

CORRIGENDUM

In the paper by Andrew G. Clark and Jørgen Bundgaard (*GENETICS* 108: 181–200) entitled “Selection components in background replacement lines of *Drosophila*,” Table 1 was misprinted. The correct Table 1 appears below.

TABLE 1

Estimates of viability of eg/eg relative to eg/+ and standard errors

Genera- tion	Line	<i>eg/eg</i> × <i>eg/+</i>	<i>eg/+</i> × <i>eg/eg</i>	<i>eg/+</i> × <i>eg/+</i>
0		<u>0.551 ± 0.021 (5778)^a</u>	<u>0.770 ± 0.023 (7636)</u>	<u>0.776 ± 0.026 (14691)</u>
10	GB-MIX	<u>0.998 ± 0.076 (1120)</u>	<u>0.617 ± 0.031 (2077)</u>	<u>0.636 ± 0.041 (3014)</u>
	GB-REP	<u>0.903 ± 0.090 (576)</u>	<u>0.876 ± 0.093 (1162)</u>	<u>0.774 ± 0.068 (1668)</u>
	Wd-MIX	<u>0.932 ± 0.062 (1380)</u>	<u>0.756 ± 0.042 (2648)</u>	<u>0.693 ± 0.040 (2994)</u>
	Wd-REP	<u>0.489 ± 0.076 (817)</u>	<u>0.218 ± 0.032 (2463)</u>	<u>0.433 ± 0.031 (1758)</u>
20	GB-MIX	<u>0.938 ± 0.030 (2242)</u>	<u>0.926 ± 0.037 (1802)</u>	<u>1.121 ± 0.039 (2902)</u>
	GB-REP	<u>0.938 ± 0.030 (3801)</u>	<u>0.908 ± 0.031 (3612)</u>	<u>1.094 ± 0.043 (5960)</u>
	Wd-MIX	<u>0.882 ± 0.033 (3099)</u>	<u>0.997 ± 0.043 (3382)</u>	<u>1.212 ± 0.073 (4419)</u>
	Wd-REP	<u>0.835 ± 0.030 (2846)</u>	<u>0.920 ± 0.029 (2580)</u>	<u>0.927 ± 0.037 (4526)</u>

^a *A posteriori* comparisons are indicated by lines: estimates not connected are different at $P < 0.05$. Sample sizes are given in parentheses.