Antibody Production and Blastogenic Response in Pigs Experimentally Infected with Porcine Reproductive and Respiratory Syndrome Virus

Sophie-Annick Vezina, Hughes Loemba, Michel Fournier, Serge Dea, and Denis Archambault

ABSTRACT

Seven five-week-old piglets were infected intranasally with 10^5 TCID₅₀ of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus strain IAF.exp9l. All virus-exposed pigs developed fever, labored abdominal breathing, conjunctivitis, and lymph node enlargement within the first 96 h postexposure (PE), which continued to d 10 to 14 PE. Two pigs that were necropsied at d 7 and 10 PE had diffuse interstitial pneumonitis, cardiopathy and lymphadenopathy. All 5 remaining pigs produced serum IgM and IgG antibodies against PRRS virus by 7 or 14 days PE, as demonstrated by indirect immunofluorescence. This corresponded with the capability of isolating the virus from serum d 7 to d 49 or d 63 PE. Low serum neutralizing antibody titers were detected in 3 of the virusexposed pigs by 35 days PE. A transient episode of diminished proliferative response of peripheral blood lymphocytes to mitogens phytohemagglutinin (PHA) and concanavalin A (Con A) was observed in the virus-exposed pigs at d 3 PE. However, in vitro spontaneous uptake of [3H]-thymidine was significantly increased in lymphocyte cultures of the same pigs at d 7 or d 14 PE. These results suggest polyclonal activation of peripheral blood lymphocytes.

RESUME

Sept porcelets âgés de cinq semaines ont été infectés par voie intranasale avec $10⁵ DECP₅₀$ de la

souche IAF.exp9l du virus du syndrome reproducteur et respiratoire du porc (SRRP). Tous les porcelets exposés au virus ont présenté une hyperthermie, une respiration abdominale profonde, une conjonctivite et une hypertrophie des ganglions lymphatiques dans les 96 heures suivant l'inoculation virale. Ces signes cliniques ont persisté jusqu'au jour 10 ou 14 postexposition (PE) au virus SRRP. Des lésions de pneumonie interstitielle diffuse, de cardiopathie et de lymphadénopathie ont été observées chez les deux porcelets nécropsiés aux jours 7 et 10 PE.Une analyse sérologique réalisée chez les cinq autres porcelets en utilisant un test d'immunofluorescence indirecte a démontré la présence d'anticorps de type IgM et IgG au jour 7 ou 14 PE. Une correspondance a été notée entre la détection de ces anticorps et la capacite d'isoler le virus dans le sérum de ces animaux à partir du jour 7 PE jusqu'au jour 49 ou 63 PE. Des titres peu élevés d'anticorps sériques neutralisants spécifiques du virus SRRP ont été détectés chez trois des cinq porcelets exposes au virus a partir du jour 35 PE. Une diminution transitoire de l'activité blastogénique des lymphocytes du sang périphérique aux agents mitogenes phytohemagglutinine (PHA) et concanavaline A (ConA) ont été observées chez les porcelets exposés au virus au jour 3 PE. Par contre, une augmentation de l'incorporation spontanée de la thymidine tritiée in vitro a été observée dans les cultures lymphocytaires originant des mêmes

porcelets aux jours 7 ou 14 PE. Ces résultats suggèrent une activation polyclonale des lymphocytes du sang périphérique chez les animaux exposés au virus SRRP.

(Traduit par docteur Serge Messier)

The disease caused by the porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus, an arterivirus of the family Togaviridae $(1,2)$, is characterized by reproductive disorders (mummified, stillborn, or weak piglets) in sows of any parity, increased preweaning mortality, and respiratory disorders in pigs of all ages (3,4). Experimental reproduction of the disease has been successful in sows at mid- and late-term gestation and in fetuses (5-7). The disease has also been reproduced in piglets of different ages, with the appearance of characteristic clinical signs of fever and dyspnea and lesions of interstitial pneumonitis $(3,4,8-10)$. In these studies, the effect of PRRS virus on lymphocyte functional reactivity was not documented.

The biological hallmark of the PRRS virus is that it infects and replicates (perhaps exclusively) in alveolar macrophages (3,4). Moreover, the virus appears to persist for a long time, despite the ability of the host to mount a virus-specific immune response (11-14). Because PRRS virus infects macrophages, a primary barrier cell population of the host defense system, appears to persist for a long time within the infected host, and pigs of PRRS virus-positive herds appear more susceptible to secondary infections (4,15), one may suspect the PRRS virus for

Address correspondence to Dr. D. Archambault.

Submitted March 3, 1995.

Université du Québec à Montréal, Département des Sciences Biologiques, C.P. 8888, Succursale Centre-Ville, Montréal, Québec H3C 3P8 (Vézina, Fournier, Archambault); Institut Armand-Frappier, Centre de Recherche en Virologie, Laval, Quebec (Loemba, Dea).

TABLE 1. Kinetics of serum antibody titers to PRRS virus in infected pigs as measured by indirect immunofluorescence assay $(IIFA)^{a}$

Pig number	Days post virus exposure								
	$\bf{0}$	3	τ	14	21	28	35	49	63
4	16 ^b	<16	<16	64	256	512	512	512	512
	(<16) ^c	(16)	(32)	(512)	(128)	(64)	(32)	$(NT)^d$	(NT)
5	16	<16	<16	32	256	512	>1024	>1024	>1024
	(<16)	(16)	(128)	(512)	(128)	(32)	(32)	(16)	(16)
6	<16	≤ 16	≤ 16	64	512	512	512	>1024	>1024
	(16)	(16)	(128)	(>1024)	(512)	(128)	(128)	(32)	(16)
7	<16	\leq 16	32	128	512	>1024	>1024	>1024	>1024
	(<16)	(<16)	(128)	(512)	(128)	(128)	(64)	(16)	(16)
11	≤ 16	≤ 16	32	32	>1024	>1024	>1024	>1024	>1024
	(16)	(16)	(128)	(>1024)	(128)	(128)	(64)	(16)	(16)

^a Antibody titer to PRSS virus expressed as the reciprocal of the highest serum dilution positive by IIFA using IAF.exp9l PRRS virus-infected alveolar macrophages as indicator cells

PRSS-specific IgG antibody titers

^c The number within parentheses indicate PRSS virus-specific IgM antibody titers

^d NT: not tested

causing dysfunction or suppression of the host immune system.

In this report, we describe aspects of the immune response of specificpathogen-free (SPF) pigs to PRRS virus. The study included 13 pigs that were purchased from a herd free of atrophic rhinitis, Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae, Serpulina hyodysenteriae, Actinobacillus pleuropneumoniae, and Salmonella spp. The source herd was also seronegative for antibodies to PRRS virus, transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), porcine parvovirus (PPV), encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV), swine influenza virus (SIV), and porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (HEV), and to bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) (16). The pigs were 3.5 wk old when they were delivered to our laboratory, randomly placed into 2 experimental groups (control pig nos 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, and 10, and virusexposed pig nos 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, and 13), and separated by group in isolation facilities. The pigs were maintained on an appropriate commercial diet containing no antibiotics. At ⁵ wk old (i.e., after 10 d to adapt to their new environment), they were exposed intranasally to ⁵ mL of cell culture fluid that either was free of PRRS virus (control pigs) or contained 106 median cell culture infective doses (TCID₅₀) (12th virus subculture) of PRRS virus (virus-exposed pigs).

All pigs were observed daily for clinical signs throughout the experiment. Rectal temperatures of both control and virus-exposed pigs were taken 3 d before virus exposure, on the day of virus exposure (d 0), and

daily thereafter until the end of the experiment on d 63. Blood samples were collected from each pig on d 0 (just before exposure), d 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 49, and 63 postexposure (PE). Sera were tested for antibody to PRRS virus by indirect immunofluorescence assay (IIFA) in primary porcine alveolar macrophages (PAM) (13). All IIFA's were run in duplicate with fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled conjugates prepared from rabbit antiserum for either porcine IgM (Cedarlane Laboratories Ltd, Hornby, Ontario) or porcine IgG (ICN Biochemicals Canada Ltd, Mississauga, Ontario). The IIFA antibody titers were expressed as the highest dilution demonstrating positive cytoplasmic fluorescence (13). Sera were also tested for PRRS virus-specific antibodies by virus neutralization (VN) in MARC-145 cells (14,17). The neutralizing antibody titer of each serum was expressed as the highest serum dilution neutralizing 100 $TCID_{50}$ of PRRS virus (14). Finally, sera were tested for infectious PRRS virus by virus isolation and identification in PAM (16). Peripheral blood lymphocytes were tested for their blastogenic response to mitogens phytohemagglutinin (PHA) and concanavalin A (Con A) based on a published procedure (18). PHA (Sigma Chemical, St-Louis, Missouri), or Con A (Pharmacia, Dorval, Québec) were used at optimal concentrations of 1.25 μ g per cell culture $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ cells per well cul-}$ ture, 4 wells per mitogen). The data were expressed in disintegrations per min (dpm) and the delta dpm was calculated as described elsewhere (18). Lymphocyte proliferative activity differences over time were tested by repeated measure analysis of variance using SAS (Cary, North Carolina) (19). Differences between control and virus-infected animals were identified by significant interaction effects between time and treatment (virus infection). Significant effects were pinpointed with single degree-of-freedom contrasts between d 0 (before virus infection) and subsequent dates after virus infection. The Quebec IAF-exp9l strain of PRSS virus that had been propagated in primary cultures of PAM as previously described (16) was used for intranasal exposure of pigs, for IIFA, and for virus isolation. The IAF.Klop strain of PRRS virus that has been adapted for MARC-145 cells (20) was used for VN tests.

All pigs were clinically normal upon arrival in the isolation facilities and for the entire adaptation period before virus exposure. The first clinical abnormality found in the PRRS virusexposed pigs was an elevation in body temperature ranging from 40 to 41.6°C at day ¹ PE. This was followed by a 2nd episode of hyperthermia (40 to 42°C) at 72 h PE, after which time the temperatures in the virus-exposed animals were in the normal range (38.1 to 38.8°C) to the end of the 63-d observation period. Control pigs had body temperatures within the normal range throughout the experiment. Signs of periocular edema and mild conjunctivitis accompanied by laboured abdominal breathing and lymph node enlargment in the pelvic region were generally observed in virus-exposed pigs beginning at d 3 PE and continuing to d 10 to 14 PE. No clinical signs were observed in the virus-exposed pigs from d 15 PE. All the controls remain clinically normal throughout the experiment.

Two of the virus-exposed pigs (nos 12 and 13), with the clinical signs described above, were euthanized at d 7 and 10 PE. Gross lesions seen in these pigs were similar and were mainly confined to the respiratory tract. The lungs were partly collapsed and had a discoloration of the surface with a greyish and glossy appearance and the presence of petechiae dispersed through the lung surface. The ventral pulmonary lobes were somewhat hyperemic and the mediastinal

Figure 1. In vitro proliferative response to mitogens phytohemagglutinin (PHA) (1A) or concanavalin A (Con A) (IB) of peripheral blood lymphocytes isolated from PRRS virus-exposed and control pigs.

lymph nodes were distended and edematous. Paint brush-like hemorrhagic foci were also seen at the myocardial surface. The popliteal lymph nodes were enlarged. Microscopically, pulmonary lesions consisted of a proliferative interstitial pneumonitis characterized by hyperplasia of type II pneumocytes accompanied by alveolar septal infiltration by macrophages and a few lymphocytes, with the occasional presence of necrotic cells in the alveolar lumen and necrotic foci within the pulmonary parenchyma. A moderate peribronchiolar and perivascular lympho-histiocytic cell infiltration was also observed. Lesions of lymphomonocytic myocarditis were also encountered in the heart ventricles. Hyperplasia of the lymphoid follicles was observed in the popliteal lymph nodes and in the spleen. No significant gross or histologic lesions

were observed in ¹ control pig (no 10) euthanized on d 10 after beginning the experiment. Virus could be rescued in PAM cells from the lungs of the 2 virus-exposed pigs.

All other pigs exposed to the virus $(nos 4, 5, 6, 7, and 11) developed sig$ nificant (216) PRRS virus-specific antibody titers in their serum by 7 to 14 d PE as determined by IIFA (Table I). The IgM serum antibodies reached maximal titers of 512 to >1024 at d 14 PE; low IgM antibody titers of 32 to 128 were observed at d 35 PE. All pigs were PRRS virusspecific IgM negative by d 49 or 63 PE. In contrast, levels of IgG serum antibodies increased over time to reach maximal IIFA antibody titers of 512 to >1024 by d 21 to 49 PE and persisted to the end of the 63-d period. On the other hand, low neutralizing antibody titers (16 or 32) were detected in only 3 virus-exposed pigs (nos 4, 5, and 11) by d 28 or 35 PE, and remained at that level to the end of the 63-d period. No PRRS virusspecific IIFA or neutralizing antibodies were detected in control pigs. Virus could be rescued in PAM cells from serum of all virus-exposed animals from d 7 to 49 PE. In 2 infected pigs (nos 7 and 11), virus was isolated from the serum at d 63 PE, in spite of the presence of serum PRRS virusspecific neutralizing antibodies.

The kinetics of the in vitro proliferative response of blood lymphocytes to mitogens in each tested pig were followed during the first 35 d PE (Fig. 1). Although the blastogenic activity was individual and timevariable, transient decreases of the lymphoproliferative responses to mitogens PHA (Fig. lA) and Con A (Fig. 1B) were observed in all pigs exposed to

Figure 2. In vitro spontaneous [3H]-thymidine incorporation by peripheral blood lymphocyte cultures isolated from PRRS virus-exposed and control pigs.

the PRRS virus at d ³ PE. One of the 5 virus-exposed pigs (no 11) was also showing a diminished lymphocyte activity to PHA at ^d ⁷ PE. A slight decrease in the lymphocyte activity to mitogens was also seen in 2 control pigs (nos 2 and 3) at d 3 after beginning the experiment, but was less intense than in the virus-exposed animals. Statistical analysis of the results over time showed a significant difference between control and virusexposed animals, with a diminution of the lymphocyte activity to PHA $(P <$ 0.02) or Con A ($P < 0.053$) at d 3 PE in the virus-exposed animals when compared to the controls.

The kinetics of the in vitro spontaneous blastogenic activity (mitogen nonstimulated control lymphocyte cultures) in each group of virus-exposed and control pigs were also investigated (Fig. 2). The data obtained for these lymphocyte cultures were less variable from pig to pig than those obtained for the mitogen-stimulated cultures, with comparable mean dpm of 1159 and 1326 for the control and virus-exposed groups of pigs, respectively, before virus exposure (d 0). A noticeable enhancement of the in vitro lymphocyte spontaneous blastogenic activity was observed beginning at d 7 PE and continued to be significally increased $(P < 0.05)$ at d 14 PE.

Day 21 values were still elevated in the virus-exposed group of pigs, but the difference was not significant $(P <$ 0.08). Day 35 values were also elevated in the virus-exposed animals, but tended to return to d 0 values.

The results of this study demonstrate that PRRS virus-exposed pigs show the typical clinical signs and lesions associated with respiratory disorders, as has been previously described (4,8,10). Pigs exposed to the PRRS virus specifically seroconverted at d 7 or d 14 PE, as determined by IIFA. This is consistent with previous findings in piglets of different ages infected with various PRRS virus isolates (10,13,14). Paradoxically, the virus could be routinely isolated from sera of the virus-exposed pigs from d 7 to 49 PE, and, in the case of 2 animals, to the end of the 63-d observation period. The correspondence between the onset of virus isolation and the 1st appearance of serum IIFA antibodies indicates that these PRRS virus-specific circulating antibodies are not effective in virus clearance, as has been reported by others (11, 12,14)This suggests that PRRS virus may persist in naturally or experimentally infected animals for a long period, despite high levels of circulating IIFA antibodies. This state of virus persistence may reflect the inability of certain infected animals to mount a protective immune response. Indeed, 3 of the 5 experimentally infected pigs showed low levels of circulating neutralizing antibodies that appeared to not be predictive of virus clearance. This is in agreement with other studies where anti-PRRS virus antibodies detected by immunohistochemical techniques, such as IIFA or immunoperoxidase, had no or limited in vitro virus neutralizing activity $(4,11,12,14)$, and did not reflect the protective status of the animals.

The levels of serum IIFA IgG antibodies increased over time to reach maximal titers by 21 to 49 d PE, at which time IgM antibody titers were declining. Moreover, IgG antibodies were still elevated at 63 d PE in all virus-exposed pigs, whereas IgM antibodies were no longer detectable. These results suggest that detection of IIFA IgM antibodies could reflect recent exposure to the PRRS virus. Detection of high serum IIFA IgG antibody titers indicates a previous infection, but does not indicate when the animal was infected. Indeed, IIFA IgG antibody titers are still elevated (>1280) in pigs 4 mo after PRRS virus exposure (14).

The infection of pigs with PRRS virus resulted in a diminished proliferative response of blood lymphocytes to both mitogens PHA and Con A. This effect was only observed at d 3 PE, and, in regard to its transient nature, was similar to the depressed T cell responses (as measured by an increased survival of tissue or tumor transplants, a reduced delayed-type hypersensitivity, or a reduced response of spleen cells to Con A) in mice during the acute phase of infection with the lactate dehydrogenase-elevating virus (LDV) (21-23). LDV is an agent of the arterivirus group related to PRRS virus, whose target cell is also the macrophage (23).

Significant enhancement of the in vitro proliferative activity of the control cell cultures (with no mitogen) was obtained with blood mononuclear cells isolated from the PRRS virusexposed pigs. This effect was significant at d 14 PE, and tended to persist over time. Similar increased spontaneous [3H]-thymidine incorporation by spleen cells from mice infected with LDV was reported in vivo, as well as in vitro $(22, 24, 25)$.

In mice, in contrast to the diminished cellular immune responses during the primary phase of LDV infection, humoral antibody responses to T-dependent and T-independent antigens are enhanced during the early phases of infection (21,23). This effect, which correlates with enhanced in vitro background proliferative activity of lymphocyte cultures, is believed to be related to the initiation of polyclonal activation of B cells and rapidly increased IgG_{2a} production caused by LDV infection (22,24-27). Whether such mechanisms of lymphocyte activation are present in PRRS virusinfected pigs remains to be investigated. However, an enhanced antibody production to T cell-dependent antigens (4,15), and the enhanced spontaneous [3H]-thymidine incorporation in lymphocytes isolated from our PRRS virus-infected pigs, might support this interpretation.

The effect of PRRS virus infection on the host immune system thus appears to be bivalent. In earlier phases of the infection, PRRS virus appears to cause a transient diminution of the proliferative activity of blood lymphocytes to mitogens as reported here and an impaired function of alveolar macrophages, as reported by others (4). This diminished immune reactivity appears to be rapidly overcome by the host since antibody production and specific cellular immune response to heterologous T cell-dependent antigens are normal, or even enhanced, in PRRS virusexposed pigs (15). Whether or not the PRRS virus has ^a role in the recrudescence of bacterial secondary infections in PRRS virus-positive herds remains unanswered at this point.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants from the Conseil de Recherche en Pecherie et en Agro-alimentaire du Quebec (CORPAQ) (grant no. 3254) and from the University of Québec network (FODAR). S.-A. Vezina is supported by a graduate student fellowship from the Québec Fonds pour la Formation de Chercheurs et l'Aide a la Recherche (Fonds FCAR). D. Archambault is the holder of a research scholarship from the Fonds de la Recherche en Santé du Québec (FRSQ). We gratefully acknowledge Dr. Robert Bilodeau and Dr. René Sauvageau from the Société des eleveurs de porcs du Quebec and the Ministère de l'agriculture, des pêcheries et de l'alimentation du Quebec, respectively, for their involvement in experimental inoculation and histopathological studies. The authors also thank Dr. David Bird from the University of Québec in Montréal for statistical analysis, and Mrs. Annie Guertin for clerical aid.

REFERENCES

- 1. CONZELMANN KK, VISSER N, VAN WOENSEL P, THIEL HJ. Molecular characterization of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus, a member of the Arterivirus group. Virology 1993; 193: 329-339.
- 2. MEULENBERG JJM, HULST M, DE MEIJER EJ, MOONEN PLJM, DEN BESTEN A, DE KLUYVER EP, WENS-VOORT G, MOORMANN RJM. Lelystad virus, the causative agent of porcine epidemic abortion and respiratory syndrome (PEARS) is related to LDV and EAV. Virology 1993; 192: 62-72.
- 3. WENSVOORT G, DE KLUYVER EP, POL JMA, WAGENAAR F, MOOR-MANN RJM, HULST MM, BLOEM-RAAD R, DEN BESTEN A, ZETSTRA T, TERPSTRA C. Lelystad virus, the cause of porcine epidemic abortion and respiratory syndrome: a review of mystery swine disease research at Lelystad. Vet Microbiol 1992; 33: 185-193.
- 4. GOYAL SM. Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome. ^J Vet Diagn Invest 1993; 5: 656-664.
- 5. CHRISTIANSON WT, CHOI CS, COL-LINS JE, MOLITOR TW, MORRISON RB, JOO HS. Pathogenesis of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection in mid-gestation sows and fetuses. Can ^J Vet Res 1992; 57: 262-268.
- 6. CHRISTIANSON WT, COLLINS JE, BENFIELD DA, HARRIS L, GORCYCA DE, CHLADEK DW, MORRISON RB, JOO HS. Experimental reproduction of swine infertility and respiratory syndrome in pregnant sows. Am ^J Vet Res 1992; 53: 485-488.
- 7. TERPSTRA C, WENSVOORT G, POL JMA. Experimental reproduction of porcine epidemic abortion and respiratory syndrome (mystery swine disease) by infection with Lelystad virus: Koch's postulates fulfilled. Vet Quart 1991; 13: 131-136.
- 8. COLLINS JE, BENFIELD DA, CHRIS-TIANSON WT, HARRIS L, HENNINGS JC, MORRISON RB, JOO HS, GOR-CYCA D, CHLADEK D. Isolation of swine infertility and respiratory syndrome virus (isolate ATCC VR-2332) in North America and experimental reproduction of the disease in gnotobiotic pigs. ^J Vet Diagn Invest 1992; 4: 117-126.
- 9. POL JMA, VAN DIJK JE, WENS-VOORT G, TERPSTRA C. Pathological, ultrastructural, and immunohistochemical changes caused by Lelystad virus in experimentally induced infections of mystery swine disease (synonym: porcine epidemic abortion and respiratory syndrome). Vet Quart 1991; 13: 137-143.
- 10. ROSSOW KD, BAUTISTA EM, GOYAL SM, MOLITOR TW, MURTAUGH MP, MORRISON RB, BENFIELD DA, COL-LINS JE. Experimental porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection in one-, four-, and 10-week-old pigs. J Vet Diagn Invest 1994; 6: 3-12.
- 11. ALBINA E, MADEC F, CARIOLET R, PABOEUF F, HUTET E, PANSART JF, KERANFLEC'H A, LEFORBAN Y. Le syndrome dysgénésique et respiratoire du porc (SDRP): Persistance du virus chez l'animal et dans les élevages infectés. ^J Rech Porcines en France 1993; 25: 363-372.
- 12. BILODEAU R, ARCHAMBAULT D, VEZINA SA, SAUVAGEAU R, FOUR-NIER M, DEA S. Persistence of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection in a swine operation. Can ^J Vet Res 1994; 58: 291-298.
- 13. YOON IJ, JOO HS, CHRISTIANSON WT, KIM HS, COLLINS JE, MORRISON RB, DIAL GD. An indirect immunofluorescence antibody test for the detection of antibody to swine infertility and respiratory syndrome virus in swine sera. ^J Vet Diagn Invest 1992; 4: 144-147.
- 14. NELSON EA, CHRISTOPHER-HENNINGS J, BENFIELD DA. Serum immune responses to the proteins of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus. ^J Vet Diagn Invest 1994; 6: 410-415.
- 15. ALBINA E, KOBISH M, CARIOLET R, MORVAN P, KERANFLEC'H A, BEAU-PAIRE B, HUTET E, LABBE A. Le syndrome dysgénésique et respiratoire du porc (SDRP): Etude experimentale des effets de l'infection sur la reponse immunitaire et la résistance aux infections Aujeszky et Mycoplasma Hyopneumoniae chez le porc en croissance. ^J Rech Porcines en France 1995; 27, 102-116.
- 16. MARDASSI H, ATHANASSIOUS R, MOUNIR S, DEA S. Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus: morphological, biochemical and serologic characteristics of Quebec isolates associated with acute and chronic outbreaks of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome. Can ^J Vet Res 1994; 58: 55-64.
- 17. KIM HS, KWANG J, YOON IJ, JOO HS, FREY ML. Enhanced replication of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) virus in a homogeneous subpopulation of MA-104 cell line. Arch Virol 1993; 133: 477-483.
- 18. ARCHAMBAULT D, MORIN G, ELAZ-HARY MASY. Effect of sodium diethyldithiocarbamate, Corynebacterium parvum, and mycobacterium cell wall extract on in vitro blastogenic responses of bovine lymphocytes. Cornell Vet 1989; 79: 11-24.
- 19. SAS INSTITUTE. SAS/STAT guide for personal computers, version 6. SAS Institute, Cary: North Carolina, 1987: 1028.
- 20. MARDASSI H, WILSON L, MOUNIR S, DEA S. Detection of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus and efficient differentiation between canadian and european strains by reverse transcription and PCR amplification. ^J Clin Microbiol 1994; 32: 2197-2203.
- 21. CAFRUNY WA. Lactate dehydrogenaseelevating virus: biology and pathogenesis. Crit Rev Microbiol 1989; 17: 107-1 19.
- 22. LI X, HU B, HARTY J, EVEN C, PLAGEMANN PGW. Polyclonal B cell activation of IgG₂^a and IgG₂^b production by infection of mice with lactate dehydroge-

nase elevating virus is partly dependent on CD4+ lymphocytes. Viral Immunol 1990; 3: 273-288.

- 23. ROWSON KEK, MAHY WJ. Lactate dehydrogenase-elevating virus. ^J Gen Virol 1985; 66: 2297-2312.
- 24. COUTELIER JP, VAN SNICK J. Isotypically restricted activation of B lymphocytes by lactate dehydrogenase virus. Eur J Immunol 1985; 15: 250-255.
- 25. COUTELIER JP, COULIE PG, WAUTERS P, HEREMANS H, VAN-DER-LOGT JT. In vivo polyclonal

B-lymphocyte activation elicited by murine viruses. J Virol 1990; 64: 5383-5388.

- 26. CAFRUNY WA, PLAGEMANN PGW. Immune response to lactate dehydrogenaseelevating virus: serologically specific rabbit neutralizing antibody to the virus. Infect Immun 1982; 37: 1007-1012.
- 27. McDONALD TL, DONNELY T, WEBER A, QUENETTE L. Antibody classes and subclasses in circulating immune complexes isolated from mice infected with lactic dehydrogenase virus. Immunology 1983; 48: 511-517.