first half of pregnancy.¹⁸ Severe handicaps were reported in five of 23 of the congenitally infected infants born to women infected in the first 27 weeks of pregnancy, whereas only one of the 12 infants born after maternal infection at 28 to 40 weeks developed a complication-and this was minor (hypoplastic dental enamel).¹⁸ Unfortunately the numbers were too small for this difference to be statistically significant. Other studies have shown that serious defects may result from infection in both early and late pregnancy.⁶⁻⁸

Congenital infection may result from either primary or recurrent (due to reactivation of reinfection) infection in the mother. Although serious handicaps are more likely after primary rather than recurrent infection,²¹⁹ neurological damage and bilateral hearing loss have occasionally been reported in children whose mothers undoubtedly had recurrent infection.²⁷²⁰ More data are required from large prospective studies before the risk associated with recurrent infection can be determined.

There is no easy way to prevent the birth of babies damaged by cytomegalovirus. In contrast, congenital rubella can be prevented by vaccination of susceptible women, and women infected by rubella during pregnancy can often be identified and the pregnancy terminated, since congenital defects result only from infection in the first 16 weeks of pregnancy.²¹ Although techniques may now be available to identify fetuses infected by cytomegalovirus,²²⁻²⁵ only those infected in the first 16 weeks of pregnancy would be identified in time for termination. Furthermore, only 10% of the infected infants would be severely handicapped and many pregnancies might therefore be terminated unnecessarily.²⁸¹⁸ Nor is an acceptable vaccine available. Attenuated vaccines have been used in patients who have had renal transplants²⁶ but are unlikely to be widely used since cytomegalovirus, a herpes virus, can remain latent and is potentially oncogenic. Genetically engineered vaccines, free of viral DNA, would overcome these problems.²⁷ Before such vaccines can be developed, however, we must identify the viral epitopes that induce protective antibodies and the cell mediated immune responses required to limit infection and prevent transmission of virus to the fetus.28

Perhaps women who transmit the virus to the fetus have defective immunological responses and are unable to limit replication of cytomegalovirus. Stern and colleagues have shown that lymphocytes from the mothers of infected babies failed to respond to cytomegalovirus antigen in lymphocyte transformation tests, whereas lymphocytes from mothers who did not transmit virus did respond.25 Women whose lymphocytes did not respond were also shown to shed more virus. We must wait to see whether genetically engineered vaccines will be able to stimulate the necessary immune responses in such women.

JENNIFER M BEST

Reader in Virology, United Medical and Dental Schools of Guy's and St Thomas's Hospitals, St Thomas's Campus, London SE1 7EH

- 2 Peckham CS, Coleman JC, Hurley R, Chin KS, Henderson K, Preece PM. Cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy: preliminary findings from a prospective study. Lancet 1983;i:1352-5. 3 Griffiths PD. Cytomegalovirus. In: Zuckerman AJ, Banatvala JE, Pattison JR, eds. Principles and
- practice of clinical virology. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons, 1987:75-109. eece PM, Pearl KN, Peckham CS. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Arch Dis Child 1984;59:1120-6.
- 5 Timbury MC. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection: a dilemma. Br Med 7 1984;289:712-3.
- 6 Grant S, Edmond E, Syme J. A prospective study of cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy.
 1) Laboratory evidence of congenital infection following maternal primary and reactivated infection. J Infect 1981;3:24-31.

- 7 Ahlfors K, Ivarsson SA, Harris S, et al. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection and disease in Sweden and the relative importance of primary and secondary maternal infections. Scand Infect Dis 1984:16:129-37.
- 8 Griffiths PD, Baboonian C. A prospective study of primary cytomegalovirus infection during pregnancy: final report. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1984;91:307-15.
- 9 Griffiths PD, Stagno S, Pass RF, Smith RJ, Alford CA. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection: diagnostic and prognostic significance of the detection of specific immunoglobulin M antibodies in cord serum. *Pediatrics* 1982;69:544-9.
 McCracken GH Jr, Shinefield HR, Cobb K, Rausen AR, Dische MR, Eichenwald HF. Congenital
- cytomegalic inclusion disease. Am J Dis Child 1969;177:522-39
- 11 Berenberg MD, Nankervis G. Long-term follow-up of cytomegalic inclusion disease of infancy. Pediatrics 1970;46:403-9
- 12 Pass RF, Stagno S, Myers GJ, Alford CA. Outcome of symptomatic congenital cytomegalovirus 12 Yasi KY, Stagio S, Myers OJ, Filord CA. Outcome of Sympositic Conjential Conference of Sympositic United Sympositics 1980;66:758-62.
 13 Saigal S, Lunyk O, Larke RPB, Chernesky MA. The outcome in children with congenital cytomegalovirus infection. Am J Dis Child 1982;136:896-901.
- 14 Kumar ML, Nankervis GA, Jacobs IB, et al. Congenital and post-natally acquired cytomegalo-
- virus infections: long-term follow-up. J Pediatr 1984;104:674-9. 15 Reynolds DW, Stagno S, Stubbs KG, et al. Inapparent congenital cytomegalovirus infection with
- elevated cord IgM levels: causal relation with auditory and mental deficiency. N Engl \mathcal{J} Med 1974:290:291-6
- 16 Hanshaw JB, Scheiner AP, Moxley AW, Gaev L, Abel V, Schneiner B. School failure and deafinitiation (active) and a structure of the structure of t
- maternal factors. J Epidemiol Community Health 1986;40:205-9. 18 Stagno S, Pass RF, Cloud G, et al. Primary cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy. JAMA
- 1986:256:1904-8
- 19 Stagno S, Pass RF, Dworsky ME, et al. Congenital cytomegalovirus infection. The relative importance of primary and recurrent maternal infection. N Engl J Med 1982;306:945-9. 20 Rutter D, Griffiths P, Trompeter RS. Cytomegalovirus inclusion disease after recurrent maternal
- infection. Lancet 1985;ii:1182. 21 Miller E, Cradock-Watson JE, Pollock TM. Consequences of confirmed maternal rubella at
- successive stages of pregnancy. Lancet 1982;ii:781-4. 22 Davis LE, Tweed GV, Chinm TDY, Miller GL. Intrauterine diagnosis of cytomegalovirus
- infection: viral recovery from amniocentesis fluid. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1971;109:1217-9. 23 Huikeshoven FJM, Wallenburg HCS, Johoda MGJ. Diagnosis of severe fetal cytomegalovirus
- infection from amniotic fluid in the third trimester of pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1982;142:1053-4.
- 24 Lange I, Rodeck CH, Morgan-Capner P, Simmons A, Kangro HO. Prenatal serological diagnosis of intrauterine cytomegalovirus infection. Br Med J 1982;284:1673-4.
- Stern H, Hannington G, Booth J, Moncrieft D. An early marker of fetal infection after primary cytomegalovirus infection in pregnancy. *Br Med J* 1986;292:718-20.
 Plotkin SA, Friedman HM, Fleischer GR, *et al.* Towne-vaccine-induced prevention of cytomegalovirus disease after renal transplants. *Lancet* 1984;ii:528-30.
- 27 Farrar GH, Bull JR, Greenaway PJ. Prospects for the clinical management of human cytomegalovirus infections. Vaccine 1986;4:217-24.
- 28 Sissons JGP. The immunology of cytomegalovirus infection. J R Coll Physicians Lond 1986;20: 40-4.

The psychological side of tinnitus

The common and often distressing symptom of tinnitus is generally accepted not to have a psychogenic cause. But psychological factors determine how people react to the complaint, and doctors must therefore consider them carefully.

About 20-40% of the population have experienced tinnitus at some time.¹² Once established for several weeks it is likely to become chronic, which together with its common association with conditions such as presbycusis means that its prevalence increases with age. The sexes are roughly equally affected. In most instances tinnitus is associated with a hearing loss of known cause (usually noise, presbycusis, or middle ear disease), but about 13% of patients referred to specialists have either no hearing loss or minimal loss of unknown cause.3

How much patients are troubled by tinnitus varies widely. No study has yet considered what features of the tinnitus or the patient's behaviour determine if and when general practitioners refer patients for specialist opinions. The degree of distress may be important. One survey suggested that 5% of the adult British population have their sleep regularly disturbed by tinnitus.² A questionnaire inquiry of a tinnitus self help group unsurprisingly found that many respondents had problems with sleep, and 70% mentioned some emotional difficulties.4

Stress in hospital based samples has been measured using different instruments-for example, the Minnesota

¹ Stern H. Cytomegalovirus infection in the neonate and its prevention. Postgrad Med J 1977:53:588-91

multiphasic personality inventory,5 the general health questionnaire,3 the Crown-Crisp experiential index,6 and the Goldberg clinical interview schedule.³ All found high levels of psychiatric morbidity, affecting a fifth to half of patients; usually patients had mild to moderate affective disorders. Similar studies have been criticised for giving spuriously high prevalences of psychiatric morbidity in physically ill patients,7 but a more rigorous study that used Goldberg's standardised clinical interview schedule showed that 10% of patients with tinnitus were moderately to severely depressed and that a further third were mildly affected.3 About a quarter of the patients used benzodiazepines regularly and 20-48% reported tension headaches.

Patients adjust to their tinnitus and their stress declines; but an appreciable minority continue to have difficulties. The important question is why some patients and not others have an affective disorder. Consequently, various studies have considered what factors are associated with increased distress in patients with tinnitus. Aetiology has recently emerged as possibly being important: in one study patients with idiopathic tinnitus (mostly women) showed significantly more psychological disability than patients with noise induced deafness and tinnitus (all men).³ No other diagnostic category showed any significant difference. Overall, there was no difference in stress between the sexes, which was surprising as mild psychiatric morbidity is usually more common in women. A personal or family history of psychiatric illness has been related to increased depression in patients with tinnitus,³⁴ but neither tinnitus nor any associated distress appears to be linked with a particular personality type.³

Distressed patients rate their tinnitus as louder and more annoving than other less stressed sufferers. But studies using pitch matching and masking techniques to obtain a more objective measure of tinnitus loudness have not found an association between loudness and apparent distress, or between distress and the reported quality of the tinnitus.³⁸ Patients' predisposition to depression, their mood, and the cause of the tinnitus are more related to the annoyance and stress experienced than to the nature of the tinnitus itself. Like other chronic symptoms such as pain, tinnitus is related in a complex way to the psychological health of the sufferer.

Many patients are unconcerned by their tinnitus and others merely require reassurance. Only a few patients benefit from conventional audiological treatment with a hearing aid. Most will benefit from a tinnitus masker, but only a tiny minority will have their tinnitus abolished or experience residual inhibition when not wearing the instrument. Tinnitus maskers are not nationally available on the NHS.

Doctors working with patients with tinnitus are struck by how some will go to great lengths to obtain relief. Hence acupuncture, relaxation techniques, biofeedback, cognitive behavioural approaches, and herbal remedies have all been tried. Few workers claim that their methods much affect the tinnitus itself, but most suggest they can improve the patient's ability to cope. Placebo controlled trials have suggested that contact with understanding professionals with time to listen is a powerful ameliorative force.

It requires a high index of suspicion to identify those patients with psychological problems. Some have serious problems and require psychiatric referral. Rarely patients develop isolated delusions about an external cause of their tinnitus. In others the tinnitus becomes the focus of emotional, family, and other difficulties; patients who somatise their problems in this way are difficult to help. Others become seriously and persistently depressed.

In many patients with tinnitus, management is complex and requires the skills of an otologist and audiologist combined with the ability to identify and manage the common coincidental psychiatric and psychological disturbances. Different management models are being considered, including "tinnitus clinics," hearing therapy, and lay counselling.38

> MAURICE HAWTHORNE Senior registrar in otolaryngology

Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol BS2 8HW

SUSAN O'CONNOR Senior registrar in psychiatry

Southmead Hospital, Bristol BS10 5NB

- 1 Hinchcliffe B. Prevalence of the commoner ear, nose and throat conditions in the adult rural Princhemie K. Prevalence of the commoner ear, nose and unout conditions in the autor transpopulation of Great Britain. British Journal of Preventive and Social Medicine 1961;15:128-39.
 Coles RRA, Davis AC, Haggard MP. Epidemiology of tinnitus. In: Evered D, Lawrenson G, eds.

- 1983;48:150-4. 5 House PR. Personality of the tinnitus patient. In: Evered D, Lawrenson G, eds. Tinnitus. London:
- Billing 203. (Ciba symposium 85.)
 Stephens SDG, Hallam RS. The Crown-Crisp experiential index in patients complaining of tinnitus. Br J Audiol 1985;19:151-8.
- 7 Mayou R, Hawton K, Psychiatro disorder in the general hospital. Br J Psychiatry 1986;149:172-90.
 8 Hallam RS. Psychological approaches to the evaluation and management of tinnitus distress. In: Hazell JWP, ed. Tinnitus. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone (in press).