CLIX. THE SULPHUR CONTENT OF FOODS

BY MARGERY MASTERS AND ROBERT ALEXANDER McCANCE

From the Biochemical Department, King's College Hospital, London, and the Department of Medicine, Cambridge

(Received 17 June 1939)

Much information about the chemical composition of human foods has been collected in recent years, but there are still some wide gaps in our knowledge. Of all the systematic food tables which have been published, only those of Rosedale [1935], Sherman [1937] and possibly Schall [1938] give any original data about sulphur. Of these, Schall's figures are incomplete and sometimes uncertain, and Rosedale used a method [Morris & Rosedale, 1935] which other workers have condemned. Sherman's figures refer mostly to American foods. A search in the original literature for information about the amount of S in foodstuffs has confirmed the paucity of reliable systematic data. Schulz [1893;1894] appears to have made the first investigation, which is now only of historic interest. Katz [1896] made a limited but very accurate study of muscular tissue. Balland [1907] and Sherman & Gettler [1912] made more extended investigations. More recently Kemmerer & Boutwell [1932] have determined S in 96 American foodstuffs. Their method seems to have been a reliable one, but unfortunately only one sample of each food was analysed. Stotz [1937] analysed 32 different plant and animal substances but he limited the value of his work by expressing the results only as percentages of the dry matter. Echevin & Crepin [1928], Frear [1930], Bertrand & Silberstein [1933, 1, 2; 1936; 1937], Schild & Jacob [1935], Painter & Franke [1936] and Balks & Wehrmann [1937] have determined S in various vegetables and plants. In recent years, since it has been shown that the addition of S or cystine to the diet of sheep improves both growth and wool production, many estimations of S in pasture grass and animal feeding stuffs have been made [Evans, 1931; Woodman & Evans, 1933; Warth & Krishnan, 1937].

Quite a number of authors have determined S in single substances. Thus S in milk has been studied by Steffen & Sullman [1931], Lachmann [1934], Kagi [1937] and Revol & Paccard [1937], and in eggs by Grossfield & Walter [1934] and Marlow & King [1936]. Friese [1929] and Sabalitschka [1931] made a detailed analysis of mushrooms, Le Matte et al. [1927] of animal organs used therapeutically, Morse [1929] of cranberries, Cleveland & Fellers [1932] of dates, and Wendt & Wilkinson [1931] and Steudel [1935] of lettuce and potato ash respectively. In each of these cases S was among the elements determined.

Of all these studies none has embraced the composition of foods as prepared for human consumption and few of them have yielded information of much value to those interested in human nutrition. For this reason it has been felt to be desirable to investigate and compare the relative values of a number of methods for estimating S in biological material, and then to make a systematic study of S in foods eaten in this country.

Methods, source of materials and sampling

Dried, mixed samples of nearly all the fruits, vegetables and nuts which had previously been analysed for other constituents by McCance et al. [1936] had been sealed up, and were available for analysis. The purchase and preparation of

these samples have already been fully described by these authors. S in certain vegetables must be determined on the fresh material (vide infra) and new samples of these foods were purchased from different shops, mixed and analysed without being dried. A number of food materials, notably the cereals, were being analysed concurrently for other constituents by McCance & Widdowson [1939]. The collection and sampling of these were carried out in a manner similar to that described for fruit and vegetables. Special purchases of meat and fish had to be made. At least three samples of every variety to be analysed were procured and equal portions of each were mixed and dried before any estimations were made.

S was determined in most instances by a hydrogenation method, though destructive oxidation of the organic matter with a nitric-perchloric acid mixture, followed by a gravimetric estimation of the resulting sulphates as BaSO₄ was used in some cases, particularly for the analysis of undried material. Both methods have been described in detail by Masters [1939].

Water was determined by drying to constant weight at 50° or 100°, and N by Kjeldahl's method [McCance et al. 1936] using a copper selenite catalyst.

Volatile S compounds

It may safely be said that S in most foods is present as cystine, methionine and stable organic and inorganic sulphates. Bertrand & Silberstein [1929], however, analysed a number of vegetables before and after drying them at 100° and found that onions lost S when so dried. They considered that this was due to the volatilization of essential oils containing S and suggested that the members of the Cruciferae and Liliaceae should be analysed for S in the wet state. Balks & Wehrmann [1937] have also pointed out that onions and horseradish lose S on being dried at 105° . They suggested that this was due to the volatility of allyl mustard oil, C_3H_6CNS , which these plants contain.

Blanck et al. [1937] extended these researches a little further. Beet leaves and horseradish were analysed fresh, after being dried at room temperature and at 80–100°. The leaves were found to lose no S, but the horseradish did so whichever way it was dried; as much as 33% disappeared during drying at 80–100°. 10% of the S in white cabbage was found to be volatile. Potatoes were held to lose no S during the process of being dried in the air.

Similar results were obtained by Rippel & Nabel [1937]. Chives, onions, leeks, horseradish, oatseed and asparagus were analysed fresh, and after being dried at 100°. The first four substances are said to contain mustard oil, though only leeks and horseradish were found to lose S on being dried. These losses, moreover, were reduced by a preliminary drying period in the air. No losses were detected when the plants were dried at room temperature.

Table I shows the effect of drying 28 representative foods at 50° for 48 hr. It will be observed that fruit, nuts, cereals, meat and beer lost no S. Fish lost small quantities, probably because it had not been purchased really fresh. Vegetables varied very much. Onions, horseradish, and mustard and cress lost large amounts of S, due no doubt to the presence in them of volatile essential oils. Watercress, savoy cabbage and brussels sprouts also lost some S. Some plants, e.g. cabbage, contain sulphur glucosides, the best known of which is sinigrin. This substance is hydrolysed by myrosin, dilute HCl or more slowly by boiling water, to glucose, KHSO₄ and allyl mustard oil [Simpson & Halliday, 1928]. The last is volatile and any which had been formed would be removed on drying at 50°. If, however, sinigrin is the source of the volatile S in cabbage, it is curious that boiled and raw cabbage lost the same amount of S when they were dried. Desiccation did not remove S in significant amounts from parsnips,

potatoes, peas or lentils. Vegetables, therefore, which it was thought might contain volatile, or potentially volatile S compounds, were analysed in the wet state, the others were analysed dry.

Table I. Effect of drying on the S content of foods

Substance Cereals	Determined after drying mg. S/100 g. or 100 ml. fresh material	Determined on fresh material mg. S/100 g. or 100 ml. fresh material
Pearl barley Semolina	124·5 138·0	121·5 142·3
Drinks		
Beer	23.8	23.3
Fish		
Cod, raw Plaice, raw	$224.0 \\ 210.5$	215·0 200·0
Meat		
Mutton chop, raw Steak, raw	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{237 \cdot 0} \\ \mathbf{217 \cdot 0} \end{array}$	233·0 216·0
Fruit and nuts		
Apples Bananas Brazil nuts	3.85 14.4 339.0	3.73 14.9 337.0
Vegetables		
Brussels sprouts, boiled Cabbage, savoy I, raw Cabbage, savoy II, raw	97.5 204.0 115.0	89·2 174·0 96·7
Cabbage, savoy II, boiled	64.2	54.5
Cauliflower, boiled	76.5	79.7
Horseradish, raw	269.0	191.0
Leeks, boiled	90.0	89.0
Lentils, boiled	49·1 13·7	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{48.0} \\ \textbf{15.5} \end{array}$
Lettuce, raw Mustard and cress, raw	170.5	89.0
Onions, raw	53.7	36·7
Onions, spring, raw	70.0	47.5
Parsnips, raw	23.2	$22 \cdot 2$
Peas, boiled	82.2	80.3
Potatoes, raw	36.2	$34 \cdot 2$
Radishes, raw Watercress, raw	46·7 61·6	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{42.7} \\ \textbf{52.8} \end{array}$

$Nitrogen: sulphur\ ratios$

N had been determined by McCance et al. [1936] on all the mixed dried samples of fruits, vegetables and nuts, and an inspection of the N/S ratios has shown that they vary widely from one plant to another. This is probably to be attributed in most instances to differences in the composition of the proteins, but it may well be due to the variations in the inorganic constitution of those fruits with very little N or S in them. Table II gives the results for a few fruits and vegetables and shows the degree of variation. A study of 14 vegetables showed that the ratios were not altered appreciably by cooking. Four typical results are included in Table II. N was determined on all the mixed samples of meat and fish and the ratio was found to be relatively constant for any one class of material. The figures are given in Table IV. This constancy has a practical application for it seems unnecessary for dietary work to determine S in the muscular organs of a large number of animals and fish if N has already been

determined; S may be obtained quite accurately enough for all practical purposes from the average N/S ratio. Moreover, the ratio is unaffected by cooking (Table IV), and hence the S in cooked meat or fish may be calculated from the N of the cooked material and the N/S ratio of the raw material. The figures given by McCance & Widdowson [1939] for S in cooked meat and fish were obtained in this way.

Table II. The N/S ratios of fruits, nuts and vegetables

Substance	N/S	Substance	N/S	Substance	N/S
Apples, English, eating	$5 \cdot 1$	Artichokes, Jerusalem, boiled	11.6	Beans, haricot, raw	20.5
Greengages	40.0	Peas, fresh	18.4	Beans, haricot, boiled	23.0
Oranges	14.0	Spring cabbage, boiled	6.7	Beans, scarlet runner, raw	12.8
Strawberries	7.5	Onions, raw	3.0	Beans, scarlet runner, boiled	12.7
Brazil nuts	7.8	Onions, boiled	3.8	Swedes, raw	4.6
Walnuts	19.3	Onions, fried	$3 \cdot 3$	Swedes, boiled	4.6

Visceral organs, the elasmobranch fish and shellfish naturally fall into a different category. The ratio for elasmobranchs (e.g. dogfish) is probably high because of their relatively high non-protein N, whereas that for shellfish is always very much lower than the average figure for fish, possibly because the former are contaminated with sulphate from the sea water.

Results

These are given in Tables III and IV. The figures are expressed as mg./100 g. or 100 ml. of fresh matter (edible portion), and except where stated to the contrary, the results refer to raw materials. The percentage of water is not given in Table III, nor is the percentage of N, since neither was determined in this investigation. For this information reference can be made to McCance & Widdowson [1939]. McCance & Shipp [1933] or McCance et al. [1936] may be consulted for the scientific nomenclature of all the foodstuffs.

Discussion

In fruits and most vegetables the amount of S is low and very variable. The figures given were obtained on good mixed samples, but the individual scattering is large, and there may be wide differences between the amounts of S found in the same vegetable purchased on different occasions. This is no doubt partly due to the use of different manures or fertilizers [Davidson & Le Clerc, 1936; Bertrand & Silberstein, 1937]. Nevertheless, the S found in dried apricots and peaches is very much higher than an analysis of the fresh fruit would lead one to expect, and some of the S has probably been added in the form of SO_2 as a preservative [Leach & Winton, 1920]. The high figure for carrageen moss is probably due to large quantities of S in the form of the inorganic SO_4 — radicle. This was the only material analysed in which the sulphate was the main acidic radicle.

The amount of S in meat is very much greater than that in vegetables and very much more constant for the different species.

A comparison of the present results with those of previous workers is rather unsatisfactory, owing to the inherent variability of so many of the foods and the uncertainty as to much of the sampling. Moreover, a study of the tables published by Schall [1938], Sherman [1937] and Kemmerer & Boutwell [1932] shows that there are large variations between the figures given for nearly every food analysed by these authors.

Table III. The S content of foods other than meat and fish. Edible portions only have been analysed

	s s	en unaigeeu	Q
Name	S, mg./100 g.	Name	S, mg./100 g
FRUITS, RAW	8-/8-	Nuts	8-/ 8
Apples, Empire, eating	3.7	Almonds	145.0
Apples, English, eating	7.6	Barcelonas	176.0
Apples, English, cooking	2.9	Brazils	293.0
Apricots	$6.1 \\ 19.4$	Chestnuts	29.4
Avocado pears Bananas	13.0	Cobs	74·5
Blackberries	12.5	Coconuts Coconut milk	44·0 23·8
Cherries, eating	6.8	Peanuts	377·0
Cherries, cooking	7.9	Walnuts	104.0
Cranberries	11.1	VEGETABLES	
Currants, black	$33.1 \\ 28.6$	Artichokes, globe, boiled	15.5
Currants, red Currants, white	23·6	Artichokes, Jerusalem, boiled	21.6
Custard apples	26·7	Asparagus, boiled	46.6
Damsons	6.4	Beans, baked	50.7
Figs, green	12.9	Beans, broad, boiled	27.0
Gooseberries, green	15.9	Beans, butter, raw	109.5
Gooseberries, ripe	13.5	Beans, butter, boiled	47.2
Grapes, black	7.4	Beans, French, boiled	8·3 166·5
Grapes, white	9.1	Beans, haricot, raw Beans, haricot, boiled	46.3
Grapefruit Grapegagaga	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 5\cdot 1} \\ {\bf 3\cdot 0} \end{array}$	Beans, runner, raw	14.1
Greengages Lemons, whole	12.3	Beans, runner, boiled	9.5
Lemon juice	2.0	Beetroot, boiled	$22 \cdot 1$
Loganberries	18.1	Broccoli tops, boiled	45.0
Medlars	16.6	Brussels sprouts, boiled	77.8
Melons, cantaloupe	11.7	Cabbage, red, raw	68.0
Melons, yellow	6.3	Cabbage, savoy, boiled	$30.4 \\ 26.7$
Mulberries	8·8 10·0	Cabbage, spring, boiled Cabbage, winter, boiled	23.4
Nectarines Oranges	9.0	Carrageen moss, dried	5460.0
Orange juice	4.6	Carrots, old, raw	6.9
Passion fruit	18.7	Carrots, old, boiled	5.0
Peaches	5.7	Carrots, young, boiled	9.3
Pears, Empire, eating	5.6	Cauliflower, boiled	29.4
Pears, English, eating	2.7	Celeriac, boiled	$12.8 \\ 14.9$
Pears, English, cooking	$\begin{array}{c} 3.4 \\ 2.6 \end{array}$	Celery, raw Celery, boiled	8.3
Pineapple Plums, Victoria, dessert	2.0 3.5	Chicory, raw	12.7
Plums, cooking	4.6	Cucumber, raw	11.0
Pomegranate juice	$\overline{4}\cdot2$	Egg plant, raw	9.0
Quinces	5.2	Endive, raw	25.7
Raspberries	17.3	Horseradish, raw	212.0
Rhubarb	8.2	Leeks, boiled Lentils, raw	$48.9 \\ 122.5$
Strawberries Tangerines	$\begin{array}{c} 13.4 \\ 10.3 \end{array}$	Lentils, boiled	37.3
Tomatoes	10.3	Lettuce, raw	11.8
_	10 7	Marrow, boiled	5.5
FRUITS, DRIED Apricots	164.0	Mushrooms, raw	33.8
Currants	30.8	Mushrooms, fried	73.8
Dates	51.0	Mustard and cress, raw	170.0
Figs	80.8	Onions, raw Onions, boiled	$50.7 \\ 23.7$
Peaches	240.0	Onions, fried	87·8
Prunes	18.5	Onions, spring, raw	50.0
Raisins	23.0	Parsnips, raw	16.5
Sultanas	44.3	Parsnips, boiled	14.6
TINNED FRUIT	• •	Peas, fresh, raw	50.0
Tinned apricots	1.0	Peas, fresh, boiled	43.5
Tinned fruit salad Tinned loganberries	$\frac{1.8}{3.0}$	Peas, dried, raw	129.0
Tinned loganderries Tinned peaches	3·0 1·0	Peas, dried, boiled Peas, split, dried, raw	$\begin{array}{c} 39.0 \\ 166.0 \end{array}$
Tinned peaches Tinned pears	1.3	Peas, split, dried, raw Peas, split, dried, boiled	45.7
Tinned pineapple	2.7	Peas, tinned	43.9
Bottled olives (in brine)	35.6	Potatoes, old, raw	34.6

Table III (cont.)

	S,		S,
Name	mg./100 g.	Name	mg./100 g.
VEGETABLES (cont.)		DAIRY PRODUCTS (cont.)	
Potatoes, old, boiled	$22 \cdot 2 \\ 44 \cdot 7$	Cream	$33.0 \\ 164.5$
Potatoes, old, chips Potatoes, old, roast	56·3	Egg yolk Egg white	182.5
Potatoes, new, boiled	24.3	Ice cream	30.6
Pumpkin, raw	9.5	Milk, fresh, whole	29.2
Radishes, raw	$\begin{array}{c} 37.5 \\ 25.2 \end{array}$	Milk, cond., unsweetened	$\begin{array}{c} 75.0 \\ 82.5 \end{array}$
Salsify, boiled Seakale, boiled	52·0	Milk, cond., sweetened Milk, cond., skimmed, sweetened	94.3
Spinach, boiled	86.5		010
Spring greens, boiled	28.5	SWEETMEATS, JAMS, ETC.	07.0
Swedes, raw	39·1	Chocolate, milk Chocolate, plain	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{67.0} \\ \textbf{32.0} \end{array}$
Swedes, boiled Sweet potatoes, boiled	$30.5 \\ 14.9$	Cherries, glacé	21.0
Tomatoes, fried	9.2	Honey	0.8
Turnips, raw	22.1	Honeycomb	0.8
Turnips, boiled	21.2	Jam (edible seeds)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{6.5} \\ \textbf{3.2} \end{array}$
Turnip tops, boiled	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 39.0} \\ {\bf 127.0} \end{array}$	Jam (stone fruits) Jelly, packet	36·6
Watercress, raw	1270	Marmalade	$2 \cdot 1$
CEREALS AND STARCH PRODUCTS	100.0	Mincemeat	28.4
All bran, Kellogg's Arrowroot	$182.0 \\ 1.6$	Sugar, Demerara	14·0
Barley, pearl	117.0	Syrup, golden Treacle, black	53·8 68·5
Biscuits:		_	00 0
Cream crackers	77·8	BEVERAGES	240.0
Digestive Plain mixed	$\begin{array}{c} 72.0 \\ 83.4 \end{array}$	Bournvita Bovril	$243.0 \\ 362.0$
Rusks	107.0	Cocoa	160·0
Sweet mixed	31.8	Coffee	110.0
Water	99.9	Malted milk, Horlick's	167.0
Bread:	50.4	Marmite	$382.0 \\ 183.0$
Currant Hovis	$\begin{array}{c} 59 \cdot 4 \\ 77 \cdot 3 \end{array}$	Ovaltine Oxo	321.0
Malt	114.5	Tea	177·0
White	54.5	Virol ,	82.9
Wholemeal	76·0	Beers:	20.2
Buns, currant	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{73 \cdot 4} \\ \mathbf{92 \cdot 5} \end{array}$	Pale ale, draught	$\begin{array}{c} 23 \cdot 2 \\ 23 \cdot 8 \end{array}$
Cornflakes, Kellogg's Cornflour	1.1	Pale ale, bottled Mild ale, draught	20.4
Doughnuts	$5\overline{6}\cdot\overline{4}$	Mild ale, bottled	$25 \cdot 2$
Dundee cake	55.0	Strong ale	34.1
Flour, white	$108.5 \\ 123.5$	Stout	$23 \cdot 1$
Flour, wholemeal Force	123·3 105·0	CONDIMENTS	
Grapenuts	145.0	Curry powder	86.0
Macaroni	95.0	Ground ginger	145.0
Oatmeal	155.0	Mustard Pepper	$\substack{1280\cdot0\\.99\cdot2}$
Post Toasties Rice	$83.0 \\ 78.5$	Salt: block	401.0
Ryvita	87.0	Table salt A	34.7
Sago	0.45	Table salt B	23.3
Semolina	91.8	Vinegar	18.6
Tapioca Vitamost	$\begin{array}{c} 3.5 \\ 93.2 \end{array}$	FATS	
Vitaweat	90.2	Dripping	$9 \cdot 2$
DAIRY PRODUCTS	0.1	Lard	24.8
Butter Cheese:	9.1	Margarine Suet	$\substack{12\cdot 1\\20\cdot 0}$
Cheddar	230.0	Suet	20-0
Dutch .	186.5	SAUSAGES AND PASTES	
Gorgonzola	177.0	Beef sausage, fried	163.0
Gruyère Packet	$206.0 \\ 321.0$	Black sausage Breakfast sausage	173·0 78·5
Packet Parmesan	251·0	Pork sausage, fried	95·0
St Ivel	186.0	Fish paste	185.0
Stilton	228.0	Meat paste	131.0

Table IV. The S content of flesh foods; edible portions only analysed

	Water,	S, mg./			Water,	S, mg./	
Name	g./100 g.	100 g.	N/S	Name	g./100 g	. 100 g.	N/S
MEATS				FISH (cont.)			
Beef, corned	55· 6	222	17.0	Dabs	76.3	227	12.3
Beef steak	$72 \cdot 6$	203	16.4	Dogfish*	72.4	132	17.4
Beef steak, fried	59.3	271	15.4	Eel	70.1	130	14.2
Beef steak, stewed	62.6	287	16.2	Fillet, smoked, boiled		249	13.1
Beef, topside	70.4	212	14.8	Haddock, fresh	80.2	226	12.0
Beef, topside, stewed		341	16.1	Haddock, smoked	73.4	221	14.7
Chicken, roast	47.2	232	14.9	Halibut, steamed	73.2	227	14.9
Duck, roast	42.6	395	13.8	Hake	80.3	164	16.1
Goose, roast	57.5	326	13.8	Herring	68.3	212	14.1
Ham, boiled	53.7	233	13.8	Herring, fried	55.3	270	13.5
Hare, roast		347		Herring's roe*	76.9	175	15.9
Lamb cutlet	65.3	166	15.1	Kippers	67.4	225	14.6
Mutton chop	65.6	197	15.5	Mackerel	70.1	162	16.3
Mutton, leg	$52 \cdot 4$	164	15.4	Plaice	81.0	203	12.1
Pheasant, baked	51.5	302	13.7	Plaice, fried	68.0	246	12.6
Pork, leg	66.3	195	16.1	Salmon	72.0	192	16.9
Rabbit	75 ·0	169	17.8	Salmon, tinned	67.3	241	14.6
Turkey, roast		234		Sardines, tinned in oil	53.6	246	12.3
Veal	$75 \cdot 0$	191	$15\cdot3$	Smelts	76.8	168	14.2
Average			15.2	Sprats, smoked	$62 \cdot 3$	222	15.2
2				Sole, Dover	$78 \cdot 4$	233	12.5
Organs				Sole, lemon	$79 \cdot 1$	195	13.6
Brain, sheep's	80.1	108	14.9	Trout, rainbow	77.7	169	17.2
Heart, sheep's	75.4	176	14.1	Turbot	80.0	188	14.1
Kidney, sheep's	$72 \cdot 1$	141	17.2	Whiting	79.9	257	10.9
Kidney, ox	76 ·0	154	17.5	Whitebait	78.9	208	11.6
Liver, calves'	66.8	264	11.1	Witch	$79 \cdot 1$	181	12.6
Liver, ox	69.0	263	11.8	Average			13.8
Sweetbread	71-1	98	20.0				100
\mathbf{Tripe}	81.6	103	20.6	Shellfish†			
TD				Cockles	65.7	286	5.6
Fish				Mussels	81.3	326	5.3
Bloaters	69.3	234	$12 \cdot 2$	Mussels, boiled	79.0	262	7.9
Brill	80.2	172	15.8	Prawns	66.3	335	9.9
Catfish	81.2	149	15.9	Scallops	79.6	342	6.5
Cod	$82 \cdot 6$	171	14·1	Shrimps	$59 \cdot 4$	339	11.2
Cod, baked	71.3	256	13.7	Whelks	75.9	401	6.6
Cod's roe	75·3	212	14-1	Winkles	75·1	265	6.5

^{*} Not included in average. •

The present figures for fruit are of the same order as those given by the above workers, although Schall's results tend to be higher. It is not possible to compare the amounts of S found in vegetables with the results of the other authors, because all their analyses have been made on raw materials. The figures for raw pulses, however, are lower than those of Sherman. An average value only is given for the S in meat and fish by Sherman, but Katz and Schall have analysed the muscular tissues of a limited number of species, and the figures given in the present paper are of the same order and often agree closely with the results obtained by these workers.

The present figure for milk $(29\cdot2$ mg. S/100 g.) agrees quite well with figures reported by other workers. Steffen & Sullman [1931] gave $30\cdot52$ mg., Revol & Paccard [1937] $27\cdot44$ mg., Schall [1938] $39\cdot6$ mg., Sherman [1937] 34 mg. and Kemmerer & Boutwell [1932] 28 mg.

Schall appears to have been the only one to have analysed different varieties of cheese, and the present authors' results agree with his findings, excepting where his figures are uncertain.

[†] Analysed as purchased.

The yolk and white of eggs have been analysed separately, and the values obtained were 164 and 182 mg. S/100 g. respectively. These are in agreement with the findings of Kemmerer & Boutwell [1932], but are lower than those of Grossfield & Walter [1934]. The latter calculated the S in yolk and white from the most reliable figures available for the protein constituents of these substances. In this way they found yolk contained 201 mg. S/100 g. and white 216 mg. S/100 g. which agree well with the figures of 222 and 212 which they obtained on analysis. Schall, however, gives 176 and 276 mg. S/100 g. for yolk and white respectively, and Sherman gives an average figure of 204 mg. 100 g. for S in the whole egg.

Table V. The amount of S found in cooked dishes, as determined by calculation and analysis

,	S calculated $mg./100 g.$	S found mg./100 g.
Apple charlotte	12.4	14.0
Fish pie	105.0	108.5
Macaroni cheese	68.0	64.0
Queen cakes	81.0	72·0

As a general test of the reliability and applicability of the figures given in Tables III and IV, four cooked dishes were prepared as they would be normally for human consumption. The ingredients were not "mixed samples". The recipes were known and from these and the change of weight on cooking the S in the cooked dish was calculated, using the data in Tables III and IV. The food was also analysed and it will be seen from Table V that the amount of S found on analysis agrees satisfactorily with the calculated figure.

SUMMARY

- 1. Drying introduces errors into the determination of S in certain vegetables owing to the presence in them of volatile or potentially volatile S compounds.
 - 2. Figures are given for the total S found in about 300 different foodstuffs.
- 3. The N/S ratio has been shown to be relatively constant for all muscular organs. The average figure for meat is 15·3 and for fish, 13·8. It is lower than this in all shellfish, and varies from one visceral organ to another. The ratio varies widely in different plants so that generalization about them is not justified.
- 4. The results have been compared very briefly with those of previous authors.

The Medical Research Council paid for part of the expenses of this investigation. M. M. is also indebted to the Council for a personal grant.

REFERENCES



Cleveland & Fellers (1932). Industr. Engng Chem. Anal. Ed. 4, 267.

Davidson & Le Clerc (1936). J. Nutrit. 11, 55.

Echevin & Crepin (1928). Bull. Soc. Chim. biol., Paris, 10, 1248.

Evans (1931). J. agric. Sci. 21, 806.

Frear (1930). J. biol. Chem. 86, 285.

Friese (1929). Z. Lebensmitt. Untersuch. 57, 606.

Grossfield & Walter (1934). Z. Lebensmitt. Untersuch. 67, 510.

Kagi (1937). Mitt. Geb. Lebensmitt. Hyg. 28, 253.

Katz (1896). Pflüg. Arch. ges. Physiol. 63, 1.

Kemmerer & Boutwell (1932). Industr. Engng Chem. Anal. Ed. 4, 423.

Lachmann (1934). Biederm. Zbl. B. Tierernährung, 6, 421.

Leach & Winton (1920). Food Inspection and Analysis, p. 1002. New York: J. Wiley and Son.

Le Matte, Boinot & Kahane (1927). J. Pharm. Chim. 5, 325.

Marlow & King (1936). Poultr. Sci. 15, 377.

Masters (1939). Biochem. J. 33, 1313.

McCance & Shipp (1933). Spec. Rep. Ser. med. Res. Coun., Lond., no. 187.

---- Widdowson & Shackleton (1936). Spec. Rep. Ser. med. Res. Coun., Lond., no. 213.

—— & Widdowson (1939). Spec. Rep. Ser. med. Res. Coun., Lond., no. 235.

Morris & Rosedale (1935). Malayan Med. J. 10, 1.

Morse (1929). J. biol. Chem. 81, 77.

Painter & Franke (1936). J. biol. Chem. 114, 235.

Revol & Paccard (1937). C.R. Soc. Biol., Paris, 126, 25.

Rippel & Nabel (1937). Bodenk. Pflanzenernähr. 6, 64.

Rosedale (1935). Chemical Analysis of Malayan Foods. Singapore.

Sabalitschka (1931). Z. Ernähr. 1, 117.

Schall (1938). Nahrungsmitteltabelle zur Aufstellung und Berechnung von Diätverordnungen.

Leipzig: Curt Kabitzsch. Schild & Jacob (1935). Wschr. Brau, 52, 273.

Schulz (1893). Pflüg. Arch. ges. Physiol. 54, 555.

— (1894). Pflüg. Arch. ges. Physiol. 56, 203.

Sherman (1937). Chemistry of Food and Nutrition. New York: Macmillan.

—— & Gettler (1912). J. biol. Chem. 11, 323.

Simpson & Halliday (1928). J. Home Economics, 20, 121.

Steffen & Sullman (1931). Schweiz. med. Wschr. 61, 1114.

Steudel (1935). Dtsch. med. Wschr. 61, 872.

Stotz (1937). Bodenk. Pflanzenernähr. 6, 69.

Warth & Krishnan (1937). Indian J. vet. Sci. 7, 54.

Wendt & Wilkinson (1931). Proc. Iowa Acad. Sci. 38, 159.

Woodman & Evans (1933). J. agric. Sci. 23, 459.