# Effect on postural sway of various benzodiazepine tranquillizers

# A. PATAT & P. FOULHOUX

Institut Roussel Uclaf, 102 Route de Noisy, BP 9, 93 230 Romainville, France

1 The effects of various benzodiazepine tranquillizers (clobazam 20 mg, bromazepam 6 mg and lorazepam 2 mg) were investigated by posturography in 16 subjects in a controlled trial. Twelve received each of the three anxiolytics for 1 week in a cross-over design, four received placebo for 1 week during the three successive treatment periods. A pharmacodynamic study was carried out after the first administration, and another assessment was done after 1 week of treatment.

2 The first administration of lorazepam caused the most marked disturbances of body sway (increase of spectral energies, length and amplitude of the stabilogram).

3 The first administration of bromazepam was also accompanied by an increase of the posturographic parameters, although less marked.

4 Administration of clobazam did not produce any impairment of equilibrium, indicating that it is devoid of any sedative effect measurable by posturography.

5 No changes of the postural sway can be detected on the measurement recorded 10 h after the last dose of 1 week's treatment.

Keywords benzodiazepine clobazam lorazepam bromazepam posturography psychomotor performance

# Introduction

It is now possible to measure the orthostatic postural activity objectively and precisely by means of vertical force platforms (posturography). The projection of the centre of gravity of a subject standing upright and stationary is in perpetual movement about a mid-position, and the precision with which the automatic equilibration system maintains this point close to the mid-position depends on the state of arousal of the subject. The recording of orthostatic posture therefore appears to be a useful tool for monitoring the changes in general arousal of a subject under the effect of psychotropic drugs.

Clobazam is a 1.5 benzodiazepine possessing a rapid and long-lasting anxiolytic activity (Barzaghi *et al.*, 1973; Hunt *et al.*, 1974) and causing only a few sedative effects, in contrast to other benzodiazepines (Berry *et al.*, 1974; Borland & Nicholson, 1974; Hindmarch, 1979a, b; Hindmarch et al., 1977; Salkind et al., 1979; Taeuber et al., 1979; Wittenborn et al., 1979; Sittig et al., 1982). Its serum peak occurs 2–3 h after oral absorption. Its elimination half-life is of the order of 18 h and elimination occurs principally in the metabolised form in the urine. Its main metabolite, desmethylclobazam, also possesses anxiolytic activity and its elimination half-life is of the order of 42 h (Rupp et al., 1979).

This anxiolytic was compared with two other compounds of the same therapeutic class, bromazepam and lorazepam.

Bromazepam is a 1.4 benzodiazepine with an elimination half-life of the order of 20 h (Kaplan et al., 1976). It is eliminated principally in the metabolised form in the urine. Its main metabolite, 3-hydroxybromazepam, possesses anxiolytic properties, but is devoid of clinical effects as it is very rapidly eliminated.

Correspondence: Dr A. Patat, Institut Roussel Uclaf, 102 Route de Noisy, BP9, 93 230 Romainville, France

Lorazepam is a 1.4 benzodiazepine with an elimination half-life of the order of 15 h (Greenblatt *et al.*, 1979a, b). It is eliminated principally in the glucuro-conjugated form in the urine. Its metabolites are devoid of pharmacological activity.

Kinetic steady state, for these two drugs, as for clobazam, is reached after less than 8 days' treatment.

The purpose of this study was to attempt to assess objectively the sedative effects of these three drugs used at a current dosage in ambulatory treatment.

The dose regimen was chosen from the manufacturers prescribing information as available in France (Vidal, 1983), but as far as current practice in the United Kingdom is concerned, these doses are not necessarily considered to be equally potent.

# Methods

### Subjects

Sixteen healthy consenting ambulant subjects (eight females and eight males with a mean age of 25 years, a mean height of 170.75 cm and a mean weight of 62.43 kg) were admitted to the study. All of them were free of any disorders which might disturb either measurements (cerebellar or vestibular syndrome, past history of head injury) or the effects of the drug (hepatic or renal disorder). They refrained from any other medication (with the exception of the contraceptive pill for three women) during all the study. In addition, for the 24 h preceding the test session, they abstained from taking stimulants (coffee, tea, coca-cola, tobacco) as well as alcohol.

# Study procedure

This was a controlled trial carried out on a double-blind basis, over a period of 36 days, in two parallel groups. In the first one (12 subjects), each volunteer received the three benzodiazepine tranquillizers (clobazam 20 mg, bromazepam 6 mg and lorazepam 2 mg) for 1 week in a cross over design. In the second one (four subjects), each volunteer received placebo for 1 week at the three successive treatment periods. The three sequences of treatment were separated by 1 week wash out interval.

The four compounds were packaged in identical capsules. The daily dosage was one capsule, administered at 08.00 h on an empty stomach the first day (in order to perform a pharmacodynamic study) and at 22.00 h from the second to the seventh day of each week's treatment.

The order of the drug's administration and the placebo group's allocation were determined by balanced drawing lots of randomised permutations. A pharmacodynamic study was performed after the administration of the first dose of each compound. Three posturographic recordings were done: a reference one (H0) at 07.30 h before the administration of the compound and two others, 2 and 4 h (H2 and H4) after the administration of the compound (i.e. at about 10.00 h and 12.00 h). Another posturographic measurement was done on the eighth day (D8) at 08.00 h (i.e. about 10 h after the last dose of the compound), after 1 week of treatment when steady state had been reached.

### Assessment criteria: posturography

When standing at rest, the body is never completely stationary: it sways permanently in accordance with specific, complex rhythms, the amplitude and frequency of which allow for the different sensorimotor systems that locate and maintain the centre of gravity within the polygon of support. These movements, which allow for postural control, are of a very small amplitude and the subject is normally unaware of them. However, it is now possible to record them without difficulty and this is the purpose of posturography.

The apparatus is composed of a square platform  $(50 \times 50 \text{ cm})$  made up of two lightweight alloy plates, which are sensitive to variations in pressure, and on which the subject stands upright. Four test elements are fixed to the four sides of the platform (front, back, left and right) and two pressure transducers (strain gauges) attached to them. The test elements are connected in pairs along the antero-posterior and right-left diagonals, forming two Wheastone bridges. The platform was calibrated using masses of known weight in all four directions. The precision was of the order of 1% (Patat, 1982).

A reference point was fixed to the centre of the platform so that the subject's feet could be exactly positioned on a repetitive basis in order to obtain reliable meaurements (Seidel *et al.*, 1978a, b). Subjects stood erect and motionless staring at a fixed point about 3 m in front of them, without shoes, arms by their sides, feet at an angle of 30°. The posturographic recordings lasted about 2 min (1 min eyes open; followed by 1 min eyes closed). This length of time prevented interference with the tracings from muscle fatigue (Gantchev *et al.*, 1971; Yamamoto & Iido, 1979). These conditions complied with the recommendations proposed by the International Society of Posturography (Kapteyn et al., 1983). The variations in pressure, while standing erect, detected by the transducers were then transformed into electrical signals, amplified and stored on an analog tape recorder, as two separate tracings. These signals corresponding to the sagittal and lateral body sway are named stabilograms (Figure 1). The data processing was done using a PDP 11/34 computer. The analog signals were converted into 1024 bites at a sampling frequency of 20 Hz (i.e. duration of 51.20 s). The signals were then normalized and filtered on a high pass filter with a cut-off frequency of 0.05 Hz to suppress the continuous residual frequency which might disturb the low frequency analysis (Seidel et al., 1978a). Lastly power spectral density was calculated (Figure 1).

This kind of analysis was chosen because it has the advantage of better highlighting the different mechanisms governing equilibrium. The lower frequencies (less than 0.5 Hz) of the posturographic signal give a good illustration of the permanent movements of the centre of gravity's projection within the polygon of support of balance and mainly reflects the influence of vestibular input (Njiokiktjien, 1971; De Wit, 1972; Kapteyn, 1972, 1973; Kapteyn & de Wit, 1972; Gurfinkel, 1973). In the higher frequency band (ranging from 0.5 to 2 Hz) the signal reflects postural readjustment mainly of muscular origin (Njiokiktjien, 1971; Gurfinkel, 1973; Aggashian et al., 1973; Mauritz & Dietz, 1980). In addition, patients suffering from cerebellar syndrome exhibit an energy peak in the region of 3 Hz (Dichgans et al., 1976; Njiokiktjien et al., 1978; Diener et al., 1984). Parameters were calculated for the four spectra (principal peak amplitude, total energy which accounts for the overall postural activity, slow energies under 0.5 Hz and faster energies from 0.5 to 2 Hz) and for the stabilograms (total length defined as the sway path travelled by the centre of foot pressure on the platform and standard deviation).

It should be noted that the body sway tracings

retain the same characteristics over several recordings conducted under identical conditions, in particular, where the amplitude of the sway is concerned, in respect of their frequency (Bessineton *et al.*, 1976) and their energies (Seidel *et al.*, 1978a, b; Spaepen *et al.*, 1979). Intraindividual differences are therefore slight and quantification of posturographic recording enables very precise assessment of each individual's balance characteristics. However, there are some fairly major inter-individual differences which are indicative of the predominance of one or other of the sensori-motor mechanisms involved in maintaining balance and which are characteristic of each subject.

#### Statistical analysis

The root mean square of the posturographic was calculated in order to ensure a distribution closer to the 'normal' one. Analysis of variance testing the drug and the time effects, was performed on an equilibrium index which provides a more general assessment of the postural activity. It consisted of the sum of the sagittal and lateral posturographic parameters in the two conditions eyes open and eyes closed. The pairwise comparisons of the means were done using the Student Newman Keuls method with the level of significance fixed at 0.05.

#### Results

No statistical analysis was done on the data calculated from the spectra of the four subjects, who received placebo during the three sessions, because of the small number in this group. The data were only considered for descriptive purposes and this enabled the good reproducibility of the measurement over time to be demonstrated.

The analysis of the results from the treated group showed, mainly after the first dose, a significant increase of the posturographic parameters for the measurements with eyes open



Figure 1 (a) Stabilogram: recording of body sway in the standing posture. (b) Power spectral density of stabilogram.

Table 1 The mean values	and s.d. of the	e root mean sq	uare of balanc	e index (sum o	of sagittal and	lateral posturo	ographic paran	neters)		
Eyes open	Before treatment	H2 C	lobazam 20 m, H4	g D8	Br H2	omazepam 6 n H4	ц D8	H2 La	orazepam 2 mg H4	D8
Total energy (0-0.5 Hz) energy band (0.5-2 Hz) energy band Principal peak amplitude Stabilogram s.d. Stabilogram length	$\begin{array}{c} 3.26(0.87)\\ 2.91(0.89)\\ 1.42(0.22)\\ 1.41(0.45)\\ 0.68(0.09)\\ 12.70(1.04) \end{array}$	3.62 (1.78) 3.35 (1.83) 1.27 (0.27) 1.64 (0.86) 0.71 (0.12) 1.2.59 (1.12)	3.30 (0.99) 2.95 (1.02) 1.43 (0.26) 1.51 (0.56) 0.67 (0.06) 1.2.69 (1.16)	$\begin{array}{c} 3.36(0.97)\\ 3.00(1.01)\\ 1.49(0.19)\\ 1.47(0.39)\\ 0.71(0.12)\\ 12.58(0.97)\end{array}$	5.12 (2.18) 4.85 (2.24) 1.51 (0.40) 2.40 (1.12) 0.79 (0.13) 13.07 (1.27)	4.33 (2.22) 3.95 (2.22) 1.96 (1.19) 0.75 (0.12) 13.01 (1.36)	3.81 (2.83) 3.45 (2.81) 1.54 (0.64) 1.73 (1.27) 0.72 (0.18) 1.2.81 (1.19)	8.32 (4.16) 8.01 (4.15) 2.12 (0.83) 4.21 (2.50) 0.91 (0.17) 13.73 (1.19)	5.68 (2.38) 5.68 (2.39) 1.78 (0.63) 2.85 (1.53) 0.82 (0.17) 13.39 (1.15)	$\begin{array}{c} 3.44(1.12)\\ 3.08(1.14)\\ 1.47(0.36)\\ 1.56(0.66)\\ 0.71(0.11)\\ 12.64(1.10)\end{array}$
<i>Eyes closed</i> Total energy (0–0.5 Hz) energy band (0.5–2 Hz) energy band Principal peak amplitude Stabilogram s.d. Stabilogram length	$\begin{array}{c} 4.19 (1.22) \\ 3.62 (1.14) \\ 2.05 (0.53) \\ 1.52 (0.50) \\ 0.72 (0.13) \\ 13.42 (0.78) \end{array}$	5.35 (2.45) 4.75 (2.30) 2.30 (1.24) 2.07 (1.05) 0.79 (0.17) 13.59 (1.31)	4.41 (1.78) 3.92 (1.54) 1.94 (1.03) 1.83 (0.82) 0.75 (0.15) 13.26 (1.35)	$\begin{array}{c} 4.73(1.31)\\ 4.27(1.29)\\ 2.02(0.45)\\ 1.87(0.50)\\ 0.77(0.11)\\ 13.29(0.62)\\ \end{array}$	6.27 (3.60) 5.75 (3.54) 2.34 (1.13) 2.50 (1.51) 0.80 (0.21) 14.06 (1.54)	5.25 (2.83) 4.71 (2.85) 2.17 (0.81) 2.19 (0.81) 0.69 (0.22) 13.58 (1.17)	4.44 (2.08) 3.96 (2.05) 1.94 (0.64) 1.74 (0.89) 0.72 (0.13) 13.58 (1.33)	9.10 (5.10) 8.38 (4.85) 3.36 (1.95) 3.84 (1.99) 0.95 (0.23) 15.02 (1.54)	7.29 (4.04) 6.55 (3.83) 3.10 (1.52) 3.14 (1.44) 0.90 (0.24) 14.41 (1.75)	5.27 (2.30) 4.69 (2.24) 2.31 (0.87) 2.04 (1.04) 0.77 (0.16) 13.79 (0.86)

atment effect). Pairwise comparisons of the treatments	azepam 2 mg) are calculated using the Student Newman	line are not significantly different
2 Results of ANOVA for each time session (1	clozabam $20 \text{ mg}, B = \text{bromazepam} 6 \text{ mg}$ and $L =$	method. Two treatments underlined by the sar
Tabk	(C =	Keul

	ОН	Eyes H2	open H4	D8	ОН	Eyes c H2	losed H4	D8
fotal energy	NS	L <u>BC</u> *	LBC*	NS	SN	LBC*	LBC*	NS
0-0.5 Hz) energy band	NS	L <u>B C</u> *	L <u>B C</u> *	NS	SN	L <u>BC</u> *	L <u>B C</u> *	NS
0.5-2 Hz) energy band	NS	L <u>BC</u> *	NS	NS	SN	L <u>B C</u> **	L <u>BC</u> *	SN
rincipal peak amplitude	NS	L <u>BC</u> *	LBC**	NS	NS	L <u>B C</u> *	L <u>B C</u> *	SN
itabilogram s.d.	NS	LBC*	LBC*	NS	NS	L <u>B C</u> *	<u>L B C</u> **	SN
itabilogram length	SN	LBC*	LBC**	NS	NS	L <u>B C</u> *	L <u>B C</u> **	NS

P < 0.05, P < 0.01

Eyes open	Clobazam	Bromazepam	Lorazepam
Total energy	NS	NS	$H2 > \underline{H4} > \underline{D8}  \underline{H0}^*$
(0-0.5 Hz) energy band	NS	H2 H4 D8 H0**	$H2 > H4 > D8 H0^*$
(0.5-2 Hz) energy band	<u>D8 H4 H0 H2**</u>	NS	<u>H2 H4</u> D8 H0*
Principal peak amplitude	NS	NS	$H2 > H4 > D8 H0^*$
Stabilogram s.d.	NS	NS	$H2 > H4 > D8 H0^*$
Stabilogram length	NS	NS	<u>H2 H4</u> > <u>D8 H0</u> *
Eyes closed			
Total energy	H2 D8 H4 H0**	<u>H2 H4</u> D8 H0**	<u>H2 H4 D8 H0*</u>
(0-0.5 Hz) energy band	H2 D8 H4 H0**	H2H4D8H0**	<u>H2 H4 D8</u> H0*
(0.5-2 Hz) energy band	NS	NS	<u>H2 H4 D8 H0</u> *
Principal peak amplitude	H2 D8 H4 H0**	H2 D8 H4 H0**	<u>H2 H4 &gt; D8 H0</u> *
Stabilogram s.d.	NS	NS	<u>H2 H4 &gt; D8 H0</u> *
Stabilogram length	NS	NS	<u>H2 H4</u> D8 H0*

**Table 3** Results of ANOVA for each treatment (time effect). Pairwise comparisons of the times (H0, H2, H4and D8) are calculated using the Student Newman Keuls method. Two times, underlined with the same line, arenot significantly different

\**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01

and, particularly, with eyes closed (Tables 1, 2 and 3, Figure 2). The drug which caused the most pronounced disturbances was lorazepam. Body sway recordings differed significantly after the first dose of lorazepam from the pretreatment measurement. These changes induced by lorazepam at H2 and H4 were so marked that this drug can also be significantly distinguished from clobazam and bromazepam. The modifications produced by bromazepam was intermediate between those induced by lorazepam and clobazam. Only the measurement taken 2 h after administration was significantly different from the pretreatment one, particularly for the total energy and the slow energies. The administration of clobazam produced the least changes in body sway.

Lastly, no significant modification of equilibrium was observed after a week of treatment by each of the three anxiolytics studied.

This trial allowed the three anxiolytics studied to be classified in decreasing order of sedative effect, as evidenced by the changes in orthostatic postural activity: lorazepam 2 mg, was accompanied by the most pronounced effects; bromazepam 6 mg, intermediate and clobazam 20 mg, induced the least marked alterations.

#### Discussion

This study confirmed the results of previous trials carried out mainly by means of tests exploring psychomotor performance and cognitive functions, which had shown the lack of any side effects of clobazam on arousal (Berry et al., 1974; Borland & Nicholson, 1974; Hindmarch, 1979a, b; Hindmarch et al., 1977; Salkind et al., 1979; Taeuber et al., 1979; Wittenborn et al., 1979; Sittig et al., 1982). Acute or chronic administration of 20 mg clobazam, in this study, was not accompanied by any identifiable effect on balance. In contrast, the first dose of bromazepam and, in particular, of lorazepam produced a marked enhancement of the index of equilibrium, eyes open and eyes closed. This might reflect rapid absorption and distribution of the drug, causing rapid onset of clinical effects with a reduction in anxiety, but equally well might be evidence of unwanted effects with, in particular, reduced arousal, drowsiness and muscle fatigue (Greenblatt et al., 1981).

However, these unwanted effects do not necessarily increase in parallel with the elevation in plasma levels obtained after repeated administrations. In fact, rapid habituation, principally in as far as the sedative effects are concerned, may be observed in the case of long-term administration and be accompanied by attenuation or even disappearance of the unwanted effects (Wittenborn *et al.*, 1979; Aranko *et al.*, 1983).

This study clearly differentiated lorazepam from clobazam by its pronounced sedative effects, especially after a single dose, and corroborated the results observed by Hindmarch & Gudgeon (1980) and Siegfried *et al.* (1981) in psychomotor performance. A number of other studies have also reported major sedative effects accompanied



**Figure 2** Evolution of the mean values of balance index (sum of the sagittal and lateral spectral energies of body sway) after an acute administration of each tranquillizer (H2: 2 h; and H4: 4h) and after repeated administration for a week (D8: Day 8).  $\blacksquare$  before treatment,  $\Box$  clobazam 20 mg,  $\blacksquare$  bromazepam 6 mg and,  $\blacksquare$  lorazepam 2 mg.

by disturbances of psychomotor performance after the administration of lorazepam (Bell *et al.*, 1973; Seppala *et al.*, 1976; File & Bond, 1979; Seppala *et al.*, 1982). Bromazepam is in an intermediate position. It differed significantly neither from lorazepam nor from clobazam, but, 2 h after the initial dose, it caused a significant enhancement of postural sway compared with the pre-treatment measurement. This confirmed the disturbances of psychomotor performance already noted during previous studies (Saario, 1976; Saario & Seppala, 1976; Hobi *et al.*, 1982).

#### References

- Aggashyan, R. V., Gurfinkel, V. S., Mamasakuhlisou, G. V. & Elner, A. M. (1973). Changes in spectral and correlation characteristics of human stabilograms at muscles afferentiation disturbance. *Agressologie*, 14, D, 5–9.
- Aranko, K., Mattila, M. J. & Seppala, T. (1983). Development of tolerance and cross tolerance to the psychomotor actions of lorazepam and diazepam in man. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 15, 545–552.
- Barzaghi, F., Fournex, R. & Mantegazza, P. (1973). Pharmacological and toxicological properties of clobazam. (1-phenyl-5-methyl-7-chloro-1,3,4,5tetrahydro-2,4-diketo-3H-1,5-benzodiazepine): a new psychotherapeutic agent. Arzneimittel-Forschung (Drug Res.), 23, 683–686.
- Bell, R., Dickie, D. S., Stewart-Jones, J. & Turner, P. (1973). Lorazepam on visuomotor coordination and visual function in man. J. Pharm. Pharmac., 25, 87-88.
- Berry, P. A., Burtles, R., Grubb, D. J. & Hoare, M. V. (1974). An evaluation of the effects of clobazam on human motor coordination, mental acuity and mood. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 1, 346P.
- Bessineton, J. C., Bizzo, G., Pacifici, M. & Baron, J. B. (1976). Statokinésigramme, taille, poids, sexe, reproductibilité. Agressologie, 17, B, 49-54.
- Borland, R. G. & Nicholson, A. N. (1974). Immediate effects on human performance of a 1-5 benzodiazepine (clobazam) compared with the 1-4-benzodiazepines, chlordiazepoxide hydrochloride and diazepam. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 2, 215–221.
- De Wit, G. (1972). Analysis of the stabilographic curves. Agressologie, 13, C, 79-83.
- Dichgans, J., Mauritz, K. H., Allum, J. H. J. & Brandt, TH. (1976). Postural sway in normals and atactic patients: analysis of the stabilizing and destabilizing effect of vision. *Agressologie*, 17, C, 15-24.
- Diener, H. C., Dichgans, J., Bacher, M. & Gompf, B. (1984). Quantification of postural sway in normals and patients with cerebellar diseases. *EEG clin. Neurophys.*, 57, 132–142.
- File, S. E. & Bond, A. S. (1979). Impaired performance and sedation after a single dose of lorazepam. *Psychopharmacology*, 66, 309–313.
- Gantchev, G., Baron, J. B., Dounev, S. & Draganova, N. (1971). Les oscillations du corps étudiées chez des sujets yeux ouverts et yeux fermés. C.R. Soc. Biol., 169, 1237-1241.
- Greenblatt, D. J., Allen, M. D. (1979b). Single and multiple dose kinetics of oral lorazepam in humans. J. Pharmacokin. Biopharm., 7, 159–179.
- Greenblatt, D. J., Shader, R. I. (1979a). Pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of intravenous, intramuscular and oral lorazepam in humans. J. pharm. Sci., 68, 57–63.
- Greenblatt, D. J., Shader, R. I., Divoll, M. & Harmatz, J. S. (1981). Benzodiazepines: a summary of pharmacokinetic properties. *Br. J. clin. Pharmac.*, 11, 11S-16S.

- Gurfinkel, V. S. (1973). Physical foundations of stabilography. Agressologie, 14, C, 9-14.
- Hindmarch, I. (1979a). Some aspects of the effects of clobazam on human psychomotor performance. *Br. J. clin. Pharmac.*, 7, 77S–82S.
- Hindmarch, I. (1979b). A preliminary study of the effects of repeated doses of clobazam on aspects of performance, arousal and behaviour in a group of anxiety rate volunteers. *Eur. J. clin. Pharmac.*, 16, 17–21.
- Hindmarch, I. & Gudgeon, A. C. (1980). The effects of clobazam and lorazepam on aspects of psychomotor performance and car handling ability. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 10, 145–150.
- Hindmarch, I., Hanks, G. W. & Hewett, A. J. (1977). Clobazam, a 1-5 benzodiazepine and car-driving ability. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 4, 573–578.
- Hobi, V., Dubach, U. C., Skreta, M., Forgo, J. & Riggenbach, H. (1982). The subacute effect of bromazepam on psychomotor activity and subjective mood. J. int. med. Res., 10, 140–146.
- Hunt, B. J., George, A. J. & Ridges, A. P. (1974). Preliminary studies in humans on clobazam a new anti-anxiety agent. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 1, 174P– 175P.
- Kaplan, S. A., Jack, M. L. et al. (1976). Biopharmaceutical and clinical pharmacokinetic profile of Bromazepam. J. Pharmacokin. Biopharm., 4, 1-16.
- Kapteyn, T. S. (1972). Data processing of posturographic curves. Agressologie, 13, B, 29-34.
- Kapteyn, T. S. (1973). *Het Staan van de Mens*. Thesis: Free University Amsterdam.
- Kapteyn, T. S., Bles, W. & Njiokiktjien, CH. (1983). Standardization in platform stabilometry being a part of posturography. *Agressologie*, 24, 321– 326.
- Kapteyn, T. S. & De Wit, G. (1972). Posturography as an auxiliary in vestibular investigation. Acta Otolaryngo, 73, 104–111.
- Mauritz, K. H. & Dietz, V. (1980). Characteristics of postural instability induced by ischemic blocking of leg afferents. *Exp. Brain. Res.*, 38, 117–119.
- Njiokiktjien, CH. (1971). Statokinesimetrische registratie van het houdingsevenwicht. Thesis: Free University Amsterdam.
- Njiokiktjien, CH., De Rijke, W. (1978). A possible contribution of stabilography to the differential diagnostic of cerebellar processes. *Agressologie*, 19, B, 87–88.
- Patat, A. (1982). Stabilogramme et équilibre en gériatrie. Application à la pharmacologie clinique du RU 24.722. Thèse de Doctorat de Medecine: Université Pierre et Marie Curie (Paris VI) Faculté de Médecine Broussais, Hotel dieu.
- Rupp, W., Badian, M. & Christ, O. (1979). Pharmacokinetics of single and multiple doses of clobazam in humans. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 7, 51S-67S.
- Saario, I. (1976). Psychomotor skills during subacute treatment with thioridazine and bromazepam, and

their combined effects with alcohol. Ann. clin. Res., 8, 117-123.

- Saario, I. & Seppala, T. (1976). Dose response effect of bromazepam on psychomotor skills. Eur. J. clin. Pharmac., 13, 419–422.
- Salkind, M. R., Hanks, G. W. & Silverstone, J. T. (1979). Evaluation of the effects of clobazam, a 1-5 benzodiazepine, on mood and psychomotor performance in clinically anxious patients in general practice. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 7, 113S-118S.
- Seidel, H., Brauer, D., Bastek, R. & Issel, I. (1978a). On the quantitative characterization of human body sway in experiments with long term performances. Acta Biol. Med. Germ., 37, 1551–1561.
- Seidel, H., Brauer, D., Bastek, R. & Issel, I. (1978b). On the reproducibility and changes of stabilograms in investigations with long term performances. *Aggressologie*, **19**, B, 93–94.
- Seppala, T., Aranko, K., Mattila, M. J. & Schrotriya, R. C. (1982). Effects of alcohol on Buspirone and Lorazepam actions. *Clin. Pharmac. Ther.*, 32, 201–207.
- Seppala, T., Kortilla, K., Hakkinen, S. & Linnoila, M. (1976). Residual effects and skills related to driving after a single oral administration of diazepam, medazepam and lorazepam. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 3, 831-841.
- Siegfried, K., Koeppen, D., Taeuber, K., Badian, M., Malerczyk, V. & Sittig, W. (1981). A double blind comparison of the acute effects of clobazam and lorazepam on memory and psychomotor functions. Roy. Soc. Med., Intern. Congress and Symp., 43, 119–123.

- Sittig, W., Badian, M., Rupp, W. & Taeuber, K. (1982). Performance tests and pharmaco EEG after 1,4, and 1,5 benzodiazepines. In *EEG in drug research*, eds Fisher, G. & Herrmann, W. M., pp. 15–129. New York: Stuttgart.
- Spaepen, A. J., Fortuin, J. M. & Willems, E. J. (1979). Comparison of the movements of the center of gravity and of the center of pressure in stabilometric studies. Comparison with Fourier Analysis. Agressologie, 20, B, 115-126.
- Taeuber, K., Badian, M., Bretteli, H. F., Royen, TH., Rupp, W., Sittig, W. & Uihlein, M. (1979). Kinetic and dynamic interaction of clobazam and alcohol. *Br. J. clin. Pharmac.*, 7, 91S–97S.
- Vidal dictionnaire (1983). French drug directory, Ed. 11 rue Quentin Bauchart, 75384 Paris Cedex 08-1983.
- Wittenborn, J. R., Flahert, C. F., McGough, W. E. & Nash, R. J. (1979). Psychomotor changes during initial day of benzodiazepine medication. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 7, 69S-76S.
- Yamamoto, T. & Iido, H. (1979). Quantitative analysis of postural sway during standing and its application. J. Science of Labour, 55, 205–514.

(Received 13 December, 1984, accepted 3 March, 1985)