# Electron Spin-Lattice Relaxation of the [Cu(1.5) . . . Cu(1.5)] Dinuclear Copper Center in Nitrous Oxide Reductase

Susanne Pfenninger,\* W. E. Antholine,\* M. E. Barr,<sup>‡</sup> James S. Hyde,\* P. M. H. Kroneck,<sup>§</sup> and W. G. Zumft<sup>¶</sup> \*Biophysics Research Institute, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA; <sup>‡</sup>Advanced Technology Group, Nuclear Materials Technology Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA; <sup>§</sup>Fakultät für Biologie, Universität Konstanz, D-78434 Konstanz, Germany; and <sup>¶</sup>Lehrstuhl für Mikrobiologie, Universität Fridericiana, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

ABSTRACT Relaxation times have been obtained with time-domain EPR for the dinuclear mixed valence  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)] S = 1/2$  center in nitrous oxide reductase, N<sub>2</sub>OR, from *Pseudomonas stutzeri*, in the TN5 mutant defective in copper chromophore biosynthesis, in a synthetic mixed valence complex, and in type 1 and 2 copper complexes. Data confirmed that the intrinsic electron spin-lattice relaxation time,  $T_1$ , for N<sub>2</sub>OR in the temperature range of 6–25 K is unusually short for copper centers. At best, a twofold increase of  $T_1$  from  $g_{\perp}$  to  $g_{\parallel}$  was measured. Optimized fits of the saturation-recovery data were obtained using both double-exponential and stretched-exponential functions. The temperature dependence of the spin-lattice relaxation rate of mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR is about  $T^{5.0}$  with the stretched-exponential model or  $T^{3.3}$  and  $T^{3.9}$  for the model using the sum of two exponentials. These  $T_1$ s are intrinsic to the mixed valence [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5) ... Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)] center has been observed. The  $T_1$  of the mixed valence center of N<sub>2</sub>OR is not only shorter than for monomeric square planar Cu(II) complexes, but also shorter than for a synthetic mixed valence complex, Cu<sub>2</sub>{N[CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>N}. The short  $T_1$  is attributed to the vibrational modes of type 1 copper and/or the metal-metal interaction in [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5) ... Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)].

# INTRODUCTION

Multifrequency electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) data, especially low-frequency S-band and C-band data, have been used to support our hypothesis that the EPR signals in both nitrous oxide reductase (N<sub>2</sub>OR) and cytochrome c oxidase (COX) are from dinuclear  $[Cu_{A}(1.5)...]$  $Cu_{A}(1.5)$ ], S = 1/2 centers (Antholine et al., 1992; Kroneck et al., 1990). The first evidence that a similar  $[Cu_{A}(1.5)...]$  $Cu_A(1.5)$ ] center exists in N<sub>2</sub>OR and COX came from electron spin-echo envelope modulation (ESEEM) experiments (Jin et al., 1989). Narrow lines at 1.5, 1.9, and 2.9 MHz and broad lines at 0.8 and 3.8 MHz are remarkably similar to lines from the  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)]$  site in COX. The absorption and resonance Raman excitation profiles of the  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)]$  center in N<sub>2</sub>OR and COX are also remarkably similar (Andrew et al., 1994). For example, the intensity of the  $\gamma$ (Cu-S) mode denotes significant (Cys)S→Cu CT character in the 480 nm and 530 nm absorption bands. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, only multifrequency EPR data have been used to characterize the dinuclear site as a mixed valence center.

Steffens et al. (1987, 1993) showed that COX from bovine heart contains 3 Cu, 2 Fe, 1 Zn, and 1 Mg, and COX from *Paracoccus denitrificans* contains 3 Cu and 2 Fe (Buse and Steffens, 1991). Many investigators reported a ratio of

© 1995 by the Biophysical Society 0006-3495/95/12/2761/09 \$2.00

about 2 Cu to 2 Fe, but a recent study suggests that a modification (defect) in the enzyme is responsible for this low ratio (Kelly et al., 1993). A ratio of 3 Cu to 2 Fe is required for  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$  and  $Cu_B$  in COX. A recent x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) study is consistent with a 2.5 Å copper-copper bond in the  $[Cu_{A}(1.5)...Cu_{A}(1.5)]$  center of a water-soluble fragment from subunit II of COX (Blackburn et al., 1994). An ESEEM study had assigned the dicopper site in N<sub>2</sub>OR to a [Cu<sub>7</sub>...Cu<sub>7</sub>] center (Jin et al., 1989) (i.e., the coppers at the catalytic site). However, our work (Antholine et al., 1992) with the mutant form of  $N_2OR$  (which lacks the  $[Cu_Z \dots Cu_Z]$  center) suggests that the ESEEM data are for the dinuclear  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$  center (see Fig. 1), which is similar to the  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)]$  center in COX and not the  $[Cu_Z \dots Cu_Z]$  site. An EPR signal for  $[Cu_Z \dots Cu_Z]$  is observed upon two-electron reduction of N<sub>2</sub>OR (Coyle et al., 1985; Farrar et al., 1991). Twenty-five percent of the copper in N<sub>2</sub>OR, assuming eight coppers per dimer, is present at S = 1/2 as measured using a SQUID susceptometer (Dooley et al., 1991). The 25% reflects the contribution expected for a monomer. A dinuclear  $[Cu_{A}(1.5)...Cu_{A}(1.5)]$  center accounts for 50% of the copper. If the remaining copper is antiferromagnetically coupled, a  $[Cu_Z(2+)...Cu_Z(2+)]$  center, the splitting between the singlet and triplet states was estimated to be 200  $cm^{-1}$  (Dooley et al., 1991).

von Wachenfeldt et al. (1994) obtained a fragment of COX without the EPR detectable heme<sub>a</sub>. Four lines (38 G separation) of a seven-line pattern are clearly resolved. In an earlier report by us, the heme signal from  $ba_3$  COX was broadened at 100 K, although the dinuclear copper signal had four or five lines of the seven-line pattern resolved with

Received for publication 18 January 1995 and in final form 18 August 1995.

Address reprint requests to Dr. William E. Antholine, Biophysics Research Institute, Medical College of Wisconsin, 8701 Watertown Plank Road, Milwaukee, WI 53226. Tel.: 414-456-4032; Fax: 414-266-8515; E-mail: wantholi@post.its.mcw.edu.



FIGURE 1 A center drawn with an 8-residue loop (629–622), sequence numbers from *P. stutzeri* (Zumft et al., 1992). Lines (—) are used for  $\beta$ sheets, which, other than by analogy to type 1 Cu, are speculative. Bonds for two type 1 copper centers, Cu(His, Cys), are indicated by the short solid lines. Axial ligands, from a methionine and an unknown residue, are speculative. (Note: the two copper atoms are now known to be bridged by two sulfur atoms from cysteines for [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5) ... Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)] in COX (Tsukihara et al., 1995; Iwata et al., 1995).)

a 30 G splitting (Kroneck et al., 1993). Recent electronnuclear double resonance (ENDOR) data confirmed that the hyperfine coupling in beef heart COX is 38 G and 30 G in  $ba_3$  COX (Gurbiel et al., 1993). The overall linewidth of the  $g_{\parallel}$  feature in both  $ba_3$  and beef heart COX is consistent with a seven-line pattern. The ENDOR data also reveal nitrogen hyperfine couplings, which are consistent with a [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)... Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)] center. Although Gurbiel et al. (1993) suggested that the small coupling values, ~3/5 of the value for a "blue" type 1 cupric site, are due to delocalization onto a second cysteine, such a small nitrogen coupling can also be explained by delocalization of the unpaired electron onto a second copper.

Kelly et al. (1993) have used site-directed mutagenesis to engineer a dinuclear purple site. Two cysteines, two histidines, and one methionine are required for the dinuclear purple copper center (Fig. 1). Thus, relatively few donor atoms are required to construct the purple center. Because the Cu atoms must be in approximately equivalent sites to give an electron-delocalized configuration, two general models have been hypothesized. One model has the two copper atoms with electron transfer occurring via one or more bridging ligands (Antholine et al., 1992; Farrar et al., 1991). This has not been supported by EXAFS. The other model has the copper atoms directly bonded without excluding the possibility of bridging ligands (Blackburn et al., 1994). The second model is supported by EXAFS (Blackburn et al., 1994) and EPR results (Antholine et al., 1992; von Wachenfeldt et al., 1994), showing a short 2.5 Å Cu-Cu distance and electron delocalization. Because most of the electron density is expected to be distributed between the two copper atoms and onto coordinated sulfur atoms, inequivalent nitrogens would not significantly alter the effective chemical environment of each copper atom.

One physical property of the  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$  site in N<sub>2</sub>OR and COX is the unusually fast relaxation. Although the distance between  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$  and heme<sub>a</sub> is not precisely known, an analysis of the relaxation suggests a distance of about 10–20 Å. Brudvig et al. (1984)

measured the product  $T_1T_2$  in a relaxation study of the  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)]$  center in COX, which at the time was assumed to be a mononuclear Cu site. The product  $T_1T_2$ exhibits a stronger temperature dependence than in any of the type 1 and type 2 cupric sites. The absence of >10 G splittings indicates a distance between heme, and  $[Cu_{A}(1.5) \dots Cu_{A}(1.5)]$  that is in excess of 13 Å. A comparison of the saturation of the  $[Cu_{A}(1.5)...Cu_{A}(1.5)]$ signal in the native and partially reduced CO derivatives of the enzyme is evidence of a magnetic dipolar interaction between the  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$  and the heme, center, which influences the relaxation of the  $[Cu_A(1.5)...$  $Cu_A(1.5)$ ] center (Goodman and Leigh, 1985). A magnetic dipolar interaction between either  $[Cu_{A}(1.5)...Cu_{A}(1.5)]$ or heme<sub>a</sub> and  $Fe_{a3}^{2+}$ -NO is consistent with 20 Å between either  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)]$  and the Fe<sub>a3</sub>-Cu center or heme<sub>a</sub> and the Fe<sub>a3</sub>-Cu center (Brudvig et al., 1984; Scholes et al., 1984). Scholes et al. (1984) have shown the feasibility of using the saturation-recovery method to directly obtain spin-lattice relaxation times  $(T_1)$ . Furthermore, our multiquantum work (Mchaourab et al., 1993) gives  $T_1$  values consistent with the values of Scholes et al. (1984).

This saturation-recovery study reports  $T_1$  values that are field dependent. The saturation-recovery data above 9 K cannot be characterized by a single-exponential function. In addition, our sample from the TN5 mutant defective in copper-chromophore biosynthesis did not have a second paramagnetic signal that may interfere with the interpretation. It is demonstrated that the relaxation of the purple, mixed-valence, dinuclear copper center in N<sub>2</sub>OR is unusually fast. Knowledge of the intrinsic relaxation is necessary before dipolar interactions between other paramagnetic metal centers or spin labels (slow relaxers) can be considered (Hyde et al., 1979). This study provides an absolute value for the intrinsic spin-lattice relaxation for the fast relaxer, the  $[Cu_{A}(1.5) \dots Cu_{A}(1.5)]$  center, which, after insertion of a spin label, can be used to calculate the distance-dependent relaxation rate of the slow relaxer-the spin label. If the relaxation of both the fast and slow relaxers is known, the distance between the fast and slow relaxer can be determined.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Samples

Nitrous oxide reductase, N<sub>2</sub>OR, from *Pseudomonas stutzeri* (ATCC 14405) and an inactive enzyme form, obtained from the mutant Tn5 strain MK402, were prepared and analyzed according to the methods of Coyle et al. (1985), Riester et al. (1989), and Zumft et al. (1990). The EPR-detectable site is the [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)...Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)] S = 1/2 center (Antholine et al., 1992), whereas the EPR nondetectable site is the antiferromagnetically coupled [Cu<sub>z</sub>(2+)...Cu<sub>z</sub>(2+)] center (Farrar et al., 1991). The inactive mutant has two coppers per monomer and exhibits the EPR-detectable [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)...Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)] signal. In addition, EPR spectra of the active form have an underlying broad, featureless signal. This featureless signal is absent from some of our samples of the mutant form of the enzyme. The mutant form of the enzyme is defective in chromophore biosynthesis (Riester et al., 1989). Bovine heart COX was a gift from G. Steffens and

G. Buse (RWTH, Aachen, Germany). The protein was analyzed for activity, heme content, and content of Cu, Fe, Mg, Zn, P, and S (Steffens et al., 1993). The synthetic mixed-valence complex  $[Cu_2L]^{3+}$ , where  $L = N[CH_2CH_2NHCH_2CH_2NHCH_2CH_2]_3N$ , was prepared as previously described (Barr et al., 1993). Cupric bleomycin (CuBlm) was prepared by addition of a sub-stoichiometric amount of Cu(II) sulfate to Blenoxane, a gift of Bristol-Myers Co., in phosphate buffer. The pH was 7.0. CuL'<sup>+</sup>, 2-formylpyridine monothiosemicarbazonato Cu(II), was a gift from D. H. Petering (University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee). CuL'<sup>+</sup> was dissolved in 25% dimethylsulfoxide (Aldrich).

#### Instrumentation

EPR spectra were recorded on a Varian Century Series spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, CA).

The saturation-recovery experiments were performed on a pulse spectrometer designed and constructed at the National Biomedical ESR Center. A 200 MHz oscillator was used to synchronize two delay generators (Stanford Research Systems, model DG535), a transient recorder (DSP Technologies, 100 MHz, model 2001AS or 200 MHz, model 2301), a bi-phase modulator (50 Hz), and a magnetic field modulator (25 Hz). Output from the delay generators was used to control the microwave pump pulse, observer disable, and trigger for the transient recorder. The averager (DSP model 4101) supports both addition and subtraction modes that, when synchronized with the field modulation, allow baseline corrections and improve the suppression of switching transients by automated subtraction of "on" and "off" resonance signals. The averager can accumulate 65,000 transients before it is necessary to transfer data to a PC. The amplitude of the field modulation is limited to about 10 G. Because the linewidths were more than 10 G for all copper sites, data were first collected on-resonance and transferred to the PC. The magnetic field was then manually adjusted to be off-resonance, and the collected data were transferred to the PC to be subtracted from the on-resonance data. Fits for these saturation-recovery signals gave  $T_1$  values.  $T_1$  values from three or more measurements were averaged at each temperature to obtain mean values.

The measurements were performed with a rectangular  $TE_{102}$  cavity from Varian. A water warming jacket was used to keep the cavity at a constant temperature. Sample temperatures were controlled by a Heli-Tran flow system (Allentown, PA) comprising a transfer line and digital indicator/controller and a quartz insert dewar. GaAlAs diodes (TG-120-PL) from LakeShore (Westerville, OH) were used to monitor the temperature. Diodes were placed inside the EPR tube just above the sample and outside the EPR tube just below the sample. The gradient between the diodes was less than 1 K, and only the diode at the bottom of the tube was used to determine the temperature of the sample. Experimental conditions for all samples were: microwave frequency, X-band (9 GHz); pump pulse width, 200  $\mu$ s; pump power, 125 mW; observe power, 1.2  $\mu$ W; trigger delay after the pump pulse, 4  $\mu$ s.

#### Analysis

Fits of the saturation-recovery signal to a sum of exponentials were done with a program written by S. Eaton and G. Eaton of the University of Denver, using the method of Provencher (1976). The method is suitable for data composed of random noise, one unknown constant, and a sum of up to five exponential-decay functions. Most probable solutions are estimated from the program itself. Fits of stretched-exponential functions to the saturation-recovery signal have been done with the least-square fitting method of Levenberg-Marquart. The program described in the *Numerical Recipes* (Press et al., 1989) has been translated using Mathematica (Wolfram, 1991), version 2.2, on a Macintosh computer. The two programs gave identical results for exponential fitting functions. The fits to the data points in Figs. 4 and 6 were obtained by linear regression using Grapher for Windows (Golden Software, Inc.). The range for the coefficients of determination, R-squared, is 0.95 to 0.99.



FIGURE 2 Saturation-recovery data (a) for [Cu(1.5)...Cu(1.5)] S = 1/2 site in N<sub>2</sub>OR at 9.8 K and an observe power of 1.2  $\mu$ W. Relative intensity versus time (ms). Spectrometer conditions: pulse width, 200  $\mu$ s; TE<sub>102</sub> cavity; sample interval, 1  $\mu$ s; data points, 1024; 32,000 averages. Residual curves from a single-exponential fit (b),  $T_1 = 129 \ \mu$ s, a double-exponential fit (c)  $T_{1S} = 62 \ \mu$ s and  $T_{1\ell} = 192 \ \mu$ s, and a stretched-exponential fit (d)  $\alpha = 0.79$  and  $T_1 = 109 \ \mu$ s.

# RESULTS

Saturation-recovery signals were collected for the mixedvalence center in N<sub>2</sub>OR, which has four coppers per subunit, and for the mutant enzyme, which has up to 3.2 coppers per subunit. The saturation-recovery signals acquired at temperatures above 8 K did not fit well to a single exponential. Better fits were obtained with two exponentials as well as with a stretched exponential fitting function (Koper, 1987, and references therein; Narayanan et al., 1995). Fits to a double exponential suggest the existence of two relaxation mechanisms, whereas a fit to a stretched exponential assumes a single relaxation mechanism with a distribution of spin-lattice relaxation times. The residuals of a single-, double-, or stretched-exponential fit to the saturation-recovery signal are shown in Fig. 2, b-d. The error of the nonlinear least-square fit to the single exponential is 4.5

TABLE 1 Relaxation times for mixed-valence, cupric, and heme complexes

Sample	$T_{1\ell} \; ({\rm wt})^* \; (\mu {\rm s})$	$T_{1S}$ (wt)* ( $\mu$ s)	Temp (K)	
Mutant N <sub>2</sub> OR	$43 \pm 6$ (.2)	$10 \pm 1$ (.8)	16	
2.5× diluted	$38 \pm 11$	$11 \pm 3$	16	
5× diluted	$41 \pm 8$	$11 \pm 2$	15	
Wild-type ( <sup>63</sup> Cu) N <sub>2</sub> OR	$41 \pm 5$ (.3)	$9 \pm 1$ (.7)	16	
5× diluted	$40 \pm 4$	$10 \pm 1$	16	
Wild-type ( <sup>63/65</sup> Cu) N <sub>2</sub> OR	47 ± 4 (.2)	$10 \pm 1$ (.8)	16	
COX	$95 \pm 14$ (.2)	$23 \pm 2$ (.8)	16	
Mixed valence model complex $Cu_2L^{3+}$ , where L =				
N[CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> NHCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>3</sub> N	$183 \pm 21 (.7)$	$50 \pm 5$ (.3)	16	
$CuBlm$ (i.e., $Blm \equiv bleomycin$ )	$550 \pm 10$ (.7)	$65 \pm 2$ (.3)	17	
CuL'+, 2-formylpyridine monothiosemicarbazonato Cu(II)	$320 \pm 20$ (.8)	$47 \pm 7$ (.2)	16	
Heme <sub>a</sub> from COX	$29 \pm 12$	$7\pm 2$	12	
Heme <sub>a</sub> from COX	64	18	8.7	

 $T_{1\ell}$  is the longer relaxation time,  $T_{1S}$  is the shorter relaxation time, and (wt) refers to the weighting factor of exponential decay functions. The number after  $\pm$  refers to the standard error of the mean. (Note: the sum of the weighting factors has been normalized to 1 at an instrument deadtime of 4  $\mu$ s.)

times higher than the error obtained using a double-exponential model. Equally good fits were obtained assuming a stretched exponential. It has the form

$$I(t) = \exp[-(tT_1^{-1})^{\alpha}], \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$
(1)

Here,  $\alpha = 1$  corresponds to single-exponential relaxation behavior, and  $\alpha < 1$  corresponds to a superposition of subsystems with individual exponential relaxation. The distribution is taken into account by combining the singleexponential decays with a distribution function  $S(T_1^{-1})$ . That saturation-recovery signal I(t) is given by

$$I(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-tT_1^{-1}} S(T_1^{-1}) \,\mathrm{d}(T_1^{-1}) \tag{2}$$

 $T_1$  values at the crossover point in the  $g_{\perp}$  region at 16 K were obtained from a double-exponential fit of the saturation-recovery signal for several cupric complexes (Table 1). The numbers in parentheses in Table 1 correspond to the weighting factor for the two exponentials. The larger  $T_1$  is referred to as  $T_{1\ell}$  (long), with the smaller  $T_1$  referred to as  $T_{1S}$  (short).  $T_1$  values for N<sub>2</sub>OR at about 16 K are not as short as those for low spin Fe<sup>3+</sup> in heme<sub>a</sub>.  $T_{1\ell}$  and  $T_{1S}$  are much shorter for the mixed-valence site in N<sub>2</sub>OR than for a type 2, pyramidal, square planar cupric complex CuBlm or the type 2 Cu of CuL'<sup>+</sup> (Table 1).

The [Cu(1.5)... Cu(1.5)] center of the wild-type and mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR exhibit similar  $T_1$  values, and these are considerably shorter than that observed for the dicopper center of Cu<sub>2</sub>L<sup>3+</sup>. Another difference between these [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)... Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)] centers is that  $g_{\perp} > g_{\parallel}$  for Cu<sub>2</sub>L<sup>3+</sup> and  $g_{\perp} < g_{\parallel}$ for the mixed-valence center in N<sub>2</sub>OR. EPR signals are obtained at room temperature for Cu<sub>2</sub>L<sup>3+</sup>, CuBlm, and CuL<sup>+</sup>, but not for the mixed-valence site in N<sub>2</sub>OR (i.e., presumably because of a very rapid relaxation rate).

The weighting factor at the crossover for the  $T_{1\ell}$  decay at 16 K is greater than the weighting for  $T_{1S}$  for both type 2 complexes, CuBlm and CuL<sup>+</sup> (Table 1). The weighting factor at the crossover for  $T_{1S}$  at 16 K is greater than the

weighting factor for  $T_{1\ell}$  for the mixed-valence centers in N<sub>2</sub>OR wild-type, mutant, and COX. In contrast, the weighting factor for  $T_{1\ell}$  is greater than the weighting factor for  $T_{1S}$  for Cu<sub>2</sub>L<sup>3+</sup>, i.e., the weighting factors for Cu<sub>2</sub>L<sup>3+</sup> as well as the  $T_1$  values compare more favorably to type 2 complexes than to the mixed-valence centers in N<sub>2</sub>OR and COX, even though Cu<sub>2</sub>L<sup>3+</sup> is a mixed-valence complex.

Assuming that the weights from two exponentials correspond to two states populated by a Boltzmann distribution, a plot of the log of the ratio of weights, i.e.,  $\log \omega_s/\omega_e$ , versus 1/T gives a measure of the energies for these states. A poorly fit line (not shown), with a coefficient of determination of about 0.7, gives an energy of about 20 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the difference in energy between the two excited states. This energy is appropriate for a wobbling motion and not a stretching or bending mode. A wobbling motion is attributed to a low-frequency anharmonic vibration mode (de Abreu et al., 1992). This range of frequencies is consistent with a distribution of frequencies as described by a stretched exponential.

There is, at most, a twofold increase in  $T_1$  from the  $g_{\perp}$  to the  $g_{\parallel}$  region of the [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)...Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)] center in N<sub>2</sub>OR at 15 K (Fig. 3), and a twofold change in the ratio of the weighting factors; however, the  $\alpha$  specified in Eq. [1] is constant. About a twofold increase in  $T_1$  from  $g_{\parallel}$  to  $g_{\parallel}$  was observed for CuBlm at 12 K (data not shown). The field dependence of  $T_1$  was first reported by Du et al. (1993) for  $Cu(ET_2dtc)_2$  and for a signal from an irradiated fused-quartz standard (Eaton and Eaton, 1993; Ghim et al., 1995). Metal hyperfine is not the source of the orientation dependence of  $T_1$  for Cu(Et<sub>2</sub>dtc)<sub>2</sub>, Cu(Et<sub>2</sub>dtc)<sub>2</sub> doped in Zn(Et<sub>2</sub>dtc)<sub>2</sub>,  $CrO(HEBA)_2$ -, and  $5^3$ CrO(HEBA)\_2- (Du et al., 1993). Furthermore, it is difficult to separate the M<sub>I</sub> dependence in mixed-valence complexes. The center line of a seven-line pattern for a mixed-valence complex comprises four  $M_{I}(1)$  $M_{I}(2)$  components, with  $M_{I}(1):M_{I}(2)$  equal to -3/2:+3/2, -1/2:+1/2, +1/2:-1/2, and +3/2:-3/2. If an M<sub>I</sub> dependence exists, an average value would be obtained for the data in Fig. 3.



FIGURE 3 Partial EPR spectrum (*top*) at X-band from the  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)] S = 1/2$  center of wild-type N<sub>2</sub>OR. [Note: the radical signal (marked by the line for g = 2) was from the quartz insert dewar. Two other dewars used in this work did not have a background signal.] Plot of  $T_1 (\mu s)$  at 15 K versus field from a stretched-exponential fit (×), where  $\alpha$  in Eq. 1 is almost independent of the field position (0.64 ± 0.05) and from a double-exponential fit  $T_{1\ell}$  (•) and  $T_{1S}$  ( $\Delta$ ). Error bars are standard error of the mean.

The EPR signal from the mutant protein is attributed to the mixed-valence  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$ , S = 1/2 site. These EPR data for the mutant confirm that the site that is missing is not the one that gives the EPR signal. Intrinsic relaxation of the mixed-valence site at the crossover has a temperature dependence of  $T^{3.3 \pm 0.2}$  for the slower rate and  $T^{3.9 \pm 0.3}$  for the faster rate (Fig. 4). Fivefold dilution of the sample has little effect on the relaxation data (Table 1), and therefore, cross-relaxation is not a mechanism. Also, spectral diffusion does not appear to be a mechanism for relaxation under our conditions at 14 K (Fig. 5).

The assignment of forbidden or satellite lines to either  $T_{1S}$  or  $T_{1\ell}$  could not be achieved under our conditions. Schlick and Kevan (1976) studied <sup>63</sup>Cu-doped single crystals. There, the ratio of "apparent"  $T_1$  (forbidden lines), in which <sup>63</sup>Cu interacts with matrix protons, to "apparent"  $T_1$  (main lines) is 0.2. But,  $T_1$  values for main lines are actually shorter than those for satellite lines, as determined by the saturation-recovery method for main and satellite lines in irradiated malonic acid (Nechtschein and Hyde, 1970). "Apparent"  $T_1$  values from CW saturation take into account not only the lattice-induced relaxation, but also the microwave-induced transition probability. More power is needed to



FIGURE 4 Plot of spin-lattice relaxation rate (s<sup>-1</sup>) versus temperature at the crossover for mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR, i.e., only the [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)...Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)] S = 1/2 center. Saturation-recovery data were fit to two exponentials. Filled symbols ( $\Phi$ ,  $\blacktriangle$ ) indicate the longer  $T_1$  for two independent experiments. Open symbols ( $\bigcirc$ ,  $\triangle$ ) indicate the shorter  $T_1$ . Slopes are 3.3  $\pm$  0.2 and 3.9  $\pm$  0.3, respectively, where the error is the standard error. ×, data for the fit of a stretched exponential. The slope of the line through these points is 5.0  $\pm$  0.2.

saturate the forbidden lines because of the much lower transition probability for the forbidden transition than for the allowed transition, even though  $T_1$  for the forbidden transition may be greater than  $T_1$  for the allowed transition (Nechtschein and Hyde, 1970). Secondary and tertiary transitions can be as intense as the allowed transitions at X-band for cupric complexes (Belford and Duan, 1978). These features depend on the copper-quadrupole interaction, which varies greatly, depending on the ligation. The increase in the intensity of the forbidden lines from the mutant enzyme did not affect the lineshape in the  $g_{\parallel}$  region as the microwave power increased (not shown). Also, the weighting factors for  $T_{1\ell}$  and  $T_{1S}$  in the  $g_{\perp}$  region did not change as the pump power was varied from 49  $\mu$ W to 250 mW. The transition probabilities for the secondary transitions approach zero along the principal axes of the copper g- and A-tensors. But, at least in the  $g_{\parallel}$  region of CuBlm or CuL<sup>+</sup>, forbidden or satellite lines are not intense enough to support these mechanisms.

Because no rationale for a second relaxation mechanism seems to exist, saturation-recovery signals were fit to a



FIGURE 5 Plot of  $T_1$  at the crossover at 14 K for the mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR versus pulse width for a double-exponential fit. Upper curve,  $\blacksquare$ ,  $T_{1\ell}$ ; lower curve,  $\square$ ,  $T_{15}$ . Error bars are standard error of the mean.

stretched exponential (Fig. 4), where a single relaxation pathway is assumed. The dependence of  $T_1$  on temperature was steeper, i.e., the slope is  $5.0 \pm 0.2$ . The coefficient  $\alpha$  in Eq. [1] varied from about 0.8 to 0.5 as the temperature increased from 7 to 20 K. Similarly, the ratio of the weighting for  $T_{1S}$  and  $T_{1\ell}$  decreased from 20 to 6 K for the double-exponential fits. Data for  $T_{1S}$  below 9 K, where the weighting for  $T_{1\ell}$  was about 10 times greater than the weighting for  $T_{1S}$ , are not shown in Fig. 4. Thus, essentially single-exponential fits were obtained for saturation-recovery signals for mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR between 5 and 8 K.

When a stretched exponential (or two exponentials) was used to fit the saturation-recovery signals for N<sub>2</sub>OR, the data for spin-lattice relaxation rate versus temperature (Fig. 6) were very similar to data for mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR (Fig. 4). The slope for the stretched-exponential data (Fig. 6) is 4.8, almost the same as for mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR, which is 5.0. Even though N<sub>2</sub>OR has 4 Cu/subunit, there is no detectable interaction of the second copper center with the mixed-valence center as determined by the relaxation rate data under the conditions of our experiment. Thus, the  $T_1$  values are intrinsic to the mixed-valence [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)...Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)] center.

# DISCUSSION

One goal of this work was to make measurements of the electron spin-lattice relaxation times  $(T_1)$  of the mixed-valence site in N<sub>2</sub>OR using the saturation-recovery method. Single-exponential fits to the saturation-recovery signals were only obtained below 9 K (Fig. 4). Better fits above 8 K were obtained with double-exponential fits and stretched exponentials. Multiexponential fits were necessary not only



FIGURE 6 Plot of spin-lattice relaxation rate (s<sup>-1</sup>) versus temperature at the crossover for wild-type N<sub>2</sub>OR, i.e., the [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)... Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)], S =1/2 center. Saturation-recovery data were fit to two exponentials. Filled symbols ( $\blacklozenge$ ,  $\blacksquare$ ) indicate the longer  $T_1$  for two independent experiments. Open symbols ( $\diamondsuit$ ,  $\Box$ ) indicate the shorter  $T_1$ . Slopes for the solid lines are 3.6  $\pm$  0.2 and 4.2  $\pm$  0.4, respectively. ×, data for the fit of a stretched exponential. The slope of the line through these points is 4.8  $\pm$  0.3.

for the signal of the  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)]$  center at temperatures above 8 K, but for all saturation-recovery signals from the substances listed in Table 1. Although our data are limited to N<sub>2</sub>OR, mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR, COX, a synthetic mixed valence complex, two type 2 cupric complexes, and heme<sub>a</sub> from cytochrome *c* oxidase, the inability to fit to a single exponential appears to be a general phenomenon and is not peculiar to our studies.

Plots of relaxation rates versus temperature for wildtype N<sub>2</sub>OR and mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR are similar with respect to absolute values for  $T_1$ . The temperature dependence is  $T^{5.0\pm0.2}$  for mutant N<sub>2</sub>OR and  $T^{4.8\pm0.3}$  for wild-type N<sub>2</sub>OR using a stretched-exponential function. This is excellent agreement for two independent samples in that the relaxation rate for the blue copper protein plastocyanin goes as  $T^{4.8}$  to  $T^{5.5}$ , depending on the preparation of the sample (Drews et al., 1990). Little is known about the EPR-silent copper sites in oxidized N<sub>2</sub>OR, but, by analogy to dinuclear sites in other copper proteins, it may be that the EPR-silent copper is due to an antiferromagnetically coupled copper pair in the S = 0 state (Farrar et al., 1991). The S = 1 state would not be populated under our conditions. The absence of an enhanced relaxation for the wild-type  $N_2OR$  compared with the mutant enzyme is expected if only the S = 0 state is populated or if the dipole-dipole distance is great enough to attenuate the dipolar interaction. Thus, the relaxation mechanism is intrinsic to the mixed-valence center in  $N_2OR$ .

Makinen and Wells (1987) observed that relaxation is sensitive to isotopic substitution of donor atoms in innersphere coordinated ligands. Assuming that vibrations of the ligands contribute to the double- or multi-exponential saturation recovery,  $T_1$  may be sensitive to more vibrational modes in copper complexes as the temperature increases. To a first approximation, the Cu atoms of the dinuclear center are coordinated tetragonally (i.e.,  $g_{\parallel} >$  $g_{\perp}$ ). Twice the dinuclear copper hyperfine coupling constant is expected to be about equal to the copper hyperfine coupling constant for a mononuclear Cu(II) complex with comparable ligands. Values of  $2 \times 38$  G  $\approx 76$  G for  $A_{\parallel}$  of N<sub>2</sub>OR suggest that the dinuclear site comprises two "blue"-type sites for which  $A_{\parallel}^{Cu}$  is similar to  $A_{\parallel}$  of copper in fungal laccase (Reinhammar, 1984). The [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)...  $Cu_A(1.5)$ ] sites from N<sub>2</sub>OR and COX appear to be tetrahedrally distorted type 1 Cu cysteines, as determined from resonance Raman spectra (Andrew et al., 1994). Wobbling modes for the copper-copper center are superimposed on the modes of the blue centers. These modes may be sufficient to explain the fast relaxation. It is argued from CW data that these vibrations are involved in the relaxation mechanism, because the temperature dependence of the relaxation rate for the intrinsic relaxation of the mixed valence site is different than the temperature-dependent relaxation rate for square planar complexes (Brudvig et al., 1984). The temperature-dependent relaxation rate for the dicopper center of Cu<sub>2</sub>L<sup>3+</sup> is more like that of the relaxation rate for square planar complexes. The slower relaxation of  $Cu_2L^{3+}$  and square planar complexes may be due to the highly constrained physical environment around the dicopper and monocopper centers. The ligand L, N[CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>N, restrains both vibronic and bending modes for the Cu-Cu bond, and this limits the modes of spin-lattice relaxation.

Others have attributed apparent changes in  $g_{\parallel}$  or  $A_{\parallel}$  for immobilized cupric complexes to a vibronic coupling mechanism, which is effective in type 1 centers, but not in square planar complexes (Bacci and Cannistraro, 1987). The data for N<sub>2</sub>OR also fit such a dynamic process. For example, a decrease in  $\alpha$  with an increase in temperature may be attributed to an increase in population of more vibrational modes. By comparison, dynamic effects on metallo-cyanide complexes, as measured by temperature-dependent changes in g values, have been attributed to the presence of low-frequency, anharmonic vibration modes of the paramagnetic species (de Abreu et al., 1992, and references therein).

The most important spin-lattice relaxation mechanisms for solids are discussed by Bowman and Kevan (1979). Vibrations in the lattice of ionic solids produce timedependent changes in the coupling between the crystal field and orbits and the spin-orbit coupling. They modulate the hyperfine interaction and the electron field. The relaxation mechanisms associated with rotational oscillations are caused by anisotropic hyperfine interaction or an anisotropic g-tensor. All of these mechanisms might be operative in  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)]$ , S = 1/2 centers.

The fast relaxation rate for  $N_2OR$  (Table 1) and the mutant  $N_2OR$  implies the existence of low-lying energy states (Bowman and Kevan, 1979; Piepho, 1990). Formation of a dimer instead of a monomer explains the origin of the low-lying excited states. The 780 nm band of  $N_2OR$  from a low-excited state may be attributed to a Cu-Cu intervalence transition in addition to an electronic transition of the Cu-Cys moiety, but no evidence for a Cu-Cu vibration has yet been observed (Andrew et al., 1994).

A comparison of the physical data for mixed-valence centers with the data for type 1 and type 2 cupric sites suggests that  $T_1$  from the [Cu(1.5)... Cu(1.5)] center reflects the vibrational modes of the type 1 units (Table 2). The seven-line pattern for the copper hyperfine as well as the unusually small hyperfine coupling constant for copper (von Wachenfeldt et al., 1994; Kroneck et al., 1993; Antholine et al., 1992) and superhyperfine values from ENDOR for the nitrogen couplings (Gurbiel et al., 1993) are diagnostic of the sharing of an electron between two cupric ions. As discussed earlier, a doubling of the copper hyperfine and the nitrogen superhyperfine couplings gives values that are consistent with a type 1 configuration. Therefore, both dinuclear centers are distorted type 1 centers. Assuming a Cu-Cu bond, a schematic of the dinuclear site is depicted in Fig. 1. Electron spin echo (ESE) data document the distal nitrogens for the bound histidines (Jin et al., 1989). An analysis of ENDOR data for COX in H<sub>2</sub>O or D<sub>2</sub>O indicates that H<sub>2</sub>O is not bound to  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$ , whereas analysis of ESE data indicates that the protein-water interface is a minimum of 5.4 Å from the  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$ center (Hansen et al., 1993).

Absorbance bands at 480 nm and 780 nm for  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$  and 628 nm for azurin are associated with Cu-S charge transfer bands (Andrew et al., 1994). The weak bands at 600 nm in type 2 complexes are assigned to d-d transitions. Strong resonance Raman peaks, including the peak at 347 cm<sup>-1</sup> of N<sub>2</sub>OR and the 340 cm<sup>-1</sup> peak of COX, also reflect the vibration of the Cu-S bond (Table 2).

The  $T_1$  data in Table 2 are given for a double-exponential model. An intermediate value is obtained for the stretchedexponential model. Values for azurin have been taken from work in progress (McMillin et al., Purdue University), as have values for stellacyanin (C. J. Bender, J. Peisach, G. W. Canters, S. Pfenninger, and W. E. Antholine). Thus, these values reflect the vibrational modes of the type 1 configurations and the dinuclear configuration. These  $T_1$  values agree with data for the electron spin relaxation parameter  $T_1T_2$ , for which the relaxation rates for the [Cu<sub>A</sub>(1.5)...

		[Cu <sub>A</sub> (1.5)Cu <sub>A</sub> (1.5)]						
			COX		Type 1	Type 2		
		N <sub>2</sub> OR	Beef	ba <sub>3</sub>	(distorted trigonal)	(square planar)	References	
EPR hyperf	fine	7 lines	7 lines	7 lines	4 lines	4 lines	Antholine et al., 1992; von Wachenfeldt et al., 1994	
Copper hyp couplings	erfine s	38 G	38 G	30 G	50–90 G 56 G (Az)	140–200 G	Antholine et al., 1992; von Wachenfeldt et al., 1994; Kroneck et al., 1993; Gurbiel et al., 1993	
Nitrogen co from EN	ouplings DOR	14 MHz 5 MHz	15.6 MHz 7 MHz	13.8 MHz	27 MHz (Az) 17 MHz	30-45 MHz (Blm)	Gurbiel et al., 1993 and unpublished data for N <sub>2</sub> OR from Nakagawa, Kroneck, Werst, Hoffman, and Zumft	
ESE	Sharp Broad	1.5, 1.9, 2.9 MHz 0.8, 3.8 MHz	1.5, 1.9, 3.2 MHz 0.9, 4.3 MHz		0.8, 1.7, 2.9 MHz 4 MHz	0.5, 1.2, 1.8 MHz 4–5 MHz	Jin et al., 1989; van de Kamp et al., 1993; Burger et al., 1981	
<i>T</i> <sub>1</sub> (16 K)	$T_{1\ell} \\ T_{IS}$	43 μs 10 μs	95 μs 23 μs		47 (stella) 30 (Az) μs 9 (stella) 11 (Az) μs	320–560 μs 47–65 μs	This work and unpublished data for Az from McMillin, Pfenninger, Antholine, et al. and for stellacyanin from Bender, Peisach, Canters, Pfenninger, and Antholine	
Abs		360 nm 481, 534 nm 630 nm 780 nm	360 nm 480, 530 nm 780 nm		628 nm (strong) (Az)	~600 nm (weak)	Andrew et al., 1994, and refs therein	
RR		260, 347 cm <sup>-1</sup> (Cu-S)	258, 340 cm <sup>-1</sup> 262 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C	(Cu-S) Cu-N)	408, 372, 428 (Cu-S) 285 cm <sup>-1</sup> (Cu-N)		Andrew et al., 1994, and refs. therein	

TABLE 2 Spectroscopic properties of mixed-valence Cu-Cu centers compared to type 1 and type 2 Cu(II) complexes

(Cn (1.5) Cn (1.5)]

 $Cu_A(1.5)$ ] center of COX and the type 1 cupric site in azurin, stellacyanin, and plastocyanin have about the same values at 16 K, but the slope of the relaxation parameter for the  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)]$  center versus temperature is sharper for  $[Cu_A(1.5)...Cu_A(1.5)]$  than for the type 1 sites (Brudvig et al., 1984).

In conclusion, it is proposed that the fast relaxation for the  $[Cu_A(1.5) \dots Cu_A(1.5)]$  center results from low-lying states due to formation of dinuclear copper centers in which each copper has a type 1 configuration. The saturation-recovery signals for the samples in Table 1 fit to a double-exponential function. Cross-correlation, spectral diffusion, and forbidden transitions were considered and dismissed. Unable to find another mechanism, we considered a continuous distribution of local sites. A stretched-exponential function fits as well as the double exponential, but the linkage between Eq. 1 and Eq. 2 is not yet specified, nor are the relaxation mechanism(s). If a single exponential is required, the data are explained in terms of a distribution of local sites. Upon freezing, the distribution might be attributed to a distribution of the ligands, the vibrational states, or wobbling, which are sensitive to temperature. Nevertheless, a double or higher exponential has not been ruled out. Further studies are required to find a physical model for a relaxation mechanism in copper complexes.

The authors thank engineer Theodore Camenisch for providing a state-ofthe-art saturation-recovery spectrometer and Joseph Ratke for the software. Dr. Christopher C. Felix was invaluable for the temperature work. Samples of COX from Drs. G. Buse, G. Steffens, and their collaborators are greatly appreciated. The authors also thank Dr. Arthur S. Brill for helpful discussions concerning the population of vibrational states and Drs. Gareth R. and Sandra S. Eaton for referring us to additional significant literature and helpful comments. This work was supported by NSF grant DMB-9105519, National Institutes of Health grants RR01008 and GM27665, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

### REFERENCES

- Andrew, C. R., J. Han, J. deVries, J. van der Oost, B. A. Averill, T. M. Loehr, and J. Sanders-Loehr. 1994. Cu<sub>A</sub> of cytochrome c oxidase and the A site of N<sub>2</sub>OR reductase are tetrahedrally distorted type 1 Cu cysteines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116:10805–10806.
- Antholine, W. E., D. H. W. Kastrau, G. C. M. Steffens, G. Buse, W. G. Zumft, and P. M. H. Kroneck. 1992. A comparative electron paramagnetic resonance investigation of the multicopper proteins nitrous oxide reductase and cytochrome c oxidase. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 209:875–881.
- Bacci, M., and S. Cannistraro. 1987. A vibronic coupling approach for the interpretation of the g-value temperature dependence in type-I copper proteins. J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1, 83:3693–3700.
- Barr, M. E., P. H. Smith, W. E. Antholine, and B. Spencer. 1993. Crystallographic, spectroscopic and theoretical studies of an electrondelocalized Cu(1.5)... Cu(1.5) complex. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 21:1649-52.
- Belford, R. L., and D. C. Duan. 1978. Determination of nuclear quadrupole coupling by simulation of EPR spectra of frozen solutions. J. Magn. Reson. 29:293–307.

- Blackburn, N. J., M. E. Barr, W. H. Woodruff, J. van der Oost, S. de Vries. 1994. Metal-metal bonding in biology: EXAFS evidence for a 2.5 Å copper-copper bond in the Cu<sub>A</sub> center of cytochrome oxidase. *Biochemistry*. 33:10401–10407.
- Bowman, M. K., and L. Kevan. 1979. Electron spin-lattice relaxation in nonionic solids. *In* Time Domain Electron Spin Resonance. L. Kevan and R. N. Schwartz, editors. John Wiley & Sons, New York. 67–105.
- Brudvig, G. W., D. F. Blair, and S. I. Chan. 1984. Electron spin relaxation of  $Cu_A$  and cytochrome *a* in cytochrome *c* oxidase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 259:11001–11009.
- Burger, R. M., A. D. Adler, J. B. Howitz, W. B. Mims, and J. Peisach. 1981. Demonstration of nitrogen coordination in metal-bleomycin complexes by electron spin-echo envelope spectroscopy. *Biochemistry*. 20: 1701–1704.
- Buse, G., and G. C. M. Steffens. 1991. Cytochrome c oxidase in Paracoccus denitrificans. Protein, chemical, structural and evolutionary aspects. J. Bioeng. Biomembr. 23:269–289.
- Coyle, C. L., W. G. Zumft, P. M. H. Kroneck, H. Körner, and W. Jakob. 1985. Nitrous oxide reductase from denitrifying *Pseudomonas perfec*tomarina. Eur. J. Biochem. 153:459–467.
- de Abreau, C. D., N. M. Pinhal, and N. V. Vugman. 1992. Temperature dependence of g values of Fe(I) and Ru(I) cyanide complexes in a KCl host lattice. J. Magn. Reson. 100:588-592.
- Dooley, D. M., J. A. Landin, A. C. Rosenzweig, W. G. Zumft, and E. P. Day. 1991. Magnetic properties of *Pseudomonas stutzeri* nitrous oxide reductase. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113:8978-8980.
- Drews, A. R., B. D. Thayer, H. J. Stapleton, G. C. Wagner, G. Giugliarelli, and S. Cannistraro. 1990. Electron spin relaxation measurements on the blue-copper protein plastocyanin. *Biophys. J.* 57:157–162.
- Du, J. L., G. R. Eaton, and S. S. Eaton. 1993. Magnetic field dependence of electron spin-lattice relaxation time. *In* The 35th Rocky Mountain Conference on Analytical Chemistry, Denver, CO. (Abstr.)
- Eaton, S. S., and G. R. Eaton GR. 1993. Irradiated fused-quartz standard sample for time-domain EPR. J. Magn. Reson. 102A:354-356.
- Farrar, J. A., A. J. Thomson, M. R. Cheesman, D. M. Dooley, and W. G. Zumft. 1991. A model of the copper centres of nitrous oxide reductase. *FEBS Lett.* 294:11–15.
- Ghim, B. T., S. S. Eaton, G. R. Eaton, R. W. Quine, G. A. Rinard, and S. Pfenninger. 1995. Magnetic field and frequency dependence of electron spin relaxation times of the E' center in irradiated vitreous silica. J. Magn. Reson. 115A:230–235.
- Goodman, G., and J. S. Leigh, Jr. 1985. Distance between the visible copper and cytochrome *a* in bovine heart cytochrome oxidase. *Biochemistry*. 24:2310–2317.
- Gurbiel, R. J., Y.-C. Fann, K. K. Surerus, M. M. Werst, S. M. Musser, P. E. Doan, S. I. Chan, J. A. Fee, and B. M. Hoffman. 1993. Detection of two histidyl ligands to Cu<sub>A</sub> of cytochrome oxidase by 35 GHz ENDOR: <sup>14,15</sup>N and <sup>63,65</sup>Cu ENDOR studies of the Cu<sub>A</sub> site in bovine heart cytochrome aa<sub>3</sub> and cytochrome caa<sub>3</sub> and ba<sub>3</sub> from *Thermus thermophilus. J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 115:10888–10894.
- Hansen, A. P., R. D. Britt, M. P. Klein, C. J. Bender, and G. T. Babcock. 1993. ENDOR and ESEEM studies of cytochrome c oxidase: evidence for exchangeable protons at the Cu<sub>A</sub> site. *Biochemistry*. 32:13718–13724.
- Hyde, J. S., H. M. Swartz, and W. E. Antholine. 1979. The spinprobe-spin-label method. *In Spin Labeling II*. Theory and Applications. L. J. Berliner, editor. Academic Press, New York. 71-113.
- Iwata, S., C. Ostermeier, B. Ludwig, and H. Michel, 1995. Structure at 2.8 Å resolution of cytochrome c oxidase from *Paracoccus denitrificans*. *Nature*. 376:660–669.
- Jin, H., H. Thomann, C. L. Coyle, and W. G. Zumft. 1989. Copper coordination in nitrous oxide reductase from *Pseudomonas stutzeri*. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111:4262-4269.
- Kelly, M., P. Lappalainen, G. Talbo, T. Haltia, J. van der Oost, and M. Saraste. 1993. Two cysteines, two histidines, and one methionine are ligands of a dinuclear purple copper center. J. Biol. Chem. 268: 16781–16787.
- Koper, G. J. M. 1987. Relaxation in the random energy model. *In* Time Dependent Effects in Disordered Materials. R. Pynn and T. Rise, editors. Plenum Press, New York. 229–232.
- Kroneck, P. M. H., W. E. Antholine, D. H. W. Kastrau, G. Buse, G. C. M. Steffens, and W. G. Zumft. 1990. Multifrequency electron spin reso-

nance evidence for a bimetallic center in the  $Cu_A$  site in cytochrome c oxidase. FEBS Lett. 268:274-276.

- Kroneck, P. M. H., W. E. Antholine, H. Koteiche, D. H. W. Kastrau, F. Neese, and W. G. Zumft. 1993. The EPR-detectable copper of nitrous oxide reductase as a model for Cu<sub>A</sub> in cytochrome c oxidase: a multi-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance investigation. *In* Bioinorganic Chemistry of Copper. K. D. Karlin and Z. Tyeklár, editors. Chapman and Hall, New York. 419–426.
- Makinen, M. W., and G. B. Wells. 1987. Application of EPR saturation methods to paramagnetic metal ions in proteins. *In* Metal Ions in Biological Systems, Vol. 22. H. Sigel, editor. Marcel Dekker, New York. 129-206.
- Mchaourab, H. S., S. Pfenninger, W. E. Antholine, C. C. Felix, J. S. Hyde, and P. M. H. Kroneck. 1993. Multiquantum EPR of the mixed valence copper site in nitrous oxide reductase. *Biophys. J.* 64:1576–1579.
- Narayanan, A., J. S. Hartman, and A. D. Bain. 1995. Characterizing nonexponential spin-lattice relaxation in solid-state NMR by fitting to the stretched exponential. J. Magn. Reson. 112A:58-65.
- Nechtschein, M., and J. S. Hyde. 1970. Pulsed electron-electron double resonance in an S = 1/2, I = 1/2 system. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 24:672-674.
- Piepho, S. B. 1990. Vibronic coupling model for the calculation of mixedvalence line shapes: a new look at the Creutz-Taube ion. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112:4197-4206.
- Press, W. H., B. P. Flannery, S. A. Teukolsky, and W. T. Vetterling. 1989. Numerical Recipes. Fortran version. Cambridge University Press, New York. 523.
- Provencher, S. W. 1976. An eigenfunction expansion method for the analysis of exponential decay curves. J. Chem. Phys. 64:2772–2777.
- Reinhammar, B. 1984. Laccase. In Copper Proteins and Copper Enzymes, Vol. III. R. Lontie, editor. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 1-36.
- Riester, J., W. G. Zumft, and P. M. H. Kroneck. 1989. Nitrous oxide reductase from *Pseudomonas stutzeri*: redox properties and spectroscopic characterization of different forms of multicopper enzyme. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 178:751-762.
- Schlick, S., and L. Kevan. 1976. The application of differential saturation to distinguish radial and angular modulation mechanisms of electron spin-lattice relaxation. J. Magn. Reson. 22:171–181.
- Scholes, C. P., R. Janakiraman, H. Taylor, and T. E. King. 1984. Temperature dependence of the electron spin-lattice relaxation rate from pulse EPR of  $Cu_A$  and heme<sub>a</sub> in cytochrome c oxidase. *Biochem. J.* 45: 1027–1030.
- Steffens, G. C. M., P. Biewald, and G. Buse. 1987. Cytochrome c oxidase is a three-copper, two heme A protein. Eur. J. Biochem. 164:295–300.
- Steffens, G. C. M., T. Soulimane, G. Wolff, and G. Buse. 1993. Stoichiometry and redox behavior of metals in cytochrome c oxidase. Eur. J. Biochem. 213:1149-1157.
- Tsukihara, T., H. Aoyama, E. Yamashita, T. Tomizaki, H. Yamaguchi, K. Shinzawa-Itoh, R. Nakashima, R. Yaono, and S. Yoshikawa. 1995. Structure of metal sites of oxidized bovine heart cytochrome c oxidase at 2.8 Å. *Science*. 269:1069–1074.
- van de Kamp, M., G. W. Canters, C. R. Andrew, J. Sanders-Loehr, C. J. Bender, and J. Peisach. 1993. Effect of lysine ionization on the structure and electrochemical behavior of the Met44→Lys mutant of the blue-copper protein azurin. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 218:229–238.
- von Wachenfeldt, C., S. de Vries, and J. van der Oost. 1994. The Cu<sub>A</sub> site of the *caa*<sub>3</sub>-type oxidase of *Bacillus subtilis* is a mixed valence binuclear copper centre. *FEBS Lett.* 340:109–113.
- Wolfram, S. 1991. Mathematica: A System for Doing Mathematics by Computer, 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA.
- Zumft, W. G., A. Dreusch, S. Löchelt, H. Cuypers, B. Friedrich, and B. Schneider. 1992. Derived amino acid sequences of the nos Z gene (respiratory  $N_2O$  reductase) from *Alcaligenes europhus* and *Pseudomonas stutzeri* reveal potential copper-binding residues. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 208:31-40.
- Zumft, W. G., A. Viebrock-Sambale, and C. Braun. 1990. Nitrous oxide reductase from denitrifying *Pseudomonas stutzeri* genes for copperprocessing and properties of the deduced products, including a new member of the family of ATP/GTP-binding proteins. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 192:591–599.