- Jusko, W. J., Schentag, J. J., Clark, J. H., Gardner, M. & Yurchak, A. M. (1978). Enhanced biotransformation of theophylline in marihuana and tobacco smokers. *Clin. Pharmac. Ther.*, 24, 406–410.
- Kollberg, B., Nordemar, R. & Johansson, C. (1981). Gastrointestinal protection by low-dose oral prostaglandin E_2 in rheumatic diseases. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.*, **16**, 1005–1008.
- Konturek, S. J., Kwiecien, N., Swierczek, J., Olesky, J., Sito, E. & Robert, A. (1976). Comparison of methylated prostaglandin E₂ analogues given orally in the inhibition of gastric responses to pentagastrin and peptone meal in man. *Gatroenterology*, **70**, 683–687.
- Landay, R. A., Gonzalez, M. A., Taylor, J. C. (1978): Effect of phenobarbital on theophylline disposition. J. Allergy clin. Immunol., 62, 27–29.
- Mangione, A., Imhoff, T. E. & Lee, R. V. (1978). Pharmacokinetics of theophylline in hepatic disease. Chest, 73, 616–622.
- Peterson, W., Feldman, M., Taylor, I. & Bremer, M. (1979). The effect of 15(R)-15-methyl prostaglandin E_2 on meal-stimulated gastric acid secretion, serum gastrin, and pancreatic polypeptide in duodenal ulcer patients. *Dig. Dis. Sci.*, 24, 381–384.
- Piafsky, K. M., Sitar, D. S., Rangno, R. E. & Ogilvie, R. I. (1977a). Theophylline disposition in patients with hepatic cirrhosis. *New Engl. J. Med.*, 296, 1495–1498.
- Piafsky, K. M., Sitar, D. S., Rangno, R. E. & Ogilvie, R. I. (1977b). Theophylline kinetics in acute pulmonary edema. *Clin. Pharmac. Ther.*, 21, 310– 316.

- Prince, R. A., Wing, D. S. & Weinberger, M. M. (1981). Effect of erythromycin on theophylline kinetics. J. Allergy clin. Immunol., 48, 427–431.
- Renton, R. W., Gray, J. D. & Hung, O. R. (1981). Depression of theophylline elimination by erythromycin. *Clin. Pharmac. Ther.*, **30**, 422–426.
- Robert, A., Kane, G. & Reele, S. B. (1981). Dose response inhibition in man of meal-stimulated gastric acid secretion by 15(R)-15-methyl prostaglandin E₂ given orally. *Gut*, 22, 728–731.
- Robert, A., Schultz, J. R., Nëzamis, J. E., & Lancaster, C. (1976). Gastric antisecretory and antiulcer properties of PGE₂, 15-methyl PGE₂, and 16,16dimethyl PGE₂: intravenous, oral and intrajejunal administration. *Gastroenterology*, **70**, 359–370.
- Roberts, R. K., Grice, J., Wood, L. Petroff, V. & McGuffie, C. (1981). Cimetidine impairs the elimination of theophylline and antipyrine. *Gastroenterology*, 81, 19–21.
- Szczeklik, J., Szczeklik, A. & Nizankowski, R. (1980). Haemodynamic changes induced by prostacyclin in man. Br. Heart J., 44, 254–258.
- Thayssen, P., Secher, N. J. & Arnsbo, P. (1981). Systolic time intervals and haemodynamic changes during intravenous infusion of prostaglandins F_{2a} and E_2 , *Br. Heart J.*, **45**, 447–456.
- Vantrappen, G., Janssens, J., Popiela, T., Kulig, J., Tytgat, G. N. J., Himbregtse, K., Lambert, R., Pauchard, J. P. & Robert, A. (1982). Effect of 15(R)-15-methyl prostaglandin E₂ (arbaprostil) on the healing of duodenal ulcer: a double-blind multicenter study. *Gastroenterology*, 83, 357–363.

Hypotension in response to iloprost, a prostacyclin analogue

Epoprostenol (prostacyclin) has an antiaggregatory effect on human platelets which it was thought might be of potential benefit for patients with unstable angina pectoris. However, the usefulness of epoprostenol in this latter clinical situation was limited because it caused a reflex increase in heart rate, a rise in myocardial oxygen consumption and a worsening of angina (Bergman *et al.*, 1983a). Furthermore, epoprostenol has been shown to cause episodes of hypotension (Lichstein *et al.*, 1983).

Iloprost is a chemically stable synthetic analogue of epoprostenol which was thought to have a lesser vasodilator action and relatively greater anti-aggregatory effects on platelets (Bergman *et al.*, 1983b; Smith *et al.*, 1984). As a prelude to studying its usefulness in patients with unstable angina pectoris, we have evaluated its pharmacodynamics and tolerability in patients with stable coronary artery disease.

Six male patients with a mean age of 55 years (range 43–63) having routine coronary arteriography for severe angina, were studied after informed written consent had been obtained. The patients were premedicated with diamorphine 5 mg, atropine 0.6 mg and chlorpheniramine 10 mg i.m. prior to the study. After cardiac catheterization and angiography had been performed, a Swan-Ganz thermodilution catheter was introduced into the pulmonary artery via a subclavian vein. Iloprost was administered by intravenous infusion into a forearm vein at an initial dose of 1 ng kg⁻¹ min⁻¹. When this dose was not tolerated the infusion was

Correspondence: Professor C. F. George, Clinical Pharmacology Group, Medical and Biological Sciences Building, Bassett Crescent East, Southampton SO9 3TU.

1 (a) (b) (a) (b) (a) (b) (a) (b) (a) (b) (b) (c)	Patient	P1 (beats	ulse : min ⁻¹)	BP (m	un Hg)	Time from start of infusion to dose reduction (h)	Cardiac (1 m	: output in ⁻¹)	Duration of study (h)	Pulm. artery p (mm	onary ressure Hg)	PA H pres	vedge sure	Time from premedication to dose geduction or withdrawal (h)	Comments
2 76 80 13000 12070 2 4.2 5.9 24 206 196 5 3 3 76 104 130100 150110 8 4.9 7.6 24 258 277 7 8 4 68 70 12075 12580 3.5 3.4 4.1 24 2401 8 3 5 68 56 12585 9060 0.75 3.7 4.5 3.75 247 208 7 5 6 72 73 10 0.75 3.7 4.5 3.75 547 208 7 5 6 72 73 1080 12070 0.5 5.1 7.1 1.75 184 246 254.09 48±0.07 6 72 73 155.66 121±3.7 1184 246 25 5 5 5 6 73 75±0.10 0.5 <td< th=""><th> _</th><th>(a) 70</th><th>(4) 89</th><th>(a) 110/70</th><th>(b) 105/70</th><th> </th><th>(a) 5.5</th><th>(b) 5.7</th><th>24</th><th>(a) 26/9</th><th>(b) 23/9</th><th>4 (a)</th><th>(p)</th><th></th><th>No adverse</th></td<>	_	(a) 70	(4) 89	(a) 110/70	(b) 105/70		(a) 5.5	(b) 5.7	24	(a) 26/9	(b) 23/9	4 (a)	(p)		No adverse
3 76 104 130/100 150/10 8 4.9 7.6 24 258 27/7 7 8 4 68 70 12075 12580 3.5 3.4 4.1 24 24/1 8 3 5 68 56 125785 90/60 0.75 3.7 4.5 247 208 7 5 6 7 7 5.3 3.7 4.5 3.75 247 208 7 5 6 72 12070 0.75 3.7 4.5 3.75 247 208 7 5 6 72 72 1080 12070 0.5 5.1 7.1 1.75 184 246 2 5 5 6 72 72 1080 12070 0.5 5.1 7.1 1.75 184 246 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	2	76	8	130/90	120/70	7	4.2	5.9	24	20/6	19/6	S	æ	S	effects Hypotension at
4 68 70 12075 12580 3.5 3.4 4.1 24 29/12 24/1 8 3 5 68 56 12585 9060 0.75 3.7 4.5 3.75 24/7 208 7 5 6 72 72 72 11080 12070 0.5 5.1 7.1 1.75 184 246 2 5 5 Mean± 72 72 72 11080 12070 0.5 5.1 7.1 1.75 184 246 2 5 <td>e.</td> <td>76</td> <td>104</td> <td>130/100</td> <td>150/110</td> <td>œ</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>7.6</td> <td>24</td> <td>25/8</td> <td>717</td> <td>٢</td> <td>90</td> <td>12</td> <td>2 n. Dose reduced. Headache and</td>	e.	76	104	130/100	150/110	œ	4.9	7.6	24	25/8	717	٢	90	12	2 n. Dose reduced. Headache and
5 68 56 125/85 90/60 0.75 3.7 4.5 3.75 247 208 7 5 6 72 72 110/80 12070 0.5 5.1 7.1 1.75 184 246 2 5 Mean ± 72 ± 1.5 75 ± 6.6 121 ± 3.7 118 ± 8.2 4.5 ± 0.3 *5.8 ± 0.6 24 ± 1.6 23 ± 1.2 5.5 ± 0.9 4.8 ± 0.7 s.e. mean 22 ± 1.5 75 ± 6.6 121 ± 3.7 118 ± 8.2 4.5 ± 0.3 *5.8 ± 0.6 24 ± 1.6 23 ± 1.2 5.5 ± 0.9 4.8 ± 0.7	4	89	02	120/75	125/80	3.5	3.4	4.1	24	29/12	24/11	80	ю	٢	reduced. Nausea. Dose
6 72 72 11080 12070 0.5 5.1 7.1 1.75 184 246 2 5 Mean± 72±1.5 75±6.6 121±3.7 118±8.2 s.e.mean 83±4.4 77±7.1 3.5.8±0.6 24±1.6 23±1.2 5.5±0.9 4.8±0.7 7.7±1.1 7.8±0.8	S	8	S 6	125/85	90/60	0.75	3.7	4.5	3.75	24/7	20/8	٢	S	٢	reduced after 3.5 h Hypotension 0.75 h, and 2.5 h
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	ڡ	12	22	110/80	120/70	0.5	5.1	7.1	1.75	18/4	24/6	7	Ś	Ŋ	after lower dose commenced. Patient with- drawn. Headache. Nausea. Vomiting. With-
	Mean ± s.e. mean	72 ± 1.5	75 ± 6.6	121 ± 3.7 83 ± 4.4	118 ± 8.2 77 ± 7.1		4.5 ± 0.3	*5.8 ± 0.6		24 ± 1.6 7.7 ± 1.1	23 ± 1.2 7.8 ± 0.8	5.5 ± 0.9	4.8 ± 0.7		drawn after 1 h at lower dose.

242 Letters to the Editors

Br. J. clin. Pharmac. (1986), 21

reduced to $0.5 \text{ ng kg}^{-1} \text{min}^{-1}$. The haemodynamic changes and adverse effects which were encountered during the study are summarized in Table 1. Only one of the six patients tolerated the higher dose for the full 24 h study period. Three patients tolerated the lower dose, but two had to be withdrawn from the study.

At the end of the infusion cardiac output was significantly greater (P < 0.02) than in the control period. However, there were no statistically significant changes in heart rate, blood pressure, pulmonary artery pressure or pulmonary wedge pressure. Two patients became hypotensive with systolic blood pressures of 60 mm Hg at the higher dose. In one of these patients, the hypotension was accompanied by a sinus bradycardia of 42 beats min⁻¹. Both patients recovered rapidly after discontinuation of the infusion but the second required intravenous atropine to correct the sinus bradycardia. Platelet function, assessed by aggregometry (Born & Cross, 1963), was little affected by infusion of iloprost. Only in patient no. 3 was there a major effect on platelet aggregation (with a rebound increase 1 h after therapy was discontinued), but this was accompanied by a marked increase in

References

- Bergman, G., Kiff, P. S., Atkinson, L. & Jewitt, D. E. (1983a). Failure of intravenous prostacyclin to influence the initial progress of patients with unstable angina. *Circulation*, 68, Suppl. III, 397.
- Bergman, G., Kiff, P. S., Atkinson, L., Kerkez, S. & Jewitt, D. E. (1983b). Dissociation of platelet aggregation and vasodilatation with iloprost, a stable, orally active prostacyclin derivative. *Circulation*, 68, Supp III, 398.
- Born, G. V. R. & Cross, M. J. (1963). The aggregation of blood platetlets. J. Physiol., 168, 178–195.
- Lichstein, E., Linet, O. I., Mohberg, N. R., Rapaport, E., Molk, B. L., Muller, O. (1983). Prostacyclin in

heart rate and cardiac output and by headache. However, in the two patients who became hypotensive no significant effects were seen on the aggregability of their platelets.

We conclude that under the circumstances of our study, iloprost had a variable effect on platelet aggregability. When an effect was observed, it was less selective than that seen in previous studies in which iloprost was given for shorter periods of time (Bergman *et al.*, 1983b). Finally, iloprost can cause hypotension and a sudden unheralded sinus bradycardia as reported previously by Pickles & O'Grady (1982) for epoprostenol. Thus, in any future studies of iloprost, blood pressure and heart rate need to be carefully monitored.

J. W. UPWARD, H. A. STRONG, C. F. GEORGE, B. C. OGILVIE, V. CHALLENOR & N. CONWAY

Clinical Pharmacology, University of Southampton, and Wessex Cardiothoracic Centre, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton

Received 25 July 1985, accepted 11 October 1985

unstable angina. Circulation, 68, Suppl III, 397.

- Pickles, H. & O'Grady, J. (1982). Side effects occurring during administration of epoprostenol (prostacyclin, PGI₂), in man. Br. J. clin. Pharmac., 14, 177–185.
- Smith, E. F., Gallenkämper, W., Beckmann, R., Thomsen, T., Mannesmann, G. & Schrör, K. (1984). Early and late administration of a PGI₂analogue, ZK 36374 (iloprost): effects on myocardial preservation, collateral blood flow and infarct size. *Cardiovasc. Res.*, 18, 163–173.

Fenoldopam: effect on aldosterone secretion

We are interested by the recent report of Harvey et al. (1985) on the effects of a single oral dose of 100 mg fenoldopam on blood pressure and renal function in healthy subjects. These authors reported that PRA increased significantly 1 h after drug ingestion. Plasma aldosterone (PA) did not show a parallel increase although the plasma concentration at 1 h was significantly higher than after placebo. They explained this by hypothesizing that fenoldopam might have an independent effect inhibiting aldosterone secretion from the adrenal gland by an agonist action at dopamine receptors in the zona glomerulosa.

Evidence is indeed accumulating to suggest the existence of an inhibitory dopaminergic mechanism controlling aldosterone secretion (Aguilera *et al.*, 1984). There is general agreement that the acute administration of metoclopramide increases aldosterone secretion in most species studied (Aguilera *et al.*, 1984). The