shown as a control of RNA loading and transfer (bottom panel). The positions of the 18S and 28S rRNA are marked with arrows. Two samples are shown for each condition of culture. (B) Total RNA was analysed for Rora mRNA (all isoforms) by semi-quantitative RT-PCR. β-actin mRNA was amplified to confirm the use of equal amounts of cDNA in the PCR step and to allow PCR products to be quantified comparatively. Aliquots of the PCR mixture were electrophoresed and transferred to nitrocellulose filters, which were then hybridized with probes specific for Rora or β -actin. Ethidium bromide stained gels and their corresponding autoradiograms (\blacklozenge) of a typical experiment with two samples are shown. The PCR control (lanes 1) and a representative RT control (lanes 2) are shown. M, molecular weight markers. (C) The intensities of the radioactive signals corresponding to *Rora* (black columns) and β -actin (open columns) amplification products were quantified by InstantImager (Packard). Results are expressed relative to the values of the control. They are given as means ± S.E.M. for four independent experiments, each carried out in triplicate. Significant differences (P < 0.05) from the controls are indicated by *.

depends on the degree of oxygen deprivation. We used adult rat hepatocytes in primary culture to determine if the results obtained from HepG2 hepatoma cells were relevant in a model closer to the *in vivo* situation. The amount of *Rora* transcripts was increased in adult rat hepatocytes incubated for 24 h under 10 % O₈ (Figure 3).

Stimulation of *Rora* gene expression in HepG2 cells by cobalt chloride and desferrioxamine

The metal ion cobalt and the iron chelator desferrioxamine very often mimic the effects of hypoxia [35,36]. Therefore, we tested the effects of these chemicals on *Rora* gene expression. Both the Northern blot and the semi-quantitative RT-PCR studies showed



Figure 5 Increased ROR α protein in HepG2 hepatoma cells treated with desferrioxamine

Nuclear proteins were prepared from HepG2 cells either untreated (lanes 3 and 3*) or treated with desferrioxamine (lanes 4 and 4*) as described in the Experimental section. Aliquots of the nuclear extracts were analysed by Western blotting with an antibody against ROR α (lanes 3 and 4). The Ponceau Red staining of the proteins present in lanes 3 and 4 is shown in the right part of the figure as a control for protein loading and transfer (lanes 3* and 4*). A mix of lysates programmed for producing ROR α 1 and ROR α 4 proteins (lane 1) and the control unprogrammed lysate (lane 2) were processed simultaneously.

that cobalt chloride or desferrioxamine increased the amount of *Rora* mRNA in HepG2 cells (Figure 4). Thus, cobalt chloride and desferrioxamine, like hypoxia, both influence the amounts of *Rora* gene transcripts in HepG2 cells.

We then used desferrioxamine to determine whether the increase in the abundance of *Rora* mRNA was associated with an increase in ROR α protein. Western blot experiments were performed on nuclear extracts from untreated HepG2 cells, and from HepG2 cells incubated with desferrioxamine. Nuclear extracts from HepG2 cells treated with desferrioxamine gave an immunoreactive band, with the same mobility as the *in vitro* produced ROR α 4, which was more intense than in nuclear extracts from untreated HepG2 cells (Figure 5). This clearly showed that desferrioxamine treatment increased the amount of ROR α 4 protein in the nuclei of HepG2 cells. And the unchanged apparent molecular mass of the ROR α 4 protein after desferrioxamine treatment also suggests that there are no or few post-translational modifications, such as changes in phosphorylation in response to such a stress.

We used transient transfection experiments to analyse the effect of hypoxic stress on ROR α transcriptional activity in HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were transfected with a plasmid bearing the luciferase reporter gene under the control of the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase promoter alone (pTK-Luc plasmid), or



Figure 6 Enhanced ROR α transcriptional activity in HepG2 hepatoma cells treated with hypoxia, cobalt chloride, and desferrioxamine

HepG2 cells were transfected with 4 μ g of the pRORE3-TK-Luc reporter plasmid, which contains a tandem of 3 ROREs in front of the TK promoter and the luciferase reporter gene, or with 4 μ g of the pTK-Luc control plasmid that does not contain the ROREs. Cells were left untreated (Control), treated with 5% 0₂ (Hypoxia), or with 100 μ M CoCl₂ or desferrioxamine (DFO) for 24 h. The luciferase activity of the pRORE3-TK-Luc plasmid (hatched columns) is expressed relative to that of the pTK-Luc control plasmid (open columns) measured under the same conditions. The results are given as means \pm S.E.M. of at least four independent experiments, each carried out in duplicate. Significant differences (P < 0.05) from the control are indicated by *.

together with three ROREs in tandem (pRORE3-TK-Luc plasmid). The transfected HepG2 cells were incubated for 24 h under normoxic $(20 \% O_2)$ or hypoxic $(5 \% O_2)$ conditions. This hypoxic condition $(5\% O_2)$ was used because we observed that, under more severe conditions (2 or $0.1 \% O_2$), cumulative stresses induced by transfection and hypoxia led to decreased cell viability. Hypoxia increased the transcriptional activity driven by the DNA sequence containing the three ROREs (Figure 6). Similar results were obtained when HepG2 cells were incubated for 24 h with 100 μ M cobalt chloride or desferrioxamine (Figure 6). Thus, hypoxia, cobalt chloride and desferrioxamine all increase RORa transcriptional activity. These data strongly suggest that hypoxia, cobalt chloride and desferrioxamine stimulate the expression of the Rora gene, in terms of both mRNA and protein production. These, in turn, increase the ROR α transcriptional activity in HepG2 cells.

DISCUSSION

The orphan nuclear receptor ROR α appears to be crucial for many physiological processes that occur in tissues such as the cerebellum, adipose tissue, muscle, and bone (for reviews, see [37,38]). The present study was performed to better understand the role of the nuclear orphan receptor ROR α in the liver. We find that the *Rora4* transcript is the most abundant *Rora* transcript in the mouse yolk sac and fetal and adult liver, and in HepG2 human hepatoma cells. This is in agreement with studies showing the wide tissue distribution of the *Rora4* transcript [3,9].

It is crucial that any attempt to understand the role of a transcription factor in a given tissue demonstrates that the corresponding protein is present in that tissue. Some transcripts are not translated into protein. The transcript encoding the D-binding protein (DBP) transcription factor, for instance, is detected in several tissues but the DBP protein is present only in

the liver [39]. Our Western blot results show that there is $ROR\alpha 4$ protein in the nuclei of the adult mouse liver and HepG2 cells. ROR α 1 transcript and protein are also present in the mouse liver, but they are less abundant than the ROR α 4 transcript and protein. Up until now, the ROR α 1 isoform was known to be specifically produced in the central nervous system [9] but we found that the ROR α 1 and ROR α 4 isoforms were both present in an organ other than the brain. In addition, we bring substantial information as to the sub-cellular localization of the ROR α proteins. Our results demonstrate that the ROR α 1 and ROR α 4 proteins are present, at least, in the nucleus of liver cells under normal conditions. The presence of both $ROR\alpha 1$ and ROR α 4 in the mouse liver strongly suggests that they are involved in the control of liver gene transcription. These results, in conjunction with those obtained by others for the liver [23] and for the intestine [13], indicate that ROR α controls gene expression in several organs of endodermal origin, such as the yolk sac, liver and intestine.

The staggerer natural mutation is a genomic deletion that results in a stop codon preventing the translation of the putative ligand-binding domain of the ROR α protein [8]. The truncated RORa protein can still bind to DNA in vitro [7], and behaves as a negative dominant during transfection experiments in muscle cells [16]. The existence of the truncated protein in vivo has not yet been addressed. We find no specific immunoreactive band with a higher electrophoretic mobility than the ROR α 4 protein that might correspond to a putative ROR α^{sg} truncated protein in the liver of Rora^{sg}/Rora^{sg} mice. However, the shorter Rora^{sg} transcript has been detected *in vivo* in the liver (data not shown) and intestine [13] of Rora^{sg}/Rora^{sg} mice. Consequently, our inability to detect the corresponding protein in the liver may reflect the poor translation of the short mRNA and/or the instability of the truncated ROR α^{sg} protein in the staggerer mutant.

Hypoxia regulates gene expression in a complex fashion, with control of both transcriptional and post-transcriptional events [25,40]. Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) is important for the modulation of gene transcription in response to hypoxia (for review, see [25]). Other transcription factors, such as the Sp factors [41], AP-1 [42], p53 [43], and NF κ B [44], may also all participate in the regulation of gene transcription by hypoxia. Studies on HNF-4 [45,46], PPAR α [47] and RXR [48] suggest that changes in nuclear receptor signalling could be a novel mechanism by which hypoxia controls gene expression. Our present results support this interesting concept; they show that hypoxia stimulates the expression and transcriptional activity of another nuclear receptor: ROR α .

The liver plays a major role in adaptative responses to hypoxia, in addition to its essential functions in metabolism, inflammatory response, hormonal control, and blood homoeostasis. The most prominent finding of our study is that hypoxia increases the amount of the *Rora* transcripts in HepG2 human hepatoma cells in a dose-dependent manner. Interestingly, this up-regulation of the Rora gene expression was also observed in rat hepatocytes cultured under hypoxic conditions $(10\% O_2)$ which are physiologically relevant. The hypoxic stress also increases the ROR α transcriptional activity in HepG2 cells. These results are reinforced by those obtained with cobalt chloride and desferrioxamine, chemicals that can mimic the effects of hypoxia on gene expression [35,36]. We also find an increase in the amount of the ROR α 4 protein in HepG2 cells treated with desferrioxamine, establishing the effect of such a stress on Rora gene expression at the protein level. It is reasonable to think that hypoxia and cobalt chloride also increase the amount of $ROR\alpha$ protein, in addition to increasing the amount of Rora mRNA. Taken together, our Western blot and transient transfection experiments strongly suggest that hypoxia increases ROR α transcriptional activity by, at least partially, up-regulating the amount of ROR α protein in the nucleus.

The α -fetoprotein and apolipoprotein C-III genes are the only putative ROR α target genes identified in the liver to date. Functional ROREs have been evidenced by electrophoretic mobility shift assays and transient transfection experiments in the transcription regulatory sequences of the genes encoding α fetoprotein [22] and apolipoprotein C-III [23]. In addition, the expression of apolipoprotein C-III in liver was found to be decreased in the *staggerer* mutant [23]. The identification of new target genes for ROR α in liver will help to better define the function of ROR α in this organ.

ROR α could also be involved in adapting the organism to hypoxic conditions and in disorders in which hypoxia occurs. This may not concern only the liver, but also other organs such as brain or heart, for which ischaemic stroke can have very deleterious consequences. The effects of hypoxia on ROR α could also be meaningful in pathological processes such as carcinogenesis. Hypoxia is a major feature of all solid tumours [27]. ROR α may well be implicated in oncogenesis since this nuclear receptor controls expression of the genes encoding the N-myc protooncogene [49], the cell cycle negative regulator p21^{wat-1/Cip-1} [50], and interacts directly with the metastasis suppressor Nm23 [51]. Our data showing that ROR α responds to hypoxia further strengthen this hypothesis.

In conclusion, we show that hypoxia stimulates *Rora* gene expression and ROR α transcriptional activity in cells of hepatic origin, pointing to ROR α having a role in the liver under physiological and pathological conditions. The function of this orphan nuclear receptor in the liver must be investigated further, with the identification of new ROR α target genes being the next step in this process. The notion that ROR α activity is affected by hypoxia may be helpful in this goal. More generally, ROR α may also be essential for the adaptation of other organs or cell types to oxygen deprivation and in such processes as angiogenesis and cancerogenesis. This opens the way for further studies on the function of ROR α .

We thank Dr N. Mazure for help in the initial experiments with hypoxia. We thank Dr J.-F. Decaux for preparing the rat hepatocytes. We are indebted to Professor J. Mariani for donating the (*Rora⁺/Rora^{sg}*) mice and Mrs D. Chamereau for animal care. We thank Professor V. Giguère for providing the pCMX-hROR α 1 and pCMX-mROR α 4 plasmids, and Professor B. Staels for the pRORE3-TK-Luc and pTK-Luc plasmids. We thank Dr O. Parkes for checking the English. This work was supported by the CNRS and by grants from the Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer (No. 5844) and from La Ligue contre le Cancer (Hauts-de-Seine) to J.-L. D. C. C. was supported by a fellowship from the Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de la Recherche et de la Technologie.

REFERENCES

- Mangelsdorf, D. J., Thummel, C., Beato, M., Herrlich, P., Schutz, G., Umesono, K., Blumberg, B., Kastner, P., Mark, M. and Chambon, P. (1995) The nuclear receptor superfamily: the second decade. Cell 83, 835–839
- Nuclear Receptor Nomenclature Committee (1999) A unified nomenclature system for the nuclear receptor superfamilly. Cell 97, 161–163
- 3 Becker-André, M., André, E. and DeLamarter, J. F. (1993) Identification of nuclear receptor mRNAs by RT-PCR amplification of conserved zinc-finger motif sequences. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **194**, 1371–1379
- 4 Giguère, V., Tini, M., Flock, G., Ong, E., Evans, R. M. and Otulakowski, G. (1994) Isoform-specific amino-terminal domains dictate DNA-binding properties of RORα, a novel family of orphan hormone nuclear receptors. Genes Dev. 8, 538-553
- 5 Carlberg, C., Hooft van Huijsduijnen, R., Staple, J. K., DeLamarter, J. F. and Becker-André, M. (1994) RZRs, a new family of retinoid-related orphan receptors that function as both monomers and homodimers. Mol. Endo. 8, 757–770

- 6 Giguère, V., McBroom, L. D. and Flock, G. (1995) Determinants of target gene specificity for RORα1: monomeric DNA binding by an orphan nuclear receptor. Mol. Cell. Biol. 15, 2517–2526
- 7 McBroom, L. D., Flock, G. and Giguère, V. (1995) The nonconserved hinge region and distinct amino-terminal domains of the ROR α orphan nuclear receptor isoforms are required for proper DNA bending and ROR α -DNA interactions. Mol. Cell. Biol. **15**, 796–808
- 8 Hamilton, B. A., Frankel, W. N., Kerrebrock, A. W., Hawkins, T. L., Fitzhugh, W., Kusumi, K., Russell, L. B., Mueller, K. L., van Berkel, V., Birren, B. W., Kruglyak, L. and Lander, E. S. (1996) Disruption of the nuclear hormone receptor ROR∞ in *staggerer* mice. Nature (London) **379**, 736–739
- 9 Matysiak-Scholze, U. and Nehls, M. (1997) The structural integrity of RORα isoforms is mutated in *staggerer* mice: cerebellar coexpression of RORα1 and RORα4. Genomics **43**, 78–84
- 10 Herrup, K. and Mullen, R. J. (1979) Regional variation and absence of large neurons in the cerebellum of the *staggerer* mouse. Brain Res. **172**, 1–12
- 11 Dussault, I., Fawcett, D., Matthyssen, A., Bader, J. A. and Giguère, V. (1998) Orphan nuclear receptor RORα-deficient mice display the cerebellar defects of *staggerer*. Mech. Dev. **70**, 147–153
- 12 Steinmayr, M., Andre, E., Conquet, F., Rondi-Reig, L., Delhaye-Bouchaud, N., Auclair, N., Daniel, H., Crepel, F., Mariani, J., Sotelo, C. and Becker-Andre, M. (1998) *Staggerer* phenotype in retinoid-related orphan receptor α -deficient mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **95**, 3960–3965
- 13 Vu-Dac, N., Gervois, P., Grotzinger, T., De Vos, P., Schoonjans, K., Fruchart, J. C., Auwerx, J., Mariani, J., Tedgui, A. and Staels, B. (1997) Transcriptional regulation of apolipoprotein A-I gene expression by the nuclear receptor ROR*a*. J. Biol. Chem. **272**, 22401–22404
- 14 Mamontova, A., Seguret-Mace, S., Esposito, B., Chaniale, C., Bouly, M., Delhaye-Bouchaud, N., Luc, G., Staels, B., Duverger, N., Mariani, J. and Tedgui, A. (1998) Severe atherosclerosis and hypoalphalipoproteinemia in the *staggerer* mouse, a mutant of the nuclear receptor ROR_a. Circulation **98**, 2738–2743
- 15 Delerive, P., Monté, D., Dubois, G., Trottein, F., Fruchart-Najib, J., Mariani, J., Fruchart, J.-C. and Staels, B. (2001) The orphan nuclear receptor RORα is a negative regulator of the inflammatory response. EMBO Rep. 2, 42–48
- 16 Lau, P., Bailey, P., Dowhan, D. H. and Muscat, G. E. (1999) Exogenous expression of a dominant negative RORα1 vector in muscle cells impairs differentiation: RORα1 directly interacts with p300 and myoD. Nucleic Acids Res. 27, 411–420
- 17 Meyer, T., Kneissel, M., Mariani, J. and Fournier, B. (2000) *In vitro* and *in vivo* evidence for orphan nuclear receptor RORα function in bone metabolism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **97**, 9197–9202
- 18 Austin, S., Medvedev, A., Yan, Z.-H., Adachi, H., Hirose, T. and Jetten, A. M. (1998) Induction of the nuclear orphan receptor RORγ during adipocyte differentiation of D1 and 3T3-L1 cells. Cell Growth Differ. 9, 267–276
- 19 Koibuchi, N. and Chin, W. W. (1998) ROR gene expression in the perinatal rat cerebellum: ontogeny and thyroid hormone regulation. Endocrinology **139**, 2335–2341
- 20 Kane, C. D. and Means, A. R. (2000) Activation of orphan receptor-mediated transcription by Ca(2 +)/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IV. EMBO J. 19, 691-701
- 21 Kuno-Murata, M., Koibuchi, N., Fukuda, H., Murata, M. and Chin, W. W. (2000) Augmentation of thyroid hormone receptor-mediated transcription by Ca²⁺/calmodulindependent protein kinase type IV. Endocrinology **141**, 2275–2278
- 22 Bois-Joyeux, B., Chauvet, C., Nacer-Chérif, H., Bergeret, W., Mazure, N., Giguère, V., Laudet, V. and Danan, J.-L. (2000) Modulation of the far upstream enhancer of the rat α-fetoprotein gene by members of the RORα, Rev-erbα, and Rev-erbβ groups of monomeric orphan nuclear receptors. DNA Cell Biol. **19**, 589–599
- 23 Raspé, E., Duez, H., Gervois, P., Fiévet, C., Fruchart, J.-C., Besnard, S., Mariani, J., Tedgui, A. and Staels, B. (2001) Transcriptional regulation of apolipoprotein C-III oene expression by the orphan receptor ROR_x. J. Biol. Chem. **276**, 2865–2871
- 24 Jungermann, K. and Kietzmann, T. (2000) Oxygen: modulator of metabolic zonation and disease of the liver. Hepatology (Philadelphia) **31**, 255–260
- 25 Wenger, R. H. (2000) Mammalian oxygen sensing, signalling and gene regulation. J. Exp. Biol. 203, 1253–1263
- 26 Rosmorduc, O., Wendum, D., Corpechot, C., Galy, B., Sebbagh, N., Raleigh, J., Housset, C. and Poupon, R. (1999) Hepatocellular hypoxia-induced vascular endothelial growth factor expression and angiogenesis in experimental biliary cirrhosis. Am. J. Pathol. **155**, 1065–1073
- 27 Sutherland, R. M. (1998) Tumor hypoxia and gene expression. Acta Oncol. 37, 567–574
- 28 Doulazmi, M., Frédéric, F., Lemaigre-Dubreuil, Y., Hadj-Sahraoui, N., Delhaye-Bouchaud, N. and Mariani, J. (1999) Cerebellar purkinje cell loss during life span of the heterozygous *staggerer* mouse (*Rora⁺/Rora^{sg}*) is gender-related. J. Comp. Neurol. **411**, 267–273

Received 25 October 2001/15 January 2002; accepted 27 February 2002

- 29 Decaux, J.-F., Antoine, B. and Kahn, A. (1989) Regulation of the expression of the L-type pyruvate kinase gene in adult rat hepatocytes in primary culture. J. Biol. Chem. 264, 11 584–11 590
- 30 Chomczynski, P. and Sacchi, N. (1987) Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform extraction. Anal. Biochem. 162, 156–159
- 31 Gorski, K., Carneiro, M. and Schibler, U. (1986) Tissue-specific *in vitro* transcription from the mouse albumin promoter. Cell 47, 767–776
- 32 Thomassin, H., Flavin, M., Espinas, M.-L. and Grange, T. (2001) Glucocorticoidinduced DNA demethylation and gene memory during development. EMBO J. 20, 1974–1983
- 33 Bois-Joyeux, B., Denissenko, M., Thomassin, H., Guesdon, S., Ikonomova, R., Bernuau, D., Feldmann, G. and Danan, J.-L. (1995) The c-jun proto-oncogene downregulates the rat alpha-fetoprotein promoter in HepG2 hepatoma cells without binding to DNA. J. Biol. Chem. **270**, 10204–10211
- 34 Bradford, M. M. (1976) A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein dye binding. Anal. Biochem. **72**, 248–254
- 35 Goldberg, M. A. and Schneider, T. J. (1994) Similarities between the oxygen-sensing mechanisms regulating the expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and erythropoietin. J. Biol. Chem. **269**, 4355–4359
- 36 Ho, V. T. and Bunn, H. F. (1996) Effects of transition metals on the expression of the erythropoietin gene: further evidence that the oxygen sensor is a heme protein. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **223**, 175–180
- 37 Jetten, A. M., Kurebayashi, S. and Ueda, E. (2001) The ROR nuclear orphan subfamily: critical regulators of multiple biological processes. Prog. Nucleic Acid Res. Mol. Biol. 69, 205–247
- 38 Jarvis, C. I., Staels, B., Brugg, B., Lemaigre-Dubreuil, Y., Tedgui, A. and Mariani, J. (2002) Age-related phenotypes in the *staggerer* mouse expand the RORα nuclear receptor's role beyond the cerebellum. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. **186**, 1–5
- 39 Mueller, C. R., Maire, P. and Schibler, U. (1990) DBP, a liver-enriched transcriptional activator, is expressed late in ontogeny and its tissue specificity is determined posttranscriptionally. Cell 61, 279–291
- 40 Levy, A. P., Levy, N. S. and Goldberg, M. A. (1996) Hypoxia-inducible protein binding to vascular endothelial growth factor mRNA and its modulation by the von Hippel–Lindau protein. J. Biol. Chem. **271**, 25492–25497
- 41 Discher, D. J., Bishopric, N. H., Wu, X., Peterson, C. A. and Webster, K. A. (1998) Hypoxia regulates β -enolase and pyruvate kinase-M promoters by modulating Sp1/Sp3 binding to a conserved GC element. J. Biol. Chem. **273**, 26087–26093
- 42 Webster, K. A., Discher, D. J. and Bishopric, N. H. (1993) Induction and nuclear accumulation of fos and jun proto-oncogenes in hypoxic cardiac myocytes. J. Biol. Chem. 268, 16852–16858
- 43 Graeber, T. G., Peterson, J. F., Tsai, M., Monica, K., Fornace, A. J. and Giaccia, A. J. (1994) Hypoxia induces accumulation of p53 protein, but activation of a G1-phase checkpoint by low-oxygen conditions is independent of p53 status. Mol. Cell. Biol. 14, 6264–6277
- 44 Koong, A. C., Chen, E. Y. and Giaccia, A. J. (1994) Hypoxia causes the activation of nuclear factor κ through the phosphorylation of $\kappa \alpha$ on tyrosine residues. Cancer Res. **54**, 1425–1430
- 45 Galson, D. L., Tsuchiya, T., Tendler, D. S., Huang, L. E., Ren, Y., Ogura, T. and Bunn, H. F. (1995) The orphan receptor hepatic nuclear factor 4 functions as a transcriptional activator for tissue-specific and hypoxia-specific erythropoietin gene expression and is antagonized by EAR3/COUP-TF1. Mol. Cell. Biol. 15, 2135–2144
- 46 Mazure, N. M., Nguyen Trong, L. and Danan, J.-L. (2001) Severe hypoxia specifically downregulates hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 gene expression in HepG2 human hepatoma cells. Tumor Biol. 22, 310–317
- 47 Narravula, S. and Colgan, S. P. (2001) Hypoxia-inducible factor 1-mediated inhibition of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α expression during hypoxia. J. Immunol. **166**, 7543–7548
- 48 Huss, J. M., Levy, F. H. and Kelly, D. P. (2001) Hypoxia inhibits the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α/retinoid X receptor gene regulatory pathway in cardiac myocytes. J. Biol. Chem. **276**, 27605–27612
- 49 Dussault, I. and Giguère, V. (1997) Differential regulation of the N-myc protooncogene by RORα and RVR, two orphan members of the superfamily of nuclear hormone receptors. Mol. Cell. Biol. **17**, 1860–1867
- 50 Schrader, M., Danielsson, C., Wiesenberg, I. and Carlberg, C. (1996) Identification of natural monomeric response elements of the nuclear receptor RZR/ROR. They also bind COUP-TF homodimers. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 19732–19736
- 51 Paravicini, G., Steinmayr, M., André, E. and Becker-André, M. (1996) The metastasis suppressor candidate nucleotide diphosphate kinase NM23 specifically interacts with members of the ROR/RZR nuclear orphan receptor subfamily. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 227, 82–87