The Saccharomyces cerevisiae Suppressor of Choline Sensitivity (SCS2) Gene Is a Multicopy Suppressor of mec1 Telomeric Silencing Defects

Rolf J. Craven and Thomas D. Petes

Department of Biology, Curriculum in Genetics and Molecular Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27599-3280

> Manuscript received November 17, 2000 Accepted for publication February 9, 2001

ABSTRACT

Mec1p is a cell cycle checkpoint protein related to the ATM protein kinase family. Certain *mec1* mutations or overexpression of Mec1p lead to shortened telomeres and loss of telomeric silencing. We conducted a multicopy suppressor screen for genes that suppress the loss of silencing in strains overexpressing Mec1p. We identified *SCS2* (suppressor of *c*holine *s*ensitivity), a gene previously isolated as a suppressor of defects in inositol synthesis. Deletion of *SCS2* resulted in decreased telomeric silencing, and the *scs2* mutation increased the rate of cellular senescence observed for *mec1-21 tel1* double mutant cells. Genetic analysis revealed that Scs2p probably acts through a different telomeric silencing pathway from that affected by Mec1p.

I N the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, chromosomes terminate in a simple repetitive sequence $[poly(G_{1:3}T)]$ that is ~350–500 bp in length (GREIDER 1996). The telomeric repeats are packaged into a non-nucleosomal type of chromatin (WRIGHT *et al.* 1992). Telomere chromatin structure prevents the transcription of reporter genes at the telomere, a phenomenon called telomere position effect (TPE) or telomeric silencing (GOTTSCHLING *et al.* 1990).

Telomeric silencing requires a number of proteins that bind at the telomere. For example, the Rap1p (repressor and activator protein) binds directly to telomeric DNA (GILSON et al. 1993). Rap1p then recruits the Sir3 and Sir4 (silent information regulator) proteins (Mor-ЕТТІ et al. 1994; НЕСНТ et al. 1995, 1996). The Rifl protein (Rap1p-interacting factor; HARDY et al. 1992) competes with Sir3p for binding to Rap1p and acts as a negative regulator of telomeric silencing (KYRION et al. 1993; MORETTI et al. 1994). Additional proteins involved in regulating telomeric silencing include the H3 and H4 histones, proteins involved in regulating posttranslation modifications of histones, and the DNA endbinding Ku proteins (reviewed by LUSTIG 1998). Many of these proteins also regulate silencing of the silent mating-type loci (APARICIO et al. 1991).

The Mec1p (*mitotic entry checkpoint*) directs the cellular response to DNA damage and S-phase arrest (ALLEN *et al.* 1994; WEINERT *et al.* 1994) and regulates telomere length (RITCHIE *et al.* 1999). The *mec1-21* allele or overexpression of the wild-type *MEC1* results in loss of telomeric silencing (CRAVEN and PETES 2000); some mutant

Genetics 158: 145–154 (May 2001)

alleles of *MEC1* result in shortened telomeres without loss of telomeric silencing (LONGHESE *et al.* 2000). The *mec1-21* silencing defect can be suppressed by a mutation in the *SML1* (suppressor of *mec1* lethality) gene (CRAVEN and PETES 2000); mutations in *SML1* result in elevated nucleotide pools (ZHAO *et al.* 1998). Mec1p directs a signaling cascade that includes the Dun1p kinase (ZHOU and ELLEDGE 1993), and *dun1* cells also exhibit shortened telomeres and decreased telomeric silencing (CRAVEN and PETES 2000; LONGHESE *et al.* 2000).

Strains with mutations in both MEC1 and the related TEL1 gene (LUSTIG and PETES 1986; GREENWELL et al. 1995) have very short telomeres and undergo cellular senescence (RITCHIE et al. 1999). Following \sim 50 generations of attenuated growth, "survivor" colonies appear by a recombination-dependent mechanism (RITCHIE et al. 1999). In summary, the related Mec1p and Tel1p are required for telomere length regulation; Mec1p, but not Tellp, also has a role in telomeric silencing. Similar observations have also been made in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. Strains with mutations in both $rad3^+$ (the gene equivalent to MEC1) and tel1⁺ undergo complete loss of telomeres (NAITO et al. 1998), and strains with single mutations in the $rad3^+$ gene lose telomeric silencing (DAHLEN et al. 1998; MATSUURA et al. 1999). Thus, the functions of MEC1 at the telomere are widely conserved through evolution.

Since mutations within the kinase domain of Mec1p affect telomere length (MALLORY and PETES 2000), it is likely that the effects of the *mec1* mutation on telomere length and telomeric silencing are a consequence of lack of phosphorylation of downstream targets. Although Mec1p-dependent phosphorylation of a number of proteins involved in the repair of DNA damage has been observed (reviewed by LOWNDES and MURGUIA 2000),

Corresponding author: Thomas D. Petes, Department of Biology, Curriculum in Genetics and Molecular Biology, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3280. E-mail: tompetes@email.unc.edu

the targets of Mec1p relevant to its effects at the telomere are unknown. One way to search for downstream targets of a protein is to screen for genes that, when overexpressed, suppress mutant phenotypes. Such multicopy suppressors may be downstream targets of the signaling protein, genes that activate competing pathways, or genes that inactivate inhibitory pathways (GUTHRIE and FINK 1991). Below, we describe a screen for genes that, when overexpressed, suppress the telomeric silencing defect caused by overexpression of *MEC1*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains: All strains were isogenic with W303a (*leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3-1 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 rad5-535*; THOMAS and ROTHSTEIN 1989), except for alterations introduced by transformation. The genotypes of strains used in our study are shown in Table 1. The names and sequences of oligonucleotides used for strain constructions or strain diagnosis are given in Table 2.

Most W303a-derived strains contain the *rad5-535* mutation (FAN *et al.* 1996). We isolated *RAD5* derivatives of such strains by crossing them to the isogenic *RAD5* strain W1588-4C (from R. Rothstein). The presence of the *rad5-535* mutation was scored by PCR amplification of genomic DNA with the primers RAD5-L and RAD5-R and treatment of the resulting DNA fragment with the *Mnl*I restriction enzyme. The *rad5-535* substitution introduces an *Mnl*I site into the *RAD5* coding sequence. In direct comparisons of *RAD5* and *rad5-535* strains, we found no differences in telomeric silencing.

A number of strains with deletions were constructed using the PCR method described by WACH *et al.* (1994). The *SCS2* (suppressor of *c*holine *s*ensitivity) gene was replaced by *HIS3* using a PCR fragment (primers, *SCS2*-KOF and *SCS2*-KOR; template, pRS303) in a one-step transplacement. The *INO1* gene was replaced by *HIS3* using a similar approach (primers, *INO1*-KOF and *INO1*-KOR; template, pRS303). In three strains, genes were replaced with the *kanMX* gene (WACH *et al.* 1994), which confers resistance to geneticin, by the same procedure. These genes and the primers used to generate the PCR fragment for the one-step transplacements were: *RAD9* (*RAD9*-KOF and *RAD9*-KOR), *TEL1* (*TEL1*-KOF and *TEL1*-KOR), and *YBL091C-A* (*YBL*-KOF and *YBL*-KOR); the template for the PCR reactions was pFA6-*kanMX* (WACH *et al.* 1994).

We also used PCR methods to epitope-tag Sir3p and Scs2p. The primers *SIR3*-F and *SIR3*-R for Sir3p and *SCS2*-F and *SCS2*-R for Scs2p (sequences in Table 2) were used to amplify the plasmid pFA6a-3HA-kanMX6 (LONGTINE *et al.* 1998). The resulting DNA fragments were used to transform W1588-4c to geneticin resistance. In one of the resulting strains (RCY309), the Scs2p contains two hemagglutinin (HA) epitopes inserted immediately upstream of the termination codon and there is an insertion of the *kanMX* cassette downstream of *SCS2*. In the second strain (RCY310), the Sir3p has the same 2XHA tag immediately upstream of the termination codon with the same *kanMX* insertion downstream of *SIR3*.

We assayed telomeric silencing using a construction in which the URA3 gene was inserted near the end of chromosome XV_L (GOTTSCHLING *et al.* 1990; CRAVEN and PETES 2000). This construction was introduced into various genetic backgrounds by crosses. The resulting diploids (haploid strains shown in parentheses) were: RCY165 (RCY109-15d \times W303aU-fr), RCY207 (RCY201 \times W303 α), RCY211 (RCY207-3a \times RCY109-1c), RCY243 (RCY242 \times RCY109-25c), RCY269 (RCY268 \times

RCY243-7a), RCY278 (RCY273 \times RCY243-7d), RCY280 (RCY28 \times RCY243-1a), RCY282 (RCY269-7c \times LPY253), RCY300 (Y286 \times RCY269-4a), RCY305 (RCY269-4a \times RCY278-1a), RCY307 (MD89 \times RCY300-6a), and RCY346 (RCY106-1d \times RCY211-2b).

Multicopy suppressor screen: The strain RCY138, containing a TEL-XV_L-URA3 telomere and the MEC1-containing plasmid pRC5, is sensitive to 5-fluoro-orotate (5-FOA) because overexpression of Mec1p results in loss of telomeric silencing (CRAVEN and PETES 2000). We transformed this strain with a YEp13-borne genomic library (DEMARINI et al. 1997), looking for transformants that had restored silencing. Transformants were selected on plates lacking both histidine (to maintain selection of pRC5) and leucine. Following 3 days of growth, colonies were replicated to plates lacking histidine and leucine but containing 1 mg/ml 5-FOA. Of ~12,000 His⁺ Leu⁺ transformants examined, only 40 were resistant to 5-FOA. Further analysis showed that only 6 of these transformants suppressed the silencing defect caused by Mec1p overexpression in a plasmid-dependent manner. Plasmids were rescued from each of the 6 transformants into Escherichia coli; these plasmids were called pMOS2 (Mec1p-overexpression suppression 2), pMOS7, pMOS13, pMOS21, pMOS24, and pMOS35.

Plasmids: The plasmid pMOS2 (described above) had two open reading frames. The open reading frame (ORF) representing the SCS2 gene was subcloned as a 1.6-kb HindIII-BglII fragment into the BamHI and HindIII sites of the LEU2containing vector YEplac181 (GIETZ and SUGINO 1988), resulting in the plasmid pRC12. The high-copy-number LEU2containing pRC11 plasmid contains an insertion of the RNR1 gene (CRAVEN and PETES 2000). The plasmid pRC5 is a highcopy-number HIS3-containing plasmid with MEC1 (CRAVEN and PETES 2000), and pRC4 (identical to the previously described pRS4; CRAVEN and PETES 2000) is a CEN- and HIS3containing plasmid with the MEC1 gene. The plasmid pRS423 (CHRISTIANSON et al. 1992) is a high-copy-number HIS3-containing vector that was used as a control in some experiments. The SIR3 overexpression plasmid pLP304 contains a 4.5-kb fragment of SIR3 inserted into the LEU2-marked 2-µm vector YEp351 (STONE and PILLUS 1996). The plasmid pJH318 (HIRSCH and HENRY 1986) contains the INO1 gene inserted into YEp351. The plasmid pBAD45 (provided by S. Elledge) has an insertion of MEC1 on a CEN-URA3-containing vector.

Genetic methods, assays for silencing, and measuring sensitivity to DNA damaging agents: Standard methods were used for transformation, media preparation, and tetrad analysis (GUTHRIE and FINK 1991). Because some mutant phenotypes associated with *mec1* or *tel1* mutations exhibit a substantial phenotype lag, strains with these mutations were subcloned for ~ 100 cell generations before monitoring any phenotypes.

Telomeric silencing assays were performed as described previously (CRAVEN and PETES 2000). Strains were grown overnight in rich growth medium (for plasmid-free strains) or appropriate synthetic media lacking specific amino acids. Cells were suspended in water and diluted 1:5 in serial increments, and 5 μ l of the diluted suspensions was spotted on rich growth medium (YPD) or plates containing 1 mg/ml 5-FOA. For some strains, synthetic media lacking histidine and/or leucine were used to force retention of *HIS3*- and/or *LEU2*-containing plasmids. To test silencing of the silent mating-type locus, we used strains that contained an insertion of *TRP1* integrated at the *HML* locus. In wild-type strains, silencing results in a Trp⁻ phenotype (NISLOW *et al.* 1997).

Sensitivity to inhibition of growth by hydroxyurea was examined using medium containing 50–200 mM hydroxyurea. The concentration of the DNA-damaging agent methyl methanesulfonate in the medium was 0.05%. To assay the ability of strains to grow in the absence of inositol or choline, we used

TABLE 1

Name	Genotype	Construction or reference
W303a	Wild type ^{<i>a</i>}	THOMAS and ROTHSTEIN (1989)
W303α	α	THOMAS and ROTHSTEIN (1989)
W1588-4C	RAD5	R. Rothstein
LPY253	hml::TRP1	STONE and PILLUS (1996)
MD89	$RAD5 \ rad9\Delta$:: $kanMX$	Transformation of W1588-4C with PCR fragment ^b
Y286	$\alpha dun1-\Delta 100$::HIS3	ZHOU and ELLEDGE (1993)
W303aU-fr	$hdf1\Delta$:: $ura3$	PORTER et al. (1996)
Y602	$mec1\Delta$::HIS3 + pBAD45	DESANY et al. (1998)
RCY28	$\alpha rifl\Delta::kanMX^{'}$	CRAVEN and PETES (1999)
RCY106-1d	$rif1\Delta::kanMx$ TELXV,:::URA3	CRAVEN and PETES (2000)
RCY109-2b	TELXV,::URA3	CRAVEN and PETES (2000)
RCY109-1c	mec1-21 TELXV ₁ ::URA3	CRAVEN and PETES (2000)
RCY109-15d	$\alpha \ sml1\Delta$::HIS3 TELXV ₁ ::URA3	CRAVEN and PETES (2000)
RCY109-25c	α mec1-21 TELXV ₁ ::URA3	CRAVEN and PETES (2000)
RCY124-2a	rap-17 hml::TRP1	CRAVEN and PETES (2000)
RCY138	TELXV _I ::URA3	CRAVEN and PETES (2000)
RCY144-4a	$dun1-\Delta 100::HIS3 TELXV_I::URA3$	CRAVEN and PETES (2000)
RCY165-1c	$hdf1\Delta$::ura3 TELXV ₁ ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY165
RCY201	$RAD5$ ino 1 Δ ::HIS3	Transformation of W1588-4C with PCR fragment
RCY207-3a	$\alpha RAD5 ino1\Delta$::HIS3	Spore derivative of RCY207
RCY211-2b	$\alpha ino1\Delta$::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY211
RCY242	RAD5 scs2 Δ ::HIS3	Transformation of W1588-4C with PCR fragment ^b
RCY243-1a	$scs2\Delta$::HIS3 RAD5 TELXV ₁ ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY243
RCY243-7a	α RAD5 mec1-21 scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY243
RCY243-7d	α RAD5 mec1-21 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY243
RCY268	RAD5 YBL091C-A Δ ::kan MX	Transformation of W1588-4C with PCR fragment ^b
RCY269-1b	RAD5 mec1-21 scs2Δ::HIS3 YBL091C-AΔ::kanMX TELXV.::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY269
RCY269-2b	RAD5 YBL091C-A Δ ::kanMX TELXV:::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY269
RCY269-3d	RAD5 mec1-21 TELXV ₁ ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY269
RCY269-4a	RAD5 scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV ₁ ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY269
RCY269-6a	RAD5 TELXV ₁ ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY269
RCY269-7c	α RAD5 mec1-21 scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV ₁ ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY269
RCY269-13c	RAD5 scs2A::HIS3 YBL091C-AA::kanMX TELXV,::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY269
RCY273	$RAD5 \ tel1\Delta::kanMX$	Transformation of W1588-4C with PCR fragment ^b
RCY278-1a	α RAD5 mec1-21 tel1 Δ ::kanMX TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY278
RCY280-1b	$\alpha RAD5 rif1\Delta::kanMX TELXV_L::URA3$	Spore derivative of RCY280
RCY280-3b	RAD5 rif1 Δ ::kanMX scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY280
RCY280-4b	$\alpha RADS$ TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY280
RCY280-6b	RAD5 scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY280
RCY282-2a	hml::TRP1	Spore derivative of RCY282
RCY282-7c	α hml::TRP1	Spore derivative of RCY282
RCY282-11c	$scs2\Delta$::HIS3 hml::TRP1	Spore derivative of RCY282
RCY282-13d	α scs2 Δ ::HIS3 hml::TRP1	Spore derivative of RCY282
RCY300-6a	α RAD5 scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY300
RCY305-7a	RAD5 tel1 Δ ::kanMX TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY305
RCY305-7b	RAD5 mec1-21 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY305
RCY305-7c	RAD5 tel1 Δ ::kanMX scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY305
RCY305-7d	RAD5 mec1-21 scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY305
RCY305-9a	RAD5 scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY305
RCY305-9b	RAD5 mec1-21 tel1∆::kanMX scs2∆::HIS3 TELXVL::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY305
RCY305-9c	RAD5 mec1-21 tel1 Δ ::kanMX TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY305
RCY305-9d	$RAD5 TELXV_L::URA3$	Spore derivative of RCY305
RXY307-2c	RAD5 rad9 Δ ::kanMX scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY307
RCY307-3c	RAD5 scs2 Δ ::HIS3 TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY307
RCY307-4a	RAD5 rad9 Δ ::kanMX TELXV _L ::URA3	Spore derivative of RCY307
RCY309	RAD5 SCS2-2HA/kanMX	Transformation of W1588-4C with PCR fragment ^b
RCY310	RAD5 SIR3-2HA/kanMX	Transformation of W1588-4C with PCR fragment ^b

^{*a*} The genotype of W303a is *a leu2-3,112 his3-11 ura3-1 ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 rad5-535*. All strains in this table are isogenic with W303a except for the changes indicated in the genotype column.

^b The PCR primer sequences are in Table 2, and the PCR templates are described in MATERIALS AND METHODS.

R. J. Craven and T. D. Petes

TABLE 2

Name and sequence of oligonucleotides used in strain constructions

Name	DNA sequence $(5' \text{ to } 3')$	
INO1-KOF	ATTGGAGCTTTCGTCACCTTTTTTTGGCTTGTTCTGTTGTCGGGTTCCTAGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC	
INO1-KOR	TGTTTTTTTATAGGTAGGCGGAAAAAGAAAAAGAGAGAGTCGTTGAAATGAGCTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG	
RAD5-L	GCAGCAGGACCATGTAAACG	
RAD5-R	AAACTCGTTACTCCACTGCG	
RAD9-KOF	AGAAACGCCATAGAAAAGAGCATAGTGAGAAAATCTTCAACATCAGGGCTCGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC	
RAD9-KOR	TATTTAATCGTCCCTTTCTATCAATTATGAGTTTATATATTTTTATAATTATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG	
SCS2-KOF	TGTGTTAATAGTGTAGCAGAAGGGTATTCTACAATCTCCGCGAACCTAAGTGATTGTACTGAGAGTGCACC	
SCS2-KOR	ATATAAATATATATTTAGAATACAGCTATATCCTCAATCTCCCTACTGTGCGGTATTTCACACCG	
TEL1-KOF	GGAAATTCGAAAAAAAAGCCTTCAAAGAAAAGGGAAATCAGTGTAACATAGACGATGGAGCGTACGCT	
	GCAGGTCGAC	
TEL1-KOR	CGTATTTCTATAAACAAAAAAAAGAAGTATAAAGCATCTGCATACCAATTAATCGATGAATTCGACCTCG	
YBL-KOF	TTGCTACCTTTTTTGGTGCGATGCAACAGGTTACTAATATGTAATACTTCACGTACGCTGCAGGTCGAC	
YBL-KOR	AAGCAATGCTCTGGAACTCAGACTTTGCCCGTTACCTGCTGATGGCCGCTCCATCGATGAATTCGAGCTCG	
<i>SIR3-</i> F	ATAAATTACGCCTTTTCGATGGATGAAGAATTCAAAAATATGGACTGCATTTATCCCTATGACGTCCCG	
<i>SIR3</i> -R	GAATACAGAGACTGCATGTGTACATAGGCATATCTATGGCGGAAGTGGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC	
SCS2-F	TATTCATATTGGTTGCACTCCTTATCTTGGTTTTAGGATGGTTCTACAGATATCCCTATGACGTCCCG	
SCS2-R	CACATATATAAATATATATATTTAGAATACAGCTATATCCTCAATCTCCCTAGAATTCGAGCTCGTTTAAAC	

vitamin-defined synthetic medium as defined by GRIAC et al. (1996).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation: Yeast strains (RCY309 with HA-tagged Scs2p and RCY310 with HA-tagged Sir3p) were grown in rich growth medium to an OD₆₀₀ of 1–1.5. The cells were treated with 1% formaldehyde for 2 hr. Crosslinking was stopped with 1 M glycine, and cell extracts were prepared as described by MELUH and KOSHLAND (1997). Immunoprecipitation, deproteinization, and PCR were performed as described by STRAHL-BOLSINGER *et al.* (1997). The antibody used for both immunoprecipitations and Western analysis (MALLORY and PETES 2000) was HA.11 (Babco, Richmond, CA). Telomeric sequences were detected by PCR using primers homologous to the V_L telomere (MILLS *et al.* 1999).

RESULTS

Identification of SCS2 as a multicopy suppressor of *mec1* **TPE defects:** Cells that overexpress *MEC1* lack the ability to silence a telomeric URA3 gene and, therefore, fail to grow on plates containing 5-FOA (CRAVEN and PETES 2000). We conducted a screen for genes that, when overexpressed, suppress the MEC1 overexpression-silencing defect (details in MATERIALS AND METH-ODS). In a screen of \sim 12,000 transformants, we identified six different pMOS plasmids that were capable of suppressing the silencing defect. The identities of yeast genomic DNA within five of these plasmids were determined by DNA sequencing each junction of the insertion and by comparing the sequences with the Saccharomyces Genome Database. The chromosomal coordinates for each insertion were as follows (Roman numerals indicating the chromosome): pMOS2 (V, 26753-30712), pMOS7 (XV, 291737-295525), pMOS13 (VII, 77187-83915), pMOS21 (IX, 404000-410409), and pMOS24 (V, 509064-513891). The strongest suppression of the silencing defect was observed for the pMOS2

plasmid, and our subsequent analysis was restricted to this plasmid.

The plasmid pMOS2 contained two open reading frames. We constructed a plasmid (pRC12) that had one of these ORFs (YER120W, *SCS2*) and showed that this plasmid suppressed the silencing defect caused by *MEC1* overexpression (Figure 1a). *SCS2* is a protein of unknown function that has genetic interactions with proteins involved in inositol/lipid biosynthesis (KAGI-WADA et al. 1998).

In addition to suppressing the telomeric silencing defect resulting from *MEC1* overexpression, *SCS2* overexpression suppressed the telomeric silencing defect of *mec1-21* and *dun1*- Δ 100 strains. While the *mec1-21* and *dun1*\Delta strains RCY109-1c and RCY144-4a harboring a control vector grew poorly on medium with 5-FOA, indicating a silencing defect (Figure 1b), the same strains silenced at wild-type levels upon *SCS2* overexpression (Figure 1b). In contrast, *SCS2* overexpression did not suppress the telomeric silencing defect of cells lacking the *yKU70/HDF1* gene (Figure 1b), which encodes a DNA end-binding protein required for silencing (BOUL-TON and JACKSON 1998). Thus, the restoration of silencing by *SCS2* overexpression is not generalizable to all telomeric silencing mutants.

Strains with *mec1* or *dun1* mutations fail to form colonies in media containing hydroxyurea (HU, an inhibitor of ribonucleotide reductase; ZHOU and ELLEDGE 1993; ALLEN *et al.* 1994) and null mutants of *MEC1* are inviable (ZHAO *et al.* 1998). The inviability of *mec1* null mutants, but not the inability to form colonies on HU-containing media, is suppressed by overexpression of *RNR1* (DESANY *et al.* 1998), a gene encoding one of the subunits of ribonucleotide reductase. Overexpression of *SCS2* did not rescue the ability of *mec1-21* (Figure 2a) or *dun1*



FIGURE 1.—SCS2 suppresses telomeric silencing defects. All strains (derived from RCY138 by transformation with various plasmids) contained an insertion of URA3 near the left telomere of chromosome XV (TELXVL::URA3); in RCY138, expression of URA3 is turned off by telomeric silencing, resulting in a high frequency of 5-FOA^R cells. (a) Suppression of the telomeric silencing defect caused by overexpression of Mec1p. Cells with various HIS3- and LEU2-containing plasmids were diluted in water and spotted on plates lacking leucine and histidine (top) or similar plates containing 5-FOA to assay telomeric silencing (bottom). Wild-type RCY138 cells containing two control plasmids (VECT.1, pRS423; VECT.2, YEplac181) silenced normally, while the same cells harboring the MEC1 overexpression plasmid (YEp-MEC1, pRC5) silenced poorly. This loss of silencing was suppressed by the pMOS2 plasmid identified by screening, and by a subclone of pMOS2 (pRC12) containing only the SCS2 gene. (b) SCS2 suppresses the mec1-21 and dun1 silencing defects. Wild-type (RCY109-2b), mec1-21 (RCY109-1c), $dun1\Delta$ (RCY144-4a), and $\gamma ku70$ (RCY165-1c) cells were transformed with a control plasmid YEplac181 (VECT.) or the SCS2 overexpression plasmid pRC12 (YEp-SCS2).

(data not shown) strains to form colonies on HU-containing media. *SCS2* overexpression also did not suppress the essential function of *MEC1*. A strain (Y602) with a *mec1* Δ deletion and the plasmid pBAD45 (*CEN*containing plasmid with *URA3* and *MEC1*) was transformed with a high-copy-number control plasmid (YEp *lac181*), a high-copy-number *SCS2*-containing plasmid (pRC12), or a high-copy-number *RNR1*-containing plas-



FIGURE 2.-SCS2 does not suppress the role of Meclp in the S-phase checkpoint response or the essential function of Mec1p. (a) Wild-type (RCY109-2b) or mec1-21 (RCY109-1c) cells were transformed with a control plasmid YEplac181 (VECT.), the SCS2 overexpression plasmid pRC12 (YEp-SCS2), or the RNR1 overexpression plasmid pRC11 (YEp-RNR1). Cells were plated onto media lacking leucine (top), or on plates lacking leucine and containing 50 mM hydroxyurea (HU, bottom). (b) A mec1 Δ strain (Y602) harboring a MEC1-CEN-URA3 plasmid (pBAD45) was transformed with a control plasmid (VECT., YEp*lac*181), YEp-SCS2 (pRC12), or YEp-RNR1 (pRC11). The strain with the YEp-RNR1 plasmid formed colonies on the 5-FOA plate because RNR1 can suppress the essential function of Mec1p, allowing the strain to lose the MEC1-CEN-URA3 plasmid. The lack of growth on 5-FOA plates of the strain with YEp-SCS2 plasmid indicates that SCS2 cannot suppress the essential function of Mec1p.

mid (pRC11). The ability of these strains to lose the *MEC1*-containing plasmid was monitored using medium containing 5-FOA. Only the strain with plasmid pRC11 was able to lose the *MEC1*-containing plasmid (Figure 2b).

The scs2 Δ mutation causes loss of telomeric silencing: Deletion of the SCS2 open reading frame caused a loss of telomeric silencing, similar to that observed for the mec1-21 (Figure 3) and dun1 Δ (data not shown) mutants. We measured telomeric silencing in five independent cultures of isogenic wild-type, scs2, mec1-21, and scs2 mec1-21 strains. The percentages of cells in each culture that were 5-FOA^R (range of values shown in parentheses) were : 10% (4.4–14%) for wild type, 0.8% (0.5–1%) for scs2, 1.9% (1.5–2.7%) for mec1-21, and 0.06% (0.03–0.1%) for scs2 mec1-21. Telomere length was unaffected by deletion of SCS2, and scs2 mutants



FIGURE 3.—*SCS2* is required for telomeric silencing. Both *SCS2* and a related ORF, *YBL091C-A*, were deleted and the resulting strains were assayed for telomeric silencing. The strains tested were RCY269-6a (wild type), RCY269-3d (*mec1-21*), RCY269-4a (*scs2*), RCY269-2b (*YBL091C-A* Δ), RCY269-13c (*scs2 YBL091C-A* Δ), and RCY269-1b (*mec1-21 scs2 YBL091C-A* Δ).

were not more sensitive than wild-type strains to ultraviolet light, hydroxyurea, or methyl methane-sulfonate (data not shown).

Silencing of the *HML* locus requires many of the same proteins necessary for telomeric silencing (APARICIO *et al.* 1991). Silencing at *HML* can be conveniently monitored using a strain in which the wild-type *TRP1* gene has been inserted at *HML* (STONE and PILLUS 1996). Silencing results in a tryptophan-requiring phenotype. As shown in Figure 4, the *scs2* mutation does not reduce silencing at the *HML* locus, although the *rap1-17* mutation, as expected (KYRION *et al.* 1993), does result in a silencing defect. We previously observed that *mec1-21* also reduced telomeric silencing without affecting silencing at *HML* (CRAVEN and PETES 2000).

SCS2 shares 48% identity with an uncharacterized open reading frame YBL091C-A. This ORF lacks an ATG start site, but is transcribed (VELCULESCU *et al.* 1997). The strain RCY269-2b, which has a deletion of YBL091C-A, was viable and had wild-type levels of telomeric silencing (Figure 3). This deletion also has no effect on telomere length or sensitivity to HU (data not shown). Furthermore, a strain with a deletion of the YBL091C-A ORF and an *scs2* mutation has approximately the same telomeric silencing defect as the single *scs2* Δ mutant (Figure 3). We conclude that *SCS2* contributes to telomeric silencing, but that the related open reading frame YBL091C-A does not.

Double mutants of *mec1-21* and *tel1* Δ undergo loss of telomeric sequences and cellular senescence, followed by the emergence of a small number of surviving cells



rap1-17

FIGURE 4.—*SCS2* is not required for mating-type silencing. Five strains were constructed containing the *TRP1* gene inserted at *HML*. The ability to silence *HML* results in poor growth on medium lacking tryptophan. Wild-type (RCY282-2a, left; RCY282-7c, right) and *scs2*Δ cells (RCY282-11c, left; RCY282-13d, right) were proficient for mating-type silencing, whereas *rap1-17* cells (RCY124-2a) were not.

(RITCHIE et al. 1999). A triple mutant mec1-21 tel1 Δ scs2 Δ strain underwent senescence at an accelerated rate compared to *mec1-21 tel1* Δ mutants (Figure 5, left side). In addition, the survivors derived from the triple mutant strain were less abundant and grew more slowly than *mec1-21 tel1* Δ survivors. We analyzed seven tetrads containing pairs of mec1-21 tell Δ and mec1-21 tell Δ scs2 Δ spores. For each pair, the triple mutant senesced at an earlier stage of subculturing than the double mutant. At early stages of subculturing, telomere lengths in mec1-21 tell Δ strains were the same as those in mecl-21 tell Δ scs2 Δ strains (data not shown), suggesting that the earlier senescence in the mec1-21 tell Δ scs2 Δ strains is not likely to reflect an effect on telomere length. The mecl-21 scs2 Δ or tel1 Δ scs2 Δ mutants were viable and did not senesce even after extended subculturing (Figure 5, right side).

The scs2 Δ mutation is not suppressed by overexpression of *RNR1* or *INO1*: The telomeric silencing defects of *mec1-21* and *dun1* Δ are suppressed by overexpression of the *RNR1* gene and by the *sml1* mutation (CRAVEN and PETES 2000); both of these alterations are likely to lead to elevated nucleotide pools (ZHAO *et al.* 1998). Neither overexpression of *RNR1* (Figure 6a) nor the *sml1* mutation (data not shown) reversed the telomeric silencing defect of *scs2*. These results suggest that *SCS2* and *MEC1* may affect different pathways required for telomeric silencing.

One model for the effect of the *scs2* mutation on telomeric silencing is that *scs2* cells have elevated levels of damage. In the presence of DNA damage, telomeric silencing proteins are recruited to the sites of the damage, resulting in loss of silencing; this recruitment re-



FIGURE 5.—The *scs2* mutation causes an increased rate of senescence in *mec1-21 tel1* cells. Strains derived from sporulating the diploid RCY305 were subcultured 10 times (sc1–sc10) on YPD-containing plates. The strain names were: RCY305-9d (wild type), RCY305-9c (*mec1-21 tel1*), RCY305-9b (*mec1-21 tel1*), RCY305-9b (*mec1-21 tel1*), RCY305-7b (*mec1-21*), RCY305-7c (*tel1 scs2*), and RCY305-7d (*mec1-21 scs2*). The triple mutant *mec1-21 tel1 scs2* reproducibly had a faster rate of senescence than the *mec1-21 tel1* double mutant.

quires the Rad9p (MILLS *et al.* 1999). Consequently, if the silencing defect in *scs2* strains reflects increased levels of DNA damage, strains with mutations in both *scs2* and *rad9* would have increased telomeric silencing. We examined telomeric silencing in isogenic *RAD5 TEL-XV_L::URA3* strains with the following genotypes: *scs2* (RCY307-3c), *rad9* (RCY307-4a), and *scs2 rad9* (RCY307-



FIGURE 6.—The *scs2* telomeric silencing defect is not suppressed by *RNR1* or *INO1* overexpression. The silencing assay was the same as used in Figure 1. (a) The wild-type (RCY269-6a), *mec1-21* (RCY269-3d), and *scs2* (RCY269-4a) strains were transformed with the control vector YEp*lac*181 (VECT.) or the overexpression plasmid pRC11 (YEp*-RNR1*). (b) The same strains used in a were transformed with the *INO1* overexpression plasmid pJH318 (YEp*-INO1*).

2c). The double mutant strains had the same silencing defect as the *scs2* single mutant strain (data not shown), ruling out the simplest forms of this model.

SCS2 was originally identified as a suppressor of the inositol auxotrophy of CSE1 (*choline sensitive*, a dominant mutation) and *ire15* (*inositol requiring*) mutants (KAGI-WADA *et al.* 1998). Both of these mutants lack the ability to express the *INO1* gene, which encodes the enzyme inositol-1-phosphate synthase (DEAN and HENRY 1989). The Ino1p catalyzes the conversion of glucose-6-phosphate to inositol-1-phosphate, the first committed step in inositol phosphate synthesis. The $scs2\Delta$ mutants are

leaky inositol auxotrophs at elevated temperatures, and this auxotrophy is suppressed by overexpression of the *INO1* gene (KAGIWADA *et al.* 1998). Overexpression of *INO1*, however, did not suppress the telomeric silencing defects of $scs2\Delta$ or *mec1-21* cells (Figure 6b). In addition, deletion of the *INO1* gene did not affect telomeric silencing. We conclude that the effects of the scs2 mutation on silencing are not mediated through *INO1*.

The scs2 Δ telomeric silencing defect is suppressed by overexpression of SIR3 or by the *rif1* mutation: One important component of telomeric silencing appears to be the level of Sir3p bound at and near the telomere. Sir3p binds to the carboxy terminus of the telomerebinding protein Rap1p in competition with Rif1p (MOR-ETTI et al. 1994; HECHT et al. 1996). Telomeric silencing is decreased by sir3 mutations (APARICIO et al. 1991) and elevated by overexpression of Sir3p (RENAULD et al. 1993) or mutations of RIF1 (KYRION et al. 1993). The scs2 Δ telomeric silencing defect was completely suppressed by multiple copies of the SIR3 gene (Figure 7a) and by the *rif1* mutation (Figure 7b). Overexpression of Sir3p also suppressed the telomeric silencing defect of mec1-21 (Figure 7a).

One interpretation of the observation that the *scs2* telomeric silencing defect is suppressed by the *rif1* mutation is that Scs2p negatively regulates the function of Rif1p. As described above, Scs2p overexpression suppresses the inositol auxotrophy associated with mutations in the *INO1* pathway. To find out whether the *rif1* mutation might interact with mutations in the *INO1* pathway, we examined the ability of isogenic spores (derived from the diploid RCY346) of the wild-type, *ino1*, *rif1*, and *ino1 rif1* genotypes to grow on medium lacking inositol. Wild-type and *rif1* strains grew normally, whereas *ino1* and *ino1 rif1* strains grew very slowly (although at the same rates). Thus, Rif1p does not appear to affect the *INO1* pathway.

Both telomeric heterochromatin (GOTTA et al. 1996) and Scs2p (KAGIWADA et al. 1998) localize to the perinuclear region of the cell, raising the possibility that Scs2p might bind directly or indirectly to telomeres. To test this possibility, we tagged the Scs2p with an HA epitope (RCY309); we also constructed a strain (RCY310) containing HA-tagged Sir3p, a known telomere-binding protein (HECHT et al. 1996). The HA-tagged Scs2p protein was proficient for telomere silencing and could be readily detected by Western blot. Using formaldehyde crosslinking and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis (details in MATERIALS AND METHODS), we failed to detect Scs2p bound to the telomere, although we could readily detect the binding of telomeric sequences to an HA-tagged version of the Sir3p control (data not shown). Thus, it is unlikely that Scs2p affects telomeric silencing through a stable direct interaction with telomeric heterochromatin. We cannot exclude the possibility of an unstable association of Scs2p with the telomere.



FIGURE 7.—The *scs2* telomeric silencing defect is suppressed by overexpression of Sir3p or by the *rif1* mutation. (a) Wildtype (RCY269-6a), *mec1-21* (RCY269-3d), and *scs2* (RCY269-4a) strains were transformed with the control vector YEp*lac*181 (VECT.) or with the *SIR3* overexpression plasmid pLP304 (YEp-*SIR3*). Telomeric silencing assays were performed as described previously. (b) Telomeric silencing assays were done for wild-type (RCY280-4b), *scs2* (RCY280-6b), *rif1* (RCY280-1b), and *scs2 rif1* (RCY280-3b) strains.

DISCUSSION

The major conclusions of this study are: (1) telomeric silencing defects caused by overexpression of Mec1p or by the *mec1-21* mutation are suppressed by overexpression of *SCS2*; (2) deletion of *SCS2* causes a partial loss of telomeric silencing and accelerates senescence in *mec1-21 tel1* cells; and (3) loss of silencing in *scs2* Δ cells is suppressed by multiple copies of *SIR3* and loss of *RIF1*, but not by multiple copies of *RNR1* or *INO1*. Multicopy suppressors function through one of three mechanisms: activation or increase in levels of a downstream target in the same pathway as the mutated protein, inactivation of an inhibitory pathway of the mutated protein. We discuss our results in the context of these possibilities.

Previous studies identified *RAD53*, *DUN1*, and *RNR1* as multicopy suppressors of the essential function of Mec1p (SANCHEZ *et al.* 1996; DESANY *et al.* 1998). These proteins are thought to function as downstream effectors in the same DNA repair checkpoint pathway as Mec1p (reviewed by LOWNDES and MURGUIA 2000). Mec1p is a protein kinase (MALLORY and PETES 2000; PACIOTTI *et al.* 2000) and several of the proteins downstream of Mec1p, such as Rad53p (SANCHEZ *et al.* 1996), are phosphorylated in a Mec1p-dependent fashion *in vivo.*

Our observation that overexpression of Scs2p suppresses the telomeric silencing defects of mec1-21 and *dun1* is consistent with the possibility that Mec1p and Scs2p act in the same pathway. There are, however, several observations that are difficult to explain by this hypothesis. First, the $scs2\Delta$ silencing defect is not suppressed by elevated nucleotide pools, a condition that suppresses the *mec1-21* silencing defect. Second, the $scs2\Delta$ mutation is suppressed by loss of the *RIF1* gene, which does not affect the mec1-21 silencing defect (CRA-VEN and PETES 2000). Third, it is unlikely that Scs2p is a direct substrate for the kinase activity of Mec1p because an epitope-tagged version of Scs2p does not exhibit altered expression, mobility, or processing in mec1-21 cells (data not shown). Although none of these arguments are conclusive, the simplest interpretation of the data is that Scs2p does not function in the same pathway affecting telomeric silencing as Mec1p.

An alternative explanation is that the Scs2p affects a pathway that competes with that regulated by Mec1p. If Scs2p were part of a pathway that inhibits Mec1p and if Scs2p overexpression disrupted this pathway, then Scs2p overexpression might restore silencing to *mec1-21* mutants. This model, however, does not explain the loss of silencing observed in *scs2*\Delta strains, since loss of Scs2p should result in more efficient Mec1p-mediated telomeric silencing.

Consequently, we favor a model in which Scs2p regulates telomeric silencing in a pathway operating independently of Mec1p. Since the silencing defect of $scs2\Delta$ mutants is restored by altering the balance of Sir3p at the telomere by overexpression of Sir3p or loss of the competing Rif1p (Figure 7), one possibility is that Scs2p acts in the Sir3p/Rif1p pathway of silencing. By this model, Scs2p could positively regulate Sir3p proteins or negatively regulate Rif1p. Scs2p is related to the Aplysia *californica* synaptobrevin/vesicle-associated membrane protein (VAMP)-associated protein VAP-33, which functions in protein secretion (SKEHEL et al. 1995; LAPIERRE et al. 1999). Scs2p is associated with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), although scs2 strains do not have defective protein secretion (KAGIWADA et al. 1998). KAGI-WADA et al. (1998) suggested that Scs2p might be a membrane-bound transcription factor that is released from the ER to the nucleus in response to certain cellular signals. If the Scs2p is a transcriptional activator of one or more silencing proteins, then overexpression of Scs2p might relieve the telomeric silencing defect caused by overexpression or mutation of the Mec1p. Loss of Scs2p might result in a diminished level of silencing proteins and partial loss of telomeric silencing. Although we observed no effect of the *scs2* mutation on the silent mating-type loci, telomeric silencing is often more sensitive to subtle changes in the levels of silencing proteins than silencing at the mating-type loci (APARI-CIO *et al.* 1991). It is unlikely that Scs2p acts as a negative regulator of Rif1p, since strains with *rif1*mutations have elongated telomeres (HARDY *et al.* 1992) and *scs2* strains have wild-type-length telomeres. Thus, for Scs2p to be a negative regulator of Rif1p, would have to be separable from its effects on telomeric silencing.

One alternative intriguing possibility is that Scs2p alters silencing indirectly through the synthesis or processing of phospholipids. These lipids might serve as docking sites for heterochromatin on the nuclear membrane or be part of a signaling cascade that regulates silencing. One argument against this model is that the only known target of Scs2p in the phospholipid pathway, the *INO1* gene, has no effect on silencing when deleted or overexpressed.

In summary, *SCS2* is involved in regulating telomeric silencing. Although we identified *SCS2* in a genetic screen for genes that were multicopy suppressors of a silencing defect associated with Mec1p overexpression, our results suggest that Scs2p regulates telomeric silencing in a different pathway from Mec1p.

We thank K. Ritchie and J. Mallory for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript; M. Dominska and L. Stefanovic for expert technical assistance; and R. Rothstein, D. DeMarini, J. Pringle, L. Pillus, S. Henry, and S. Elledge for strains and plasmids. This work was supported by a National Institutes of Health grant GM52319 to T.D.P. and a fellowship (PF-4435) from the American Cancer Society to R.J.C.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALLEN, J. B., Z. ZHOU, W. SIEDE, E. C. FRIEDBERG and S. J. ELLEDGE, 1994 The SAD1/RAD53 protein kinase controls multiple checkpoints and DNA damage-induced transcription in yeast. Genes Dev. 8: 2416–2428.
- APARICIO, O. M., B. L. BILLINGTON and D. E. GOTTSCHLING, 1991 Modifiers of position effect are shared between telomeric and silent mating-type loci of *S. cerevisiae*. Cell **66**: 1279–1287.
- BOULTON, S. J., and S. P. JACKSON, 1998 Components of the Kudependent non-homologous end joining pathway are involved in telomeric length maintanance and telomeric silencing. EMBO J. 17: 1819–1828.
- CHRISTIANSON, T. W., R. S. SIKORSKI, M. DANTE, J. H. SHERO and P. HIETER, 1992 Multifunctional yeast high-copy-number shuttle vectors. Gene 110: 119–122.
- CRAVEN, R. J., and T. D. PETES, 1999 Dependence of the regulation of telomere length on the type of subtelomeric repeat in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **152**: 1531–1541.
- CRAVEN, R. J., and T. D. PETES, 2000 Involvement of the checkpoint protein Meclp in silencing of gene expression at telomeres in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **20**: 2378–2384.
- DAHLEN, M., T. OLSSON, G. KANTER-SMOLER, A. RAMNE and P. SUN-NERHAGEN, 1998 Regulation of telomere length by checkpoint genes in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Mol. Biol. Cell 9: 611–621.
- DEAN, J. M., and S. A. HENRY, 1989 Biosynthesis of inositol in yeast.

Primary structure of *myo*-inositol-1-phosphate synthase (EC 5.5.1.4) and functional analysis of its structural gene, the *INO1* locus. J. Biol. Chem. **264:** 1274–1283.

- DEMARINI, D. J., A. E. M. ADAMS, H. FARES, C. DEVIRGILIO, G. VALLE et al., 1997 A septin-based hierarchy of proteins required for localized deposition of chitin in the Saccharomyces cerevisiae cell wall. J. Cell Biol. 139: 75–93.
- DESANY, B. A., A. A. ALCASABAS, J. B. BACHANT and S. J. ELLEDGE, 1998 Recovery from DNA replication stress is the essential function of the S-phase checkpoint pathway. Genes Dev. **12**: 2956–2970.
- FAN H. Y., H. L. KLEIN, K. K. CHENG, 1996 Mutations in the RNA polymerase II transcription machinery suppress the hyperrecombination mutant hpr1 delta of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 142: 749–759.
- GIETZ, R. D., and A. SUGINO, 1988 New yeast-*Escherichia coli* shuttle vectors constructed with *in vitro* mutagenized yeast genes lacking six-base pair restriction sites. Gene **74:** 527–534.
- GILSON, E., M. ROBERGE, R. GIRALDO, D. RHODES and S. M. GASSER, 1993 Distortion of the DNA double helix by RAP1 at silencers and multiple telomere binding sites. J. Mol. Biol. 231: 293–310.
- GOTTA, M., T. LAROCHE, A. FORMENTON, L. MAILLET, H. SCHERTHAN et al., 1996 The clustering of telomeres and colocalization with Rap1, Sir3, and Sir4 proteins in wild-type Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Cell Biol. 134: 1349–1363.
- GOTTSCHLING, D. E., O. M. APARICIO, B. L. BILLINGTON and V. A. ZAKIAN, 1990 Position effect at S. cerevisiae telomeres: reversible effect of Pol II transcription. Cell 63: 751–762.
- GREENWELL, P. W., S. L. KRONMAL, S. E. PORTER, J. GASSENHUBER, B. OBERMAIER et al., 1995 TEL1, a gene involved in controlling telomere length in S. cerevisciae, is homologous to the human Ataxia telengiectasia gene. Cell 82: 823–829.
- GREIDER, C. W., 1996 Telomere length regulation. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 65: 337–365.
- GRIAC, P., M. J. SWEDE and S. A. HENRY, 1996 The role of phosphatidylcholine biosynthesis in the regulation of the *INO1* gene of yeast. J. Biol. Chem. **271**: 25692–25698.
- GUTHRIE, C., and G. R. FINK (Editors), 1991 Guide to Yeast Genetics and Molecular Biology. Academic Press, San Diego.
- HARDY, C. F. J., L. SUSSEL and D. SHORE, 1992 A *RAPI*-interacting protein involved in transcriptional silencing and telomere length regulation. Genes Dev. 6: 801–814.
- HECHT, A., T. LAROCHE, S. STRAHL-BOLSINGER, S. M. GASSER and M. GRUNSTEIN, 1995 Histone H3 and H4 N-termini interact with SIR3 and SIR4 proteins: a molecular model for the formation of heterochromatin in yeast. Cell 80: 583–592.
- HECHT, A., S. STRAHL-BOLSINGER and M. GRUNSTEIN, 1996 Spreading of transcriptional repressor SIR3 from telomeric heterochromatin. Nature 383: 92–95.
- HIRSCH, J. P., and S. A. HENRY, 1986 Expression of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae Inositol-1-phosphate synthase (INOI) gene is regulated by factors that affect phospholipid synthesis. Mol. Cell. Biol. 6: 3320–3328.
- KAGIWADA, S., K. HOSAKA, M. MURATA, J.-I. NIKAWA and A. TAKAT-SUKI, 1998 The Saccharomyces cerevisiae SCS2 gene product, a homolog of a Synaptobrevin-associated protein, is an integral membrane protein of the endoplasmic reticulum and is required for Inositol metabolism. J. Bacteriol. 180: 1700–1708.
- KYRION, G., K. LIU, C. LIU and A. J. LUSTIG, 1993 RAP1 and telomere structure regulate telomere position effects in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 7: 1146–1159.
- LAPIERRE, L. A., P. L. TUMA, J. NAVARRE, J. R. GOLDENRING and J. M. ANDERSON, 1999 VAP-33 localizes to both an intracellular vesicle population and with occludin at the tight junction. J. Cell Sci. 112: 3723–3732.
- LONGHESE, M. P., V. PACIOTTI, H. NEECKE and G. LUCCHINI, 2000 Checkpoint mutants influence telomeric silencing and length maintenance in budding yeast. Genetics **155**: 1577–1591.
- LONGTINE, M. S., A. MCKENZIE III, D. J. DEMARINI, N. G. SHAH, A. WACH et al., 1998 Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene deletion and modification in *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae. Yeast 14: 953–961.
- LOWNDES, N. F., and J. R. MURGUIA, 2000 Sensing and responding to DNA damage. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 10: 17–25.
- LUSTIG, A. J., 1998 Mechanisms of silencing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 8: 233–239.

- LUSTIG, A. J., and T. D. PETES, 1986 Identification of yeast mutants with altered telomere structure. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83: 1389–1402.
- MALLORY, J. C., and T. D. PETES, 2000 Protein kinase activity of Tellp and Meclp, two S. cerevisiae proteins related to the human ATM protein kinase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 13749–13754.
- MATSUURA, A., T. NAITO and F. ISHIKAWA, 1999 Genetic control of telomere integrity in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*: rad3⁺ and tel1⁺ are parts of two regulatory networks independent of the downstream protein kinases chk1⁺ and cds1⁺. Genetics 152: 1501–1512.
- MELUH, P. B., and D. KOSHLAND, 1997 Budding yeast centromere composition and assembly as revealed by *in vivo* cross-linking. Genes Dev. 11: 3401–3412.
- MILLS, K. D., D. A. SINCLAIR and L. GUARENTE, 1999 MEC1-dependent redistribution of the Sir3 silencing protein from telomeres to DNA double-strand breaks. Cell 97: 609–620.
- MORETTI, P., K. FREEMAN, L. KOODLY and D. SHORE, 1994 Evidence that a complex of *SIR* proteins interacts with the silencer and telomere-binding protein *RAP1*. Genes Dev. 8: 2257–2269.
- NAITO, T., A. MATSUURA and F. ISHIKAWA, 1998 Circular chromosome formation in a fission yeast mutant deficient in two ATM homologues. Nat. Genet. **20:** 203–206.
- NISLOW, C., E. RAY and L. PILLUS, 1997 SETI, a member of the Trithorax family, functions in transcriptional silencing and diverse cellular processes. Mol. Biol. Cell 8: 2421–2436.
- PACIOTTI, V., M. CLERICI, G. LUCCHINI and M. P. LONGHESE, 2000 The checkpoint protein Ddc2, functionally related to *S. pombe* Rad26, interacts with Mec1 and is regulated by Mec1-dependent phosphorylation in budding yeast. Genes Dev. 14: 2046–2059.
- PORTER, S. E., P. W. GREENWELL, K. B. RITCHIE and T. D. PETES, 1996 The DNA-binding protein Hdf1p (a putative Ku homologue) is required for maintaining normal telomere length in *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res. 24: 582–585.
- RENAULD, H., O. M. APARICIO, P. D. ZIERATH, B. L. BILLINGTON, S. K. CHHABLANI *et al.*, 1993 Silent domains are assembled continuously from the telomere and are defined by promoter distance and strength, and by SIR3 dosage. Genes Dev. 7: 1133–1145.
- RITCHIE, K. B., J. C. MALLORY and T. D. PETES, 1999 Interactions of *TLC1* (which encodes the RNA sub-unit of telomerase), *TEL1*, and *MEC1* in regulating telomere length in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol. Cell. Biol. **19**: 6065–6075.
- SANCHEZ, Y., B. A. DESANY, W. L. JONES, Q. LIU, B. WANG *et al.*, 1996 Regulation of *RAD53* by the ATM-like kinases *MEC1* and *TEL1* in yeast cell cycle checkpoint pathways. Science **271**: 357–360.
- SKEHEL, P. A., K. C. MARTIN, E. R. KANDEL and D. BARTSCH, 1995 A VAMP-binding protein from *Aplysia* required for neurotransmittor release. Science **269**: 1580–1583.
- STONE, E. M., and L. PILLUS, 1996 Activation of an MAP kinase cascade leads to Sir3p hyperphosphorylation and strengthens transcriptional silencing. J. Cell Biol. 135: 571–583.
- STRAHL-BOLSINGER, S., A. HECHT, K. LUO and M. GRUNSTEIN, 1997 SIR2 and SIR4 interactions differ in core and extended telomeric heterochromatin in yeast. Genes Dev. 11: 83–93.
- THOMAS, B. J., and R. ROTHSTEIN, 1989 Elevated recombination rates in transcriptionally active DNA. Cell **56:** 619–630.
- VELCULESCU, V. E., L. ZHANG, W. ZHOU, J. VOGELSTEIN, M. A. BASRAI et al., 1997 Characterization of the yeast transcriptome. Cell 88: 243–251.
- WACH, A., A. BRACHAT, R. POHLMANN and P. PHILIPPSEN, 1994 New heterologous modules for classical or PCR-based gene disruptions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast 10: 1793–1808.
- WEINERT, T. A., G. L. KISER and L. H. HARTWELL, 1994 Mitotic checkpoint genes in budding yeast and the dependence of mitosis on DNA replication and repair. Genes Dev. 8: 652–665.
- WRIGHT, J. H., D. E. GOTTSCHLING and V. A. ZAKIAN, 1992 Saccharomyces telomeres assume a non-nucleosomal chromatin structure. Genes Dev. 6: 197–210.
- ZHAO, X., E. G. D. MULLER and R. ROTHSTEIN, 1998 A suppressor of two essential checkpoint genes identifies a protein that negatively effects dNTP pools. Mol. Cell 2: 329–340.
- ZHOU, Z., and S. J. ELLEDGE, 1993 DUN1 encodes a protein kinase that controls the DNA damage response in yeast. Cell 75: 1119– 1127.

Communicating editor: J. RINE