BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL VOLUME 289 8 DECEMBER 1984 1585-1588

PRACTICE OBSERVED

Practice Research

Facilitating prevention in primary care

ELAINE FULLARD, GODFREY FOWLER, MUIR GRAY

Abstract

We believe that many general practitioners would practise preventive medicine if they had the opportunity to reganise their practice to do this. We therefore the provided a "facilitation," who understands the wave general practice, to help practices that were interested in prevention to set up programmes. She, for example, helped the primary care team to set up objectives, trained practice nurses to measure blood pressure, and set up a system to measure the progress of the programme.

Introduction

The scope for prevention in general practice has been described recently, the priorities being the prevention of vascular disease and cancer.\(^{2}\) A fevent report concluded that "about half of all strokes and a quarter of all deaths from coronary heart disease in people under the age 70 are probably preventiable by the application of existing knowledge.\(^{2}\) Because measures to prevent vascular disease include helping people to stops smoking there is also scope for preventing the one third of cases of cancer caused by cigarette smoking.\(^{2}\)

University Destructs of Community Medicine and General Redefices and Illustic Dyear Community Medicine. Redefiller Informacy, Oxford OX2 HHE Community Medicine. Redefiller Informacy, Oxford OX2 HHE CALINE FULLARD, BASE, NEXCENTURE In the Induction of Merican Activation of Heart Attack and Strote Project Oxford Prevention of Heart Attack and Strote Project Oxford Proje

Potential of the primary care team

Primary care is uniquely placed for carrying out prevention because: (i) it has extensive access to the population²; (ii) contacts are largely patient initiated and fear of disease may motivate



changes in behaviour; (m) consultations in primary care offer educational opportunities'; (m) primary care doctors are credible and trusted (R McCron, J Budd. Communication and health education. University of Leicester Centre for Mass Communication Research); (e) their is evidence of effectiveness.' The opportunities arising from the one million daily contacts in general practice in Britain may be exploited not only by doctors but by other members of the primary care team, notably practice nurses.


on up usus members of the primary care team, notably practice nurses.

General practitioners have been overwhelmed with exhortations to practice prevention. They have been urged to counsel smokers, identify people with high blood pressure, detect those at risk of cancer, prevent thid abuse, give dietary advice, measure blood lipids, care better for people with diabetes, and do many other preventive activities. There has been some response but there is need for more activity.\(^1\)

We believe that general practitioners are committed to the principles of prevention. Some have shown their interest by developing preventive programmes but most have not managed to do so.\(^1\text{-1}\)

Primary care teams are busy, and few have slack time during the week when members sit around wondering what to do. Nor is it possible for general practitioners to identify areas of work that could easily be discontinued. What primary care teams need is not more exhorations, or ere more knowledge to the continual easily be discontinued. What primary care teams need is not more exhorations, or ere more knowledge to the of "reinhurshle" staff, to practise preventive medicine. We therefore decided to offer primary care teams that were interested in prevention practical help to develop anticipatory care. This help was in the person of a "facilitator."

An individual with experience of primary care who could acquire the necessary preventive skills and help practices to learn the "tricks of the trade" seemed to be needed. The facilitator's job is to: (i) help the primary care team to discuss prevention and set objectives; (ii) help the general practitioners



BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL VOLUME 289 8 DECEMBER 1984

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL VOLUME 289 8 DECEMBER 1984 to recruit a practice nurse or increase existing nursing time; (mi) train the nurse in methods of prevention, including measuring blood pressure and giving advice on smoking and diet; (r) help other practice staff to learn how to ensure that individuals at risk may be opportunistically recruited to see the print risk cards (fig. 1), reminder labels (fig. 2), and explanatory letters, establish simple recall systems, and maintain supplies of health education materials; (r) organise meetings of doctors, nurses, receptionists, and other staff, and (rii) set up a system of audit to measure progress.

The facilitator does not provide a service commitment by seeing patients, except when demonstrating, skills. The primary additional energy required to change working patterns and is a source of information.

The emphasis throughout has been to give practical help to general practitioners, practice managers, secretaries, receptionists, and any other member of primary care teams in changing patterns of work.




FIG 3—Results of 10°, audits of recordings in the preceding five years conducted at practice A on 1 April 1982 and 11 June 1984.

Oxford Prevention of Heart Attack and Stroke Project
The basic measures adopted are inquiring and giving advice
about smoking, recording blood pressure, weighing, and giving
offered opportunistically and at appropriate intervals to patients
aged 35-64 years who attend the practice for any reason.
Information recorded on patients' notes about cigarette
smoking, blood pressure, and weight is the "outcome" measurement. This is, of course, a proxy measurement, far removed
from reduction in morbidity and mortality, which are the real
objectives of preventive medicine. But identifying risk is the
estential first studies. The first practice that implemented
this type of screening, based on a 10°, random sample of records
(validated by complete audit in some practices), are encouraging
(fig 3). Over 3000 people have so far been screened, but detailed
analysis and comparison with control practices must await
completion of the study.

Implications for health authorities

We believe that many general practitioners and primary care teams are committed to and would like to practise preventive medicine, but they need more than exhortation if they are to change their pattern of work. Only about 15% of general practitioners currently employ their full quota of saff for which they are reimbursed. The cost of employing a practice nurse to

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL VOLUME 289 8 DECEMBER 1984

do the work described can be covered by reimbursement by the family practitioner committee, tax relief, and fees received for only one cervical smear and one tetanus injection per doctor each week. In a practice of two doctors the cost after reincursement and tax relief for the 10 hours required to implement the prevention programme was only 17 a week (table). Health authorities can help to change work patterns in general practice. We suggest that the most cost effective way of doing this is to employ a facilitator. Our facilitator is a trained health administrative officer grade. She has visited others in the United Kingdom who are concerned in similar work, as well as local practices that have prevention programmes, and has acquired the necessary skills to pass on to primary care teams.

The expected independence of family practitioner committees, which will result from enactment of the Health and Social Security Bill, imphasises the importance of collaboration between the district health authority and family practitioner committee services in providing health care. Pattent care and health promotion are vitally dependent on such collaboration, and this protect is an example of how rhetoric may be transformed into action.

Cost of a practice nurse

Practice nurse employed for 10 hours per week: Nursing sister cade 11 (mids-point of the scale) that the property of the scale of the s

We thank the Chest, Heart, and Stroke Association for funding the project. We also thank all the members of the primary care teams that collaborated in our project, as well as Brenda Dry, Elizabeth Hagen, Claire Henry, Ginnie Herbert, Stella Mayers, Carolyne McKinlay, and Angela Mowforth for help with audit, analysis, and

References

1. Reyal College of Control Precisioners. Health and prevention in primary care. Royal College of Control Precisioners. Previous of settend divess in primary Care. Royal College of Control Precisioners. Previous of settend divess in primary Care. Royal College of Control Precisioners. Previous of growth College of Control Precisioners. Previous of growth Control College Observatory Press, 1981.

5. Carrynghi A., Anderson R. General praises remaind. A seasof mady of painters. Sont NCH, Davis R. H. The exception potential in each primary care control. Royal NAM, Wilson C., Taylor C., Baker CD., Effect of general precisioners. P. Rasald NAM, Wilson C., Taylor C., Baker CD., Effect of general precisioners. A controlled result of the precisioners of the precision of the Control Precisioners. Pressing previous Concending that Control Precisioners. Pressing previous Concending Control Precisioners. Pressing previous Pression in general Control Pressioners. Pressioners in general Control Precisioners. Pressioners in general Control Pressioners. Pressioners in general Control Pressioners. Press

west London B: Med J 1984;288:306:8;

J Coope J. Hypertension in general practice: what is to be done? B: Med J 1984;288:380-1.

J Joint Working Group on Collaboration between Family Practitioner Committees and District Health Authorities. Report. London: Department of Health and Social Security, April 1984.

Underprivileged areas: validation and distribution of scores

BRIAN IARMAN

Abstract
Underprivileged areas were identified by weighting several census variables that relate to social conditions, by using weights determined by means of a question-naire sent to one in 10 of the general practitioners in the United Kingdom. The weighted variables were added (after statistical manipulation) to give a score for added (after statistical manipulation) to give a score for five family practitioner committee areas and they were asked to shade the wards according to the degree to which the population increased their workload or the pressure on their services. Maps of these same areas were then prepared by using the calculated scores with the cut off points between the worst, the intermediate, may be added to the services of maps were the present of the transitioners of the practitioners. The two sets of maps were them present of the determine how well the maps that were based on scores agreed with the general practitioners' maps showing their assessment of the variation of workload in their areas.

Overall, 6.3% of the wards differed in shading in any way between the two sets of maps. In the three areas where the general practitioners shaded complete wards

Department of General Practice, St Mary's Hospital Medical School, Lisson Grove Health Centre, London NW8 8EG BRIAN JARMAN, MRCP, FRCGP, professor of primary health care

and did not report having difficulties with shading only 12% of the wards differed.
It may be possible to use these "underprivileged area" scores to indicate where problems occur for general practitioners and to extend this work to other primary health care workers.

Introduction and method

Underprivileged area scores were calculated for each of the 96 family practitioner committee areas in England (90) and Wales (eight) using eight census variables from the 1981 to which general practitioners nationally considered that each factor—for example, proportion of elderly people living alone—increases their workload or pressure on their services when present in their area. The weightings were determined by means of a questionnaire sent to one in 10 of all general practitioners in the UK as described previously.\(^1\)

To find out how accurately these underprivileged area scores actually agreed with the opinions of general practitioners in around states blank ward maps were sent to the local medical family practitioner committee areas. The local medical committee were thought to be the most suitable groups to represent the views of general practitioners in these areas but they could seet the views of non-committee areas excee chosen by the underprivileged areas subcommittee of the General Medical Services Committee of the BMA, based on the criteria that they




FIG 1—Shading of wards according to increased workload or pressure on general practitioner services in four of the five (Northamptonabire too detailed to abow) family practitioner committee areas (FFC) by local medical committees (LMC) and by underprivileged area (UPA) accres. Cut off levels between the black (worst), cross-shaded (intermediate), and white (best) areas differ among FFC areas.