Supplementary figure 1: Automatic annotation procedure which has been used for the *Acinetobacter baylyi* **ADP1 genome (1).**

The first step of our procedure uses reference annotation data of the *Escherichia coli* genome (2,3) (I). If a significant match is found with this set of data, functional description and functional classes, gene names and synonyms are kept. Results from HAMAP functional assignations are then considered. For each well-defined (sub)family, a rule system describes the level and extent of annotations that can be assigned by similarity with a prototype manually-annotated entry (II). If no HAMAP family is assigned, pairwise comparisons with curated annotations of model organisms (such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, or other related bacterial genomes) are evaluated (III). If no orthology relation exists, the program explores results against two protein domain databanks: TIGRFAMs (4) and Pfam (5). A hit is retained if the score is above the cutoff defined for each Hidden Markov Model (HMM)*.* Priority is first given to TIGRFAMs results, and then, to those of Pfam (IV). In case of multiple noneoverlapping HMM hit results, a modular protein annotation using the "multifunctional protein" keywords is created, as well as a concatenation of the different domain descriptions. If no valuable HMM hit exists, the blastP results against UNIPROT (6) are evaluated given priority to the curated Swiss-Prot annotations (IV). Only full-length matches with a high percent identity are considered and retained as a definitive or putative assignation. In all cases, assignation of Gene Ontology terms (7) is directly obtained from the InterProScan results and PRIAM results (8) are used to assign EC number(s) to genes described as (putative) enzymes (V). Finally, if the selected UNIPROT match is described as a "(conserved) hypothetical protein", PRIAM results (if any) are checked to assign the description of the putative corresponding enzymatic function. If no PRIAM results exist, the predicted protein is annotated as a "conserved hypothetical protein". A protein with no blastP, HMM, or PRIAM matches remains a protein of unknown function. To complete the annotation, a (conserved) hypothetical protein is considered as "putative membrane protein" if at least three alpha-helical transmembrane regions have been retrieved by the tmHMM program (9), or as a "putative exported protein" if a signal peptide has been predicted by the SignalP program (10) (VI).

Supplementary figure 2: Ordering supercontigs with synteny results.

Reference genome

Two strategies relying on synteny results are used in MaGe to find one (or several) possible supercontig organizations of a draft genome.

A. A distance in bases is determined between two supercontigs in comparison with a

genome and the minimal distance between pairs of supercontigs is then computed. If the distance is lower than a defined threshold, a link between the two supercontigs is retained. In this example, the link between supercontigs S1 and S4 and the one between S3 and S2 are kept. The S3 begin (b3) matches with the S2 begin (b2), so the reverse sequence of S3 can be associated with S2.

B. The second method also uses synteny results. The draft genome is made of 9 supercontigs (C1 to C9) and it is compared to a reference genome. Dotplot points represent gene correspondences between the two genomes (e.g. blastP similarity results). Points inside a rectangle which have the same color, symbolize a synteny group. Guided by this representation, the user can then order the supercontigs and assign their relative orientation. In this example, the proposed order is the following: C6-/C7+/C8+/C1+/C5-/C2+/C9-/C3-/C4- (plus and minus symbols refer to direct and reverse orientations of the supercontig).

Supplementary figure 3: Structure of the MaGe web server

Starting from the 'Genome browser', users can navigate through web pages dealing with several functionalities and various aspects of annotations.

Supplementary figure 4: MaGe's gene editor

Gene Validation: CENIA1328 (current annotation made by muller)

Automatic Annotation: CENIA1328

TrEMBL alignments | SwissProt alignments

 \overline{P} All

-
- AMIGene (1 Results ordered by Begin)
- Duplications (O Results ordered by Eval)
- E. coli Ecogene (1 Results ordered by Eval)
- ▶ **B. subtilis** (1 Results ordered by Eval)
- Acinetobacter ADP1 (1 Results ordered by Eval)
- Syntonome (20 Results ordered by NbGeneQ)
- Syntonome RefSeq (33 Results ordered by NbGeneQ)
- > HAMAP (O Results ordered by H_id)
- Similarities SwissProt (10 Results ordered by Eval)
- Similarities TrEMBL (10 Results ordered by Eval)
- PRIAM EC number (1 Results ordered by Evidence)
- COGnitor (4 Results ordered by Score)
- InterProScan (20 Results ordered by IP_id)
- SignalP (0 Results ordered by SP_proba)
- TMhmm (0 Results ordered by TM_begin)

 \overline{P} All

The MaGe's gene editor is used in the context of expert annotation. It is made of three main sections: 1. the 'Gene Validation' section allows the user to modify, delete and add information. Several fields are mandatory such as 'Product', 'ProductType' (11), 'ECnumber', 'Roles' (*i.e.*, functional categories which have been chosen by the group of annotators), 'Localization' (cellular localization) and 'Class' (*i.e.*, known protein, strong similarity with known protein, no significant database hit, etc). Other fields are optional such as 'Comments' (free text), 'BioProcess' (biological processes), and 'PubmedID' (this field may contain the PubMed identification number(s) of any publication describing a biological function experimentally verified). Most of these fields are constrained by controlled vocabulary in order to provide annotation consistency and interoperability between genome annotation projects; 2. the 'Automatic Annotation' section contains the results from the automatic procedure described in the 'Automatic functional assignations' section; 3. the last section gives access to a summary of available tool results, including Blast alignments (see text). Primary information for the ORF CENIA1328 (*Cenibacterium arsenoxidans ligA* gene) is presented in separate tables. This includes gene prediction (AMIGene) and duplication results, similarity results against (i) annotation data from reference genomes (*E. coli*, *B. subtilis* and *Acinetobacter* ADP1), (ii) Swiss-Prot curated annotations and TrEMBL databank (only the ten best hits are kept), (iii) synteny results using PkGDB curated proteomes (about 100 to date) and complete prokaryotic genomes stored in the NCBI RefSeq section (about 240 to date). Other tables include enzymatic function predictions (PRIAM results), similarity results against COG (COGnitor), protein domain databanks (InterProScan). External links to useful Websites are provided, together with links to PubMed, KEGG, and the CeniCyc metabolic pathway(s) involving the encoded enzyme (EC 6.5.1.2 here, 'BioCyc' link).

A specific annotation can be saved using several statuses: 'in progress' (*i.e.*, the first step of expert work is not finished), 'finished' (*i.e.*, the first check of the automatic annotation is now complete), 'curated' (*i.e.*, the annotation has been modified during an expert analysis dedicated to biological process annotation). When a gene seems to be wrongly predicted, the user can select the 'Artefact' status (these genes are removed from the set of annotations before submission to public databanks). Finally, the 'CheckSeq' status is used when a sequence error is suspected (reads corresponding to these genes have to be checked for errors in the assembly).

Supplementary figure 5: MaGe data exploration.

Two screenshots of MaGe 'Exploration' functionality are shown as examples of the use of 'PhyloProfile/Synteny' search.

A. Selecting the 'PhyloProfile/Synteny' section, the user can search for genes of *Acinetobacter baumannii* AYE which are homologs to genes in certain organisms (*Acinetobacter* ADP1 and *A. baumannii* SDF) and exclude those that are homologs to genes in other organisms (*Psychrobacter* sp. 253-4, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *P. putida*).

B. The query output is a list of 545 *A. baummannii* AYE genes. The user can then explore gene groups which are specific to the Acinetobacter genus and have a same chromosomal organization (colored rectangles symbolize synteny groups)

Supplementary figure 6: Setting up a new annotation project: an example.

To set up a new annotation project (here the annotation of two new Bradyrhizobium species) the first step consists in gathering the available genomic sequences from organisms of interest in PkGDB. These sequences are submitted to various procedures (lozenges), which end with the

computation of synteny groups with the set of complete prokaryotic proteomes. A new thematic database is then created (here RhizoScope), the data of which are partly publicly available (*i.e.*, only data corresponding to genomes already stored in public DataBanks; blue colour of the word 'Scope'). As shown in this figure, some thematic databases are only accessible by the group of experts (*i.e.*, FrankiaScope, CloacaScope in red), and others are freely available (*i.e.*, YersiniaScope in blue). The RhizoScope database contains links to the BradyBTCyc and BradyORCyc metabolic databases which have been built using the BioCyc software. In addition we have recently integrated these metabolic data in the relational scheme of BioWareHouse (MySQL database system; http://bioinformatics.ai.sri.com/biowarehouse). The corresponding database (here RhizoCyc) is very useful for analysis of metabolic content of the compared genomes. Metabolic databases can be accessed at http://www.genoscope.cns.fr/agc/microcyc.

References

- 1. Barbe, V., Vallenet, D., Fonknechten, N., Kreimeyer, A., Oztas, S., Labarre, L., Cruveiller, S., Robert, C., Duprat, S., Wincker, P. *et al.* (2004) Unique features revealed by the genome sequence of Acinetobacter sp. ADP1, a versatile and naturally transformation competent bacterium. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **32**, 5766-5779.
- 2. Rudd, K.E. (2000) EcoGene: a genome sequence database for Escherichia coli K-12. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **28**, 60-64.
- 3. Serres, M.H., Goswami, S. and Riley, M. (2004) GenProtEC: an updated and improved analysis of functions of Escherichia coli K-12 proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **32**, D300-302.
- 4. Haft, D.H., Selengut, J.D. and White, O. (2003) The TIGRFAMs database of protein families. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **31**, 371-373.
- 5. Bateman, A., Coin, L., Durbin, R., Finn, R.D., Hollich, V., Griffiths-Jones, S., Khanna, A., Marshall, M., Moxon, S., Sonnhammer, E.L. *et al.* (2004) The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **32**, D138-141.
- 6. Bairoch, A., Apweiler, R., Wu, C.H., Barker, W.C., Boeckmann, B., Ferro, S., Gasteiger, E., Huang, H., Lopez, R., Magrane, M. *et al.* (2005) The Universal Protein Resource (UniProt). *Nucleic Acids Res*, **33**, D154-159.
- 7. Harris, M.A., Clark, J., Ireland, A., Lomax, J., Ashburner, M., Foulger, R., Eilbeck, K., Lewis, S., Marshall, B., Mungall, C. *et al.* (2004) The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics resource. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **32**, D258-261.
- 8. Claudel-Renard, C., Chevalet, C., Faraut, T. and Kahn, D. (2003) Enzyme-specific profiles for genome annotation: PRIAM. *Nucleic Acids Res*, **31**, 6633-6639.
- 9. Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G. and Sonnhammer, E.L. (2001) Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. *J Mol Biol*, **305**, 567-580.
- 10. Bendtsen, J.D., Nielsen, H., von Heijne, G. and Brunak, S. (2004) Improved prediction of signal peptides: SignalP 3.0. *J Mol Biol*, **340**, 783-795.
- 11. Serres, M.H. and Riley, M. (2000) MultiFun, a multifunctional classification scheme for Escherichia coli K-12 gene products. *Microb Comp Genomics*, **5**, 205-222.