Kinetics of Inhibition of Methane Oxidation by Nitrate, Nitrite, and Ammonium in a Humisol

PETER DUNFIELD AND ROGER KNOWLES*

Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Macdonald Campus, Ste. Anne de Bellevue, Quebec, Canada H9X 3V9

Received 27 February 1995/Accepted 1 June 1995

The kinetics of inhibition of CH₄ oxidation by NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, and NO₃⁻ in a humisol was investigated. Soil slurries exhibited nearly standard Michaelis-Menten kinetics, with half-saturation constant $[K_{m(app)}]$ values for CH₄ of 50 to 200 parts per million of volume (ppmv) and V_{max} values of 1.1 to 2.5 nmol of CH₄ g of dry soil⁻¹ h⁻¹. With one soil sample, NH₄⁺ acted as a simple competitive inhibitor, with an estimated K_i of 8 μ M NH₄⁺ (18 nM NH₃). With another soil sample, the response to NH₄⁺ addition was more complex and the inhibitory effect of NH₄⁺ was greater than predicted by a simple competitive model at low CH₄ concentrations (<50 ppmv). This was probably due to NO₂⁻ produced through NH₄⁺ oxidation. Added NO₂⁻ was inherently more inhibitory of CH₄ oxidation at low CH₄ concentrations, and more NO₂⁻ was produced as the CH₄-to-NH₄⁺ ratio decreased and the competitive balance shifted. NaNO₃ was a noncompetitive inhibitor of CH₄ oxidation, but inhibition was evident only at >10 mM concentrations, which also altered soil pHs. Similar concentrations of NaCl were also inhibitory of CH₄ oxidation, so there may be no special inhibitory mechanism of nitrate per se.

Methane (CH₄) is an important greenhouse gas that is increasing in atmospheric concentration (13). Much interest has focused on the role of aerobic soils as a sink for CH₄ and on the ecological and land use practices that affect its magnitude (26). Field studies have shown that fertilization with nitrogen, especially in the form of ammonium (NH₄⁺) or urea, can reduce CH₄ oxidation rates in soils (5, 10, 15, 19, 24, 28, 30) and sediments (4). In some cases, this is a long-term effect of repeated fertilizer applications rather than an immediate inhibition of methanotrophic bacteria or the methane monooxygenase (MMO) enzyme (17, 30). However, fertilization can also have an immediate effect on CH₄ oxidation in the field (5, 10, 15, 19). In laboratory incubations of soils and sediments, inhibition is caused by NH₄⁺ (1, 6, 9, 19, 25, 28), nitrite (NO₂⁻) (19, 28), and high (>10 mM) concentrations of nitrate (NO₃⁻) (1, 25).

MMO can oxidize a variety of substrates besides CH₄; these should therefore compete with CH₄ for the active site of this enzyme. One such cosubstrate is ammonia (NH₃), which is oxidized to NO₂⁻ via hydroxylamine (11). Pure culture studies with *Methylococcus capsulatus* (7), *Methylomonas methanica* (14), and *Methylosinus trichosporium* (27) have shown that NH₃ acts as a competitive inhibitor of CH₄ oxidation. Two of these studies (7, 27) noted a significant pH effect on the K_i measured as the NH₄⁺ concentration, but the K_i was more constant if NH₃ rather than NH₄⁺ was considered to be the inhibitor. The competition between NH₃ and CH₄ for the active sites of MMO in methanotrophs and of ammonia monooxygenase in nitrifiers has led to speculation regarding the contribution of nitrifiers to CH₄ oxidation and of methanotrophs to nitrification in natural environments (2, 31, 32).

Inhibition patterns that are more complex than simple enzymatic competition between CH_4 and NH_3 are occasionally evident in methanotrophs, for example, in low-copper *Meth*- *ylococcus capsulatus* cells (7). The requirement of MMO for cosubstrates oxygen and NADH can complicate the interpretation of NH_3 inhibition (2). The addition of CH_4 may stimulate rather than inhibit NH_3 oxidation by methanotrophs (20, 21, 23, 27, 28), presumably because of alleviation of NADH limitation. Hydroxylamine (16) and NO_2^- (18, 20, 27) produced through methanotroph oxidation of NH_3 are themselves inhibitors of methanotrophic activity. In a forest soil, the inhibitory effect of NO_2^- was shown to be greater and more enduring than the direct effect of NH_3 (19, 28).

The half-saturation constant $[K_{m(app)}]$ for soil CH₄ oxidation of 30 to 50 nM (3) is several orders of magnitude lower than the values (1 to 66 μ M) for pure cultures of methanotrophs (2). Known methanotrophs should not be capable of surviving solely on atmospheric CH₄ (8). Those methanotrophs active in aerobic soils might therefore employ a CH₄-oxidizing system unlike that of known methanotrophs. Two forms of MMO, one particulate and one soluble, are known. Although these exhibit different substrate affinities, neither approaches the $K_{m(app)}$ measured in soils (2, 3). This paper presents some experiments on the kinetics of CH₄ oxidation in a humisol designed to examine the competitive strength of NH₃ and to determine the amounts of NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, and NO₃⁻ needed to cause inhibition of CH₄ oxidation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study site, a humisol on the Central Experimental Farm of Agriculture Canada in Ottawa, Canada, has been described previously (12). Soil samples were taken from a depth of 0 to 20 cm on 17 August 1993 and 30 June 1994 and stored at 12°C. The 1993 soil sample was stored for up to 10 months before experiments were performed. The 1994 soil sample was stored for no more than 40 days. Although NH₃ rather than NH₄⁺ is thought to be an inhibitor of MMO, we shall refer primarily to measured NH₄⁺ concentrations. When given, NH₃ concentrations have been calculated on the basis of average pH values of 6.6 and 6.9 for 1993 and 1994 soil samples, respectively.

To investigate NH₄⁺ inhibition of CH₄ oxidation, aggregates were broken by hand and a 1:3 ratio of field-moist soil (81% H₂O, 1993 sample; 136% H₂O, 1994 sample) to distilled deionized H₂O was homogenized 30 min on a magnetic stirrer. Fifteen-milliliter portions (2.5 to 3.1 g of dry soil) were distributed into 60-ml serum vials, and diluted NH₄Cl solutions were added to give final volumes of 20 ml. Of the NH₄⁺ added, 60 to 70% was not recoverable from the slurry liquid phase shortly after addition and was assumed to be held on exchange sites. CH₄ concentrations of approximately 2, 30, 75, 100, 150, 200, 300, 400, 500, and

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Macdonald Campus, 21111 Lakeshore Rd., Ste. Anne de Bellevue, Quebec, Canada H9X 3V9. Phone: (514) 398-7751. Fax: (514) 398-7990. Electronic mail address: knowles@agradm.lan.mcgill.ca.

TABLE 1.	Effects o	f added 1	NH ₄ Cl o	n the kinetion	coefficients of	of CH ₄	oxidation	in humiso	l slurries
----------	-----------	-----------	----------------------	----------------	-----------------	--------------------	-----------	-----------	------------

Soil sample and experiment no.	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+ \ (\mu\mathrm{M})^a$	NO -		Hyperbo	Hill model ^b				
		$(\mu M)^a$	CH ₄ range (ppmv)	No. of CH ₄ levels	$K_{m(app)}$ (ppmv)	$\frac{V_{\max}}{(\text{nmol } \text{g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})}$	n _{app}	K [*] _s (ppmv)	$(\text{nmol } g^{-1} h^{-1})$
1993 soil									
1	12.7	NT^c	15-730	9	189	1.65	1.06	150	1.47
	20.8^{d}	NT	35-730	8	349	2.06	1.19	174	1.42
	34.3	NT	40-730	8	413	1.81	1.22	340	1.21
	94.2	NT	70-730	7	1,016	2.01	1.20	464	1.25
2	16.5	NT	15-730	9	184	2.79	1.05	144	2.22
	35.2^{d}	NT	15-730	9	377	2.99	1.12	178	1.89
	47.9	NT	65-730	7	579	3.36	1.14	328	2.47
	121	NT	65-730	7	982	2.89	1.37	409	1.83
3	26.6	29.8	45-750	9	111	1.38	0.91	101	1.31
	57.5	51.6	45-750	9	275	1.35	1.07	256	1.29
	136	65.4	45-750	9	991	2.03	1.23	623	1.17
1994 soil									
4^e	20.4	20.9	30-450	8	88.7	1.51			
	54.6	54.2	30-450	8	316	1.29			
	138	78.1	50-450	7	370	0.71			
5 ^e	27.7	28.0	40-550	9	60.2	1.31			
	52.2	52.7	40-550	9	173	1.27			
	96.2	53.2	70-550	8	153	0.79			
6	24.1	30.8	15-550	16	86.3	1.61	1.16	60.2	1.37
	70.3	48.4	40-550	13	172	1.13	1.39	97.6	0.85
7	19.5	15.8	15-550	14	107	1.64	1.27	56.1	1.22
	48.8	42.3	40-550	11	144	1.16	1.62	68.7	0.80
8	3.4	4.1	15-350	13	86.5	1.48	1.12	63.5	1.28
	94.4	42.2	60-350	10	370	1.52	1.53	119	0.77

 a NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ concentrations are averages of concentrations at the start and end of each incubation.

^b Kinetic constants were estimated by using the linear portion of Lineweaver-Burk plots for the Michealis-Menten hyperbolic model or by fitting the data to the Hill cooperative model (see reference 29).

^c NT, not tested.

^d Added NH_4^+ was completely consumed by the end of the experiment and was not used in K_i calculations or statistics.

^e The Hill model could not be applied to experiments 4 and 5 because of high data variability.

700 parts per million of volume (ppmv) were added to duplicate vials and incubated on a gyratory shaker at 250 rpm and 25°C. For each experiment, a complete set of CH₄ concentrations was run for control soil samples (no added NH₄⁺) and up to three levels of added NH₄⁺. Specific details of each experiment are given in Table 1.

Three more rigorous experiments (no. 6, 7, and 8) with the 1994 soil sample used initial CH₄ concentrations of approximately 2, 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 80, 100, 125, 150, 175, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, and 500 ppmv (the two highest levels were omitted in experiment 8). Slurries were shaken for 20 to 24 h before NH₄Cl and CH₄ addition in an attempt to alleviate two problems noted in earlier trials. First, slurrying caused transiently elevated NO₂⁻ levels which could be depleted through nitrification in preincubation. Second, initial net CH₄ production rather than consumption was occasionally observed at 2-ppmv CH₄. This soil has considerable methanogenic capacity (12), and although no methanogenesis should occur in diluted aerobic slurries, a short period of net CH₄ production may result from equilibration of CH₄ already in the soil, perhaps hydrophobically bound to organic matter, with the gas phase of vials.

CH₄ levels were measured at 1 to 1.5 and 5.5 to 6 h after the addition of NH₄Cl and CH₄ by injections of 2-ml headspace volumes into a Shimadzu gas chromatograph and flame ionization detector equipped with a 1.8-m Porapak Q column and 0.5-ml sample loop. At the same times, 1-ml slurry samples were taken into microcentrifuge tubes and frozen immediately. In calculating CH₄ oxidation rates, compensation was made for the removal of gas during sampling. Vials containing 20 ml of H₂O and several CH₄ concentrations were included as checks on standardization and leakage. In the event of nonzero CH₄ oxidation rates vials, linear regression of the calculated rate versus CH₄ concentration was used to correct soil CH₄ oxidation rates.

Inhibition by NaNO₃ or NaNO₂ was tested by essentially the same procedure. Incubations for experiments with NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺ supplements were limited to 5 to 6 h because nitrification rapidly depleted these ions, but NO₃⁻ concentrations remained constant and thus incubation times could be longer. The possibility of phase transfer limitation was examined with triplicate 20-ml slurries containing 1, 2, 3, or 4 g of 1993 soil at 100-ppmv CH₄. To test the reversibility of NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺ inhibition, vials from representative NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ experiments were incubated until all added NO₂⁻ or NH₄⁺ was oxidized and then CH₄ oxidation was retested. For nitrogen analyses, slurry samples in microcentrifuge tubes were thawed by centrifugation for 5 min at 13,800 × g, resuspended by manual shaking, and centrifuged again for 15 min. NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, and NH₄⁺ levels in the supernatant were measured colorimetrically by using an automated analysis system (Chem-Lab Instruments, Hornchurch, Essex, England) (23). Initial concentrations were estimated from 2-ppmv CH₄ vials only (this was valid since the CH₄ concentration did not affect the nitrification rate [see Results]). Final concentrations were the averages of at least six vials. pH values were not affected by experimental NH₄⁺ additions.

The CH₄, NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, and NO₂⁻ concentrations presented and used in kinetic calculations are the arithmetic means of measurements at the beginning and end of each incubation. The arithmetic mean is an overestimate of the actual average CH₄ concentration over this time, but since maximum CH₄ depletion is 17%, the error incurred should be minor (29). All kinetic and inhibitor coefficients were estimated as described by Segel (29). Statistical procedures were performed with SYSTAT (SYSTAT, Inc., Evanston, III.). Except when noted, statistical analyses were multivariate analyses of variance (MANOVAs) with soil sample and inhibitor (NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻, and NO₃⁻) concentrations as independent variables and kinetic coefficients as dependent variables. Variance assumptions were checked by using residual plots, and data were occasionally log transformed to satisfy these. Multiple comparisons are Bonferroni contrasts.

RESULTS

Phase transfer limitation and initial rates. CH_4 oxidation rates increased nearly linearly with increasing soil contents in slurries (Fig. 1), indicating that slurries were not limited by phase transfer and diffusion of CH_4 . The slight curvature of the plot may result from dilution alterations of slurry pH values and NH_3 concentrations.

Slurries used in inhibition experiments were never incubated



FIG. 1. Oxidation rates of 100-ppmv CH₄ in 20-ml slurries containing different amounts of 1993 soil. Each point is the mean of triplicate vials \pm 1 standard error of the mean. When error bars are not visible, they are contained within the symbol.

for more than 24 h (usually <7 h) with added CH₄. During these periods, kinetic coefficients were nearly constant for slurries without added NH₄⁺ (Table 2). Methanotrophic activity was stimulated by several orders of magnitude by exposure to 10% CH₄ (data not shown), suggesting that the growth of methanotrophs is not nitrogen limited and should not be affected by NH₄⁺ additions. The rates presented in this paper are therefore based on initial enzyme concentrations and are true initial rates, with one potential exception. When significant accumulations of NO₂⁻ occurred during incubation through oxidation of added NH₄⁺, measured rates may have gradually decreased over time. We have attempted to compensate for this in our calculations (see below).

 NO_2^- inhibition. The addition of NaNO₂ inhibited CH₄ oxidation in both soil samples (Fig. 2). Although each graph contains curves from two separate experiments, in each case the two control curves are similar. Progressively higher NaNO₂ additions resulted in increasingly sigmoidal kinetics, with the highest relative inhibition of CH₄ oxidation occurring at the lowest CH₄ concentrations.

TABLE 2. Kinetic constants calculated at various times after the addition of CH_4 to soil slurries

Soil sample	Incubation time (h)	$(\text{nmol } g^{-1} \text{h}^{-1})$	$K_{m(app)}$ (ppmv)
1994 soil ^a	3.5-9.0	1.50	68
	9.0-22.5	1.54	92
	22.5-28.5	1.73	92
1994 soil + 74 mM NO_3^{a}	3.5-9.0	0.86	43
5	9.0-22.5	1.00	77
	22.5-28.5	1.11	60
1993 soil ^b	1.5-7.5	1.12	
	7.5-27.0	1.09	
	27.0-32.0	1.49	

^a For more details, see results for experiment 11 in Table 3.

^b Based on duplicate flasks at >400-ppmv CH₄.



FIG. 2. Effects of NaNO₂ additions on the kinetic curves of CH₄ oxidation in two soil samples. Each graph includes curves for two trials; circles represent control rates. The average NO_2^- concentrations during incubations are indicated.

 NH_4^+ inhibition. The experiments comparing CH_4 oxidation kinetics with and without added NH_4^+ are summarized in Table 1. The CH_4 oxidation rates in soil slurries without added NH_4^+ agreed well with a Michaelis-Menten hyperbolic model



FIG. 3. Representative kinetic curves of CH₄ oxidation in 1993 (experiment 2) and 1994 (experiment 6) soil samples with and without NH₄Cl additions as well as Eadie-Hofstee replots to show deviations from true hyperbolic curves at low CH₄ oxidation rates for 1994 soil. Lines were fit to the curves by using a Michealis-Menten hyperbolic model for 1993 soil and a Hill cooperative model for 1994 soil. Points are means of duplicate vials. The average liquid-phase NH₄⁺ contents are indicated.



FIG. 4. $K_{m(app)}$ values of CH₄ oxidation, estimated by using Lineweaver-Burk replots, plotted against average liquid-phase NH₄⁺ concentrations in 1993 (\bullet) and 1994 (\bigcirc) humisol slurries. The K_m of CH₄ oxidation in an NH₄⁺-free system and the K_i of NH₄⁺ for CH₄ oxidation are estimated from axis intercepts.

(Fig. 3). However, when NH_4^+ was added, kinetic curves often became sigmoidal and curvature of Lineweaver-Burk and Eadie-Hofstee plots was evident at low velocities, especially for the 1994 soil sample (Fig. 3). Replots used to estimate the Michaelis-Menten kinetic coefficients in Table 1 were linearized by deleting the lowest substrate concentrations. This procedure gives valid estimates when curves of v plotted against s are only slightly sigmoidal, as was the case with the 1993 soil sample. However, it is not an ideal treatment of sigmoidal kinetics (29) and gives biased estimates for the 1994 soil sample. Therefore, 1/v-versus-1/s plots were also fit to the Hill cooperative model (29) by using the curve-fit function of SigmaPlot 5.1 (Jandel Scientific, San Raphael Calif.). Because of weighting toward low-velocity points, the lowest points were deleted until an unbiased agreement with the equation was obtained (in practice, this usually meant deleting any velocity that was <0.1). Representative experiments showing good agreement with the hyperbolic model for the 1993 soil sample and agreement with the Hill model for the 1994 soil sample are given in Fig. 3.

Ammonium acted as a simple competitive inhibitor in the 1993 soil sample. The $K_{m(app)}$ values for CH₄ increased with increases in the NH₄⁺ concentration (P < 0.001; log-transformed data), but the V_{max} values were unaffected (P = 0.003; log-transformed data). Plot curvature was minor, as shown by low n_{app} values (Table 1). Replots of $K_{m(app)}$ versus NH₄⁺ concentration were used to estimate the K_i of NH₄⁺ and the true K_m of CH₄ oxidation in the absence of NH₄⁺ (Fig. 4). These estimates were a K_i of 8.3 µM NH₄⁺ (18.5 nM NH₃) and a K_m of 63.5 ppmv for CH₄ (88.2 nM dissolved).

The 1994 soil sample shows a more complex response to NH_4^+ addition. The V_{max} decreased, whether estimated by the hyperbolic or Hill model (P = 0.004), while the $K_{m(app)}$ (and the K_s^*) again increased (P < 0.001) with increasing NH_4^+ concentrations (Table 1). Unlike those for the 1993 soil sample, the $K_{m(app)}$ estimates for the 1994 soil sample are not particularly reliable because of sigmoidal *v*-versus-*s* plots. These estimates would give a K_i of 25.2 μ M NH_4^+ (112 nM NH_3) and a K_m for CH_4 of 56.7 ppmv (78.7 nM dissolved). Methanotrophs in the 1994 soil sample seemed to have a lower affinity for NH_4^+ than those in the 1993 soil sample.

At levels below about 50-ppmv CH_4 , measured CH_4 oxidation rates were less than predicted by a strictly MichaelisMenten hyperbolic model, resulting in sigmoidal kinetics. n_{app} values increased with increasing NH₄⁺ concentrations (Table 1), especially in the 1994 soil sample, whose kinetic plots were often strikingly sigmoidal (Fig. 3). The competitive model of NH₄⁺ inhibition is therefore conservative, underestimating inhibition at low CH₄ concentrations. The n_{app} parameter should probably be considered simply a measure of plot curvature rather than in its correct sense as a measure of cooperativity, since we hypothesize that the sigmoidal curves result from NO₂⁻ toxicity. While the data could result from an allosteric enzyme, the Hill model might fit because (i) NO₂⁻ is more inhibitory of CH₄ oxidation at low CH₄ concentrations. This system may thereby mimic a cooperative system whereby increasing CH₄ concentrations.

The possibility of a false competitive oxidation curve simulated by increased NO_2^- production with increasing CH_4 concentrations, a trend noted elsewhere (20), exists. However, this was not evident from our nitrogen measurements and would in any case require increased enzyme activity at high CH_4 concentrations. Enzyme activity did not increase during our incubations.

Nitrification. The maximum nitrification rate, measured as the production of NO_3^- and NO_2^- in slurries with NH_4^+ added (>30 μM), was 0.18 to 0.25 $\mu mol~g^{-1}~h^{-1}$ for the 1994 soil sample (experiments 5 through 8) and 0.11 to 0.25 µmol $g^{-1}h^{-1}$ for the 1993 soil sample (experiments 1 and 2 and data from reference 12). The control 1993 soil sample also accumulated NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ at 0.03 to 0.15 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, while nitrification was undetectable in the control 1994 soil sample (<0.03 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹), indicating a lower rate of NH₄⁺ mineralization in the latter. The net NH_4^+ depletion rate in the 1993 soil sample with added NH_4^+ was only 0.08 to 0.10 μ mol g^{-1} h⁻¹. Nitrification was rapid enough to consume a large proportion of the added NH₄⁺ during incubation, introducing error into the determination of its K_i for CH₄ oxidation. However, the arithmetic mean should be a valid estimate of the slurry NH₄⁺ concentration since measured nitrification rates were nearly constant regardless of the amount of NH_4^+ added [i.e., the $K_{m(app)}$ of soil nitrification was probably lower than 30 μM (data not shown)].

The effects of CH₄ concentrations on nitrification were examined by measuring postincubation NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ concentrations in all vials from experiment 3 and the 94.4 µM NH₄⁺ treatment of experiment 8. A two-way MANOVA with the CH_4 concentration as a regression factor and NH_4^+ addition as a categorical factor revealed no significant effects of CH₄ concentrations on total nitrification (final concentration of \dot{NO}_2^- and NO_3^- , P = 0.16), but the effects on net NO_2^- production were significant (P = 0.014; overall Pillai trace, P =0.025). This difference probably simply reflects the more precise measurement of NO_2^- than of NO_3^- (NO₂⁻ was typically $<50 \mu$ M, while the pool of NO₃⁻ was $>800 \mu$ M). While statistically more NO₂⁻ was produced in slurries with low CH₄ concentrations, the difference was small compared with absolute NO₂⁻ concentrations. The average regression slope was only $-0.015 \ \mu M \ NO_2^{-}$ ppmv CH₄⁻¹, and the effects of CH₄ concentrations on total nitrification were therefore minute.

NaNO₃ inhibition. Three experiments investigated NaNO₃ inhibition (Table 3); one of them is shown in Fig. 5. A noncompetitive mechanism of inhibition [the $K_{m(app)}$ was unaffected, and the V_{max} decreased] is indicated. Statistical tests were not performed because of the paucity of curves, but the 1993 soil sample appeared to be more sensitive to NaNO₃ inhibition than the 1994 soil sample was. An increase in plot S

Soil sample and experiment no.	Incubation time (h)	NO ₃ ⁻ (mM)	NO ₂ ⁻ (μM)	pН	Hyperbolic model				Hill model		
					CH ₄ range (ppmv)	No. of CH ₄ levels	$K_{m(app)}$ (ppmv)	$\frac{V_{\max}}{(\text{nmol } \text{g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})}$	n _{app}	K_s^* (ppmv)	$(\operatorname{nmol} \overset{V_{\max}}{g^{-1}} \operatorname{h}^{-1})$
1993 soil, 9	5.5	1.17	26.0	6.70	30-500	8	158	1.57	1.46	61.0	0.99
		37.8	72.5	6.47	70-550	7	141	0.80	1.38	86.7	0.64
		58.7	105	6.46	70–550	7	195	0.53	2.27	86.7	0.34
1994 soil											
10	7.0	0.31	<3.0	NT^{a}	30-350	9	65.3	1.21	1.25	52.3	1.07
		18.2	11.9	NT	30-350	9	77.2	1.24	1.49	48.4	0.98
		33.9	29.6	NT	30-350	9	71.4	1.07	1.57	44.8	0.85
11	13.5	0.44	<3.0	7.14	25-500	9	91.7	1.54	1.11	74.5	1.41
		74.2	37.4	6.74	25-550	9	82.0	1.07	1.18	61.6	0.96
		102	578	6 70	45 550	Q	72 1	0.64	1 72	52.0	0.53

TABLE 3. Effects of added NaNO₃ on kinetic coefficients of CH_4 oxidation in humisol slurries

^a NT. not tested.

curvature (n_{app}) also accompanied NaNO₃ addition, probably because of NO₂⁻ contained as a contaminant or produced through NO_3^- reduction (Table 3).

This noncompetitive inhibition is not necessarily due to NO₃⁻ per se. Slurry pH values were altered by NaNO₃ additions, although greater than 40 mM increases continued to decrease the V_{max} values without further affecting the pH values (Table 3). Similar concentrations of NaCl also inhibited CH₄ oxidation. In experiment 9, the CH₄ oxidation rates (at 160-ppmv CH₄) in slurries supplemented with 35 or 55 mM NaCl were not significantly different from those in slurries with 38 or 59 mM NaNO₃, respectively (two-way analysis of variance [ANOVA] with four Bonferroni contrasts, P = 0.009). The pH of slurries with added NaCl (6.49) was nearly the same as that of slurries with added NaNO₃. Smaller NaCl additions (up to 10 mM) did not significantly affect CH₄ oxidation rates at 2-, 8-, 32-, and 120-ppmv CH₄ in the 1994 soil sample (two-way ANOVA of log-transformed data, P = 0.61); therefore, dissolved salts should not have affected the results of NH₄Cl or NaNO₂ inhibition experiments.

Reversibility of inhibition. After 70 h, 0.7 or 1 mM of added NO₂⁻ (1994 soil) reached background, control levels. In 7-h incubations at 2-, 50-, 85-, 200-, and 380-ppmv CH_4 , these slurries then had the same CH_4 oxidation rate as slurries that had never received NO_2^- additions (two-way ANOVA, P =0.70). In experiment 5, the effects of NH_4^+ additions on the CH_4 oxidation rate were also not significant after the NH_4^{-4}



FIG. 5. Effects of NaNO3 addition on the kinetics of CH4 oxidation in the 1994 soil sample (experiment 11 [Table 3]). The average NO_3^- concentrations are 0.4 (\bigcirc), 74 (\bullet), and 102 (\bigtriangledown) mM.

was nitrified in 5-h incubations at 2-, 120-, 275-, and 450-ppmv CH_4 (two-way ANOVA of log-transformed data, P = 0.30). Inhibition by both NH_4^+ and NO_2^- at these concentrations was fully reversible.

DISCUSSION

It should be stressed that the statistically significant effects of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- on kinetic coefficients of CH_4 oxidation do not justify the basic assumption that the kinetic response of MMO is being measured. Measuring the kinetic coefficients of a preparation as crude and ecologically complex as soil is problematic. However, the CH₄ oxidation rates measured in control soil slurries were true initial rates, there was no diffusion limitation, and v-versus-s curves usually fit a hyperbolic model well. CH₄ oxidation is the initial step in an oxidative pathway, and CH_4 is freely diffusible across the cell membrane. This system may therefore be relatively simple and allow for a kinetic interpretation. If this is accepted, then we can make some conclusions on the mechanisms of inhibition and the strengths of various inhibitors.

Ammonium acted as a competitive inhibitor of CH₄ oxidation in the 1993 soil sample. Our kinetic plots cannot distinguish between simple competitive inhibition and partial competitive inhibition (29). However, since the CH₄ oxidation rate was driven to zero with very high levels of NH_4^+ additions (>1 mM [data not shown]), the mechanism is probably simple competition. The two samples produced similar K_m estimates (about 60-ppmv CH₄). Nevertheless, while the results of the 1993 soil sample were easily interpretable as simple competition between CH_4 and NH_4^+ , the results of the 1994 soil sample were more complex, with decreased $V_{\rm max}$ values and strongly sigmoidal kinetics at high NH₄⁺ concentrations. $\mathrm{NH_4}^+$ also probably acted as a competitive inhibitor in this sample, and although accurate estimation of the K_i was impossible, this soil seemed less sensitive to NH_4^+ .

Explaining the differences between the two samples is difficult. There were differences in storage time and experimental protocol (experiments 6 to 8 included 24 h of preshaking and more intense sampling at lower CH₄ values). Sampling variations may have influenced the microbial flora present, and if measured $K_{m(app)}$ values resulted from the activities of several enzymes rather than the activity of one, their ratios could have varied between samples. The more rapid NH_4^+ depletion rate of the 1994 soil sample might also have protected methanotrophs from the inhibitory effects of NH_4^+ . Considering NH_3 to be the inhibitor instead of NH_4^+ increases the disparities in K_i values, but the pH could certainly affect the enzyme system in ways that are distinct from its effects on the NH₄⁺-to-NH₃ ratio.

Errors in determining $K_{m(app)}$ values mean that the K_i of 8 to 25 μ M NH₄⁺ (18 to 112 nM NH₃) should be considered a rough estimate only, but it does suggest that in situ soil NH₄⁺ concentrations inhibit CH₄ oxidation. Similar to the finding that the $K_{m(app)}$ values for soil CH₄ oxidation are much lower than those for pure cultures of methanotrophs (2, 3), our soil K_i is lower than the K_i values of 1.7 to 18 mM NH₄⁺ at pH 6 to 7 (8 to 56 μ M NH₃) determined for pure cultures (2, 7, 14, 27). However, our results also agree with those of King and Schnell (19, 28) that the inhibition caused by the addition of NH₄⁺ may not be simply competitive dilution but may also be the result of production of toxic NO₂⁻.

Kinetic curves became increasingly sigmoidal with increased NH_4^+ or NO_2^- additions. This pattern would result if MMO exhibited cooperative behavior which was influenced by NO₂⁻ or NH_4^+ . It could also result from NO_2^- toxicity. The relative inhibition caused by NO₂⁻ was highest at low CH₄ concentrations, a pattern also noted for another soil (28). This pattern, combined with the shift in competitive balance of MMO as the ratio of NH₄⁺ to CH₄ increases, could contribute to the sigmoidal CH_4 oxidation curves of soils with NH_4^+ added. In other words, more NO₂⁻ is produced in soil at low CH₄ concentrations, at which it is also inherently more inhibitory of CH₄ oxidation. Nitrite is an inhibitor of formate dehydrogenase and can contribute to NADH limitation (18), explaining its greater influence on CH₄-limited cells. A high metabolic rate might also be necessary to export toxic, cellular NO_2^{-} . The NO_2^{-} concentrations measured in NH_4^{+} inhibition experiments were slightly lower (<100 µM) than the levels of exogenously added NO₂⁻ required to cause inhibition of CH₄ oxidation, but if methanotrophs themselves produce NO2-, intracellular concentrations would be higher.

In this soil, as in many other soils (22), nitrification was not affected or was only slightly affected by up to 800-ppmv CH₄. Methanotrophs can occasionally contribute to soil nitrification (2), and a methane-dependent nitrifying consortium was isolated from a similar humisol (23), but methanotrophs probably contributed little to nitrification in our humisol. Slightly elevated soil NO₂⁻ concentrations were noted as CH₄ concentrations decreased. This indicates a competitive effect of CH₄ on NH₄⁺ oxidation by nitrifiers or methanotrophs, but the effect was too small to greatly affect the overall nitrification rate.

The competitive nature of NH4⁺ inhibition in our soil, whereby inhibition decreased at high CH4 levels because of competitive dilution, is the complete opposite of a trend found in a forest soil (28). The same authors also showed that NO_2^{-1} production from NH₄⁺ in methanotroph cultures increased with increasing CH_4 concentrations (20) and advanced this as an explanation of the ammonium inhibition pattern observed in soil (28). Other reports (21, 23, 27) also note that while large amounts of added CH_4 may inhibit NH_4^+ oxidation by methanotrophs, small amounts of added CH4 may actually stimulate it. The former effect is presumably competitive, with the latter stemming from energetic limitation of methanotrophs at low CH_4 concentrations. Increased NH_4^+ oxidation and NO_2^- production can result from increased MMO activity, through the alleviation of NADH limitation or induction of enzyme production and population growth. In the study of forest soil noted above (28), the authors make no mention of the linearity of their rates over time at high CH₄ levels; it seems likely that the methanotrophic population was stimulated. Our short incubations provide a look at initial rates based on initial enzyme

concentrations, a "snapshot" indicating that NH_4^+ or NH_3 probably acts as a competitive inhibitor. It is perhaps also noteworthy that the initial CH_4 concentrations in our soil were greater than ambient-air CH_4 concentrations, presumably because of methanogenesis in anaerobic soil microsites, so methanotrophs may not have suffered from NADH limitation. The slurrying of soil, as well as other disturbances (such as sonication), results in transient CH_4 efflux.

There is a discrepancy between the complete reversibility of NO_2^- and NH_4^+ inhibition in our humisol and the persistence noted in other studies (19, 25). Perhaps the absolute concentration and time of exposure affect the ability of methanotrophs to recover from NH_4^+ and NO_2^- inhibition, and perhaps the extremely high natural nitrification rate of this humisol shields methanotrophs from NO_2^- and NH_4^+ . The levels of our NH_4^+ additions were much lower than those used in other studies (19, 25), although in a parallel field study, we also found no effects of the addition of 100 kg of urea ha⁻¹ on CH₄ oxidation (12).

These studies demonstrate the competitive nature of NH_4^+ inhibition of soil CH_4 oxidation and further implicate NO_2^- as a significant inhibitor, as previously noted (20, 28). Methanotrophic activity in soil has a higher affinity for NH_4^+ than that noted for pure methanotroph cultures. As found in other studies (1, 25), inhibition by NaNO₃ was evident only at concentrations at which salts had a similar inhibitory effect. This inhibition was not purely attributable to pH, and only the maximum catalytic rate of the enzyme was affected.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by an Agriculture Canada Green Plan contract to R.K. P.D. was supported by an Eco-Research (Canada) fellowship.

Many thanks to Chris Tauchner for endless routine analyses.

REFERENCES

- Adamsen, A. P. S., and G. M. King. 1993. Methane consumption in temperate and subarctic forest soils: rates, vertical zonation, and responses to water and nitrogen. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:485–490.
- Bédard, C., and R. Knowles. 1989. Physiology, biochemistry, and specific inhibitors of CH₄, NH₄⁺, and CO oxidation by methanotrophs and nitrifiers. Microbiol. Rev. 53:68–84.
- Bender, M., and R. Conrad. 1992. Kinetics of CH₄ oxidation in oxic soils exposed to ambient air or high CH₄ mixing ratios. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 101:261–270.
- Bosse, U., P. Frenzel, and R. Conrad. 1993. Inhibition of methane oxidation by ammonium in the surface layer of a littoral sediment. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 13:123–134.
- Bronson, K. F., and A. R. Mosier. 1993. Nitrous oxide emissions and methane consumption in wheat and corn-cropped systems in northeastern Colorado, p. 133–144. *In* L. A. Harper, A. R. Mosier, J. M. Duxbury, and D. E. Rolston (ed.), Agricultural ecosystem effects on trace gases and global climate change. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wis.
- Bronson, K. F., and A. R. Mosier. 1994. Suppression of methane oxidation in aerobic soil by nitrogen fertilizers, nitrification inhibitors, and urease inhibitors. Biol. Fertil. Soils 17:263–268.
- Carlsen, H. N., L. Joergensen, and H. Degn. 1991. Inhibition by ammonia of methane utilization in *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath). Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 35:124–127.
- Conrad, R. 1984. Capacity of aerobic microorganisms to utilize and grow on atmospheric trace gases (H₂, CO, CH₄), p. 461–467. *In* M. J. Klug and C. A. Reddy (ed.), Current perspectives in microbial ecology: proceedings of the Third International Symposium on Microbial Ecology. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Conrad, R., and F. Rothfuss. 1991. Methane oxidation in the soil surface layer of a flooded rice field and the effect of ammonium. Biol. Fertil. Soils 12:28–32.
- Crill, P. M., P. J. Martikainen, H. Nykänen, and J. Silvola. 1994. Temperature and N fertilization effects on methane oxidation in a drained peatland soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 26:1331–1339.
- 11. Dalton, H. 1977. Ammonia oxidation by the methane oxidising bacterium

Methylococcus capsulatus strain Bath. Arch. Microbiol. 114:273-279.

- 12. Dunfield, P. F., É. Topp, C. Archambault, and R. Knowles. Effect of nitrogen fertilizers and moisture content on CH₄ and N₂O fluxes in a humisol: measurements in the field and intact soil cores. Biogeochemistry, in press.
- Duxbury, J. M., and A. R. Mosier. 1993. Status and issues concerning agricultural emissions of greenhouse gases, p. 229–258. *In* T. E. Drennen and H. M. Kaiser (ed.), Agricultural dimensions of global climate change. St. Lucie Press, Delray Beach, Fla.
- Ferenci, T., T. Strøm, and J. R. Quayle. 1975. Oxidation of carbon monoxide and methane by *Pseudomonas methanica*. J. Gen. Microbiol. 91:79–91.
- Hansen, S., J. E. Mæhlum, and L. R. Bakken. 1993. N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in soil influenced by fertilization and tractor traffic. Soil Biol. Biochem. 25:621– 630.
- Hubley, J. H., A. W. Thompson, and J. F. Wilkinson. 1975. Specific inhibitors of methane oxidation in *Methylosinus trichosporium*. Arch. Microbiol. 102: 199–202.
- Hütsch, B. W., C. P. Webster, and D. S. Powlson. 1993. Long-term effects of nitrogen fertilization on methane oxidation in soil of the Broadbalk wheat experiment. Soil Biol. Biochem. 25:1307–1315.
- Jollie, D. R., and J. D. Lipscomb. 1991. Formate dehydrogenase from *Meth*ylosinus trichosporium OB3b: purification and spectroscopic characterization of the cofactors. J. Biol. Chem. 266:21853–21863.
- King, G. M., and S. Schnell. 1994. Effect of increasing atmospheric methane concentration in ammonium inhibition of soil methane consumption. Nature (London) 370:282–284.
- King, G. M., and S. Schnell. 1994. Ammonium and nitrite inhibition of methane oxidation by *Methylobacter albus* BG8 and *Methylosinus trichosporium* OB3b at low methane concentrations. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60: 3508–3513.
- Knowles, R., and E. Topp. 1988. Some factors affecting nitrification and the production of nitrous oxide by the methanotrophic bacterium *Methylo*sinus trichosporium OB3b, p. 383–393. In G. Giovannozzi-Sermanni and

P. Nannipieri (ed.), Current perspectives in environmental biogeochemistry. C.N.R.-I.R.P.A., Rome.

- McCarty, G. W., and J. M. Bremner. 1991. Inhibition of nitrification in soil by gaseous hydrocarbons. Biol. Fertil. Soils 11:231–233.
- Megraw, S. R., and R. Knowles. 1989. Methane-dependent nitrate production by a microbial consortium enriched from a cultivated humisol. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 62:359–366.
- Mosier, A., D. Schimel, D. Valentine, K. Bronson, and W. Parton. 1991. Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in native, fertilized, and cultivated grasslands. Nature (London) 350:330–332.
- Nesbit, S. P., and G. A. Breitenbeck. 1992. A laboratory study of factors affecting methane uptake by soils. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 41:39–54.
- Ojima, D. S., D. W. Valentine, A. R. Mosier, W. J. Parton, and D. S. Schimel. 1993. Effect of land use change on methane oxidation in temperate forest and grassland soils. Chemosphere 26:675–685.
- O'Neill, J. G., and J. F. Wilkinson. 1977. Oxidation of ammonia by methaneoxidising bacteria and the effects of ammonia on methane oxidation. J. Gen. Microbiol. 100:407–412.
- Schnell, S., and G. M. King. 1994. Mechanistic analysis of ammonium inhibition of atmospheric methane consumption in forest soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 60:3514–3521.
- Segel, I. H. 1975. Enzyme kinetics: behaviour and analysis of rapid equilibrium and steady-state enzyme systems. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Steudler, P. A., R. D. Bowden, J. M. Melillo, and J. D. Aber. 1989. Influence of nitrogen fertilization on methane uptake in temperate forest soils. Nature (London) 341:314–316.
- Ward, B. B. 1987. Kinetic studies on ammonia and methane oxidation by Nitrosococcus oceanus. Arch. Microbiol. 147:126–133.
- Ward, B. B., and K. A. Kilpatrick. 1990. Relationship between substrate concentration and oxidation of ammonium and methane in a stratified water column. Continental Shelf Res. 10:1193–1208.