Protein kinase A regulates ATP hydrolysis and dimerization by a CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator) domain

L. Daniel HOWELL*¹, Roy BORCHARDT*, Jolanta KOLE*, Andrew M. KAZ*, Christoph RANDAK† and Jonathan A. COHN*² *Department of Medicine, Duke University and VA Medical Centers, Durham, NC 27710-3378, U.S.A., and †Kinderklinik im Dr. von Haunerschen Kinderspital, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany

Gating of the CFTR Cl[−] channel is associated with ATP hydrolysis at the nucleotide-binding domains (NBD1, NBD2) and requires PKA (protein kinase A) phosphorylation of the R domain. The manner in which the NBD1, NBD2 and R domains of CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator) interact to achieve a properly regulated ion channel is largely unknown. In this study we used bacterially expressed recombinant proteins to examine interactions between these soluble domains of CFTR *in vitro*. PKA phosphorylated a fusion protein containing NBD1 and R (NBD1–R–GST) on CFTR residues Ser-660, Ser-700, Ser-712, Ser-737, Ser-768, Ser-795 and Ser-813. Phosphorylation of these serine residues regulated ATP hydrolysis by NBD1–R–GST by increasing the apparent K_m for ATP (from 70 to 250 μ M) and the Hill coefficient (from 1 to 1.7) without changing the *V*max. When fusion proteins were photolabelled with 8-azido- $[\alpha^{-32}P]$ ATP, PKA phosphorylation increased the apparent k_d for

INTRODUCTION

The CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator) is responsible for regulating chloride ion transport in many epithelial cells. CFTR is a member of the ABC (ATP-binding cassette) transporter family of proteins and consists of five domains, including the first and second NBDs (nucleotide-binding domains; NBD1 and NBD2) and the regulatory domain (R). NBD domains are universal among ABC transporters, but the R domain is unique to CFTR.

Many questions remain regarding the role of the R domain in CFTR and the mechanism by which this domain regulates channel activity. The R domain is phosphorylated by several kinases*in vitro* [1], most notably PKA (protein kinase A). Because PKA phosphorylation of CFTR is normally required for channel activation [2] the R domain has been viewed as a plug that blocks ion traffic until phosphorylated. However, its mechanism of action may be more complex since (i) exogenously added phosphorylated R domain elevates the open probability of mutant ΔR -CFTR channels lacking much of the R domain [3,4], (ii) phosphorylation of some PKA sites in the R domain inhibits channel activity [5,6] and (iii) channel gating may be modulated by incremental phosphorylation of the multiple PKA sites in the R domain [7]. Additionally, the R domain may co-ordinate regulatory interactions with ancillary proteins [8–10].

The mechanism of CFTR channel gating by the NBD domains is controversial. CFTR is a member of the C subfamily of ABC nucleotide binding and it caused binding to become co-operative. PKA phosphorylation also resulted in dimerization of NBD1– R–GST but not of R–GST, a related fusion protein lacking the NBD1 domain. Finally, an MBP (maltose-binding protein) fusion protein containing the NBD2 domain (NBD2–MBP) associated with and regulated the ATPase activity of PKA-phosphorylated NBD1–R–GST. Thus when the R domain in NBD1–R–GST is phosphorylated by PKA, ATP binding and hydrolysis becomes cooperative and NBD dimerization occurs. These findings suggest that during the activation of native CFTR, phosphorylation of the R domain by PKA can control the ability of the NBD1 domain to hydrolyse ATP and to interact with other NBD domains.

Key words: ATPase, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, dimerization, protein kinase A, phosphorylation.

proteins and, like other ABC-C proteins, the NBDs of CFTR appear to be functionally asymmetric [11–13]. Both NBD1 and NBD2 have been shown to possess ATP binding and hydrolase activities [14–16] and these activities were initially thought to mediate channel opening and channel closing, respectively [7]. Subsequent data implicated NBD1 in channel closing [17] and NBD2 in channel opening [18], and more recent studies suggest that CFTR channel activation may actually be driven by the formation of NBD dimers [13,19,20]. Although controversial, these recent physiological findings suggest a critical role for NBD–NBD interactions during CFTR channel opening, and this provides a strong rationale for studying the biochemical basis for NBD dimerization and co-operativity.

For ABC proteins in general, a growing body of evidence suggests that the two NBDs, though functionally asymmetric, interact so as to form complete ATP-binding pockets at the interfacial region where the two domains presumably make contact [22–30]. NBD–NBD interactions are also suggested by the co-operative ATP dependence exhibited by several ABC proteins including PKA-phosphorylated CFTR [31–33]. Nonetheless, dimerization has only been reported for isolated cytoplasmic domains from ABC proteins containing mutations designed to render them catalytically inactive [34].

Numerous attempts have been made to determine how the soluble domains of CFTR interact. In many cases, CFTR protein fragments, recombinant fusion proteins and peptides have proven to be powerful tools for exploring these interactions [27,35–41].

Abbreviations used: ABC, ATP-binding cassette; CFTR, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; GST, glutathione S-transferase; MBP, maltose-binding protein; NBD, nucleotide-binding domain; PKA, protein kinase A; TPCK, tosylphenylalanylchloromethane.

Present address: Department of Physiology, McGill University, 3655 Promenade Sir William Osler, McIntyre Medical Sciences Bldg, Rm 1012, Montréal, Québec Canada, H3G 1Y6

To whom correspondence should be addressed (e-mail cohn0001@mc.duke.edu).

Although physical interactions have been detected by these methods, the functional consequences of these interactions have not been studied beyond halide permeability assays, with the exception that nucleotide binding was found to reduce PKA phosphorylation of an NBD1–R peptide [35].

In this study, we used an enzymically active GST (glutathione S-transferase) fusion protein (NBD1–R–GST) to investigate physical interactions among the soluble domains of CFTR and to biochemically examine the functional consequences of these interactions. We found that when PKA phosphorylates a group of R-domain serine residues in NBD1–R–GST, this caused the fusion protein to dimerize, to exhibit co-operative ATP binding and hydrolysis, and to be subject to regulation by NBD2. These findings indicate that a cytoplasmic domain from CFTR (NBD1–R–GST) can exhibit PKA-regulated dimerization and cooperative ATP hydrolysis in the absence of other domains from native CFTR.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Thrombin and Na2ATP were from Sigma; [*γ* - 32P]ATP was from DuPont-New England Nuclear; PKA was from Promega; TPCK ('Tos-Phe-CH2Cl'; tosylphenylalanylchloromethane)-trypsin was from Worthington Biochemical Corp., Lakewood, NJ, U.S.A. Other reagents were purchased from Fisher Scientific.

Production of recombinant fusion proteins

NBD1–R–GST (a GST fusion protein with CFTR residues 417– 830) and R–GST (a GST fusion protein with CFTR residues 620–830; wild-type sequence or containing specific Ser \rightarrow Ala substitutions) fusion proteins were expressed in *E. coli* and purified as described in [14]. The proteins migrated on SDS/polyacrylamide gels with the expected molecular masses of 70 kDa for NBD1–R–GST and 55 kDa for R–GST. Western blots confirmed that each fusion protein was recognized by G450, an antibody directed against the R domain [14,42]. NBD2–MBP [CFTR residues 1208–1399 expressed as a fusion protein with MBP (maltose-binding protein)] was produced as described previously [16]. For some studies, the GST moiety was removed from fusion proteins by incubation with 5 NIH units of thrombin for 5 min at 30 *◦*C in a solution containing 100 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 5 mM $MgCl₂$ and 100 mM NaCl.

ATPase assays

Enzyme assays were performed as described previously [14]. Briefly, hydrolase activity was measured in 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1–5 μ Ci [γ ⁻³²P]ATP and 70 μ M Na₂ATP unless otherwise indicated. The reaction $(50 \mu l)$ was initiated by adding 100 ng of NBD1–R–GST protein. After 2 h at 30 \degree C it was terminated by adding 10 μ l of ice-cold 100 % trichloroacetic acid and the reaction mix was centrifuged at 1000 *g* for 15 min at 5 °C. ³²PO₄ was recovered from the supernatant by extraction as a molybdate complex into toluene/butanol (1:1, v/v). A portion of the extracted ${}^{32}PO_4$ was mixed with 1 ml of scintillation fluor and quantified by scintillation counting.

Although the NBD2–MBP fusion protein has been reported to possess low intrinsic ATPase activity [16], this activity was not detectable under the assay conditions used here for measuring hydrolysis by NBD1–R–GST (i.e. micromolar ATP concentrations and short assay times). The ATPase activity of NBD2–MBP could be detected, however, using longer assay times and millimolar ATP concentrations (results not shown), confirming that the NBD2 domain was in the native conformation throughout these assays.

8-Azido-ATP labelling of recombinant proteins

Photolabelling reactions were performed in 20 μ l volumes containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 2.5 mM $MgCl₂$, 50 mM NaCl, 1 *µ*Ci of 8-azido-[*α*-32P]ATP and 1 *µ*g of fusion protein. Mixtures were incubated on ice for 1 min and then irradiated for 24 s with UV light (254 nm) in a CL-1000 Ultraviolet Crosslinker oven (UVP, San Gabriel, CA, U.S.A.). Binding reactions were quenched by the addition of Laemmli buffer plus 100 mM dithiothreitol. The samples were then heated to 95 *◦*C for 2 min and resolved by SDS/PAGE on a 10% polyacrylamide gel. Proteins were detected by Coomassie Blue staining and radiolabelled proteins were detected by exposing dried gels to film (Kodak X-OMAT AR) or a Phosphorimager screen. Fusion proteins were dialysed to remove dithiothreitol and were usually digested with thrombin prior to nucleotide-binding assays because GST also binds 8-azido-ATP. About 10% of the total photolabelling was non-specific in that it could not be displaced by 100 mM MgATP or by 25 mM 8-azido-ATP (results not shown). The stoichiometry of photolabelling was up to 9%. No photolabelling occurred in the absence of UV irradiation or in samples quenched with dithiothreitol prior to UV irradiation, nor did photolabelling increase with longer incubation times or increased UV exposure.

Sucrose-density-gradient ultracentrifugation

Sucrose gradients (2–21%) were prepared in Beckman polyallomer centrifuge tubes (14 mm \times 89 mm) using a Radian-117 gradient maker (BioComp Instruments) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Gradients were formed in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, and 50 mM NaCl at room temperature and then chilled to $5 °C$ prior to use. We mixed 100 μ l of 20 mg/ml protein standards solution (containing catalase, haemoglobin and cytochrome c) with 50 μ l of sample and applied the mixture to the top of the gradient. The samples were subjected to centrifugation (210 000 *g* in a Beckman SW41 rotor) for 16 h at 5 *◦*C. Following centrifugation, fractions were collected by carefully withdrawing aliquots from the top of the gradient.

Phosphorylation of fusion proteins by PKA

To achieve maximal phosphorylation, fusion proteins were incubated for 15 min at 30 *◦*C with 20 units of PKA catalytic subunit in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1–5 μ Ci [γ -³²P]ATP and 10 μ M Na₂ATP. Phosphorylation reactions (30 μ l) were terminated by the addition of protein kinase inhibitor to 1 μ M or by the addition of 15 μ l of Laemmli buffer (100 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 50% sucrose, 10% SDS, 3% *β*-mercaptoethanol and 0.025% Bromophenol Blue) followed by boiling for 2 min.

Tryptic peptide mapping of phosphorylated fusion proteins

Tryptic peptide maps were generated as described [1,43,44]. Briefly, gel pieces containing the phosphorylated fusion proteins were excised from a dried SDS/PAGE gel, washed four times with 2.5 ml of 10% acetic acid/50% methanol followed by four changes of 50% methanol (2.5 ml each), and dried under vacuum. The dried gel pieces were resuspended in $150 \mu l$ of 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ (pH 8.0) and digested at 37 °C with 20 μ g of TPCK-trypsin for 24 h. Fresh trypsin $(20 \mu g)$ was added 1.5 h before the end of the digestion period. Gel pieces were removed by centrifugation (12 000 *g* for 5 min) and the supernatant containing

Figure 1 Identification of NBD1–R–GST residues phosphorylated by PKA

Wild-type NBD1–R–GST (**I**), wild-type R–GST (H) and R–GST proteins containing specific Ser → Ala mutations (A–G) were PKA phosphorylated, digested with TPCK-trypsin, and mapped as described in the Experimental section. The loss of specific R-domain Ser residues resulted in the loss of specific radiolabelled peptides in the maps (e.g. the loss of Ser-737 in **D** resulted in the loss of peptide 10), allowing the identification of R-domain residues phosphorylated by PKA in vitro. A total of 12 peptides were observed for wild-type R–GST and were associated with the following seven Ser residues: Ser-660 (peptide 6), Ser-700 (peptide 1), Ser-712 (peptide 4), Ser-737 (peptide 10), Ser-768 (peptides 2, 3 and 8), Ser-795 (peptides 7, 9 and 11) and Ser-813 (peptide 12). Note that peptide 12 has been cropped from most of the panels. Maps obtained with wild-type NBD1–R–GST were identical to those obtained with wild-type R–GST.

the digested peptides collected and dried under vacuum. Peptides were washed with $100 \mu l$ of water and dried four times, resuspended in 1% ammonium bicarbonate (pH 8.9), and loaded on to a thin-layer cellulose sheet (20 cm \times 20 cm; Eastman Kodak or Selecto). They were separated in the first dimension by electrophoresis for 2 h at 250 V in 1% bicarbonate (pH 8.9), followed by chromatographic separation in the second dimension using a buffer containing butanol/acetic acid/pyridine/water (75:15:50:60, by vol.). Resolved peptides were visualized by autoradiography.

RESULTS

Phosphorylation of NBD1–R–GST by PKA

When NBD1–R–GST or R–GST were incubated with [*γ* - 32P]ATP and PKA, they became radiolabelled at multiple sites. Twodimensional tryptic phosphopeptide mapping showed that the same sites were phosphorylated on both proteins (Figures 1H and 1I), confirming that no NBD1 residues are phosphorylated and demonstrating that for each fusion protein the same R-domain residues are modified by PKA *in vitro*.

We identified the amino acids phosphorylated by PKA by mapping multiple R–GST fusion proteins containing individual $Ser \rightarrow Ala$ substitutions (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 1(H), 12 radiolabelled peptides were resolved from wild-type R– GST. Single Ser \rightarrow Ala substitutions resulted in the elimination of specific radiolabelled peptides, allowing each peptide to be identified with a unique phosphorylation site in the fusion protein. For example, replacement of Ser-737 eliminated phosphopeptide 10, indicating that peptide 10 contains Ser-737 (Figure 1D). Similarly, the substitution of Ala for Ser-768 resulted in the loss of phosphopeptides 2, 3 and 8, indicating that these three peptides contain Ser-768 (Figure 1E). This analysis revealed that the 12 peptides were associated with seven phosphorylation sites: peptide 1 with Ser-700; peptides 2, 3 and 8 with Ser-768; peptide 4 with Ser-712; peptides 5, 7, 9 and 11 with Ser-795; peptide 6 with Ser-660; peptide 10 with Ser-737; and peptide 12 with Ser-813 (Figures 1A–1G).

PKA converts NBD1–R–GST monomers to dimers

Pilot experiments using filtration chromatography suggested that unphosphorylated NBD1–R–GST and R–GST existed as

Figure 2 PKA causes dimerization of NBD1–R–GST

(A) A 100 μ I sample containing 0.5 μ g of ³² P-phosphorylated (\bullet) or unphosphorylated (\circ) NBD1–R–GST was applied to sucrose gradients (2–21 % sucrose) prepared as described in the Experimental section. Following ultracentrifugation the gradients were fractionated and unphosphorylated fusion proteins in each fraction were 32 P-labelled by incubation with [γ - 32 P]ATP and PKA as described in the Experimental section. Radiolabelled proteins were resolved by SDS/ PAGE and detected by Phosphorimager analysis of dried gels. Plotted are the c.p.m. values attributed to the fusion protein in each fraction. Cytochrome ^c (12 kDa), haemoglobin (66 kDa) and catalase (220 kDa) were added to each gradient as internal calibration controls. (**B**) The procedure described for (**A**) was repeated using R–GST in lieu of NBD1–R–GST. (**C**) PKAlabelled NBD1–R, generated by thrombin cleavage of phosphorylated NBD1–R–GST, was applied to sucrose gradients as described in (**A**). For all sucrose-gradient experiments, cytochrome ^c (12 kDa), haemoglobin (66 kDa) and catalase (220 kDa) were added as internal molecular-mass calibration controls.

monomers (results not shown). Using sucrose-density-gradient ultracentrifugation, we sought to confirm those preliminary findings and examine the effect of PKA phosphorylation on the quaternary structure of these fusion proteins (Figure 2). Unphosphorylated NBD1–R–GST fusion proteins migrated through sucrose

Figure 3 PKA regulates the ATPase activity of NBD1–R–GST

The rate of ATP hydrolysis by PKA-phosphorylated (\bigcirc) or -unphosphorylated (\bigcirc) NBD1-R–GST was measured as a function of ATP concentration. ATPase assays were conducted as described in the Experimental section except that 50 ng of NBD1–R–GST (0.65 pmol) was used and the ATP concentration was varied from 20 to 1000 μ M. Background values were obtained for each ATP concentration by conducting mock reactions consisting of all reaction components except NBD1–R–GST. Data were fitted to the equation $\nu = (S^m \times V_{max})/(S^m + K_m{}^m)$, where ν is the reaction velocity, S is the ATP concentration, V_{max} is the maximal reaction velocity, K_{m} is the Michaelis–Menten constant and m is the Hill coefficient; $n = 2-4$ determinations for each data point; error bars represent the range.

gradients with a molecular mass of \approx 70 kDa (Figure 2A) and R– GST migrated with a mass of 50 kDa (Figure 2B), the expected monomeric sizes for these fusion proteins. By contrast, as shown in Figure 2(A), maximally phosphorylated NBD1–R–GST migrated through sucrose gradients with an apparent molecular mass of \approx 150 kDa, about twice the monomeric size of the fusion protein. A similar shift in migration was observed with thrombincleaved NBD1–R (Figure 2C), indicating that the GST moiety is not required for this dimerization. Phosphorylation did not convert R–GST monomers to dimers (Figure 2B), indicating that the R domain is not sufficient for dimerization and that NBD1 residues (CFTR amino acids 417–620) are necessary. Since the R domain is the main site of phosphorylation in NBD1–R–GST (Figure 1), these results provide convincing biochemical evidence that PKAregulated dimerization involves an interaction between NBD1 and the R domain.

PKA regulates the ATPase activity of NBD1–R–GST

As described above, PKA phosphorylation led to a physical interaction (i.e. dimerization) between NBD1–R–GST fusion proteins. To determine whether this interaction influences the ATPase activity of NBD1–R–GST we compared ATP hydrolysis by NBD1–R–GST with and without PKA pretreatment over a range of ATP concentrations (Figure 3). The unphosphorylated fusion protein hydrolysed ATP with a K_m of 70 μ M, a V_{max} of ≈20 min−¹ and a Hill coefficient of 1. PKA phosphorylation of NBD1–R–GST altered the kinetics of hydrolysis dramatically. The rate of ATP hydrolysis by PKA-phosphorylated NBD1–R– GST as a function of ATP concentration was plotted using the Hill equation ($v = S^m V_{\text{max}}/S^m + K_m^m$; where S is the ATP concentration and *m* is the Hill coefficient). The fitted curve yielded an apparent K_m of 250 μ M and a Hill coefficient of 1.7. The increased Hill coefficient is consistent with the observed dimerization of NBD1– R–GST following PKA phosphorylation shown in Figure 2(A). The increased Hill coefficient is also consistent with the reported

Figure 4 Binding of 8-azido-ATP to NBD1–R

(**A**) Photolabelling of NBD1–R–GST with 8-azido-[α-32P]ATP. Proteins were resolved by SDS/PAGE and detected by protein staining (lanes 1–3) or by autoradiography (lanes 4–6). For lanes 2, 3, 5 and 6, NBD1–R–GST was digested using thrombin before photolabelling. For lanes 3 and 6, an excess of unlabelled nucleotide was added. The upper arrow points to intact NBD1– R–GST; the lower arrow points to NBD1–R. (**B**) Double-reciprocal plot showing binding of 8-azido-ATP to NBD1–R. Photolabelling was performed after incubating the thrombin-digested fusion proteins with 8-azido-[α -³²P]ATP. The apparent $k_{\rm d}$ value was 0.7 mM. (**C**) The effect of PKA phosphorylation on binding of 8-azido-[α -³²P]ATP NBD1–R. Binding curves are compared for the unphosphorylated (\bigcirc) and phosphorylated (\bigcirc) proteins. PKA phosphorylation increased the Hill coefficient from 1.0 to 2.2 and it shifted the binding curve to the right. Mean values are shown for 2–3 determinations per data point.

properties of full-length CFTR; by contrast, the fusion protein shows an increase in the K_m for ATP hydrolysis after PKA phosphorylation, whereas full-length CFTR shows a decrease [31].

PKA regulates 8-azido-ATP binding to NBD1

To independently examine how PKA phosphorylation affects nucleotide binding by NBD1, we used 8-azido-[*α*-32P]ATP for photolabelling. NBD1–R–GST was shown to bind 8-azido-ATP based on its molecular mass and the shift in its molecular mass after digestion by thrombin (Figure 4A). Western blotting with an R-domain antibody detected the same bands before and after digestion by thrombin (results not shown). As reported for other proteins containing the R domain [1,38], NBD1–R–GST showed a gel shift (to an isoform with a higher apparent molecular mass) after PKA phosphorylation; this caused the expected changes in position for the signals detected by photolabelling, Western blotting and protein staining, providing further evidence that each of these signals was due to the same protein (results not shown).

The concentration-dependence of 8-azido-ATP binding to NBD1–R is shown in Figure 4(B). The double-reciprocal plot is linear, indicating that co-operativity was absent. Figure $4(C)$ shows how PKA phosphorylation affects NBD1–R binding to 8 azido-ATP. PKA increased the apparent k_d for nucleotide binding and it changed the Hill coefficient from 1.0 to 2.2. This resembles the effect of PKA phosphorylation on ATPase kinetics shown in Figure 3. The change in apparent k_d and Hill coefficient required phosphorylation to high stoichiometry as monitored by the nearly complete conversion of NBD1–R into the upper isoform. When NBD1–R was phosphorylated to lower stoichiometry (under conditions causing less than half of the protein to be converted into the upper isoform), the 8-azido-ATP binding curve was equivalent to that for unphosphorylated NBD1–R and co-operativity was absent (results not shown).

NBD2 domain interacts with PKA-phosphorylated NBD1–R–GST

Lu and Pedersen [37] have shown that an unphosphorylated recombinant NBD1–R protein physically associates with a recombinant NBD2 protein. Using the ³²P radioisotope as a probe, we examined whether PKA-phosphorylated NBD1–R–GST could interact with NBD2–MBP. NBD1–R–GST was radiolabelled by incubation with $[\gamma^{-32}P]ATP$ and PKA and then loaded on to a column of amylose resin with or without bound NBD2–MBP. After washing the column, bound proteins were eluted with 10 mM maltose. Most of the 32P was recovered in the initial wash fractions as $[32P]$ ATP. However, an easily detectable amount of radioactivity was retained on the amylose column containing NBD2–MBP and was specifically eluted with maltose (Figure 5A); no radioactivity was specifically eluted by maltose from a control amylose column lacking the NBD2 fusion protein. As this signal could have been obtained by the elution of NBD2–MBP with bound $[3^{2}P]$ ATP in its binding site rather than $3^{2}P$ -labelled NBD1–R– GST, we confirmed the specific elution of phosphorylated NBD1– R–GST by SDS/PAGE and autoradiography (Figures 5B and 5C). When a similar experiment was performed using R–GST in lieu of NBD1–R–GST, no GST fusion protein was retained on the amylose (Figure 5D). These results suggest that the R domain is not the site of association between NBD1–R and NBD2.

We tested the hypothesis that association between NBD1 and NBD2 influences the ATPase function of NBD1–R–GST. The NBD2–MBP protein had little effect on the ATPase activity of unphosphorylated NBD1–R–GST but significantly reduced (*>*75%) the ATPase activity of PKA-phosphorylated NBD1–R– GST (Figure 5E). These results suggest a functional interaction between NBD1 and NBD2 that is regulated by PKA acting on the R domain.

DISCUSSION

In CFTR, the NBD1 and R domains have been implicated in channel regulation and activation. Here, we used a recombinant fusion protein (NBD1–R–GST) to examine whether PKA phosphorylation of the R domain can control the ability of the NBD1 domain to bind and hydrolyse ATP. The main findings of this study are (i) that PKA acts on the R domain of this recombinant protein to directly regulate the intrinsic ATPase activity of its NBD1 domain, (ii) that phosphorylation causes NBD1–R–GST to associate with itself and to thereby exhibit co-operative ATP

Figure 5 NBD2–MBP interacts with NBD1–R–GST

(A) NBD1–R–GST was radiolabelled by incubation with [y-³²P]ATP and PKA as described in the Experimental section. A portion of the radiolabelled protein was then added to either a column of amylose resin previously loaded with NBD2–MBP (●) or a column of amylose lacking NBD2–MBP (○). After washing the column with Tris buffer (pH 7.5) containing 100 mM NaCl and 2 mM MgCl₂, bound proteins were eluted by washing the column with the above buffer containing 10 mM maltose. Released ³²P was quantified by scintillation counting. (**B**) The experiment described in Figure 4(A) was repeated using an amylose column loaded with NBD2-MBP except that the column was washed more extensively (25 column vol.) and collected proteins were resolved by SDS/PAGE. 32P-Labelled NBD1–R–GST was identified by autoradiography. Abbreviations used: W1, W2 etc., wash fractions 1–25; E1, E2 etc., elutions 1–7. (**C**) The experiment in **B** was repeated using a column previously loaded with NBD2–MBP (left-hand panel) or a column lacking NBD2–MBP (right-hand panel). (**D**) The experiment in **C** was repeated using PKA-phosphorylated R–GST rather than NBD1–R–GST. The recovered R–GST was detected by Western blot using an anti-R domain antibody. (**E**) The effect of NBD2–MBP on the ATPase activity of NBD1–R–GST was tested at pH 7.5 in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 100 μ M ATP, 1 mM MgCl₂ and 50 mM NaCl. For each fusion protein (NBD1–R–GST, NBD1–R–GST-P), the activity in the presence of NBD2–MBP (1:1, molar ratio) is plotted relative to its activity in the absence of NBD2. The absolute rates in the absence of NBD2 are shown in the respective bars. Under these assay conditions, the ATPase activity of NBD2–MBP was less than 0.1 nmol/min per mg. Each condition was tested at least three times; error bars indicate the range; n.d., not determined.

binding and hydrolysis and (iii) that phosphorylated NBD1–R– GST also associates with and is regulated by a fusion protein containing NBD2 (NBD2–MBP).

To establish that the NBD1 ATPase of CFTR is regulated by phosphorylation of the R domain (rather than by phosphorylation of the NBD1 moiety itself) we first identified which residues of NBD1–R–GST are phosphorylated by PKA. This was achieved by comparing two-dimensional tryptic peptide maps of PKAphosphorylated NBD1–R–GST with those of PKA-phosphorylated R–GST, a fusion protein lacking the NBD1 domain. Identical maps were obtained from both phosphoproteins indicating that the NBD1 domain is not a significant substrate for PKA. Using site-directed Ser \rightarrow Ala substitutions, the phosphorylated residues were identified as Ser-660, Ser-700, Ser-712, Ser-737, Ser-768, Ser-795 and Ser-813. All occur in the R domain and six of these seven residues (all but Ser-712) were previously observed to be phosphorylated on full-length CFTR *in vitro* [1]; however, not all phosphorylated CFTR residues may have been identified in that study, as acknowledged by the authors.

It has been challenging to determine which CFTR residues are phosphorylated *in vivo* due to the low levels of CFTR in cells and the high degree of radiolabelling required to identify individual phosphopeptides. Nonetheless, using two-dimensional phosphopeptide mapping, Cheng et al. [43,45] determined that

c 2004 Biochemical Society

CFTR residues Ser-660, Ser-737, Ser-795 and Ser-813 are phosphorylated in response to forskolin in CFTR-transfected cells, but they also acknowledged that some phosphorylated residues remained unidentified. Two-dimensional peptide mapping also revealed a number of radiolabelled peptides derived from CFTR in forskolin-treated T84 cells, but only two residues were unequivocally identified: Ser-660 and Ser-700 [1]. Thus all phosphoserines previously detected on CFTR during *in vivo* labelling were also detected in this study on NBD1–R–GST.

One potential pitfall of this study's general approach is the risk that a contaminating protein in the NBD1–R–GST preparation might account for the detected ATPase activity. For the preparation used in this study, two findings argue against this possibility. First, kinetic studies showed that the G551D mutation changed the K_m but not the V_{max} of the NBD1–R–GST preparation [14]. Second, when the fusion protein preparation was photolabelled using 8-azido-ATP, the labelled protein occurred in the same position (by PAGE) as the signals for NBD1–R–GST detected by protein staining and by Western blot, and it showed the expected gel shifts when NBD1–R–GST was digested by thrombin and when it was phosphorylated by PKA. Thus even though a contaminating ATPase could have led to differences in the total measured ATPase activity of the G551D versus wild-type fusion protein preparations (e.g. if the G551D mutation affected the tendency of contaminants to associate with NBD1–R–GST during its purification), these findings indicate that NBD1–R– GST is the protein responsible for the observed ATP binding and ATP hydrolysis properties of the preparation.

To test whether PKA phosphorylation of the R domain directly affects the ATPase activity of NBD1, we compared hydrolysis of ATP by untreated and PKA-treated NBD1–R–GST. Surprisingly, the apparent K_m for ATP was increased 3.5-fold following treatment of NBD1–R–GST with PKA. This observation was surprising because we expected PKA to lower the K_m based on two considerations. (i) ATP hydrolysis accompanies CFTR activation by PKA and (ii) in native CFTR, PKA acts to reduce the K_m for ATP [31]. Nonetheless, the effect of PKA on the K_m in these studies was consistent and it was corroborated by showing that PKA also caused an increase in the apparent k_d for 8-azido-ATP binding by NBD1–R. At present, we can only speculate as to the cause of this discrepancy between NBD1–R and CFTR. One possibility, based on the nearly identical ATPase kinetics of phosphorylated NBD1–R–GST and activated CFTR [31], is that CFTR sequences not present on the fusion protein (e.g. the cytoplasmic loops of the transmembrane domains) inhibit the activity of NBD1 in intact, dephosphorylated CFTR. In any event, our results indicate that phosphorylation of the R domain can control the function of a neighbouring domain, i.e. nucleotide hydrolysis by NBD1. Although Li et al. [31] demonstrated that PKA alters the ATPase activity of CFTR, their study could not discriminate between the NBD1 and NBD2 ATPases, nor could it exclude the possibility that other CFTR domains are needed for PKA regulation of ATPase activity.

In addition to altering the apparent K_m for ATP, PKA phosphorylation caused ATP hydrolysis and ATP binding to become co-operative with a change in Hill coefficient from 1 to \approx 2. This agrees with the reported properties of CFTR [31] and shows that PKA-regulated co-operativity is an intrinsic feature of the NBD1–R domain, since it can occur in the absence of other CFTR domains such as NBD2. A Hill coefficient of *>*1 suggests positive co-operativity between catalytic sites. Since this result was obtained using NBD1–R–GST in the absence of NBD2, the simplest explanation for the elevated Hill coefficient would be that monomers of NBD1–R–GST are converted into homodimers upon phosphorylation. Dimerization was confirmed using sucrose-density-gradient ultracentrifugation to determine the oligomeric states of the fusion proteins prior to and following exposure to PKA.

The oligomeric state of CFTR *in vivo* is the subject of an ongoing debate. A number of studies indicate that CFTR is a monomer [46–48], while others suggest that CFTR might exist as dimers or higher-order oligomers in the cell membrane [49–52]. The arrangement of these proposed oligomers is unknown. Homodimerization of NBD1–R–GST might reflect an association of equivalent domains (e.g. NBD1–NBD1) occurring between dimeric CFTR molecules; however, we believe it is more likely that the dimerization of NBD1–R–GST reflects domain–domain interactions occurring within a single CFTR protein. Indeed, we show in this study that phosphorylated NBD1–R–GST interacts with a fusion protein containing the NBD2 domain (discussed below). Homodimerization of NBD1–R–GST may thus be artefactual but evocative of a naturally occurring interaction within the context of full-length CFTR. Regardless of whether CFTR forms dimers, these data show for the first time a direct interaction between the NBD1 and R domains of CFTR that is responsive to the phosphorylation state of R. Since a similar fusion protein lacking the NBD1 domain (R–GST) did not form dimers when phosphorylated, it appears that sequences within NBD1 (from CFTR residues 417–620) are required for NBD dimerization even

though the signal to do so (i.e. the regulatory phosphorylation sites) occurs within the R domain (from residues 660–813). It should also be noted that the interaction between NBD1 and R might have consequences beyond the ATPase activity of NBD1, such as regulating interactions between NBD1 and one or more cytoplasmic loops of the transmembrane domains. It has been proposed that these loops participate in coupling NBD conformational changes (perhaps induced by phosphorylation of the R domain) to the transmembrane domains [48].

Lu and Pedersen [37] have shown that an unphosphorylated recombinant NBD1–R protein physically associates with a recombinant NBD2 protein [37]. Here, we demonstrate that phosphorylated NBD1–R–GST interacts physically and *functionally* with a recombinant NBD2 fusion protein. The presence of NBD2 inhibited the ATPase activity of NBD1–R, but only when the R domain was phosphorylated. Moreover, the NBD1 domain appears to be the site of association with NBD2 since a fusion protein lacking that domain (i.e. R–GST) did not associate with NBD2 despite extensive PKA treatment. We did not examine whether our unphosphorylated fusion proteins associate with NBD2–MBP, but the observations of Lu and Pedersen [37], together with the findings presented here, indicate that NBD1– R and NBD2 might physically interact prior to phosphorylation although the interaction only has a functional consequence on the ATPase activity of NBD1 when the R domain is phosphorylated.

The spontaneous dimerization of GST has been utilized to promote the homodimerization of fusion protein partners [53]. However, GST is probably not responsible for the dimerization of NBD1–R–GST for the following reasons: first, most of the NBD1–R–GST migrated through sucrose gradients as monomers until phosphorylated by PKA. Although small amounts of the unphosphorylated fusion protein did migrate as dimers or larger aggregates (Figure 2A), we attribute this to (i) the technical difficulties of obtaining clearly resolved proteins using the sucrose gradient technique and/or (ii) a low degree of NBD1–R mediated oligomerization occurring in the absence of phosphorylation. Second, phosphorylated NBD1–R migrated through sucrose gradients as a dimer even though the GST moiety had been cleaved by thrombin (Figure 2C). Third, the R–GST protein appeared only as monomers despite having the GST attached. Finally, in the cases where GST has been used to facilitate fusion protein dimerization, the GST moiety was attached to the C-terminus of its fusion partner. In most other fusion proteins, including those used in this study, the GST is attached to the N-terminus of the fusion partner. This difference may explain why NBD1–R– GST and many other GST fusion proteins do not spontaneously dimerize via the GST moieties.

NBD–NBD interactions are emerging as pivotal events during the activation of ABC transporters [35,36,38,41,54]. For CFTR in particular, very recent physiological studies highlight how ATP-dependent NBD dimerization may directly control channel activation [13,19,20]. Since CFTR activation depends on phosphorylation of the R domain by PKA, these concepts provide a compelling reason for studying the biochemical mechanisms by which the phosphorylation state of the R domain controls NBD dimerization and co-operativity. The present study begins to define these mechanisms by using CFTR cytoplasmic domain fusion proteins to show that PKA phosphorylation of the R domain can control NBD1 ATP hydrolysis by regulating the affinity and co-operativity of ATP binding. In addition, this study shows that phosphorylation of the R domain promotes dimerization of NBD1–R fusion proteins and it alters the interaction between NBD1–R and NBD2. One implication of these findings is that PKA phosphorylation may have similar effects on the function and co-operative interactions of the R, NBD1 and NBD2 domains

during physiological activation of native CFTR. Thus a priority for further studies will be to determine whether these effects are promoted or disrupted by mutations of the CFTR gene or by drugs targeting the CFTR protein.

We thank Dr Theresa Strong and Dr Francis Collins (then at University of Michigan) for the plasmids used to produce the R–GST fusion proteins, we thank Dr Shirish Shenolikar and Dr John Hunt for helpful suggestions, and we thank Carrie Chew, Sujata Prabhu, Lynn Chien, Melissa Danko, Andrea Yu, Julie Roy, Ross Palis and Badrish Patel for technical assistance. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health and the Department of Veterans Affairs (J. A. C.), the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (J. A. C. and L. D. H.) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (C. R.).

REFERENCES

- 1 Picciotto, M. R., Cohn, J. A., Bertuzzi, G., Greengard, P. and Nairn, A. C. (1992) Phosphorylation of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. J. Biol. Chem. **267**, 12742–12752
- 2 Anderson, M. P., Berger, H. A., Rich, D. P., Gregory, R. J., Smith, A. E. and Welsh, M. J. (1991) Nucleoside triphosphates are required to open the CFTR chloride channel. Cell **67**, 775–784
- 3 Winter, M. C. and Welsh, M. J. (1997) Stimulation of CFTR activity by its phosphorylated R domain. Nature (London) **389**, 294–296
- 4 Ma, J., Zhao, J., Drumm, M. L., Xie, J. and Davis, P. B. (1997) Function of the R domain in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator chloride channel. J. Biol. Chem. **272**, 28133–28141
- 5 Csanady, L., Chan, K. W., Angel, B. B., Nairn, A. C. and Gadsby, D. C. (1998) Negative regulation of CFTR chloride channel gating through R-domain serine 768. Pediatr. Pulmonol. Suppl. **17**, 205
- 6 Wilkinson, D. J., Strong, T. V., Mansoura, M. K., Wood, D. L., Smith, S. S., Collins, F. S. and Dawson, D. C. (1997) CFTR activation: additive effects of stimulatory and inhibitory phosphorylation sites in the R domain. Am. J. Physiol. **273**, L127–L133
- 7 Gadsby, D. C. and Nairn, A. C. (1999) Control of CFTR channel gating by phosphorylation and nucleotide hydrolysis. Physiol. Rev. **79**, S77–S106
- 8 Yaffe, M. B. and Elia, A. E. (2001) Phosphoserine/threonine-binding domains. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. **13**, 131–138
- 9 Peters, K. W., Qi, J., Watkins, S. C. and Frizzell, R. A. (2000) Mechanisms underlying regulated CFTR trafficking. Med. Clin. North Am. **84**, 633–638
- 10 Chang, S. Y., Di, A., Naren, A. P., Palfrey, H. C., Kirk, K. L. and Nelson, D. J. (2002) Mechanisms of CFTR regulation by syntaxin 1A and PKA. J. Cell Sci. **115**, 783–791
- 11 Ramjeesingh, M., Li, C., Garami, E., Huan, L. J., Galley, K., Wang, Y. and Bear, C. E. (1999) Walker mutations reveal loose relationship between catalytic and channel-gating activities of purified CFTR (cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator). Biochemistry **38**, 1463–1468
- 12 Carson, M. R., Travis, S. M. and Welsh, M. J. (1995) The two nucleotide-binding domains of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) have distinct functions in controlling channel activity. J. Biol. Chem **270**, 1711–1717
- 13 Vergani, P., Nairn, A. C. and Gadsby, D. C. (2003) On the mechanism of MgATPdependent gating of CFTR Cl(−) channels. J. Gen. Physiol. **121**, 17–36
- 14 Howell, L. D., Borchardt, R. and Cohn, J. A. (2000) ATP hydrolysis by a CFTR domain: pharmacology and effects of G551D mutation. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **271**, 518–525
- 15 Ko, Y. H. and Pedersen, P. L. (1995) The first nucleotide binding fold of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator can function as an active ATPase. J. Biol. Chem. **270**, 22093–22096
- 16 Randak, C., Neth, P., Auerswald, E. A., Eckerskorn, C., Assfalg-Machleidt, I. and Machleidt, W. (1997) A recombinant polypeptide model of the second nucleotide-binding fold of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator functions as an active ATPase, GTPase and adenylate kinase. FEBS Lett. **410**, 180–186
- 17 Zeltwanger, S., Wang, F., Wang, G. T., Gillis, K. D. and Hwang, T. C. (1999) Gating of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator chloride channels by adenosine triphosphate hydrolysis. Quantitative analysis of a cyclic gating scheme. J. Gen. Physiol. **113**, 541–554
- 18 Ikuma, M. and Welsh, M. J. (2000) Regulation of CFTR Cl[−] channel gating by ATP binding and hydrolysis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **97**, 8675–8680
- 19 Powe, Jr, A. C., Al-Nakkash, L., Li, M. and Hwang, T. C. (2002) Mutation of Walker-A lysine 464 in cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator reveals functional interaction between its nucleotide-binding domains. J. Physiol. **539**, 333–346
- 20 Hunt, J. F. (2002) The perplexing challenges of a pump turned channel. J. Physiol. **539**, 331
- 21 Reference deleted
- 22 Aleksandrov, L., Mengos, A., Chang, X., Aleksandrov, A. and Riordan, J. R. (2001) Differential interactions of nucleotides at the two nucleotide binding domains of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. J. Biol. Chem. **276**, 12918–12923
- 23 Rao, U. S. (1995) Mutation of glycine 185 to valine alters the ATPase function of the human P-glycoprotein expressed in Sf9 cells. J. Biol. Chem. **270**, 6686–6690
- 24 Diederichs, K., Diez, J., Greller, G., Muller, C., Breed, J., Schnell, C., Vonrhein, C., Boos, W. and Welte, W. (2000) Crystal structure of MalK, the ATPase subunit of the trehalose/maltose ABC transporter of the archaeon Thermococcus litoralis. EMBO J. **19**, 5951–5961
- 25 Hopfner, K. P., Karcher, A., Shin, D. S., Craig, L., Arthur, L. M., Carney, J. P. and Tainer, J. A. (2000) Structural biology of Rad50 ATPase: ATP-driven conformational control in DNA double-strand break repair and the ABC-ATPase superfamily. Cell **101**, 789–800
- 26 Loo, T. W. and Clarke, D. M. (1995) Covalent modification of human P-glycoprotein mutants containing a single cysteine in either nucleotide-binding fold abolishes drug-stimulated ATPase activity. J. Biol. Chem. **270**, 22957–22961
- 27 Wang, W., He, Z., O'Shaughnessy, T. J., Rux, J. and Reenstra, W. W. (2002) Domaindomain associations in cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. **282**, C1170–C1180
- 28 Fetsch, E. E. and Davidson, A. L. (2002) Vanadate-catalyzed photocleavage of the signature motif of an ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **99**, 9685–9690
- 29 Locher, K. P., Lee, A. T. and Rees, D. C. (2002) The E. coli BtuCD structure: a framework for ABC transporter architecture and mechanism. Science **296**, 1091–1098
- 30 Smith, P. C., Karpowich, N., Millen, L., Moody, J. E., Rosen, J., Thomas, P. J. and Hunt, J. F. (2002) ATP binding to the motor domain from an ABC transporter drives formation of a nucleotide sandwich dimer. Mol. Cell **10**, 139–149
- 31 Li, C., Ramjeesingh, M., Wang, W., Garami, E., Hewryk, M., Lee, D., Rommens, J. M., Galley, K. and Bear, C. E. (1996) ATPase activity of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. J. Biol. Chem. **271**, 28463–28468
- 32 Davidson, A. L., Laghaeian, S. S. and Mannering, D. E. (1996) The maltose transport system of *Escherichia coli* displays positive cooperativity in ATP hydrolysis. J. Biol. Chem. **271**, 4858–4862
- 33 Liu, C. E., Liu, P. Q. and Ames, G. F. (1997) Characterization of the adenosine triphosphatase activity of the periplasmic histidine permease, a traffic ATPase (ABC transporter). J. Biol. Chem. **272**, 21883–21891
- 34 Moody, J. E., Millen, L., Binns, D., Hunt, J. F. and Thomas, P. J. (2002) Cooperative ATP-dependent association of the nucleotide binding cassettes during the catalytic cycle of ATP-binding cassette transporters. J. Biol. Chem. **277**, 21111–21114
- 35 Neville, D. C., Rozanas, C. R., Tulk, B. M., Townsend, R. R. and Verkman, A. S. (1998) Expression and characterization of the NBD1-R domain region of CFTR: evidence for subunit-subunit interactions. Biochemistry **37**, 2401–2409
- 36 Csanady, L., Chan, K. W., Seto-Young, D., Kopsco, D. C., Nairn, A. C. and Gadsby, D. C. (2000) Severed channels probe regulation of gating of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator by its cytoplasmic domains. J. Gen. Physiol. **116**, 477–500
- 37 Lu, N. T. and Pedersen, P. L. (2000) Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator: the purified NBF1 $+$ R protein interacts with the purified NBF2 domain to form a stable NBF1 $+$ R/NBF2 complex while inducing a conformational change transmitted to the C-terminal region. Arch. Biochem. Biophy. **375**, 7–20
- 38 King, S. A. and Sorscher, E. J. (2000) R-domain interactions with distal regions of CFTR lead to phosphorylation and activation. Biochemistry **39**, 9868–9875
- 39 Pollet, J. F., van Geffel, J., Van Stevens, E., Van Geffel, R., Beauwens, R., Bollen, A. and Jacobs, P. (2000) Expression and intracellular processing of chimeric and mutant CFTR molecules. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1500**, 59–69
- 40 Annereau, J. P., Stoven, V., Bontems, F., Barthe, J., Lenoir, G., Blanquet, S. and Lallemand, J. Y. (1997) Insight into cystic fibrosis by structural modelling of CFTR first nucleotide binding fold (NBF1). C.R. Acad. Sci. III **320**, 113–121
- 41 Ostedgaard, L. S., Rich, D. P., DeBerg, L. G. and Welsh, M. J. (1997) Association of domains within the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. Biochemistry **36**, 1287–1294
- 42 Cohn, J. A., Strong, T. V., Picciotto, M. R., Nairn, A. C., Collins, F. S. and Fitz, J. G. (1993) Localization of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator in human bile duct epithelial cells. Gastroenterology **105**, 1857–1864
- 43 Cheng, S. H., Rich, D. P., Marshall, J., Gregory, R. J., Welsh, M. J. and Smith, A. E. (1991) Phosphorylation of the R domain by cAMP-dependent protein kinase regulates the CFTR chloride channel. Cell **66**, 1027–1036
- 44 Cohn, J. A., Nairn, A. C., Marino, C. R., Melhus, O. and Kole, J. (1992) Characterization of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator in a colonocyte cell line. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **89**, 2340–2344
- 45 Rich, D. P., Berger, H. A., Cheng, S. H., Travis, S. M., Saxena, M., Smith, A. E. and Welsh, M. J. (1993) Regulation of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator Cl[−] channel by negative charge in the R domain. J. Biol. Chem. **268**, 20259–20267
- 46 Marshall, J., Fang, S., Ostedgaard, L. S., O'Riordan, C. R., Ferrara, D., Amara, J. F., Hoppe, H. A., Scheule, R. K., Welsh, M. J., Smith, A. E. et al. (1994) Stoichiometry of recombinant cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator in epithelial cells and its functional reconstitution into cells in vitro. J. Biol. Chem. **269**, 2987–2995
- 47 Ramjeesingh, M., Li, C., Kogan, I., Wang, Y., Huan, L. J. and Bear, C. E. (2001) A monomer is the minimum functional unit required for channel and ATPase activity of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator. Biochemistry **40**, 10700–10706
- 48 Chen, J. H., Chang, X. B., Aleksandrov, A. A. and Riordan, J. R. (2002) CFTR is a monomer: biochemical and functional evidence. J. Membr. Biol. **188**, 55–71
- 49 Eskandari, S., Wright, E. M., Kreman, M., Starace, D. M. and Zampighi, G. A. (1998) Structural analysis of cloned plasma membrane proteins by freeze-fracture electron microscopy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **95**, 11235–11240

Received 10 September 2002/2 October 2003; accepted 6 November 2003 Published as BJ Immediate Publication 6 November 2003, DOI 10.1042/BJ20021428

- 50 Raghuram, V., Mak, D. D. and Foskett, J. K. (2001) Regulation of cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator single-channel gating by bivalent PDZ-domain-mediated interaction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **98**, 1300–1305
- 51 Wang, S., Yue, H., Derin, R. B., Guggino, W. B. and Li, M. (2000) Accessory protein facilitated CFTR-CFTR interaction, a molecular mechanism to potentiate the chloride channel activity. Cell **103**, 169–179
- 52 Zerhusen, B., Zhao, J., Xie, J., Davis, P. B. and Ma, J. (1999) A single conductance pore for chloride ions formed by two cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator molecules. J. Biol. Chem. **274**, 7627–7630
- 53 Tudyka, T. and Skerra, A. (1997) Glutathione S-transferase can be used as a C-terminal, enzymatically active dimerization module for a recombinant protease inhibitor, and functionally secreted into the periplasm of Escherichia coli. Prot. Sci. **6**, 2180–2187
- 54 Jones, P. M. and George, A. M. (1999) Subunit interactions in ABC transporters: towards a functional architecture. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **179**, 187–202