# POTASSIUM ION ACCUMULATION AT THE EXTERNAL SURFACE OF THE NODAL MEMBRANE IN FROG MYELINATED FIBERS

## N. MORAN, Y. PALTI, E. LEVITAN, AND R. STÄMPFLI, Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Faculty of Medicine-Technion, Haifa, Israel

ABSTRACT Potassium accumulation associated with outward membrane potassium current was investigated experimentally in myelinated fibers and analyzed in terms of two models—three-compartment and diffusion in an unstirred layer. In the myelinated fibers, as in squid giant axons, the three-compartment model satisfactorily describes potassium accumulation. Within this framework the average space thickness,  $\theta$ , in frog was 5,900  $\pm$  700 Å, while the permeability coefficient of the external barrier,  $P_{K_x}$  was  $(1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$  cm/s. The model of ionic diffusion in an unstirred aqueous layer adjacent to the axolemma, as an alternative explanation for ion accumulation, was also consistent with the experimental data, provided that D, the diffusion constant, was  $(1.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}$  cm/s and l, the unstirred layer thickness, was  $1.4 \pm 0.1 \,\mu$ m, i.e., similar to the depth of the nodal gap. An empirical equation relating the extent of potassium accumulation to the amplitude and duration of depolarization is given.

The concentration of potassium ion at the outer surface of a number of excitable cells was shown to increase significantly during outward potassium current flow associated with membrane depolarization (13, 8, 9, 10, 25, 12, 19).

The rate of this potassium accumulation, which alters the ionic driving force, is similar to the rate of turn-on or turn-off of the potassium channel. Therefore, it often interferes with the analysis of the conductance kinetics of this channel (23, 11).

This potassium ion accumulation indicates that the fraction of membrane current, flowing through the membrane into the external "space," carried by potassium ions is greater than the corresponding fraction of the current flowing from the space into the bulk medium.

Two models were proposed to account for this phenomenon: (a) A three-compartment model consisting of the fiber, the external space and the bulk solution (13). The compartments are separated by two membranes: the excitable membrane and an external barrier or a functional membrane separating the external space from the bulk solution (14, 29, 4). The accumulation is due to the difference between the potassium ion transport numbers through the two membranes. (b) Unstirred layer model (13). Within this framework the potassium ions, which carry most of the outward going current, accumulate at the external membrane surface because of the relatively slow mixing of the contents of the aqueous layer adjacent to the membrane, with the bulk solution.

Analysis of the experimental data obtained from squid giant axons led Frankenhaeuser and Hodgkin (13) to the conclusion that the multicompartment model fits the data best. Using a number of simplifying assumptions, they solved analytically the three-compartment model

Dr. Stämpfli's address is the First Physiological Institute, Saarland University, Homburg, West Germany.

equation and estimated the thickness of the external space,  $\theta$ , in the squid (*Loligo forbesi*) to be ~300 Å and the apparent potassium permeability of the external barrier,  $P_{K_s}$ , to be ~6 ×  $10^{-5}$  cm/s. Adelman and Palti (2) (see also reference 22), computed numerically in detail the potassium ion accumulation in the space during voltage clamp depolarizations and estimated  $\theta = 360 \pm 130$  Å and  $P_{K_s} = (3.2 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-4}$  cm/s (*L. pealei*). The above values of  $\theta$  are in fair agreement with the anatomical thickness of the Schwann space seen in the squid between the axolemma and the Schwann cell layer. Indeed, the space thickness parameter,  $\theta$ , was shown to increase by bathing the axon in hypertonic media (3). Such a result is consistent with an increase in the perimeter of Schwann cells layer, i.e., with the widening of the anatomical space at the expense of osmotic shrinkage of the cells. It was, therefore, reasonable to assume that the potassium ion accumulation was indeed due to the anatomical structure of this preparation (4).

Potassium ion accumulation in the space has also been reported in *Myxicola* giant axons (10). However, the values of equivalent space thickness, which were estiamted here, on the basis of a set of restricting assumptions, were significantly larger ( $\theta = 2,240 \pm 740$  Å). This difference was attributed to an anatomical difference: a wider space and more loosely packed external sheath cells.

In contrast to the above giant axons there is no anatomical evidence for an existence of a similar continuous external barrier around the nodal membrane of myelinated fibers. Nevertheless, in a preliminary report, Palti et al. (25) described significant potassium accumulation in the voltage-clamped frog node. Dubois and Bergman (12) estimated the apparent space thickness at a specific node studied with a depolarization of 140 mV to be  $\sim$ 3,000 Å.

In view of the above, it is the purpose of this work to study and analyze the potassium ion concentration changes at the external membrane surface of the frog node.

## **METHODS**

#### **Experimental**

Single myelinated fibers, isolated from the frog *Rana esculenta*, were mounted and voltage-clamped as described by Nonner (20). The node was externally perfused with Ringer's solution containing 60–300 nM tetrodotoxin (TTX). The pH was adjusted to 7.4 by Tris buffer. The temperature was held constant at 15°C.

In between voltage clamp pulses, membrane potential was held at its resting value,  $V_{\rm H}$ . (All potentials are given relative to the resting potential, depolarization is positive, while hyperpolarization is in the negative direction.) At the end of each experiment the node was destroyed by a strong hyperpolarization and the absolute membrane potential,  $E_{M}$ , was determined.

The command voltage pulses were generated by a D/A converter under computer program control. Membrane currents were filtered by a 40-kHz low pass filter and sampled at 20  $\mu$ s intervals by means of a 10-bit A/D converter operating also under program control (23). The baseline current, sampled for 640  $\mu$ s before the beginning of the voltage pulses, was averaged and subtracted from all currents analyzed.

Leakage current was assumed to be carried mainly by potassium ions, (15) and was therefore included in the potassium membrane current. Note that Århem et al. (31) showed that at least close to the resting membrane potential, the fraction of membrane current carried by chloride ions is negligible. Since the internal solution in our preparation is close to isotonic KCl (23) and we are concerned only with outward currents, they must be carried mainly by potassium ions.

## Analytical

DETERMINATION OF  $V_{\rm K}$  The changes in space potassium concentration were estimated from the changes in  $V_{\rm K}$ , (the potassium reversal potential) determined as a function of the duration of the conditioning depolarization.

 $V_{\rm K}$  was determined by the "tail-current" method (16) i.e., by application of pairs of pulses, each consisting of a depolarizing conditioning prepulse,  $V_{pp}$ , immediately followed by a test pulse,  $V_p$ . The current elicited by the test pulse is termed the "tail" current.

The "zero-time-tail-current,"  $I_o$ , was obtained by extrapolating the initial (excluding the capacitive current) exponential portion of the "tail" current to zero time (instant of step from  $V_{pp}$  to  $V_p$ ). Interval between pairs was 2 s. Each prepulse, of a given amplitude and duration, was coupled with a number of test pulses, the amplitudes of which were chosen so as to generate potassium currents close to their reversal potential.

The initial values of the tail currents,  $I_o$ , are plotted as a function of test pulse potential,  $V_p$ , to give a series of instantaneous I-V curves in Fig. 1. The potentials at which these curves cross the abscissa are assumed to correspond to the  $V_K$  values at the end of each prepulse.

COMPUTATION OF SPACE PARAMETERS Within the framework of the three-compartment model two parameters define the ion accumulation in the space, namely,  $\theta$ , the space thickness, and  $P_{K,}$ , the apparent permeability of the external barrier.

Let  $\delta K_s$  denote the excess of potassium in the space over its concentration in the external bulk solution (in Molar).  $\delta K_s$  is given as a function of time, t, by means of the two parameters,  $\theta$  and  $P_{K_s}$ , by the following differential equation (3):

$$d\delta K_s/dt = \frac{I_K}{F} (1 - t_{K_s}) - P_{K_s} \delta K_s/\theta, \qquad (1)$$

where  $I_{\rm K}$  is the density of membrane current carried by K<sup>+</sup> ions (mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) (the current density for the myelinated fiber has been calculated using the measured fiber length, assuming the node area to be 50  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> (21) and the axoplasmic specific resistance 110  $\Omega$ cm),  $P_{\rm K_s}$  the apparent permeability of the external barrier (cm/s),  $\theta$  the space thickness (cm),  $t_{\rm K_s}$  the transport number of K<sup>+</sup> in the solution within the space, given by:  $t_{\rm K_s} = K_s / \Sigma[\text{anion}]_s + [\text{cations}]_s)$ , and F the Faraday constant.

When potassium accumulation in space reaches steady state,  $d\delta K_s/dt = 0$ , and assuming  $\theta \neq 0$ ,  $P_{K_s}$  can be calculated directly, on the basis of Eq. 1, using the following relationship:

$$P_{\mathrm{K}_{s}} = \frac{I_{\mathrm{K}_{s}}(1-t_{\mathrm{K}_{s}})}{F\,\delta\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ss}}},\tag{2}$$

the subscript ss denoting steady state values in the space. On the other hand, both parameters,  $\theta$  and  $P_{K_s}$ , can be evaluated simultaneously from the time courses of potassium current,  $I_K$ , and the corresponding concentration changes in the space,  $\delta K_s$ . This was done by finding the minimum of  $\Phi$ ; the sum of squares of deviations from zero of the integrated form of the material balance equation (Eq. 1) with respect to  $\theta$  and  $P_{K_s}$  (27):

$$\Phi = \sum_{t_{u}}^{t_{2}} = (\Delta^{2} u_{t_{1}+2.25})^{2}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $\Delta_u$  is defined as:

$$\Delta_{u} = \theta(\delta \mathbf{K}_{s_{t_{u}}} - \delta \mathbf{K}_{s_{t_{1}}}) - \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{u}} \left[ \frac{I_{\mathbf{K}}}{F} (1 - t_{\mathbf{K}_{s}}) - P_{\mathbf{K}_{s}} \delta \mathbf{K}_{s} \right] \mathrm{d}t, \qquad (4)$$

where  $t_1$ , the shortest prepulse duration used, was between 1 and 3 ms;  $t_2$ , prepulse duration, was between 12 and 15 ms (both  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are within the transient of accumulation);  $t_u$  is a variable increasing by  $\Delta t$  from the initial value of  $t_1 + 2.25$  ms, up to  $t_2$ ;  $\Delta t$  had the following values: 0.15 ms up to  $t_u = 5$  ms, 0.3 ms up to  $t_u = 10$  ms, and thereafter 1.0 ms.

MORAN ET AL. Potassium Ion Accumulation in Myelinated Fibers



FIGURE 1 Instantaneous *I-V* relationships for different depolarizing prepulse durations,  $t_{pp}$ . The points correspond to amplitude of zero-time-tail-currents,  $I_o$ , elicited when membrane potential was stepped from a prepulse,  $V_{pp}$ , of 100 mV to various test-pulses,  $V_p$ . Values of prepulse duration,  $t_{pp}$ , (in milliseconds) are given adjacent to each line. (*Inset*)  $V_K$  shift as a function of  $t_{pp}$ ; symbols denote zero-cross-over points of the instantaneous *I-V* relationships. Fiber 4973.

# RESULTS

Fig. 1 illustrates the changes in the potassium reversal potential,  $V_{\rm K}$ , indicated by the zero-crossover-points of the instantaneous *I-V* curves, as a function of conditioning depolarization duration,  $t_{pp}$  (see inset). As depolarization lengthens,  $V_{\rm K}$  becomes more positive, indicating growing potassium concentration outside the membrane. This is assuming that internal K<sup>+</sup> remains constant because, owing to the high  $[K_{in}]/[K_o]$ , the larger axoplasmic potassium transport number and larger axoplasmic area available for diffusion, any change in the potassium concentration would produce a much larger  $V_{\rm K}$  shift when it occurs on the outside.

Fig. 1 also shows potassium conductance,  $G_{\rm K}$ , as reflected by the slope of the instantaneous *I-V* curves. The conductance increases at least during the first 5–10 ms, while the outward currents often begin to decay already after 5 ms. Therefore, the decrease in current at the said time, which occurs while  $G_{\rm K}$  increases, must be due to the decrease in driving force rather than to a potassium conductance inactivation.

Fig. 2 compares the shifts of  $V_{\rm K}$ , accompanying an outward current during membrane depolarization in two different types of nerve fibers: a giant axon of the squid and a



FIGURE 2  $V_{\rm k}$  shifts in frog node and squid giant axon during comparable depolarizing pulses,  $V_{pp}$ , as a function of prepulse duration,  $t_{pp}$ . ( $\Delta$ ) myelinated fiber of frog (fiber 5373,  $V_{pp} = 70 \text{ mV}$ ); ( $\Box$ ) giant axon of squid (from Fig. 2 *a* of Palti et al., 1972;  $E_{\rm H} = -59 \text{ mV}$ ,  $V_{pp} = 65 \text{ mV}$ ).

myelinated fiber of the frog. In both, similar depolarizing pulses (65–70 mV) evidently result in a comparable increase in potassium concentration at the external surface of the membrane. This potassium concentration can be computed from  $V_{\rm K}$  by means of the Nernst relationship. As both ends of the frog fiber were cut short and immersed in 117 mM KCl solution for over 20 min, the inner potassium concentration for the node was assumed to be 117 mM (24). In this particular example, after a conditioning depolarization of 65–70 mV the changes of  $V_{\rm K}$ correspond to an ~8- to 10-fold change in external K<sup>+</sup> concentration, i.e., from 2.5 to 21.5 mM in the frog and from 10 to ~96 mM in the squid. (The experimental  $V_{\rm K}$  values used here were taken from reference 22.) This accumulation process is subsequently analyzed in terms of both the three-compartment and diffusion-in-an-unstirred-layer models.

# The Apparent Permeability of the External Barrier

The apparent permeability of the external barrier,  $P_{K_i}$ , can be determined by means of Eq. 2 from steady state potassium accumulation, or (together with the space thickness,  $\theta$ ) from the transients in potassium current and potassium accumulation using a minimization procedure (see Methods).

The values of  $P_{K,}$ , measured for different depolarizations in myelinated fibers in steady state, are listed in Table I.

The mean  $P_{\rm K}$  value, obtained for depolarization of 40 mV, is significantly lower than those obtained for depolarizations of 70 and 100 mV in the same fibers. For other potentials, judging by the mean  $P_{K_i}$  values of all fibers in each case, the permeability of the external barrier seems unaffected by the magnitude of membrane depolarization. However, since at least two potentials were tested in each fiber, the comparison could be carried out in each fiber separately, using the correlated-pairs test. This test shows that the  $P_{\rm K}$  values, obtained during depolarization of 50 mV (1.3  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup> cm/s on the average) are smaller by (0.81  $\pm$  0.38)  $\times$  $10^{-2}$  cm/s and (1.00 ± 0.52) ×  $10^{-2}$  cm/s, as compared with those obtained for depolarization of 125 and 175 mV, respectively. (These and subsequent deviations are SEM.) The level of significance of these differences being nonzero is 2.5% and 10%, respectively. The same test also shows that the  $P_{\rm K}$ , values, obtained during depolarization of 40 mV (1.2  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup> cm/s on the average) are smaller by  $(0.42 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-2}$  cm/s and by  $(0.29 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-2}$  cm/s, as compared with those obtained for depolarizations of 70 and 100 mV, respectively. The level of significance of the deviations from zero of these differences is 0.5% and 2.5%, respectively. For other pairs of voltages the deviation from zero of these differences is clearly insignificant. Such an increase in  $P_{K_i}$  may be due to the relatively large increase in electrokinetic volume

|                  | $P_{\mathrm{K}_s}(\mathrm{cm/s})	imes10^2$ |                            |                                  |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
|------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Fiber            | V <sub>pp</sub> ,<br>40 mV                 | V <sub>pp</sub> ,<br>50 mV | <i>V<sub>pp</sub></i> ,<br>70 mV | <i>V<sub>pp</sub></i> ,<br>100 mV | <i>V<sub>pp</sub></i> ,<br>125 mV | <i>V<sub>pp</sub></i> ,<br>150 mV | <i>V<sub>pp</sub></i> ,<br>175 mV | <i>V<sub>pp</sub></i> ,<br>300 mV |  |
| 4673( <i>S</i> ) | 1.3  |                            | 2.2                              | 2.1                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 4773(S)          | 1.3  |                            | 1.9                              | 1.5                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 4873( <i>M</i> ) | .81  |                            | 1.1                              | .97                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 4973( <i>S</i> ) | 1.0  |                            | 1.3                              | 1.1                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 5073(M)          | 1.5  |                            | 1.9                              | 2.2                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 5173(M)          | 0.88                                       |                            | 0.81                             | 0.91                              |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 5273(S)          | 1.4  |                            | 1.4                              | 1.3                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 5373(S)          | .96  |                            | 1.3                              | 1.0                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 5573(S)          | 1.4  |                            | 1.7                              | 1.5                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 5673(M)          | 1.6  |                            | 2.0                              | 2.5                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 5773(S)          | 1.3  |                            | 2.5                              | 2.4                               |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |  |
| 6076(M)          |  | 0.71                       |                                  |                                   | 0.96                              |                                   | 0.89                              |                                   |  |
| 6176( <i>M</i> ) |  | 2.4                        |                                  |                                   | 4.4                               |                                   | 4.9                               |                                   |  |
| 6276(M)          |  | 0.66                       |                                  |                                   | 0.82                              |                                   | 0.83                              |                                   |  |
| 6376(M)          |  | 0.96                       |                                  |                                   | 1.2                               |                                   | 1.1                               |                                   |  |
| 6476( <i>S</i> ) |  | 1.1                        |                                  |                                   | 3.3                               |                                   | 3.1                               |                                   |  |
| 6576(M)          |  | 1.1                        |                                  |                                   | 1.3                               |                                   |                                   | 1.2                               |  |
| 6776( <i>S</i> ) |  | 2.2                        |                                  |                                   | 3.0                               |                                   |                                   | 1.6                               |  |
| 7877( <i>M</i> ) |  |                            |                                  |                                   |                                   | 0.91                              |                                   | 1.3                               |  |
| 7977(M)          |  |                            |                                  |                                   |                                   | 1.4                               |                                   | 1.5                               |  |
| 8077( <i>M</i> ) |  |                            |                                  |                                   |                                   | 1.8                               |                                   | 2.0                               |  |
| 8277( <i>M</i> ) |  |                            |                                  |                                   |                                   | 2.1                               |                                   | 1.0                               |  |
| 8377(M)          |  |                            |                                  |                                   |                                   | 2.2                               |                                   | 0.81                              |  |
| 8577(M)          |  |                            |                                  |                                   |                                   | 1.0                               |                                   | 1.5                               |  |
| 8677(M)          |  |                            |                                  |                                   |                                   | 1.5                               |                                   | 1.7                               |  |
| $Mean \pm SEM$   | $1.2 \pm 0.1$                              | $1.3 \pm 0.3$              | $1.6 \pm 0.2$                    | $1.6 \pm 0.2$                     | $2.1~\pm~0.5$                     | $1.6 \pm 0.2$                     | $2.2~\pm~0.8$                     | $1.4 \pm 0.1$                     |  |

TABLE I  $P_{k,*}$  AS A FUNCTION OF  $V_{PP}$  IN FROG NODE

S and M in brackets denote sensory and motor fibers, respectively.

\*Determined from the steady state currents and  $V_{\rm K}$ s.

flow accompanying the outward potassium currents at these high depolarizations. These electrokinetic volume flows may be expected to alter the geometry of the perinodal spacebounding structure, as argued for the intercellular Schwann layer clefts surrounding the giant axon of the squid (1).

When all the data of Table I is pooled together we get (from steady state determination) a mean  $P_{K_{Hu}}$  value of  $(1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$  cm/s.

Table II summarizes the values of  $P_{K_r}$  obtained by the two independent methods from 13 fibers. Taking all four  $V_{pp}$ s, the average  $P_{K_r}$  obtained from the transient,  $P_{K_{(m)}} = (1.7 \pm 0.1)$   $10^{-2}$  cm/s, i.e., not very different from the above  $P_{K_{(m)}}$ . However, analyzing the same data using the correlated pairs test, the  $P_{K_r}$  values determined from steady-state are found to be significantly smaller than those obtained from fitting the transient at (1.5 % level of significance).

The  $P_{K_s}$  value obtained by Dubois and Bergman (12)  $(1.9 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm/s})$  from the transient of accumulation is compatible with our corresponding average value  $(1.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm/s})$ .

| Fiber | $P_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}}(\mathrm{cm/s})\times10^2$ |               | 0 ( <b>8</b> ) | $P_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}}(\mathrm{cm/s})\times10^2$ |               | 0 ( <b>8</b> ) |
|-------|--|---------------|----------------|--|---------------|----------------|
|       | (ss)   | ( <i>tr</i> ) | 0 (A)          | (ss)   | ( <i>tr</i> ) | θ(A)           |
|       | $V_{pp}, T$  | 70 mV         |                | $V_{pp}, 1$  | 00 mV         |                |
| 4673  | 2.2  | 2.6           | 4,000          | 2.1  | 2.4           | 7,800          |
| 4773  | 1.9  |               |                | 1.5  | 2.1           | 1,300          |
| 4873  | 1.1  | 1.0           | 9,200          | 0.97   | 0.87          | 12,000         |
| 4973  | 1.3  | 1.5           | 880            | 1.1  | 1.1           | 4,500          |
| 5073  | 1.9  | 1.7           | 4,600          | 2.2  | 2.4           | 5,400          |
| 5173  | 0.81   | 1.0           | 3,200          | 0.91   | 0.97          | 5,900          |
| 5273  | 1.4  | 1.2           | 10,000         | 1.3  | 1.4           | 6,700          |
| 5373  | 1.3  | 1.6           | 9,100          | 1.0  | 1.2           | 5,400          |
| 5573  | 1.7  | 2.0           | 3,100          | 1.5  | 1.8           | 3.800          |
| 5673  | 2.0  | 2.0           | 12,000         | 2.5  | 2.7           | 2,800          |
| 5773  | 2.5  | 2.3           | 2,700          | 2.4  | 2.9           | 3,200          |
|       | $V_{\rho\rho}, 1$                                      | 50 mV         |                | $V_{ap}, 2$  | 50 mV         |                |
| 7877  | 0.91   | 0.98          | 3,400          | 0.95   | 0.97          | 5,600          |
| 7977  | 1.4  | 1.6           | 9,400          | 1.2  | 1.4           | 1.000          |

TABLE II APPARENT BARRIER PERMEABILITY,  $P_{K,}$ \* AND SPACE THICKNESS,  $\theta$ , IN MYELINATED FIBERS

\*The  $P_{K_s}$  values were evaluated by two independent methods: ss, from the steady state currents and  $V_{K}$ s, and tr, from the transients in their time courses.

# The Apparent Space Thickness and $V_K$ Reconstruction

Table II lists the apparent space thickness,  $\theta$ , in 13 myelinated fibers. The average thickness in these fibers is 5,900 ± 700 Å. The value of  $\theta$  (2,900 Å) obtained by Dubois and Bergman (12) is about half that of our average value.

To check the predictive power of the three-compartment (two-parameter) model, the model was used to reconstruct the  $V_{\rm K}$  changes from outward potassium currents measured during various depolarizations. The reconstruction was carried out by numerically solving Eq. 1, and converting the concentrations in the space into equilibrium potentials by means of the Nernst relationship.

Fig. 3 A is an example of a comparison between the reconstructed  $V_{\rm K}$  shifts (computed from the time course of potassium current generated by a 100 mV depolarizing pulse), with the experimentally determined  $V_{\rm K}$  values (symbols). The continuous line represents the numerical solution of Eq. 1 using the parameters evaluated between 1 and 12 ms. The fit between the experimental data and model predictions was similar to that shown in Fig. 3 A, in the 13 fibers investigated. Consequently, it may be concluded that the three-compartment model adequately describes changes in  $V_{\rm K}$  in the frog node.

# Simple Diffusion in an Unstirred Layer

In view of the anatomical structure of the node, it seems possible that in the frog the accumulation may be due solely to the discontinuity of ion-transport number in the path of electrical current flow, accompanied by slow mixing at the surface (13, 6).

 $V_{\rm K}$  shifts, computed within the framework of the above model (see Appendix A) are



FIGURE 3 The time courses of potassium reversal potential,  $V_{\rm K}$ , in a myelinated fiber depolarized by a 100-mV pulse. Symbols: the experimental values of  $V_{\rm K}$ , (A)  $V_{\rm K}$  shifts calculated from outward potassium currents on the basis of the three-compartment model ( $P_{\rm K_z} = 2.4 \times 10^{-2}$  cm/s,  $\theta = 7,800$  Å, as evaluated from the experimental data in the region deliminated by the vertical arrows). Fiber 4673. (B)  $V_{\rm K}$  shifts calculated on the basis of the diffusion-in-an-unstirred-layer-model; (a)  $D = 2 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s, (b)  $D = 1 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s, (c)  $D = 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s (all three with  $l = 100 \,\mu$ m), (d)  $D = 2 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s and  $l = 5 \,\mu$ m, (e)  $D = 2 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s and  $l = 2 \,\mu$ m, (f)  $D = 2 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s,  $l = 1 \,\mu$ m. The reconstruction started at the first experimental  $V_{\rm K}$  point ( $t_{pp} = 1$  ms). Fiber 5273.

compared with those determined experimentally in Fig. 3 *B*. In the figure the computed lines were all made to originate at the first experimental point. It is seen that out of the reconstructed curves, one (curve *e*), based on  $D = 2 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s and  $l = 2 \mu$ m, fits the time course and to a lesser extent the steady-state value, reasonably. The curves with different values of *D* and *l* are not compatible with the experimental points.

The values of D and l were evaluated for 17 fibers by comparing the computed and experimental results (the initial  $V_{\rm K}$  was computed from the K<sup>+</sup> concentration ratio). The average values thus obtained are  $(1.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6} \,{\rm cm}^2/{\rm s}$  and  $1.4 \pm 0.1 \,\mu{\rm m}$ , respectively. Note that the above value of  $D (1.8 \times 10^{-6} \,{\rm cm}^2/{\rm s})$  is 1/10 that of potassium ions in water, and the value of l is roughly equal to the myelin thickness.

# V<sub>K</sub> Changes with Depolarization: An Empirical Equation

Table III lists the average  $V_{\rm K}$  values (±SEM), experimentally determined in 17 motor fibers, for seven depolarizing pulses of eight durations. On the basis of this data we will derive an empirical equation relating the  $V_{\rm K}$  values to the magnitude and duration of depolarization.

The dependency of  $V_{\rm K}$  on depolarizing pulse duration,  $t_{pp}$ , is illustrated in Fig. 3 A. This saturation-type function may be described by the following expression:

$$V_{K(t_{pp},V_{pp})} = \frac{V_{\infty}}{1 + K_1/t_{pp}} + C,$$
(5)

where C is the initial value of  $V_{\rm K}$  at  $t_{pp} = 0$ . (In our case, since  $K_{in} = 117$  mM and  $K_o = 2.5$  mM,  $C \simeq -25$  mV);  $V_{\infty}$  is the steady-state value of  $V_{\rm K}$  shift from C, attained at any depolarization for  $t_{pp} \rightarrow \infty$ ; and  $K_1$  is the time required for the  $V_{\rm K}$  shift to reach half its steady-state value at that depolarization.

TABLE III POTASSIUM REVERSAL POTENTIAL,  $V_{k}$ , AS A FUNCTION OF MEMBRANE DEPOLARIZATION,  $V_{PP}$ , AND ITS DURATION,  $t_{PP}$ , IN *R. ESCULENTA* 

|                 | V <sub>pp</sub>   |                   |                   |                   |                   |               |                   |  |  |  |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| l <sub>pp</sub> | 50 mV             | 70 mV             | 100 mV            | 125 mV            | 150 mV            | 175 mV        | 250 mV            |  |  |  |
| 2               | _                 | 14.1 ± 1.3(4)     | 13.3 ± 1.8(4)     |                   | 17.5 ± 2.5(2)     | _             | 25.0 ± 3.0(2)     |  |  |  |
| 3               | $6.5 \pm 1.6(11)$ | 9.0 (1)           | 14.5 (1)          | $24.5 \pm 3.0(6)$ | 22.4 ± 1.2(7)     | 35.7 ± 4.2(6) | $31.3 \pm 3.8(2)$ |  |  |  |
| 5               |                   | 12.8 ± 1.8(4)     | 19.6 ± 2.0(4)     | _                 | _                 | _             | _                 |  |  |  |
| 7               | —                 | _                 |                   | _                 | $38.5 \pm 4.5(2)$ | _             | $40.5 \pm 6.5(2)$ |  |  |  |
| 10              |                   | $16.3 \pm 1.9(4)$ | $24.5 \pm 3.1(4)$ | _                 | _                 | _             |                   |  |  |  |
| 20              |                   | 18.9 ± 2.7(4)     | $26.6 \pm 3.9(4)$ | _                 | _                 |               | _                 |  |  |  |
| 30              | $1.0 \pm 1.3(8)$  | _                 | _                 | $37.8 \pm 3.8(6)$ | $36.7 \pm 2.3(7)$ | 44.8 ± 4.3(6) | 48.5 ± 3.5(2)     |  |  |  |
| 50              |                   | 18.9 ± 1.5(4)     | $28.0 \pm 3.9(4)$ | _                 | _                 | _             | _                 |  |  |  |

The  $V_{\rm K}$  values are means  $\pm$  SEM, in millivolts. Numbers in brackets indicate number of fibers used for averaging.

The validity of Eq. 5 for the description of the experimental data can be readily tested by means of its linear form:

$$\frac{1}{V_{\rm K}-C} = \frac{1}{V_{\rm w}} + \frac{1}{t_{pp}} \cdot \frac{{\rm K}_1}{V_{\rm w}}.$$
 (6)

Fig. 4 A illustrates that when the experimental data for a depolarization of 100 mV from Table III is inserted into Eq. 6, one gets a linear relationship. The given straight line was fitted to all experimental points, excluding that of the shortest  $t_{pp}$ , by the least squares method. Similarly, linear relationships could be demonstrated for the  $V_{\rm K}$  shifts measured at depolarizations of 70 and 150 mV.

Let us now examine the dependency of the parameters of Eq. 5 on the magnitude of depolarization.

The value  $K_1$  may be evaluated at any membrane depolarization from data of Table III by means of yet another form of Eq. 5:

$$K_{1} = \left(\frac{V_{\infty}}{V_{K} + 25} - 1\right) t_{pp}.$$
 (7)

The values of  $K_1$  thus obtained, for  $t_{pp} s \le 5$  ms, are plotted in Fig. 4 *B*, as a function of membrane depolarization. The figures show that, to a good approximation,  $K_1$  is voltages independent.

In contrast, the value of the remaining parameter,  $V_{\infty}$ , varies with depolarization, saturating at high membrane potentials. As seen in Fig. 4 C it can be described by the following expression:

$$\frac{1}{V_{\infty}} = \frac{1}{V_{\text{Max}}} + \frac{1}{V_{pp}} \cdot \frac{K_2}{V_{\text{Max}}},\tag{8}$$

which is the linear form of the simple equation

$$V_{\infty} = \frac{V_{\text{Max}}}{1 + K_2/V_{pp}},$$
(9)

MORAN ET AL. Potassium Ion Accumulation in Myelinated Fibers

947



FIGURE 4 The empirical equation; validity of the relationship (Eq. 10) and voltage dependency of the parameters. (A) The reciprocal values of  $V_{\rm K}$  shift,  $(V_{\rm K} + 25)^{-1}$  as a function of the reciprocal of depolarizing pulse duration,  $(t_{pp})^{-1}$ . The straight line was fitted to the experimental points (the shortest  $t_{pp}$  excluded) by the least squares method.  $V_{pp} = 100 \text{ mV}$ . Data from Table III. (B) Half shift time of  $V_{\rm K}$ , K<sub>1</sub>, as a function of membrane potential,  $V_{pp}$ . K<sub>1</sub> was calculated by means of Eq. 7 for depolarizing pulse durations between 2 and 5 ms. Data from Table III. (C) The reciprocal of  $V_{\infty}$ ,  $(V_{\infty})^{-1}$  as a function of the reciprocal of  $V_{pp}$ ,  $(V_{pp})^{-1}$ . The lines were fitted to the experimental points by the least squares method. Data from Table III.

where  $V_{\text{Max}}$  is the saturation value of  $V_{\infty}$  and  $K_2$  is the value of membrane depolarization at which  $V_{\infty}$  attains the value of  $V_{\text{Max}}/2$ .

Consequently, the relationship between the  $V_{\rm K}$ s and the amplitude and duration of a depolarizing pulse may be expressed by the following empirical equation:

$$V_{\mathbf{K}(t_{pp},V_{pp})} = \frac{V_{\text{Max}}}{1 + \mathbf{K}_2/V_{pp}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + \mathbf{K}_1/t_{pp}} - 25.$$
(10)

The three parameters of Eq. 10,  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ , and  $V_{Max}$ , were evaluated simultaneously by fitting this equation to the experimental data of Table III. This was done by minimizing the sum of



FIGURE 5 Values of  $V_{\rm K}$  as a function of the duration of depolarization,  $t_{pp}$  and its amplitude,  $V_{pp}$ . Symbols: experimental values of  $V_{\rm K}$  (from Table III), determined at the following membrane potentials (in millivolts): 0.50, 0.100,  $\Delta:125$ , 0.150, 0.175, and 0.250. Lines:  $V_{\rm K}$  values computed using Eq. 6, for depolarizations as denoted near each line (in millivolts). The equation parameters found to fit best the above data were:  $K_1 = 0.95$  ms,  $K_2 = 102$  mV,  $V_{\rm Max} = 109$  mV.

squares of deviations of the calculated  $V_{\rm K}$ s from the experimental points. The least reliable  $V_{\rm K}$  values were excluded, namely,  $V_{pp} = 50$  mV and  $t_{pp} \le 3$  ms and  $V_{pp} = 70$  mV and  $t_{pp} \le 2$  ms.

Fig. 5 presents the experimental (symbols) and calculated (using Eq. 10) values of  $V_{\rm K}$ , as a function of duration and amplitude of the depolarization.

It is seen that the experimental points (excluding those of the lowest  $V_{pp}$ s and shortest  $t_{pp}$ s) are reasonably well described by Eq. 10 with the following parameters:  $K_1 = 0.95$  ms,  $K_2 = 102$  mV, and  $V_{Max'} = 109$  mV.

## DISCUSSION

The process of ion accumulation in frog myelinated fibers as studied in this work seems to be well described by the three-compartment (two-parameter) model. While in the squid giant axon this model is apparently consistent with the anatomical structure, i.e., the existence of an aqueous periaxonal space externally bounded by a cellular layer (13, 30, 4), in myelinated fibers the structural basis for this model is not obvious. The nodal gap is surrounded by a thick collar formed by numerous processes of the neighboring satellite Schwann cells (18). However, a continuous cellular bounding structure has not been described. This morphological difference may account for the difference in the values of the space parameters, which for the myelinated fiber are at least an order of magnitude larger than those of the giant axons. In view of the above, the similarity in accumulation rates between the giant axons and the frog nerve (Fig. 2) seems unexpected. However, the relatively rapid accumulation rate at the node results from the fact that the slowing effect of the larger space here is being compensated by the current density which is 10–20 times greater than in giant axons.

While the three-compartment model is in very good agreement with the experimental data, the large thickness of the apparent space surrounding the node seems to partially invalidate one of the basic assumptions of this model, namely, instantaneous mixing in the space (the time constant of mixing here is  $\sim 1$  ms). Therefore it may be useful to test the data against some other possible models, and specifically the model of unidimensional diffusion in an unstirred layer.

It is generally accepted that the thickness, l, of an unstirred layer adjoining a membrane is of the order of 100  $\mu$ m (28). However, Fig. 3 *B* shows that when this value is used together with the value of the diffusion constant of potassium in an aqueous solution ( $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$  cm/s in a 0.1 M KCl solution at 25°C), the model fails to describe the experimental data (line *c*). Considering the possibility of a slowing down of K<sup>+</sup> diffusion in the vicinity of the node, the computation was repeated with *D* values going down to  $2 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/s. It is seen that the fit does not improve (lines *a* and *b*). With these values of *D* the unstirred layer model predicts, in the best case, either a somewhat slower rate of accumulation at depolarizations of the shortest durations or excessive accumulation at the longest durations. Changing *l* in the range of 10–500  $\mu$ m does not affect the outcome of the calculations.

In contrast, the calculated  $V_{\rm K}$  shifts follow the time course of the experimental points rather closely, provided the normal value of D is lowered by a factor of ~10 and l is reduced to 2  $\mu$ m, equaling roughly the depth of the perinodal gap (line e). A further reduction of l leads to underestimation of the accumulation (line f). Similar results were obtained in other fibers.

The lower projected diffusion constants may be due to a slower diffusion in a cation-binding medium. Such a medium in the perinodal gap termed "gap substance" or "cementing disc," has been suggested by Landon and Langley (17) to contain protein-linked, carboxylated mucopolisaccharides.

This region of restricted diffusion may be represented by a thin barrier to diffusion with an apparent permeability,  $P_{K}$ , given by (13):

$$P_{\rm K_r} = \frac{D}{l} = \frac{10^{-6} \,{\rm cm}^2/{\rm s}}{10^{-4} \,{\rm cm}} = 10^{-2} \,{\rm cm/s}.$$

This value is very similar to the average  $P_{K_r}$  value (1.5  $\times$  10<sup>-2</sup> cm/s) obtained within the framework of the three-compartment model.

Since both types of accumulation models seem to adequately describe the experimental data, and in view of the presently available anatomical evidence, it may be concluded that the restricted diffusion compartment model represents the discussed nodal behavior and structure. However, further histological studies are required before a final conclusion is reached.

It should be noted that motor myelinated fibers usually display smaller accumulation as compared with sensory fibers. Moreover, four of the 20 motor fibers tested in this series had to be excluded from the analysis because they showed no  $V_{\rm K}$  shifts with time upon depolarization (see also reference 25). It is interesting to note that the possibility of variability in K<sup>+</sup> accumulation has been mentioned by Armstrong and Hille (5), their observation being based on the appearance or absence of tail currents.

The description of accumulation in myelinated fibers in terms of any of the two above models does not permit a simple reconstruction of  $V_{\rm K}$ . One can do so only using numerical analysis methods. Therefore, an empirical equation which provides an analytical immediate solution may prove useful. Note, however, that as less information is used in calculation of  $V_{\rm K}$  by the given empirical equation, Eq. 10 (for example, this calculation does not include the use of the potassium current time course), it may in some cases result only in a fair approximation rather than an exact description of  $V_{\rm K}$ .

However, not all deviations of experimental points from the calculated lines may be ascribed to this general cause. For example, the values of  $V_{\rm K}$  determined for the shortest and lowest depolarizations and which are not fitted by the calculated lines (Fig. 5) deviate systematically in the depolarizing direction. This deviation is due at least in part to the fact that the reversal potential of potassium, determined at the end of such depolarizations, is not an absolutely pure or "true" potassium reversal potential since it reflects a small contribution of the sodium equilibrium potential (see Appendix B).

It may be thus concluded that  $V_{\rm K}$  can be reasonably well described, as a function of depolarizing pulse amplitude and duration, by means of Eq. 10. It should be noted that the parameter values given here are suitable for a preparation under normal conditions. However, it may be assumed that as long as the general shape of both the ionic current time course and the steady-state *I-V* relationship is preserved, i.e., as long as the experimental conditions affect these currents by a constant factor, the values of the parameters  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  will remain unchanged and only  $V_{\rm Max}$  will have to be adjusted.

The parameters  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  may be meaningful to the normal physiological nervous activity.  $K_1$ , the time from beginning of depolarization until the  $V_K$  shift attains half its steady-state value, is in the range of action potential duration and has a value of ~1 ms.  $K_2$ , the membrane potential at which the  $V_K$  shift attains half its maximal value, is in the range of membrane potentials during action potential with a value of ~100 mV.  $V_{Max}$ , the maximal possible  $V_K$ shift, reaches the high value of 109 mV (relative to its value in rest). Consequently, within the duration of the action potential overshoot (lasting  $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$  ms) a significant  $V_K$  shift may occur, representing a significant potassium accumulation in the immediate vicinity of the nodal membrane.

# APPENDIX A

Calculation of potassium concentration at the outer surface of the membrane assuming unrestricted diffusion of ions through an adjoining unstirred layer.

Such diffusion is described by

$$\frac{\delta y}{\delta t} = D \frac{\delta^2 y}{\delta x^2} \tag{A1}$$

where y is the excess of potassium concentration at a distance x from the inner edge of the layer, and D is the diffusion coefficient. Assuming that a unit quantity (per unit area) of potassium ions is liberated instantaneously at t = 0 and x = 0, and taking the boundary conditions as  $\delta y/\delta x = 0$  at x = 0 and y = 0at x = l, the solution of Eq. A1 for x = 0 can be represented by the following series:

$$y_{o,t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi Dt}} \left( 1 - 2e^{-t^2/Dt} + 2e^{-4t^2/Dt} - 2e^{-9t^2/Dt} \dots \right), \tag{A2}$$

or

$$y_{o,l} = \frac{2}{l} \left( e^{-\pi^2 D l/4l^2} + e^{-9\pi^2 D l/4l^2} + e^{-25\pi^2 D l/4l^2} \dots \right).$$
(A3)

The two series have the same sum. Eq. A2 converges rapidly when t is small, whereas Eq. A3 converges rapidly when t is large. With an error not exceeding 1% it is sufficient to use the first two terms of A2 for

MORAN ET AL. Potassium Ion Accumulation in Myelinated Fibers

951

small values ot t:

$$y_{o,t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi Dt}} \left( 1 - 2e^{-t^2/Dt} \right), \tag{A4}$$

or two terms of Eq. A3 for large values of t:

$$y_{o,t} = \frac{2}{l} \left( e^{-\pi^2 D t/4l^2} + e^{-9\pi^2 D t/4l^2} \right).$$
 (A5)

The external  $K^+$  concentration changes during membrane depolarizations lasting up to 50 ms were calculated by convolving numerically Eqs. A4 and A5 with the potassium outward current (after appropriate conversion of units).

#### APPENDIX B

### Determination of Leakage Conductance Components

The determination of the leakage conductance components  $G_{Na_L}$  and  $G_{K_L}$  was performed on the basis of the following assumptions: (a) The experimentally determined relationship between leakage current  $I_L$  and membrane potential  $V_M$  is ohmic and crosses the abscissa at  $V_L$ , which equals the resting potential. The leakage conductance,  $G_L$ , is given by the slope of this line. (b) Sodium and potassium currents,  $I_{Na_L}$  and  $I_{K_L}$ , are the only components of  $I_L$  (15). (c) The current-voltage relationships of  $I_{Na_L}$ and  $I_{K_L}$  are linear. The lines describing  $I_{Na_L}$  and  $I_{K_L}$  vs.  $V_M$  cross the abscissa at the respective reversal potentials,  $V_{Na}$  and  $V_K$ , and their slopes represent the appropriate conductances:  $G_{Na_L}$  and  $G_{K_L}$ .

From the above it follows that at membrane potential equaling  $V_{\rm K}$ ,  $I_L$  is carried solely by sodium and at membrane potential equaling  $V_{\rm Na}$ ,  $I_L$  consists only of  $I_{\rm K_L}$ . Thus, at these two potentials we get

$$G_L(V_K - V_L) = G_{Na_l}(V_K - V_{Na})$$
(B1)

and

$$G_L(V_{Na} - V_L) = G_{K_L}(V_{Na} - V_K).$$
 (B2)

From these the components of the leakage conductance,  $G_{Na_L}$  and  $G_{K_L}$ , may be easily extracted. For example, using the values  $V_L = 0$ ,  $V_{Na} = 120 \text{ mV}$ ,  $V_K = -25 \text{ mV}$ , and  $G_L = 44 \text{ mS/cm}^2$ , we calculate  $G_{Na_L}$  to be 8 mS/cm<sup>2</sup> and  $G_{K_L} = 36 \text{ mS/cm}^2$  (fiber 4973).

## Determination of $V_{K}$

The apparent potassium reversal potential, experimentally determined in a TTX-treated membrane at various times during a depolarizing pulse, reflects in addition to  $I_{\rm K}$  the varying contribution of sodium component in leakage current. Therefore, the true  $V_{\rm K}$  should be calculated from the apparent  $V_{\rm K}$ .

The experimentally measured total apparent potassium current,  $I_{K_{app}}$  can be resolved into a potassium current,  $I_{k}$  and a sodium current,  $I_{Na_L}$ . Note that the potassium current consists of the delayed channel current in addition to the potassium component of leakage.

The slopes of these current curves,  $G_{K_{app}}$ ,  $G_K$ , and  $G_{Na_\ell}$ , represent the respective conductances. Let  $V_{K_{true}}$  be the potassium reversal potential in the depolarized membrane and  $V_{Na}$  the sodium reversal potential. Since  $V_{K_{app}}$  is the zero-current potential at which the outward potassium current just balances the inward sodium current then

$$G_{Na_{L}}(V_{K_{abb}} - V_{Na}) = -G_{K}(V_{K_{abb}} - V_{K_{true}}).$$
(B3)

At a membrane potential equaling  $V_{\text{Na}}$ , the experimentally measured instantaneous current consists of potassium current only, i.e.

**BIOPHYSICAL JOURNAL VOLUME 32 1980** 

$$G_{\mathrm{K}}(V_{\mathrm{Na}} - V_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Inv}}}) = G_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{anv}}}(V_{\mathrm{Na}} - V_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{anv}}}), \tag{B4}$$

 $V_{K_{inv}}$  may be obtained after substituting  $G_{K}$  from Eq. B4 into Eq. B3 and rearranging:

$$V_{K_{true}} = (V_{K_{app}} - V_{Na}G_{Na_{L}}/G_{K_{app}})/(1 - G_{Na_{L}}/G_{K_{app}}).$$

For example, using the above values of  $V_{\text{Na}}$  and  $G_{\text{Na}_L}$  with the following values determined experimentally for a 1-ms and 100-mV pulse:  $V_{\text{K}_{app}} = -1 \text{ mV}$  and  $G_{\text{K}_{app}} = 175 \text{ mS/cm}^2$ , we obtain  $V_{\text{K}_{true}} = -7.5 \text{ mV}$  instead of the apparent  $V_{\text{K}}$  (-1 mV).

We are greatly indebted to Ofer Binah for his encouragement, support and stimulating discussions during the course of this work. We are also grateful to Dr. M. H. Sherebrin for his help in analyzing the material and to Ms. H. Matalon for typing the manuscript.

This work was supported in part by the Israeli National Academy of Sciences and by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Sonderforschungsbereich 38 "Membranforschung," and was done in partial fulfillment of the Doctor of Science degree for N. Moran.

Received for publication 10 December 1979 and in revised form 9 May 1980.

## REFERENCES

- 1. ADAM, G. 1973. The effect of potassium diffusion through the Schwann cell layer on potassium conductance of the squid axon. J. Membr. Biol. 13:353-386.
- ADELMAN, W. J., JR. and Y. PALTI. 1972. The role of periaxonal and perineuronal spaces in modifying ionic flow across neural membranes. Curr. Top. Membranes Transp. 3:199-235.
- ADELMAN, W. J., JR., Y. PALTI, and J. P. SENFT. 1973. Potassium ion accumulation in a periaxonal space and its effects on the measurement of membrane potassium ion conductance. J. Membr. Biol. 13:387–410.
- 4. ADELMAN W. J. JR., J. MOSES, and R. N. RICE. 1977. An anatomical basis for the resistance and capacity in series with the excitable membrane of the squid giant axon. J. Neurocytol. 6:621–646.
- 5. ARMSTRONG, C. M., and B. HILLE. 1972. The inner quaternary ammonium ion receptor in potassium channels of the node of Ranvier. J. Gen. Physiol. 59:388-400.
- BARRY, P. H., and A. B. HOPE. 1969 a. Electroosmosis in membranes: effects of unstirred layer and transport numbers. I. Theory. Biophys. J. 9:700-728.
- BARRY P. H., and A. B. HOPE. 1969 b. Electroosmosis in membranes: effects of unstirred layer and transport numbers. II. Experimental Biophys. J. 9:729-757.
- BAYLOR, D. A., and J. G. NICHOLLS. 1969 a. Changes in extracellular potassium concentration produced by neuronal activity in the central nervous system of the leech. J. Physiol. 203:555-569.
- BAYLOR, D. A., and J. G. NICHOLLS. 1969 b. After-effects of nerve impulses on signalling in the central nervous system of the leech. J. Physiol. 203:571-589.
- BINSTOCK, L., and L. GOLDMAN. 1971. Rectification in instantaneous potassium current-voltage relations in Myxicola giant axons. J. Physiol. 217:517-531.
- CLEEMAN, L., and M. MORAD. 1979. Potassium currents in frog ventricular muscle: evidence from voltage clamp currents and extracellular K accumulation. J. Physiol. 286:113-143.
- 12. DUBOIS, J. M., and C. BERGMAN. 1975. Potassium accumulation in the perinodal space of frog myelinated axons. *Pflügers Arch.* **358**:111–124.
- FRANKENHAEUSER, B., and A. L. HODGKIN. 1956. The after-effects of impulses in the giant nerve fibers of Loligo. J. Physiol. 131:341-376.
- GEREN, B. B., and F. O. SCHMITT. 1954. The structure of the Schwann cell and its relation to the axon in certain invertebrate nerves fibers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 40:863–871.
- 15. HILLE, B. 1973. Potassium channels in myelinated nerve. Selective permeability to small cations. J. Gen. Physiol. 61:669–686.
- HODGKIN, A. L., and A. F. HUXLEY. 1952. The components of membrane conductance in the giant axon of Loligo. J. Physiol. 116:473-496.
- LANDON, D. N., and O. K. LANGLEY. 1971. The local chemical environment of the node of Ranvier. A study of cation binding. J. Anat. 108:419-432.
- LANDON, D. N., and P. L. WILLIAMS. 1963. Ultrastructure of the node of Ranvier. Nature (Lond.). 199:575-577.

- 19. MORAN, N., Y. PALTI, E. LEVITAN, and O. BINAH. 1979. Potassium accumulation in periaxonal space of myelinated fibers and giant axons. *Isr. J. Med. Sci.* 15:619.
- 20. NONNER, W. 1969. A new voltage clamp method for Ranvier node. Pflügers Arch. 309:116-192.
- NONNER, W., E. ROJAS, and R. STÄMPFLI. 1975. Displacement currents in the node of Ranvier, voltage and time dependence. *Pflügers Arch.* 354:1-18.
- 22. PALTI, Y., W. J. ADELMAN, JR., and J. P. SENFT. 1972. Modification of membrane currents and potentials by dynamic alterations of ionic concentration in periaxonal space. *Actual. Neurophysiol.* 10:210–235.
- PALTI, Y., G. GANOT, and R. STÄMPFLI. 1976. Effect of conditioning potential on potassium current kinetics in the frog node. *Biophys. J.* 16:261-273.
- 24. PALTI, Y., R. GOLD, and R. STÄLMPFLI. 1979. Diffusion of ions in myelinated nerve fibers. *Biophys. J.* 25:17-32.
- 25. PALTI, Y., R. STÄMPFLI, A. BRETAG, and W. NONNER. 1973. Potassium ion accumulation at the external surface of myelinated nerve fibers. *Isr. J. Med. Sci.* 9:680.
- PALTI, Y., N. MORAN, and R. STÄMPFLI. 1980. Potassium currents and conductance: comparison between motor and sensory myelinated fibers. *Biophys. J.* 32:955-966.
- 27. POWELL, M. T. D. 1964. An efficient method for finding the minimum of a function of several variables without calculating derivatives. *Computer J.* 7:155–162.
- POZNANSKY, M., S. TONG, C. C. WHITE, J. M. MILLGRAM, and A. K. SOLOMON. 1976. Nonelectrolyte diffusion across lipid bilayer systems. J. Gen. Physiol. 67:45-66.
- 29. VILLEGAS, G. M. 1969. Electron microscopic study of the giant nerve fiber of the giant squid *Dosidicus gigas*. J. Ultrastruct. Res. 26:501-514.
- 30. VILLEGAS R., C. CAPUTO, and L. VILLEGAS. 1962. Diffusion barriers in the squid nerve fiber. The axolemma and the Schwann layer. J. Gen. Physiol. 46:245-255.
- ÅRHEM, P. B., B. FRANKENHAUER, and L. E. MOORE. 1973. Ionic currents at resting potential in nerve fibers from Xenopus laevis. Potential clamp experiments. Acta Physiol. Scand. 88:446–454.