Roles for the Saccharomyces cerevisiae SDS3, CBK1 and HYM1 Genes in Transcriptional Repression by SIN3

Scott Dorland, Michelle L. Deegenaars and David J. Stillman

Division of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Department of Oncological Sciences, University of Utah Health Sciences Center, Salt Lake City, Utah 84132

> Manuscript received August 4, 1999 Accepted for publication October 12, 1999

ABSTRACT

The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Sin3 transcriptional repressor is part of a large multiprotein complex that includes the Rpd3 histone deacetylase. A LexA-Sin3 fusion protein represses transcription of promoters with LexA binding sites. To identify genes involved in repression by Sin3, we conducted a screen for mutations that reduce repression by LexA-Sin3. One of the mutations identified that reduces LexA-Sin3 repression is in the *RPD3* gene, consistent with the known roles of Rpd3 in transcriptional repression. Mutations in *CBK1* and *HYM1* reduce repression by LexA-Sin3 and also cause defects in cell separation and altered colony morphology. *cbk1* and *hym1* mutations affect some but not all genes regulated by *SIN3* and *RPD3*, but the effect on transcription is much weaker. Genetic analysis suggests that *CBK1* and *HYM1* function in the same pathway, but this genetic pathway is separable from that of *SIN3* and *RPD3*. The remaining gene from this screen described in this report is *SDS3*, previously identified in a screen for mutations that increase silencing at *HML*, *HMR*, and telomere-linked genes, a phenotype also seen in *sin3* and *rpd3* mutants. Genetic analysis demonstrates that *SDS3* functions in the same genetic pathway as *SIN3* and *RPD3*, and coimmunoprecipitation experiments show that Sds3 is physically present in the Sin3 complex.

EUKARYOTIC DNA is associated with histone proteins and packaged into chromatin, and transcription of specific genes can be affected by the chromatin structure at the promoter (for reviews see Kingston *et al.* 1996; Wolffe and Pruss 1996; Kadonaga 1998; Struhl 1998). Each of the histones contains an evolutionarily conserved aminoterminal tail that is subject to reversible post-translational modifications such as acetylation, phosphorylation, and ubiquitination. Changes in the acetylation states of lysines on the tails of histones are correlated with gene expression, with transcriptionally active genes having hyperacetylated nucleosomes and transcriptionally inactive genes hypoacetylated nucleosomes (for reviews see Grunstein 1997; Hampsey 1997; Struhl 1998).

Sin3 and Rpd3 are components of a transcriptional repression complex in yeast (Kadosh and Struhl 1997; Kasten *et al.* 1997) that is conserved in vertebrates (Pazin and Kadonaga 1997; Wolffe 1997). Sin3 cannot bind to DNA itself; however, the complex is targeted to specific promoters through interactions with sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins (Alland *et al.* 1997; Hassig *et al.* 1997; Heinzel *et al.* 1997; Kadosh and Struhl 1997; Laherty *et al.* 1997; Nagy *et al.* 1997;

Corresponding author: David Stillman, Department of Oncological Sciences, University of Utah Health Sciences Center, 50 N. Medical Dr., Rm. 5C334 SOM, Salt Lake City, UT 84132. E-mail: stillman@hci.utah.edu Pazin and Kadonaga 1997; Zhang *et al.* 1997). The fact that *RPD3* encodes a histone deacetylase (Taunton *et al.* 1996; Kadosh and Struhl 1998a) provides a mechanism for transcriptional repression, with Sin3 bringing the Rpd3 histone deacetylase to specific promoters. *In vivo*, the presence of the Sin3/Rpd3 complex at a promoter leads to decreased acetylation of histones H3 and H4 that is highly localized over one to two nucleosomes (Kadosh and Struhl 1998b; Rundlett *et al.* 1998). The Sap30 protein is also present in the Sin3 complex, and *sap30* mutations cause similar phenotypes as *sin3* and *rpd3* (Zhang *et al.* 1998; Sun and Hampsey 1999).

SIN3 was first identified as a negative regulator of HO expression (Nasmyth et al. 1987; Sternberg et al. 1987). SIN3 has since been identified in multiple screens as a negative regulator of numerous genes, including TRK2 (Vidal et al. 1991), IME2 (Bowdish and Mitchell 1993), SPO13 (Strich et al. 1989), and INO1 (Hudak et al. 1994). Transcriptional activation of certain genes, such as STE6 (Vidal et al. 1991) and middle sporulation genes (Hepworth et al. 1998), is reduced in a sin3 mutant, although the effect may be indirect (Wang et al. 1994). The genes regulated by SIN3 are involved in a wide variety of biological processes and share little or no direct regulatory relationship. Regulation of repression by Sin3 must be controlled, at least in part, at the level of recruitment to promoters. However, regulation may also occur by post-translational mechanisms such as protein phosphorylation.

RPD3 was first identified as a negative regulator of

the low-affinity potassium transporter *TRK2* (Vidal and Gaber 1991). Mutations in *RPD3* affect expression of the same set of genes as *SIN3*, and genetic analysis suggests that *SIN3* and *RPD3* function in the same genetic pathway (Stillman *et al.* 1994). We have described an assay system using a LexA-Sin3 fusion protein that represses transcription of promoters with LexA binding sites (Wang and Stillman 1993). Transcriptional repression by LexA-Sin3 is reduced in an *rpd3* mutant, consistent with the proposed role for histone deacety-lases in repression by Sin3 (Kasten *et al.* 1997).

We have used the LexA-Sin3 repression system in a genetic screen to identify mutations that affect repression by Sin3. The focus of the genetic selection was to identify proteins required for repression rather than for recruitment to specific promoters. In this article we describe four genes identified in the screen, RPD3, CBK1, HYM1, and SDS3, that affect repression by Sin3. Our analysis suggests that CBK1 and HYM1 function in the same genetic pathway. We also show that mutations in these two genes do not affect all SIN3-regulated genes identically, suggesting that they may modulate Sin3 repression in a promoter-specific fashion. We show that mutations in SDS3 affect the same set of genes affected by SIN3 and RPD3, consistent with the results of Vannier et al. (1996). Although these workers suggested that SDS3 may function in a different pathway from SIN3 and RPD3, we show that SDS3 is in the same genetic pathway as SIN3 and RPD3. Finally, immunoprecipitation experiments show that Sds3 is present in the Sin3 complex.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains: The yeast strains used in this study, listed in Table 1, are all isogenic in the W303 background (Thomas and Rothstein 1989). Standard genetic methods were used for strain construction (Guthrie and Fink 1991). Plasmids M3737, M3780, M1436, and DV66 (Vannier et al. 1996) were used to disrupt the CBK1, HYM1, SDS3, and RPD3 genes, respectively. All gene disruptions were confirmed by Southern analysis. Strains with either the CYC1-LexA-lacZ or the CYC1-LexA-HIS3 reporter integrated at the URA3 or the LYS2 loci, respectively, have been described (Wang and Stillman 1993; Kasten et al. 1997). A strain with the IME2-LacZ integrated reporter was constructed by cleaving plasmid M3536 with StuI and integrating at the ADE2. Plasmids pHU10 (his3::URA3), M3927 (ura3::KanMX3), and M3926 (leu2::KanMX3) were used to convert markers (Cross 1997) in disrupted alleles or in integrated reporters. A W303 strain with a trk1::HIS3 disruption was generously provided by Rick Gaber, and this marker was converted to trk1::ADE2 using pRS402 (Brachmann et al. 1998) by marker replacement (Vidal and Gaber 1994). The W303 strain DY5699 was made by disrupting the MET15 gene with plasmid pAD4 (Brachmann et al. 1998). Strain DY5870 with a 13 \times Myc epitope tag at the C terminus of SDS3 was constructed by transforming strain DY5699 with a PCR product generated with oligonucleotides F671 (5' GAATTÂACAGGTCAGCCTCCGGCTCCTTTCAGACTAAG GTCTCAGCGGATCCCCGGGTTAATTAA 3') and F672 (5' ATAATACAAAGTTAAAGTGGAAGGTTTGCAGCATAAAAT

AAATTAGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC 3') using plasmid pFA6a:13Myc:*HIS3MX6* (Longtine *et al.* 1998) as template. His⁺ transformants were selected, and correct integration was verified.

Media: Cells were grown at 30° in standard media (Sherman 1991). YEPD medium was used unless strains had plasmids, in which case cells were grown in synthetic complete medium with 2% glucose supplemented with adenine, uracil, and amino acids, as appropriate, but lacking essential components to select for plasmids. Medium lacking leucine and histidine containing 20 mm 3-aminotriazole (3-AT) was used to analyze repression of the *CYC1-LexA-HIS3* reporter by LexA-Sin3. Low-potassium medium is the same as synthetic complete medium (Sherman 1991), except that sodium phosphate was substituted for potassium phosphate. High- and low-phosphate media were made as described (Han *et al.* 1988), except that plates were made with 1.2% agarose (BRL).

Plasmids: The plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 2. Plasmid M1436 has been described (Kasten et al. 1997). Plasmids M1836, M3958, M1835, and M3957 that express LexA-Sin3 or LexA were constructed in multiple steps, and details are available upon request. Plasmid M3496 was a gift of Yi Wei Jiang. Plasmid M3295, with the CYC1-LexA-HIS3 reporter, has been described (Kasten et al. 1997). Plasmid M3536 (YIp, ADE2) was constructed in several steps using the YEp-IME2-LacZ plasmid previously described (Wang and Stillman 1993). Plasmids p6HA (M1710) and pJH330 (M2022) were kindly provided by Ira Herskowitz and John Lopes, respectively. Plasmid M3365 contains a 3.5-kb EcoRI-XbaI fragment with SIN4 cloned into YIplac204 (Gietz and Sugino 1988). Plasmid M3458 contains a 1.5-kb EcoRV to AfII fragment with RPD3, cloned as a Xbal-Sacl fragment (polylinker sites) into YIp352 (Hill et al. 1986). Plasmid M3561 expressing a Sin3-HA fusion protein was kindly provided by Kevin Struhl (Kadosh and Struhl 1997). The cbk1::URA3 disruptor in plasmid M3737 removes nt -90 to +1940 (where the ATG = +1) of the *CBK1* gene. The *hym1::TRP1* disruptor in plasmid M3780 removes nt +253 to +1100 (where the ATG = +1) of the *HYM1* gene. The *rpd3::LEU2* disruptor in plasmid M1436 removes nt -556 to +1291 (where the ATG +1) of the RPD3 gene. The sds3::HIS3 disruptor in plasmid DV66 has been described (Vannier et al. 1996) and was the generous gift of David Shore. The pHU10 his3::URA3 marker converter plasmid has been described (Cross 1997) and was kindly provided by Fred Cross. Plasmids M3926 and M3927, with the leu2::KanMX3 and ura3::KanMX3 marker converters, will be described elsewhere. Plasmid pFA6a:13Myc:KanMX6 (M3968) containing a $13 \times Myc$ epitope tag and a KanMX6 selectable marker was provided by Mark Longtine (Longtine et al. 1998).

Isolation of mutants: In the first screen, strain DY4442 with plasmid M1459 was mutagenized by treatment with UV light (to 60% viability), and cells were grown in the dark at room temperature for 1 day and then at 30° for an additional 2 days on plates lacking histidine and tryptophan with 20 mm 3-AT. From 10⁷ surviving cells, 287 colonies were obtained capable of growth. Genetic backcrosses were conducted to eliminate plasmid-based mutations and to verify that a single genetic locus was responsible for the 3-AT-resistant phenotype. A total of 13 good mutants was identified, and these fell into two complementation groups, *rpd3* with five alleles and *sin4* with eight. Complementing clones were obtained, with either the wild-type RPD3 or SIN4 genes, and segregation analysis demonstrated allelism of the original mutations with disruption alleles. As homozygous rpd3/rpd3 strains are sporulation defective, strains for allelism testing were sporulated with a URA3-RPD3 plasmid. After tetrad dissection, cells were cured of the plasmid before the phenotype was examined. Finally, disrup-

Strain list

Strain	Genotype		
DV150	MATa ada? can1 hic3 lau2 trn1 ura3		
DV1530	MATa adde tani mso kuz upi unas MATa rode. I FII's date cant bie's laus trol unas		
DV11333	$MATa IVS^{(1)}(VC1) IAS lavA HIS2 ada can 1 his2 lau2 tra1 ura2$		
DI4442 DV/697	MATa LISZ::UTUT UAS-IEXA-FIISS AUEZ CATTI IIISS IEUZ IEPT UTAS MATa UDA2uCVC1 UAS-IEXA-IaeZ ade2 cont bic2 leu2 km2 tm1		
DV5600	MATa O(A3), CTCT O(A3)(AA)(at Z dat Z da		
D15055	MATe add/11/11/12/2 add 2 cant bic? lau? trn1 ura?		
D15888	$MATe_{a}$ add A . (10.17) a dec 2. (Kan M2) add 2 and 1 in 2 land 2 in 1 in 2 land 2 in 2		
DV5804	MAT_{N} adh/ $URA3$ rnd3 $IFU9$ ada2 can 1 his lau2 trn1 ura3		
DV5900	$MAT_{N} = adhA^{(-)}IRA3 cdc3^{(-)}KanMY rnd3^{(-)}IF1/9 ada2 can 1 hic 3 lau2 rn 1 ura3$		
DV5870	MATa SDS: Mrd: Substantial Algo controls and the problem in the set of the		
SV170	MAT_{α} (JSS). $My(15). MSS). Match a data tani masi kata jaya matta upit unda MAT_{\alpha} (JSS). V(2). V(2). V(2). V(3). V($		
SV326	MATA LISECICI UASKATIIS ADEEIMLETAC and his kuc upi uta		
SV334	MATA LIVS2CVC1 UAS.lav4.HUS3 ADF2IMF2.lac7 cds2UR43 cin3TF12 ran1 his3 tra1 ura3		
SV337	MATA LIVENCYCI UAS.lavd.HIS3 ADF2.··IMF2.larZ sin3···IFU2 ran1 his3 trn1 ura3		
SV338	MATA I VS2(VC1 UAS.lavd.HIS3 ADF2IMF2.lar7 cds2.:UR43 rd3IFU2 can1 bic3 trn1 ura3		
SV380	MATA LVS2(VC1 UAS.lavA.HIS3 ADF2IMF2.larZ rnd3IFU2 con1 his3 trn1 ura3		
SV383	MATA LIVS2CVC1 UAS.lav4.HUS3 ADF2IMF2.lac7 cht1UR43 can1 his3 trn1 lav2 ura3		
SY389	MATA I VS2-CVC1 UAS lave HIS3 ADF2-IMF2-lacZ sin3-bisG chi1-URA3 can1 bis3 lav2 trn1 ura3		
SY415	MATa ura3. TRP1. CV CI LIAS leva. lac 7. I VS2. CV 1 IIAS leva. HIS3 sds3. IIRA3 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trn1 ura3		
SY426	MATa ura3. TRP1. CYC1 UAS-lexA-lacZ CYC1 UAS-lexA. HIS3. LYS2 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trn1 ura3		
SY482	MATe LYS2-CYC1 UAS-lex4-HIS3 ADF2-IMF2-lacZ hym1-TRP1 can1 his3 leu2 trn1 ura3		
SY484	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 ADE2::IME2-lacZ hvm1::TRP1 cbk1::URA3 can1 his3 leu2 trn1 ura3		
SY486	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 ADE2::IME2-lacZ hvm1::TRP1 sin3::LEU2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY488	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 ADE2::IME2-lacZ hvm1::TRP1 sin3::LEU2 cbk1::URA3 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY510	MATa URA3::CYC1 UAS-lexA-lacZ hvm1::TRP1 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY515	MATa URA3::CYC1 UAS-lexA-lacZ LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 hvm1::TRP1 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY535	MATα ura3::TRP1::CYC1 UAS-lexA-lacZ LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 cbk1::URA3 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY562	MATa trk1::ADE2 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY564	MAT (x trk1::ADE2 sin3::LEU2 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY566	MAT a trk1::ADE2 sds3::URA3 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY568	MATa trk1::ADE2 sds3::URA3 sin3::LEU2 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY599	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 URA3::CYC1 UAS-lexA-lacZ cbk1::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY605	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 UAS::lexA::lacZ::URA3 hym1::TRP1 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY606	MAT & LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 UAS::lexA::lacZ::URA3 rpd3::LEU2 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY609	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 UAS::lexA::lacZ::URA3 rpd3::LEU2 cbk1::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY610	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 UAS::lexA::lacZ::URA3 rpd3::LEU2 hym1::TRP1 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY617	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 UAS::lexA::lacZ::URA3 hym1::TRP1 cbk1::KanMX rpd3::LEU2 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY618	MATa cbk1::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3		
SY620	MATa hym1::TRP1 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3		
SY623	MATa rpd3::LEU2 hym1::TRP1 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3		
SY625	MATa rpd3::LEU2 hym1::TRP1 cbk1::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3		
SY641	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 URA3::CYC1 UAS-lexA-lacZ ade2 can1 his3 leu2 lys2 trp1 ura3		
SY660	MATα URA3::CYC1 UAS-lexA-lacZ rpd3::LEU2 ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY662	MATa URA3::CYC1 UAS-lexA-lacZ sds3::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY668	MATa URA3::CYC1 UAS-lexA-lacZ rpd3::LEU2 sds3::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY693	MATa sds3::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY702	MATa rpd3::LEU2 sds3::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY716	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 hym1::TRP1 cbk1::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY717	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 UAS::lexA::lacZ::URA3 rpd3::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		
SY718	MATa LYS2::CYC1 UAS-lexA-HIS3 rpd3::LEU2 cbk1::KanMX ade2 can1 his3 leu2 trp1 ura3		

tion of *RPD3* or *SIN4* had the same effect on LexA-Sin3 repression as the UV-generated alleles.

To prevent the identification of additional alleles of *sin4* and *rpd3* in a second screen, strain SY161 was used that contained an additional copy of the *RPD3* and *SIN4* genes. After UV mutagenesis to 40% viability, 3-AT-resistant colonies were obtained as described above. Following backcrossing and elim-

ination of weak mutants, 133 mutants were subjected to complementation analysis. There are at least 11 complementation groups, and complementation analysis continues for the other mutants. We identified two alleles of *sds3*, seven alleles of *cbk1*, and two alleles of *hym1*. *CBK1* and *HYM1* were cloned from a YCp50 library using a visual screen for complementation of the defect in colony morphology, and *SDS3* was cloned by

P	lasmid	list

Plasmid	Description	Туре
M1459	Expresses LexA-Sin3 fusion protein from ADH1 promoter	YCp, <i>TRP1</i>
M1836	Expresses LexA-Sin3 fusion protein from ADH1 promoter	YEp, LEU2
M3958	Expresses LexA-Sin3 fusion protein from ADH1 promoter	YEp, ADE2
M1835	Expresses LexA from ADH1 promoter	YEp, LEU2
M3957	Expresses LexA from ADH1 promoter	YEp, ADE2
M3496	Expresses LexA-Ssn6 fusion protein from ADH1 promoter	YCp, TRP1
M3295	CYC1-LexA-HIS3 reporter	YIp, LYS2
M3536	IME2-lacZ reporter	YIp, ADE2
p6HA	STE6-lacZ reporter	YEp, URA3
pJH330	INO1-lacZ reporter	YEp, URA3
M3365	SIN4 in YIplac204	YIp, TRP1
M3458	<i>RPD3</i> in YIp352	YIp, URA3
M3561	SIN3-3x-HA epitope tag	YEp, LEU2
M3737	<i>cbk1::URA3</i> disruptor	1
M3780	hym1::TRP1 disruptor	
M1436	<i>rpd3::LEU2</i> disruptor	
DV66	sds3::HIS3 disruptor	
pHU10	his3::URA3 marker converter	
M3926	<i>leu2::KanMX3</i> marker converter	
M3927	<i>ura3::KanMX3</i> marker converter	
M3970	pFA6a:13Myc: <i>HIS3MX6</i> PCR template	

complementation of its derepression of the *IME2-lacZ* reporter. Homozygous mutations in *cbk1*, *hym1*, and *sds3* were shown to be sporulation defective in diploids, and allelism testing was conducted as described above. Disruption alleles of *cbk1*, *hym1*, and *sds3* had the same phenotypes as the UV-generated alleles, and null alleles were used for all further phenotypic analysis.

Phosphatase assays: Phosphatase overlay assays on colonies and quantitative phosphatase assays with extracts were performed as described (Toh-e *et al.* 1973). To measure *PHO5* derepression grown in liquid, cells were grown overnight in high-phosphate medium, diluted and grown to mid-log, and harvested. To measure *PHO5* derepression while grown on plates, cells were grown on high-phosphate plates for 3 days at 30°, and then were scraped from the plate. Extracts were prepared by glass bead lysis. One unit of acid phosphatase is defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the liberation of 1 µm of *p*-nitrophenol per minute at 37°. Each assay represents a minimum of three independent cultures.

Other methods: Assays for β -galactosidase activity using protein extracts were performed as described (Breeden and Nasmyth 1987). Telomeric silencing was measured using strains with a *URA3* gene integrated near the telomere of chromosome VII (Gottschling *et al.* 1990). Expression of the telomeric reporter was measured by plating serial dilutions of an overnight culture grown in rich media onto SC and SC-Ura plates. Immunoprecipitation and Western blotting were conducted as described (Ausubel *et al.* 1987) using monoclonal antibodies to the HA and Myc peptide epitopes.

RESULTS

Identification of genes required for LexA-Sin3 repres sion: We used a genetic selection to identify genes required for Sin3 to function as a transcriptional repressor. This selection uses a fusion of Sin3 to the DNA- binding domain of the bacterial LexA protein and a *CYC1-LexA-HIS3* reporter construct. In the presence of the fusion, cells produce insufficient His3 protein to be able to grow in the presence of 20 mm 3-AT, a competitive inhibitor of the *HIS3* gene product imidazole glycerol phosphate dehydratase. We selected for UV-light-generated mutations exhibiting growth on media containing 20 mm 3-AT but lacking histidine and leucine (to select for the LexA-Sin3 plasmid) that relieve repression by LexA-Sin3, and thus our efforts are focused on genes required for repression rather than on genes required to target the Sin3 complex to specific promoters. It is important to note that the endogenous *SIN3* gene product is not required for LexA-Sin3 repression.

Genetic tests demonstrated that the mutations are recessive and that a mutation in the LexA-Sin3 plasmid was not responsible for the histidine prototrophy. A sin3 mutation derepresses the PHO5 gene under repressing conditions, as a colony-staining overlay assay shows an increase in acid phosphatase activity (Vidal et al. 1991). This assay showed that all of the new mutants also had an increase in acid phosphatase activity. The mutants were backcrossed to a wild-type strain with the CYC1-LexA-HIS3 reporter, and haploid segregants were tested for growth on -Leu, -His + 3-AT plates as well as for acid phosphatase activity. Segregation analysis demonstrated that a single genetic locus was responsible for both the loss of LexA-Sin3 repression and the PHO5 derepression, except for strains with two contributing mutations that were excluded from further analysis.



Figure 1.—Mutations in *SDS3*, *CBK1*, *HYM1*, and *RPD3* result in the loss of LexA-Sin3 repression. Strains containing the integrated *CYC1 UAS-LexA-HIS3* reporter and expressing LexA-Sin3 from plasmid M1836 are grown on –Leu –His + 20 mm 3-AT plates for 3 days at 30°. LexA-Sin3 represses expression of the *HIS3* reporter, and wild-type strains were unable to grow. Mutations in *CBK1*, *HYM1*, *RPD3*, and *SDS3* relieve this repression and allow growth. The strains used were SY641 (wild type), SY599 (*cbk1*), SY515 (*hym1*), SY717 (*rpd3*), and SY415 (*sds3*).

Complementation analysis identified at least 11 complementation groups. In this article we describe four of the mutations that we have cloned and genetically characterized. These genes are *RPD3*, *CBK1*, *HYM1*, and *SDS3*.

To demonstrate allelism of the complementing DNA with the original mutation, strains with the appropriate gene disruptions were crossed to strains with the original allele generated by UV mutagenesis. In each case, the diploids were unable to sporulate, as were diploids homozygous for mutations in *SIN3*, so the diploid was transformed with a *URA3*-based plasmid with the wild-type gene. After sporulation and tetrad dissection, cells were cured of the *URA3* plasmid by growth on 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) medium before phenotypic analysis. Segregation analysis demonstrated that each gene disruption was genetically linked to the appropriate mutation and that the disruptions confer the same phenotypes as the original mutations.

Haploid strains with disruptions for each of these four genes were created, demonstrating that none of these genes is essential for viability. Figure 1 shows how these mutations reduce repression by LexA-Sin3. In the wild-type strain with the *CYC1-LexA-HIS3* reporter, expression of LexA-Sin3 prevents growth on – Leu, – His plates containing 3-AT. Mutations in *RPD3*, *CBK1*, *HYM1*, and *SDS3* allow growth on this medium presumably by reducing repression by LexA-Sin3. A mutation that affects

expression or accumulation of Rpd3 or the LexA-Sin3 fusion protein would also decrease repression of the CYC1-LexA-HIS3 reporter. A Western immunoblot experiment indicated that LexA-Sin3 and Rpd3 protein levels were unaffected (data not shown). This indicates that these mutations reduce repression by affecting Sin3 function. To determine if these genes are specific to SIN3, we similarly tested whether these mutations affected repression of the unrelated SSN6/TUP1 repression complex. Experiments showed that *rpd3*, *cbk1*, *hym1*, and sds3 disruptions have no effect on repression by LexA-Ssn6 (data not shown). Consequently, we conclude that these mutations specifically reduce repression by LexA-Sin3. In summary, the RPD3, CBK1, HYM1, and SDS3 genes are all required for efficient repression by LexA-Sin3 and for sporulation in diploids, but are not essential for viability.

RPD3 is required for LexA-Sin3 repression: An *rpd3* mutation reduces repression by LexA-Sin3 at the CYC1-LexA-HIS3 reporter (Figure 1). This result is not surprising, as mutations in *RPD3* and *SIN3* have similar effects on transcriptional regulation, and rpd3 sin3 double mutants are no more severely affected than either single mutant (Stillman et al. 1994). Furthermore, biochemical analysis has shown that Rpd3 functions in a complex with Sin3 (Kadosh and Struhl 1997; Kasten *et al.* 1997). To quantitate the loss of repression, we used the CYC1-LexA-LacZ reporter, which has the same CYC1 promoter driving LacZ expression instead of HIS3. To determine repression by LexA-Sin3, we compare LacZ expression in cells expressing LexA only or the LexA-Sin3 fusion protein. As shown in Table 3, LexA-Sin3 represses transcription by 30-fold, and an *rpd3* mutation reduces this repression by a factor of 7.5. The identification of *rpd3* mutations as relieving repression by LexA-Sin3 demonstrates the validity of our selection strategy.

Mutations in CBK1 or HYM1 affect regulation of some SIN3-dependent genes: The next two mutations, cbk1 and *hym1*, will be considered together as they cause similar phenotypes. Both cause a defect in cell separation that can be seen microscopically as large clusters of unseparated cells. The mutations also cause an abnormal colony morphology, with a rough colony surface in contrast to the smooth shimmer of a wild-type colony. In fact, the CBK1 gene (YNL161w) was given the name cell-wall biosynthesis kinase (C. Herbert, personal communication) because *cbk1* mutants display this defect in cell separation, and the protein shows homology to the AGC family of protein kinases (Hunter and Plowman 1997). The HYM1 gene (YKL189w) is named for its similarity to the Aspergillus nidulans gene hymA. Mutations in *hymA* affect conidiophore development in A. nidulans (Karos and Fischer 1999). Although it was reported that HYM1 is an essential gene in yeast (Karos and Fischer 1999), we have found that strains with a *hym1* gene disruption are viable and healthy. We attribute the disparity in results to different strain backgrounds.

Strain	Genotype	LacZ activity with LexA	LacZ activity with LexA-Sin3	Repression
A.				
SY641	Wild type	$1326~\pm~243$	44 ± 2	30
SY599	cbk1	2339 ± 205	$166~\pm~16$	14
SY605	hym1	$2079~\pm~79$	$177~\pm~49$	12
SY606	rpd3	324 ± 8	$91~\pm~15$	4
SY609	cbk1 rpd3	451 ± 2	119 ± 7	4
SY610	hym1 rpd3	$391~\pm~18$	87 ± 18	4
SY617	cbk1 hym1 rpd3	507 ± 36	$103~\pm~7$	5
B.	<i>.</i> .			
SY641	Wild type	$2775~\pm~449$	$95~\pm~27$	29
SY599	cbk1	2348 ± 488	181 ± 20	13
SY605	hym1	2456 ± 180	$157~\pm~14$	16
SY612	čbk1 hym1	2041 ± 166	$162~\pm~14$	13

Mutations in CBK1, HYM1, and RPD3 reduce repression by LexA-Sin3

Strains containing the integrated *CYC1 UAS-LexA-LacZ* reporter and expressing either LexA from plasmid M3957 or LexA-Sin3 from plasmid M3958 were grown on medium lacking adenine to maintain the plasmid, and extracts were prepared for β -galactosidase assays. The quantities represent the average of three independent transformants \pm SD. Repression is expressed as the ratio of β -galactosidase activity in the presence of LexA divided by β -galactosidase activity in the presence of LexA-Sin3.

To quantitate the observed loss of LexA-Sin3 repression in *CBK1* or *HYM1* mutants (Figure 1), we used the *CYC1-LexA-LacZ* reporter and quantitated *LacZ* activity in strains expressing LexA only or the LexA-Sin3 fusion protein (Table 3). A *cbk1* or a *hym1* mutation results in an \sim 50% reduction in repression by LexA-Sin3, a much smaller effect on LexA-Sin3 repression than that observed for the *rpd3* mutation. Additionally, the *cbk1 rpd3* and *hym1 rpd3* double mutants show no greater loss of repression than the *rpd3* single mutant, and the *cbk1 hym1* double mutant shows effects similar to either single mutant, suggesting that *CBK1* and *HYM1* function in the same genetic pathway.

Reasoning that the mutations identified in our screen should affect transcriptional regulation of genes affected by *sin3* or *rpd3* mutations, we therefore determined the effect of *cbk1* and *hym1* mutations on expression of certain SIN3-dependent genes. STE6 is an a-specific gene required in MATa cells for the production of a-factor, and expression of STE6 is sharply reduced in sin3 and rpd3 mutants (Vidal and Gaber 1991; Vidal et al. 1991; Wang et al. 1994). Sin3 and Rpd3 are thought to function primarily as transcriptional repressors, and it is believed that reduced STE6 expression is an indirect effect (Wang et al. 1994). Isogenic strains with cbk1, hym1, and rpd3 mutations were transformed with a plasmid containing a STE6-lacZ reporter, and promoter activity was monitored by quantitating activity of the β-galactosidase enzyme (Figure 2). There was a reduction in STE6 expression in strains with mutations in cbk1 and hym1, but not to the same extent as seen with the rpd3 mutant. No synergistic effects with this STE6-lacZ reporter were seen in these multiply mutant strains.

The *PHO5* gene encodes an acid phosphatase, and this gene is induced under phosphate starvation. *PHO5* is repressed in wild-type cells in high-phosphate medium, but this repression is lost in *sin3* and *rpd3* mutants.



Figure 2.—Mutations in *CBK1*, *HYM1*, and *RPD3* result in a loss of *STE6-LacZ* activation. Strains transformed with plasmid p6HA with the *STE6-LacZ* reporter were grown on medium lacking uracil to maintain the plasmid, and extracts were prepared for β -galactosidase assays. The quantities represent the average of three independent transformants with standard deviations shown as error bars. Activity of *STE6-LacZ* was sharply reduced in *rpd3* strains, and this reduction was not increased in the double and triple mutants. The strains used were DY150 (wild type), SY618 (*cbk1*, SY620 (*hym1*), SY716 (*cbk1 hym1*), DY1539 (*rpd3*), SY718 (*cbk1 rpd3*), SY623 (*hym1 rpd3*), and SY625 (*cbk1 hym1 rpd3*).

Strain	Genotype	Acid phosphatase activity	Derepression
Liquid culture			
SY170	Wild type	0.029 ± 0.005	1.00
SY383	cbk1	0.026 ± 0.008	0.90
SY482	hym1	0.025 ± 0.003	0.86
SY484	cbk1 hym1	0.022 ± 0.001	0.76
SY337	sin3	0.102 ± 0.005	3.52
SY389	cbk1 sin3	0.15 ± 0.005	5.17
SY486	hym1 sin3	0.155 ± 0.005	5.34
SY488	cbk1 hym1 sin3	0.155 ± 0.016	5.34
Solid media	0		
SY426	Wild type	0.018 ± 0.002	1.0
SY535	cbk1	0.024 ± 0.002	1.3
SY510	hym1	$0.027~\pm~0.003$	1.5

Mutations in CBK1, HYM1, and RPD3 result in PHO5 derepression

For liquid culture, cells were grown in liquid high-phosphate media to mid-log and were assayed for acid phosphatase activity. The values represent the average of three independent cultures \pm SD. For solid media, cells were grown for 3 days on high-phosphate plates. They were then scraped from the plates and acid phosphatase activity was determined from extracts. The values represent the average of seven or eight independent cultures \pm SD.

To measure the effects of these mutations on PHO5 expression, isogenic strains with cbk1, hym1, and sin3 mutations were grown in high-phosphate liquid media, and extracts were prepared for quantitative acid phosphatase assays. As shown in Table 4, PHO5 was not derepressed in *cbk1* or *hym1* mutants, but was derepressed in the sin3 mutant. We were surprised to find no increase in PHO5 expression in the cbk1 and hym1 mutants because, as noted earlier, these mutants showed an increase in acid phosphatase activity using a colony-staining overlay assay, for which solid media was used. To address this apparent discrepancy, extracts were prepared from cells grown on solid media, and acid phosphatase activity was measured. The results in Table 4 show that *cbk1* and *hym1* mutants have a small but significant and reproducible increase in acid phosphatase activity when cells are grown on high-phosphate plates. This derepression was not additive in the *cbk1 hym1* double mutant (data not shown). It is not easy to explain the difference between the results obtained with the assays from cells grown in liquid or on plates. We do note that cells grown in patches on solid medium would result in a larger fraction of yeast that are in late-log or stationary phase, and this could affect PHO5 expression. Alternatively, there may be localized depletion of specific nutrients from the solid growth medium, and such effects would not be evident during log phase growth in liquid medium. Using cells grown in liquid medium (Table 4), we did note that there was increased derepression in the cbk1 sin3 or hym1 sin3 double mutants compared to the sin3 single mutant. This additive derepression was observed in combination with either *rpd3* or sin3, and in cells grown on plates as well as in liquid (data not shown). An additive effect, *cbk1* or *hym1* with

rpd3 or *sin3*, was not seen in the previous experiments looking at *STE6* expression or repression by LexA-Sin3.

Meiosis-specific genes such as IME2 and SPO13 are also negatively regulated by SIN3 and RPD3 (Strich et al. 1989; Bowdish and Mitchell 1993). IME2 encodes a kinase required for proper expression of meiotic genes and is expressed normally only in diploid cells preparing to undergo sporulation. Mutations in either SIN3 or *RPD3* lead to *IME2* expression during vegetative growth, even in the haploid state. To quantitate the level of derepression, we utilized an IME2-LacZ reporter integrated at the ADE2 locus. Haploid cells were grown in rich media to mid-log phase, and extracts were prepared for quantitative β-galactosidase assays. Mutation in either CBK1 or HYM1 lead to a weak derepression of the IME2-LacZ reporter (Figure 3). As with PHO5, we observed a slight additive increase in IME2-LacZ expression in the cbk1 sin3 or hym1 sin3 double mutants compared to the *sin3* single mutant. No additive increase was seen in the cbk1 hym1 double mutant.

SIN3 and RPD3 also repress INO1 (encoding inositol-1-phosphate synthase) and TRK2 (low-affinity potassium transporter) expression. Consequently, promoter activity was determined in *cbk1* and *hym1* single mutants, as well as *cbk1 hym1*, *cbk1 rpd3*, and *hym1 rpd3* double mutants using either an INO1-LacZ or a TRK2-LacZ reporter. An additional growth assay was used to examine mutational effects on TRK2 expression (the growth assay is described below). Our findings showed no effect of *cbk1* or *hym1* mutations on INO1 or TRK2 expression, either alone or when combined with a *rpd3* mutation (data not shown). Furthermore, these mutations (single or in combination with an *rpd3* mutation) did not affect telomeric silencing (data not shown). These observa-



Figure 3.—Mutations in *CBK1*, *HYM1*, and *RPD3* result in derepression of *IME2-lacZ*. Strains containing the integrated *IME2-lacZ* reporter were grown on YEPD medium, and extracts were prepared for β-galactosidase assays. The quantities represent the average of three independent transformants with standard deviations shown as error bars. *IME2* is normally repressed in haploid cells. Strains lacking *CBK1*, *HYM1*, or both show a weak loss of repression. *IME2-LacZ* activity increased dramatically in the *rpd3* strain. The double and triple mutants *cbk1 rpd3*, *hym1 rpd3*, *cbk1 hym1 rpd3* have an additive loss in repression. The inset has an expanded view of the first four strains. The strains used were SY170 (wild type), SY383 (*cbk1*, *SY482 (hym1*), SY484 (*cbk1 hym1*, SY337 (*sin3*), SY389 (*cbk1 sin3*), SY486 (*hym1 rpd3*), and SY488 (*cbk1 hym1 rpd3*).

tions contrast to the increase in silencing at either the silent mating type loci or at genes linked to telomeres as evidenced in *sin3* or *rpd3* mutations (De Rubertis *et al.* 1996; Rundlett *et al.* 1996; Vannier *et al.* 1996).

In summary, strains lacking *CBK1* or *HYM1* show a loss of repression by LexA-Sin3, decreased repression at *PHO5* and *IME2*, and a decrease in *STE6* expression. Thus, these mutations have weak effects on several *SIN3* dependent promoters, consistent with a role in the function of the Sin3/Rpd3 complex. However, the effects of *cbk1* and *hym1* mutations were quantitatively less se-

vere than *sin3* or *rpd3*, and *cbk1* and *hym1* fail to effect all SIN3-dependent promoters. The fact that the cbk1 *hym1* double mutant has no greater effect than the single mutants implies that CBK1 and HYM1 function in the same genetic pathway. When *cbk1* or *hym1* are combined with rpd3 or sin3 we observe an additive effect only at PHO5 and IME2-LacZ. Finally, strains lacking either CBK1 or HYM1 display an additional phenotype, an altered colony morphology due to a defect in cell separation. This defect is not increased in the double mutant, supporting the conclusion that CBK1 and HYM1 function in the same genetic pathway. Based on the additive effects seen at PHO5 and IME2-LacZ and the failure of cbk1 or hym1 mutations to effect all SIN3-dependent genes, we suggest that CBK1 and HYM1 are in a common genetic pathway that is distinct from SIN3 and RPD3.

SDS3 is required for *SIN3*-dependent repression: A mutation in the SDS3 gene reduces repression by LexA-Sin3 as shown by the histidine prototrophy in strains with the *CYC1-LexA-HIS3* reporter (Figure 1). *SDS3* was originally identified in a screen for mutations that restore silencing at a silencer crippled by both *cis* and *trans*-mutations (Vannier *et al.* 1996). This screen also identified mutations in *SIN3* (*SDS16*) and *RPD3* (*SDS6*) as restoring silencing. The work of Vannier *et al.* (1996) suggested that *SDS3* function is related to, but genetically distinct from, that of *SIN3* and *RPD3*.

We used strains transformed with plasmids expressing either LexA or the LexA-Sin3 fusion protein and a *CYC1-LexA-LacZ* reporter to quantitatively measure the effects of the *sds3* mutation on repression by LexA-Sin3 (Table 5). Repression was calculated as the ratio of reporter activity in cells expressing LexA only to those expressing LexA-Sin3. The strain with the *sds3* mutation has a loss of LexA-Sin3 repression equivalent to that seen in the *rpd3* strain. The *sds3 rpd3* double mutant shows a loss of repression similar to the two single mutants, suggesting that they function in the same pathway. An *sds3* mutation does not affect repression by the mechanistically distinct LexA-Ssn6 fusion protein, demonstrating specificity toward Sin3 repression. Thus, mutations in

Strain	Genotype	LacZ activity with LexA	LacZ activity with LexA-Sin3	Repression
DY4627	Wild type	1620 ± 410	60 ± 30	27
SY660	rpd3	$320~\pm~90$	50 ± 10	6
SY662	sds3	$470~\pm~60$	$120~\pm~10$	4
SY668	rpd3 sds3	$600~\pm~20$	$100~\pm~10$	6

 TABLE 5

 Mutations in SDS3 result in a loss of repression by LexA-Sin3

Strains containing the integrated *CYC1 UAS-LexA-LacZ* reporter and expressing either LexA from plasmid M3957 or LexA-Sin3 from plasmid M3958 were grown on medium lacking adenine to maintain the plasmid, and extracts were prepared for β -galactosidase assays. The quantities represent the average of three independent transformants \pm SD. Repression is expressed as the ratio of β -galactosidase activity in the presence of lexA divided by β -galactosidase activity in the presence of LexA-Sin3.

Mutation of *SDS3* effects regulation of multiple *SIN3-* and *RPD3-*dependent genes and is not additive with *sin3* or *rpd3*

A. Strain	Genotype	<i>STE6-lacZ</i> activity	Expression
DY150	Wild type	$4470~\pm~350$	100%
SY693	sds3	$620~\pm~80$	14%
DY1539	rpd3	450 ± 15	10%
SY702	rpd3 sds3	360 ± 10	8%
B.		Acid phospha-	
Strain	Genotype	tase activity	Derepression
SY170	Wild type	0.022 ± 0.002	1
SY326	sds3	$0.092\ \pm\ 0.006$	4
SY380	rpd3	0.067 ± 0.006	3
SY338	rpd3 sds3	0.071 ± 0.007	3
C.			
Strain	Genotype	IME2-lacZ activity	Derepression
SY170	Wild type	0.3 ± 0.1	1
SY326	sds3	93 ± 13	358
SY380	rpd3	79 ± 14	304
SY338	rpd3 sds3	$101~\pm~21$	388
D.			
Strain	Genotype	INO1-lacZ activity	Derepression
DY150	Wild type	26 ± 3	1
SY693	sds3	$2390~\pm~460$	92
DY1539	rpd3	$1570~\pm~20$	60
SY702	sds3 rpd3	$2570~\pm~230$	99

Expression from the *STE6-lacZ* reporter was measured as in Figure 2. Acid phosphatase activity was measured from cells grown in liquid high-phosphate media as in Table 4. Expression from the *IME2-LacZ* reporter was measured as in Figure 3. Strains transformed with plasmid pJH330 with the *INO1-LacZ* reporter were grown on medium lacking uracil to maintain the plasmid, supplemented with 1 mm choline and 0.75 mm inositol to repress *INO1* transcription. Extracts were prepared for β -galactosidase assays, with the values representing the average of three independent transformants \pm SD.

SDS3 and *RPD3* cause similar phenotypes, and the double mutants are not additive.

To compare the effects of the *sds3* mutation with that of *rpd3* and *sin3*, we examined expression of a number of *SIN3*-dependent promoters, including *STE6*, *PHO5*, *IME2*, and *INO1*. Promoter activity was determined in *sds3*, *sin3*, and *rpd3* single mutants, as well as in *sds3 sin3* and *sds3 rpd3* double mutants (Table 6 and data not shown). Expression of a *STE6-LacZ* reporter was reduced to similar extents in *sds3* and *rpd3* mutants (Table 6A). The *PHO5* gene was derepressed in both *sds3* and *rpd3* mutants (Table 6B). An *IME2-LacZ* reporter was not expressed in vegetatively grown cells, but was derepressed in both *sds3* and *rpd3* mutants (Table 6C), in agreement with the previous results (Bowdish and Mitchell 1993; Vannier *et al.* 1996). *INO1*, a *SIN3* dependent gene, is repressed in the presence of inositol and choline. Mutations in *SDS3* and *RPD3* both lead to derepression of an *INO1-LacZ* reporter (Table 6D). There are two important results in this set of experiments on transcriptional regulation. First, an *sds3* mutation has a quantitatively similar effect as *sin3* or *rpd3* mutations. Second, there was no increase in effect in the *sds3 sin3* and *sds3 rpd3* double mutants compared to the single mutants at all five transcriptional reporters.

SDS3 is in the same genetic pathway as *SIN3* and *RPD3*: Vannier *et al.* (1996) presented evidence that *SDS3* has similar functions as *SIN3* and *RPD3*, but they also came to the conclusion that *SDS3* was in a different genetic pathway than *SIN3* and *RPD3*, based on two observations. The first was that an *sds3* mutation failed to derepress a *TRK2-LacZ* reporter, while *sin3* and *rpd3* mutations caused an increase in *TRK2-LacZ* expression. The second observation was that *sds3 sin3* and *sds3 rpd3* double mutants displayed an increase in silencing compared to the single mutants, and this additive effect suggested that *SDS3* functioned in a different pathway.

We performed several experiments in an attempt to resolve these apparent discrepancies about the relationship of SDS3 to SIN3 and RPD3. We first attempted to test the effect of sds3, sin3, and rpd3 on expression of the *TRK2-LacZ* reporter present on a multicopy plasmid. We found that this reporter failed to yield reproducible results. In some experiments there was derepression of TRK2-LacZ in an sds3 mutant, while in others this derepression was not observed. While we were always able to demonstrate significant derepression of the TRK2-LacZ reporter in sin3 and rpd3 mutants, even this was subject to significant fluctuations. Because of the lack of reproducibility with the *TRK2-LacZ* reporter in our hands, we abandoned this reporter in favor of the original growth assay in low-potassium medium for TRK2 expression (Vidal et al. 1990).

Yeast cells contain both high- and low-affinity potassium transporters, encoded by TRK1 and TRK2, respectively. Vidal et al. (1990) first isolated rpd3 as a suppressor mutation that allowed trk1 mutants, lacking the high-affinity potassium transporter, to grow on media with reduced potassium. The RPD gene name stands for reduced potassium dependence, and sin3 was also isolated in this screen as *rpd1*. Strains lacking the highaffinity potassium transporter encoded by TRK1 must rely on the low-affinity transporter, Trk2, for potassium uptake. Strains with a *trk1* mutation require >5 mm potassium in the medium, and limiting the potassium concentration to 0.2 mm results in no growth. The TRK2 gene, encoding the low-affinity transporter, is normally expressed at very low levels, and mutations such as sin3 and *rpd3* that increase *TRK2* expression restore growth to a *trk1* mutant.

We constructed isogenic *trk1*, *trk1 sds3*, *trk1 sin3*, and *trk1 sds3 sin3* strains and determined the ability of these strains to grow on low-potassium medium (Figure 4). *trk1* strains grow very poorly, with a doubling time of



Figure 4.—*SDS3* regulates *TRK2* expression and is not additive with *sin3*. Cells lacking the high-affinity potassium transporter *TRK1* must have increased expression of the *TRK2* low-affinity potassium transporter in order to survive on low potassium medium. Strains were pregrown in rich medium (replete potassium) and then diluted to a low density in synthetic complete medium supplemented with 0.2 mm potassium (limiting potassium) and grown at 30°. Cell growth was monitored over time by optical density at 660 nm for three independent cultures. Growth rates are plotted with standard deviations shown as error bars for each time point. The strains used were SY562 (*trk1*), SY564 (*trk1 sds3*), SY566 (*trk1 sin3*), and SY568 (*trk1 sds3 sin3*).

 \sim 53 hr. As expected, disruption of the *SIN3 (rpd1)* or *RPD3* gene resulted in a significant increase in growth rate under limiting potassium, to \sim 11 hr (Figure 4 and data not shown). The *trk1 sds3* mutant grows much better than the *trk1* single mutant, with a doubling time of 34 hr. Significantly, the *trk1 sds3* strain does not grow as well as the *trk1 sin3* mutant, suggesting that the *sds3* mutation has less of an effect on *TRK2* expression than *sin3*. Finally, the *trk1 sin3* and *trk1 sds3 sin3* strains grow at equivalent rates on low-potassium medium (Figure 4). Thus, the *sds3, sin3,* and *rpd3* mutants all suppress the *trk1* defect, and the mutations are not additive. These results suggest that *SDS3* does regulate *TRK2* and functions in the same genetic pathway as *RPD3* and *SIN3*.

Vannier *et al.* (1996) suggested that *SDS3* is functionally different from *RPD3* and *SIN3*, based on an additive effect in silencing with the *hmr* $\Delta A\Delta E$::*TRP1* reporter. We have compared the *sds3* single mutant to *sds3 sin3* and *sds3 rpd3* double mutants with a variety of transcriptional reporters, and we have not seen any additive effects. As a silencing assay to examine whether *sds3* is additive with *sin3* or *rpd3*, we constructed isogenic Synthetic Complete



Figure 5.—*sds3* is not additive with *rpd3* in telomeric silencing. A *URA3* gene located 15 kb from the telomere on chromosome VII weakly silenced by telomeric heterochromatin, but mutations that increase telomeric silencing will result in decrease plating efficiency on media lacking uracil. Mutations in *SDS3* and *RPD3* both increase telomeric silencing, but the effect was not additive in *sds3 rpd3* double mutants. Serial dilutions (10-fold) of each culture were spotted to medium lacking uracil or to synthetic complete medium, as a control. The strains used were DY5888 (wild type), DY5892 (*sds3*), DY5894 (*rpd3*), and DY5900 (*sds3 rpd3*).

strains with a *URA3* reporter integrated near the telomere of chromosome VII. This telomeric reporter does not require any specific mutations at the *HMR-E* or *RAP1* loci and gives a significantly stronger signal than the *hmr* $\Delta \Delta E$::*TRP1* reporter. Mechanistically, the *URA3* telomere-silencing assay is thought to be similar to the *HMR*-silencing assay, as both are dependent upon the *SIR2, SIR3, SIR4*, and *RAP1* genes (Aparicio *et al.* 1991).

The results of the telomere-silencing assay are shown in Figure 5. In this assay, one measures the fraction of cells with a transcriptionally inactive telomere-linked *URA3* gene by determining the fraction of cells incapable of growth on medium lacking uracil. It has been shown that DNA near telomeres is assembled into a heterochromatic state that represses transcription (Grunstein 1998), with the efficiency of this silencing decreasing with distance from the telomere (Renauld *et al.* 1993). With the *URA3* reporter placed 15 kb from the telomere, silencing is quite inefficient in wild-type strains, with nearly 100% of cells growing without added



Figure 6.—Sds3 and Sin3 coimmunoprecipitate. Extracts were prepared from strains expressing Sin3-HA and/or Sds3-Myc, as indicated, precipitated with antibody to HA, and the immunoprecipitates were probed in Western blots for Sin3-HA and Sds3-Myc. An excess of blocking peptide was added to the sample in lane 3. Strains DY5699 (wild type) and DY5870 (Sds3-Myc) and plasmid M3561 (Sin3-HA) were used.

uracil. For the *sds3* and *rpd3* mutant strains, only $\sim 10\%$ of cells grow on the plate lacking uracil, showing that the two mutations cause a quantitatively similar increase in silencing. Importantly, telomeric silencing in the *sds3 rpd3* double mutant was the same as in the *sds3* and *rpd3* single mutants. Thus, there is no additive effect between *sds3* and *rpd3*, and we believe that *SDS3* functions in the same genetic pathway as *RPD3* and *SIN3*.

Sds3 is present in the Sin3 complex: Sin3 and Rpd3 are physically associated (Kadosh and Struhl 1997; Kasten et al. 1997). We used immunoprecipitation methods to determine whether Sds3 is present in the Sin3 complex. Strains were constructed that expressed epitope-tagged versions of Sin3 and Sds3. Sin3 contained a 3 \times HA epitope tag, and Sds3 contains a 13 \times Myc tag, both as C-terminal fusions. When extracts were prepared from strains and immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody, the Sin3-HA fusion protein was detected in Western blots (Figure 6, lanes 1 and 2). The Western blot signal was absent from strains not expressing the Sin3-HA fusion (Figure 6, lanes 4 and 5), and the signal was also abolished by addition of blocking peptide (Figure 6, lane 3). Sds3-Myc coprecipitates with Sin3-HA (Figure 6, lane 1), and this Western blot signal was absent from strains lacking the Myc-tagged Sds3 (Figure 6, lane 2). These experiments show clearly that Sds3 is present in the Sin3 complex.

DISCUSSION

Sin3 is a transcriptional repressor that is targeted to specific promoters by interacting with DNA-binding proteins. Sin3 is present in a large multiprotein complex that includes the Rpd3 histone deacetylase, and thus Sin3 functions as a repressor, at least in part, by altering the acetylation state of chromatin. We set up a genetic screen to identify other genes that play a role in Sin3mediated repression. The screen used a LexA-Sin3 fusion protein that represses transcription of promoters with LexA binding sites, and a number of mutations that reduced this repression were isolated. In this article we describe four genes, *RPD3*, *SDS3*, *CBK1*, and *HYM1*, that play a role in Sin3 function.

The fact that we obtained *RPD3* in the screen validates our selection strategy. In addition to the fact that Rpd3 is physically associated with Sin3, *rpd3* mutations have similar effects on transcriptional regulation as *sin3* mutants. Additionally, the phenotype of a *sin3 rpd3* double mutant is similar to the single mutants, suggesting that Sin3 and Rpd3 function together (Stillman *et al.* 1994).

A variety of experimental observations suggest that SDS3 functions in the same genetic pathway as SIN3 and *RPD3.* We have shown that *sds3* mutations have a similar effect on transcriptional regulation as sin3 and rpd3 mutations. Eight different SIN3-responsive transcription units (CYC1-LexA-HIS3, CYC1-LexA-LacZ, STE6-LacZ, PHO5, IME2-LacZ, INO1-LacZ, TRK2, and telomeric silencing) were used to determine the effect of an sds3 mutation on gene expression. In every case, *sds3* has the same effect as sin3 and rpd3. Moreover, in quantitative assays, sds3 was similar to sin3 and rpd3, except in the TRK2 assay for suppression of the poor growth in lowpotassium medium due to a *trk1* gene deletion. The Swi/Snf complex provides an example where mutations in different components all have related phenotypes, but there can be differences in the phenotypic severity (Cairns et al. 1996). We conclude that an sds3 mutation causes the same phenotypes as sin3 and rpd3.

Analysis of double mutant strains also suggests that *SDS3* functions with *SIN3* and *RPD3*. We have examined expression from all of the *SIN3*-dependent reporters in *sds3 rpd3* or *sds3 sin3* double mutants. In all cases, we fail to see any additive effects in the double mutants, compared to the *rpd3* or *sin3* single mutants. Importantly, this is also true in the *TRK2* bioassay, where the *sds3* mutant is a less effective suppressor, showing that they are not functioning in different pathways. Of course, an *sds3* mutation could reduce repression by reducing expression of either *SIN3* or *RPD3*. However, an *sds3* mutation affects repression by both endogenous Sin3 and the LexA-Sin3 fusion protein that is expressed by a different promoter, and Western immunoblots showed that Rpd3 and LexA-Sin3 levels are unaffected.

SDS3 was identified in a screen for mutations that cause increased silencing of a crippled *HMR* silencer (Vannier *et al.* 1996). This screen also identified *SIN3* and *RPD3*, suggesting a connection between *SDS3* and *SIN3/RPD3*. These authors concluded that *SDS3* was in a different genetic pathway based upon a lack of derepression of a *TRK2-lacZ* plasmid reporter and additive effects in double mutants observed with a *TRP1* gene present at a crippled silencer. However, we found that an *sds3* mutation does affect *TRK2* expression, based on a bioassay involving growth on low potassium, and we do not see any additive effects on silencing in

sds3 rpd3 and *sds3 rpd3* double mutant strains. We also conducted double mutant analysis for all of our transcription assays, and we observed no additive effects with *sds3*. Finally, coimmunoprecipitation experiments show that Sds3 is physically present in the Sin3 complex. We conclude that *SDS3* functions in the same genetic pathway as *RPD3* and *SIN3*.

The screen that originally identified *sds3* used strains sensitized for silencing, with either the $hmr\Delta A$::ADE2 or $hmr\Delta A$::TRP1 reporter in a rap1^s strain (Sussel et al. 1995; Vannier et al. 1996). There are two mutations that increase the sensitivity for changes in silencing, one the ΔA mutation in the ORC binding site in the *HMR-E* silencer, and the other the *rap1^s* mutation in the gene encoding the Rap1 protein that binds to silencers. Quantitative analysis showed that the sds3 mutation caused a 1000-fold increase in silencing (Sussel et al. 1995; Vannier et al. 1996). For the experiments showing an additive effect with sds3, the authors used a *hmr* $\Delta A \Delta E$::*TRP1* reporter, which has mutations in both the ORC and Rap1 binding sites in the HMR-E silencer (Vannier et al. 1996). With this reporter system, 95% of wild-type cells are Trp⁺, 70% of sds3 and sin3 mutants are Trp⁺, and 36% of sds3 sin3 double mutants are Trp⁺. We feel that the dynamic range of this $hmr \Delta A \Delta E:: TRP1$ assay is quite different from the 1000fold seen with the $hmr \Delta A$::*TRP1 rap1^s* assay system, and thus an apparent additive effect was observed with this assay system for the sds3 sin3 double mutant.

CBK1 and HYM1 appear to function in the same genetic pathway. In addition to reducing repression by LexA-Sin3, cbk1 and hym1 mutations both cause defects in cell separation and altered colony morphology. Similar effects on expression of SIN3-dependent genes are seen in both *cbk1* and *hym1* mutants. The effects of *cbk1* and *hym1* are specific to SIN3, as these mutations have no effect on repression by a LexA-Ssn6 fusion protein. Of the seven transcriptional effects analyzed, three (INO1-LacZ, TRK2, and telomeric silencing) were unaffected by these mutations, while *cbk1* and *hym1* mutations have weak effects on four (CYC1-LexA-LacZ, STE6-LacZ, IME2-LacZ, and PHO5) SIN3-dependent genes. Finally, cbk1 hym1 double mutants show no additive effects, compared to single mutants, in any assay, suggesting that *CBK1* and *HYM1* function together.

The transcriptional effects of *cbk1* and *hym1* mutations can be separated genetically from *SIN3* and *RPD3*. These mutations cause extremely weak derepression at *IME2-LacZ* and *PHO5*, and the derepression at *PHO5* is affected by growth on solid *vs.* liquid medium. Importantly, the effect is additive when *cbk1* or *hym1* mutations are combined with *sin3* or *rpd3*. This result suggests that *CBK1* and *HYM1* are in a separate genetic pathway from *SIN3* and *RPD3*, in terms of regulation of *IME2-LacZ* and *PHO5*. The increased derepression observed at *PHO5*, which is not dependent upon growth conditions, is greater than the sum of the effects of the two mutations and may represent a synergistic effect. Thus, at *IME2: LacZ* and *PHO5, CBK1* and *HYM1* function through a mechanism that is independent of Sin3 and Rpd3, suggesting that *CBK1/HYM1* are in a different pathway from *SIN3/RPD3.*

However, double mutant analysis using *CYC1-LexA-LacZ* and *STE6-LacZ* indicates that at these two promoters *cbk1* and *hym1* have modest effects that are genetically inseparable from the effect of the Sin3/Rpd3 complex. At these two promoters, the function of *CBK1* and *HYM1* appears to be through the Sin3/Rpd3 complex, since the transcriptional effect in strains lacking both *CBK1* and *RPD3* is no more severe than in the *rpd3* single mutant. Thus, the function of *CBK1* and *HYM1* at these two promoters differs from that seen at *IME2:LacZ* and *PHO5*.

We conclude from our analysis that cbk1 and hym1 mutations have different effects at distinct promoters regulated by SIN3. Some promoters, such as CYC1-LexA-LacZ and STE6-LacZ, show modest effects in cbk1 and hym1 mutants and do not show additive effects when combined with rpd3 mutations. At IME2-LacZ and PHO5, there are weak or conditional effects that are additive with rpd3 or sin3. Given that Cbk1 shows strong homology to serine/threonine kinases, it seems likely that its function must be the phosphorylation of some substrate. Although *HYM1* has high homology $(e^{-40} \text{ to } e^{-72})$ to genes in mouse, Drosophila melanogaster, Caenorhabditis elegans, Arabidopsis thaliana, and A. nidulans, the predicted amino acid sequence provides no clues as to function. Perhaps Hym1 is a subunit of the Cbk1 kinase complex, providing a substrate recognition function. The additive effects between mutations in CBK1/HYM1 and SIN3/ *RPD3*, at least at some promoters, suggest that Sin3 and Rpd3 are not the relevant substrates of the Cbk1 kinase. We propose that the Cbk1 kinase may phosphorylate a chromatin protein. Phosphorylation of such a protein could have different consequences at different promoters, consistent with the different effects of *cbk1* and *hym1* mutations at different genes.

We thank members of the Stillman Lab for many helpful suggestions, Janet Shaw for advice on UV mutagenesis, Brad Cairns for advice on immunoprecipitation, Jarmilla Janatova for providing antibodies, and Karen Freedman for making the *trk1::ADE2* strain. We thank Jef Boeke, Fred Cross, Rick Gaber, Ira Herskowitz, Yi Wei Jiang, Mark Longtine, John Lopes, David Shore, and Kevin Struhl for providing plasmids and strains. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health awarded to D.J.S.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alland, L., R. Muhle, H. Hou, Jr., J. Potes, L. Chin *et al.*, 1997 Role for N-CoR and histone deacetylase in Sin3-mediated transcriptional repression. Nature **387**: 49–55.
- Aparicio, O. M., B. L. Billington and D. E. Gottschling, 1991 Modifiers of position effect are shared between telomeric and silent mating-type loci in *S. cerevisiae*. Cell **66**: 1279–1287.
- Ausubel, F. M., R. Brent, R. E. Kingston, D. E. Moore, J. G. Seidman

et al., 1987 Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

- Bowdish, K. S., and A. P. Mitchell, 1993 Bipartite structure of an early meiotic upstream activation sequence from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **13**: 2172–2181.
- Brachmann, C. B., A. Davies, G. J. Cost, E. Caputo, J. Li *et al.*, 1998 Designer deletions strains derived from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. Yeast 14: 115–132.
- Breeden, L., and K. Nasmyth, 1987 Cell cycle control of the yeast HO gene: cis- and trans-acting regulators. Cell 48: 389–397.
- Cairns, B. R., R. S. Levinson, K. R. Yamamoto and R. D. Kornberg, 1996 Essential role of Swp73p in the function of yeast Swi/Snf complex. Genes Dev. 10: 2131–2144.
- Cross, F. R., 1997 'Marker swap' plasmids: convenient tools for budding yeast molecular genetics. Yeast **13:** 647–653.
- De Rubertis, F., D. Kadosh, S. Henchoz, D. Pauli, G. Reuter et al., 1996 The histone deacetylase RPD3 counteracts genomic silencing in *Drosophila* and yeast. Nature 384: 589-591.
- Gietz, R. D., and A. Sugino, 1988 New yeast-*Escherichia coli* shuttle vectors constructed with in vitro mutagenized yeast genes lacking six-base pair restriction sites. Gene **74**: 527–534.
- Gottschling, D. E., O. M. Aparicio, B. L. Billington and V. A. Zakian, 1990 Position effect at *S. cerevisiae* telomeres: reversible repression of Pol II transcription. Cell **63**: 751–762.
- Grunstein, M., 1997 Histone acetylation in chromatin structure and transcription. Nature 389: 349–352.
- Grunstein, M., 1998 Yeast heterochromatin: regulation of its assembly and inheritance by histones. Cell **93**: 325–328.
- Guthrie, C., and G. R. Fink (Editors), 1991 Guide to Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology. Academic Press, San Diego.
- Hampsey, M., 1997 A SAGA of histone acetylation and gene expression. Trends Genet. 13: 427–429.
- Han, M., U. J. Kim, P. Kayne and M. Grunstein, 1988 Depletion of histone H4 and nucleosomes activates the *PHO5* gene in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. 7: 2221–2228.
- Hassig, C. A., T. C. Fleischer, A. N. Billin, S. L. Schreiber and D. E. Ayer, 1997 Histone deacetylase activity is required for full transcriptional repression by mSin3A. Cell 89: 341–347.
- Heinzel, T., R. M. Lavinsky, T. M. Mullen, M. Soderstrom, C. D. Laherty *et al.*, 1997 A complex containing N-CoR, mSin3 and histone deacetylase mediates transcriptional repression. Nature 387: 43–48.
- Hepworth, S. R., H. Friesen and J. Segall, 1998 NDT80 and the meiotic recombination checkpoint regulate expression of middle sporulation-specific genes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 18: 5750–5761.
- Hill, J. E., A. M. Myers, T. J. Koerner and A. Tzagoloff, 1986 Yeast/E. coli shuttle vectors with multiple unique restriction sites. Yeast 2: 163–167.
- Hudak, K., J. Lopes and S. Henry, 1994 A pleiotropic phospholipid biosynthetic regulatory mutation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is allelic to *sin3* (*sdi1*, *ume4*, *rpd1*). Genetics **136**: 475–483.
- Hunter, T., and G. D. Plowman, 1997 The protein kinases of budding yeast: six score and more. Trends Genet. **22**: 18–22.
- Kadonaga, J. T., 1998 Eukaryotic transcription: an interlaced network of transcription factors and chromatin-modifying machines. Cell 92: 307–313.
- Kadosh, D., and K. Struhl, 1997 Repression by Ume6 involves recruitment of a complex containing Sin3 corepressor and Rpd3 histone deacetylase to target promoters. Cell **89**: 365–371.
- Kadosh, D., and K. Struhl, 1998a Histone deacetylase activity of Rpd3 is important for transcriptional repression in vivo. Genes Dev. 12: 797–805.
- Kadosh, D., and K. Struhl, 1998b Targeted recruitment of the Sin3-Rpd3 histone deacetylase complex generates a highly localized domain of repressed chromatin in vivo. Mol. Cell. Biol. 18: 5121–5127.
- Karos, M., and R. Fischer, 1999 Molecular characterization of HymA, an evolutionarily highly conserved and highly expressed protein of *Aspergillus nidulans*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 260: 510–521.
- Kasten, M. M., S. Dorl and and D. J. Stillman, 1997 A large protein complex containing the Sin3p and Rpd3p transcriptional regulators. Mol. Cell. Biol. 16: 4215–4221.
- Kingston, R. E., C. A. Bunker and A. N. Imbal zano, 1996 Repres-

sion and activation by multiprotein complexes that alter chromatin structure. Genes Dev. **10**: 905–920.

- Laherty, C., W. M. Yang, J. M. Sun, J. R. Davie, E. Seto *et al.*, 1997 Histone deacetylases associated with the mSIN3 corepressor mediate Mad:Max transcriptional repression. Cell **89**: 349– 356.
- Longtine, M. S., A. McKenzie III, D. J. Demarini, N. G. Shah, A. Wach *et al.*, 1998 Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast **14**: 953–961.
- Nagy, L., H. Y. Kao, D. Chakravarti, R. J. Lin, C. A. Hassig *et al.*, 1997 Nuclear receptor repression mediated by a complex containing SMRT, mSin3A, and histone deacetylase. Cell 89: 373–380.
- Nasmyth, K., D. Stillman and D. Kipling, 1987 Both positive and negative regulators of *HO* transcription are required for mothercell-specific mating-type switching in yeast. Cell 48: 579–587.
- Pazin, M. J., and J. T. Kadonaga, 1997 What's up and down with histone deacetylation and transcription? Cell 89: 325–328.
- Renauld, H., O. M. Aparicio, P. D. Zierath, B. L. Billington, S. K. Chhablani *et al.*, 1993 Silent domains are assembled continuously from the telomere and are defined by promoter distance and strength, and by SIR3 dosage. Genes Dev. 7: 1133–1145.
- Rundlett, S. E., A. A. Carmen, R. Kobayashi, S. Bavykin, B. M. Turner *et al.*, 1996 HDA1 and RPD3 are members of distinct yeast histone deacetylase complexes that regulate silencing and transcription. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **93**: 14503–14508.
- Rundlett, S. E., A. A. Carmen, N. Suka, B. M. Turner and M. Grunstein, 1998 Transcriptional repression by UME6 involves deacetylation of lysine 5 of histone H4 by RPD3. Nature 392: 831–835.
- Sherman, F., 1991 Getting started with yeast. Methods Enzymol. 194: 1–21.
- Sternberg, P. W., M. J. Stern, I. Clark and I. Herskowitz, 1987 Activation of the yeast *HO* gene by release from multiple negative controls. Cell 48: 567–577.
- Stillman, D. J., S. Dorland and Y. Yu, 1994 Epistasis analysis of suppressor mutations that allow *HO* expression in the absence of the yeast *SWI5* transcriptional activator. Genetics **136**: 781–788.
- Strich, R., M. R. Slater and R. E. Esposito, 1989 Identification of negative regulatory genes that govern the expression of early meiotic genes in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 86: 10018– 10022.
- Struhl, K., 1998 Histone acetylation and transcriptional regulatory mechanisms. Genes Dev. 12: 599–606.
- Sun, Z. W., and M. Hampsey, 1999 A general requirement for the Sin3-Rpd3 histone deacetylase complex in regulating silencing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 152: 921–932.
- Sussel, L., D. Vannier and D. Shore, 1995 Suppressors of defective silencing in yeast: effects on transcriptional repression at the *HMR* locus, cell growth and telomere structure. Genetics 141: 873–888.
- Taunton, J., C. A. Hassig and S. L. Schreiber, 1996 A mammalian histone deacetylase related to the yeast transcriptional regulator Rpd3p. Science 272: 408–411.
- Thomas, B. J., and R. Rothstein, 1989 Elevated recombination rates in transcriptionally active DNA. Cell **56**: 619–630.
- Toh-e, A., Y. Ueda, S. I. Kakimoto and Y. Oshima, 1973 Isolation and characterization of acid phosphatase mutants in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Bacteriol. 113: 727–738.
- Vannier, D., D. Balderes and D. Shore, 1996 Evidence that the transcriptional regulators *SIN3* and *RPD3*, and a novel gene (*SDS3*) with similar functions, are involved in transcriptional silencing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **144**: 1343–1353.
- Vidal, M., and R. F. Gaber, 1991 *RPD3* encodes a second factor required to achieve maximum positive and negative transcriptional states in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **11**: 6317– 6327.
- Vidal, M., and R. F. Gaber, 1994 Selectable marker replacement in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 10: 141–149.
- Vidal, M., A. M. Buckley, F. Hilger and R. F. Gaber, 1990 Direct selection for mutants with increased K+ transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **125**: 313–320.
- Vidal, M., R. Strich, R. E. Esposito and R. F. Gaber, 1991 *RPD1* (SIN3/UME4) is required for maximal activation and repression of diverse yeast genes. Mol. Cell. Biol. **11**: 6306–6316.

- Wang, H., and D. J. Stillman, 1993 Transcriptional repression in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by a SIN3-lexA fusion protein. Mol. Cell. Biol. 13: 1805–1814.
- Wang, H., L. Reynolds-Hager and D. J. Stillman, 1994 Genetic interactions between SIN3 and the Saccharomyces cerevisiae MCM1, STE12, and SWI1 transcriptional activators. Mol. Gen. Genet. 245: 675–685.
- Wolffe, A. P., 1997 Transcriptional control: Sinful repression. Nature 387: 16–17.
- Wolffe, A. P., and D. Pruss, 1996 Targeting chromatin disruption: transcription regulators that acetylate histones. Cell **84:** 817–819.
- Zhang, Y., R. Iratni, H. Erdjument-Bromage, P. Tempst and D. Reinberg, 1997 Histone deacetylases and SAP18, a novel polypeptide, are components of a human Sin3 complex. Cell 89: 357-364.
- Zhang, Y., Z. W. Sun, R. Iratni, H. Erdjument-Bromage, P. Tempst et al., 1998 SAP30, a novel protein conserved between human and yeast, is a component of a histone deacetylase complex. Mol. Cell 1: 1021–1031.

Communicating editor: M. Hampsey