# A Novel Yeast Silencer: The 2µ Origin of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Has HST3-, MIG1- and SIR-Dependent Silencing Activity

## Arnold Grünweller and Ann E. Ehrenhofer-Murray<sup>1</sup>

Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, 14195 Berlin, Germany Manuscript received February 1, 2002 Accepted for publication June 17, 2002

#### ABSTRACT

Silencing in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is found at the mating-type loci *HMR* and *HML*, in subtelomeric regions, and at the rDNA locus. Repressed chromatin is built up by the recruitment of the Sir proteins via their interaction with DNA-binding proteins that bind to silencers. Here, we have performed a genetic screen for novel sequence elements within the yeast genome that display silencing activity. We isolated as a novel silencer element the origin of replication from the endogenous  $2\mu$  plasmid ( $2\mu$ ARS).  $2\mu$ ARS-mediated silencing was dependent upon the Sir proteins, the origin recognition complex (ORC), and Hst3, a Sir2 histone deacetylase homolog, suggesting that it constituted a novel class of silencing in yeast. Moreover,  $2\mu$ ARS carried a binding site for Mig1, a transcriptional repressor of glucose-regulated genes. Both the Mig1-binding site and the *MIG1* gene were necessary for full silencing activity of  $2\mu$ ARS. Furthermore, Hst3 was physically present at  $2\mu$ ARS in a silencing context as well as at the endogenous  $2\mu$  plasmid. Also, Hst3 regulated the repression of the flipase gene, although this was likely an indirect effect of *HST3* on *FLP1* expression.

**TETEROCHROMATINIZATION** is a mechanism  ${f 1}$  of gene regulation that is widespread in eukaryotes and contributes to global chromosomal architecture as well as to the establishment of distinct transcriptional domains within the genome. For instance, centromeric sequences in Drosophila are condensed in the chromocenter, and the translocation of genes into this region causes stochastic inactivation in some cell clones, resulting in a mosaic pattern of gene silencing termed position-effect variegation (PEV; WEILER and WAKIмото 1995). Interestingly, the replication initiator complex origin recognition complex (ORC) is involved in silencing in Drosophila. DmORC interacts with heterochromatin protein 1 (HP-1) and localizes to the chromocenter, and mutations in DmORC cause a loss of PEV, showing that ORC is required for gene silencing in Drosophila (Рак et al. 1997).

Gene silencing in the yeast *S. cerevisiae* also requires ORC. In yeast, three classes of silencing are known to date: repression of the silent mating-type loci *HML* and *HMR*, which is required for the maintenance of haploid cell types (Loo and RINE 1995), and telomeric and rDNA silencing, which likely are the result of the protection against recombination at these loci (APARICIO *et al.* 1991; SMITH and BOEKE 1997). Silencing is best studied at the *HM* loci, where a heterochromatin-like structure is found that contains hypoacetylated histones (BRAUNSTEIN *et al.* 1993) and is refractory to many types

of protein-DNA interactions (SINGH and KLAR 1992; Loo and RINE 1994). Repression is achieved by the combined action of flanking sequence elements termed silencers and several regulatory proteins. The silencers contain binding sites for Rap1, Abf1, and ORC (Loo and RINE 1995). Some of the silencers are also chromosomal origins of replication, but replication initiation per se is not required for silencing (EHRENHOFER-MURRAY et al. 1995; Fox et al. 1997). One model for ORC's role in silencing is that it recruits Sir1 to the silencer via an interaction between Orc1 and Sir1 (TRIOLO and STERNGLANZ 1996), which in turn attracts other factors, for instance Sir2, Sir3, and Sir4, to form repressed chromatin at the HM loci (Fox et al. 1997; GARDNER et al. 1999). Sir2 has NAD-dependent histone deacetylase activity and hence may be responsible for the lack of histone acetylation in the silenced regions (IMAI et al. 2000; TANNY and MOAZED 2001), whereas the other Sir proteins likely are heterochromatin components comparable to HP-1 in Drosophila (NIELSEN et al. 2001).

ORC binding to the silencers is necessary but not sufficient for silencing, because not all origins of replication or ORC-binding sites in the genome are capable of conferring silencing. For instance, replacement of *HMR*-E by ARS1 does not induce *HM* silencing (Fox *et al.* 1995). However, many origins contain binding sites for Rap1 or Abf1 (RAO *et al.* 1994), but to date, no other origin has been found to have silencer activity, and it is currently not clear what distinguishes origins that are solely replicators from silencer origins.

Of the Sir proteins, only Sir2 is required for silencing at all known silent loci in yeast. Sir2 is the only Sir

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Otto Warburg Laboratories, Max Planck Institute for Molecular Genetics, Ihnestrasse 73, D-14195 Berlin, Germany. E-mail: ehrenhof@molgen.mpg.de

protein with homologs in larger eukaryotes, and there are also homologs of Sir2 (Hst proteins) in yeast itself (BRACHMANN et al. 1995), some of which have demonstrated histone deacetylase activity (SMITH et al. 2000). The Hst protein family can be divided into three subfamilies, depending on the length and sequence of their amino and carboxy termini (SHERMAN et al. 1999). Sir2 and Hst1 are in one subfamily with Sir2 homologs from Kluyveromyces lactis and Candida albicans. Overexpression of HST1 in part restores silencing at HMR in a sir2 $\Delta$ mutant, showing that Hst1 can partially substitute for Sir2 at the HM loci (BRACHMANN et al. 1995). Additionally, Hst1 functions together with Sum1 in the repression of middle sporulation-specific genes during mitosis (XIE et al. 1999) and is required for HMR silencing that is mediated by Sum1-1 (RUSCHE and RINE 2001; SUTTON et al. 2001). The second subfamily of Hst proteins contains Hst2, the human homolog of Sir2, hSIR2A, and other Hst homologs from various organisms. Hst2, a cytoplasmic enzyme, accounts for the majority of detectable NAD-dependent deacetylase activity in yeast (LANDRY et al. 2000). Overexpression of Hst2 disrupts telomeric silencing while improving repression in the rDNA (PER-ROD et al. 2001). The third group of Sir2 homologs contains the S. cerevisiae proteins Hst3 and Hst4. An hst3 hst4 double mutant is defective in telomeric but not HM silencing and shows a modest UV sensitivity, a cell cycle delay, and chromosomal instability (BRACHMANN et al. 1995), whereas single mutants have no discernible phenotype. However, little is known about the cellular function of these Hst proteins.

In this study, we have conducted a search for novel silencing activities in the yeast genome and have identified the origin of replication of the endogenous 2µ plasmid (2µARS) as a novel DNA element that conferred transcriptional repression to two reporter genes. The 2µ plasmid found in most S. cerevisiae strains contains a unique autonomous replicative sequence (ARS) that serves as the sole *in vivo* origin of replication within the plasmid (HUBERMAN et al. 1987) and at which replication is initiated once during each cell cycle (ZAKIAN et al. 1979). Other functional elements in the 2µ plasmid are the five 1/2 tandem repeats of a 62-bp sequence in the stability locus (STB) that is required for plasmid segregation; the genes REP1, REP2, and RAF1 that encode proteins required for gene expression and plasmid segregation; and the two flipase recognition targets (FRTs), which are binding sites for the flipase Flp1 (for review see BROACH and VOLKERT 1991). Flp1, which itself is encoded on the 2µ plasmid, induces a doublestrand break and catalyzes the site-specific recombination between inverted repeats on the plasmid, resulting in the interconversion of two inversion isomers of 2µ.

Interestingly, silencing by  $2\mu$ ARS was dependent on the Sir proteins and also required the proteins Hst3 and Mig1 for full repression. Mig1 is a repressor/activator protein that recruits the Ssn6-Tup1 complex to glucoserepressed gene promoters (TREITEL and CARLSON 1995), thus leading to deacetylation of histones (BONE and ROTH 2001). This is the first demonstration of a class of silencing that was dependent on *HST3* and *MIG1*. It suggests novel roles for transcription factors in repression and furthers our knowledge of alternative mechanisms of gene silencing in yeast.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid constructions: The silencing reporter plasmid pAE370 was constructed as follows: A 1.85-kb fragment containing the **a**1 gene and the I silencer of HMR was PCR amplified with flanking SacII sites and ligated into the SacII site of a version of pRS315 (SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989) in which part of the polylinker had previously been removed by digestion with XhoI/SalI and religation. Subsequently, the URA3 gene was PCR amplified from pRS316 (SIKORSKI and HIETER 1989) with flanking NotI sites and ligated into the NotI sites of the previous construct, resulting in pAE370. Derivatives of pAE370 carrying silencers were constructed as follows: pAE374 was constructed by PCR amplification of HMR-E with flanking BamHI sites and cloning it into the BamHI site of pAE370. The synthetic HMR-E silencer (SS; MCNALLY and RINE 1991) was cloned into pAE370 by digesting pDR60 with BamHI and SacII and isolating a 130-bp SS fragment. Overhangs were blunt-ended by treatment with Klenow polymerase and T4 DNA polymerase and ligated into the SmaI site of pAE370. This resulted in pAE411, in which SS is in the forward orientation (ORC-Rap1-Abf1), and in pAE412 with SS in a reversed orientation (Abf1-Rap1-ORC). Deletion of the HMR-I silencer in the silencing cassette plasmids was achieved by digesting with BclI and XhoI, filling in the ends with Klenow polymerase, and religation.

Plasmid pAE528, which contains the 1.56-kb 2µ fragment, was isolated from a library of genomic Sau3AI fragments in pAE370 (see below). SnaBI and BamHI digestion, Klenow treatment, and religation of pAE528 resulted in pAE536 that contains the STB of the 2µ fragment with part of the in vivomapped origin of replication (HUBERMAN et al. 1987). A HindIII and SnaBI digestion, Klenow treatment, and religation of pAE528 resulted in pAE572, which contained part of the 2µ origin of replication and the flipase recognition target. A total of 300 bp of the 2µ origin of replication surrounding the ARS consensus sequence (ACS) were PCR amplified with BamHI linkers and cloned into the BamHI site of pAE370. With this strategy, we obtained a series of plasmids containing the 2µARS in one to three copies (pAE480 with 1x-2µARS reverse, pAE481 with 1x-2µARS forward, pAE482 with 3x-2µARS forward, pAE483 with 2x-2µARS forward, and pAE484 with 2x-2µARS reverse).

Plasmids pAE805, -806, and -807 were derivatives from pAE481, -483, and -482, respectively, with the *URA3* gene in the reverse orientation. To this end, *URA3* was amplified from pRS316 with flanking *Not*I and *Xba*I sites and ligated into the *NotI/XbaI* sites of pAE481, -483, and -482.

The ARS1 origin sequence was isolated from pARS1/WTA (MARAHRENS and STILLMAN 1992) by *Eco*RI and *Bam*HI digestion, and the end-repaired 210-bp ARS1 fragment was ligated into the *Sma*I site of pAE370, thus producing pAE503 with ARS1. This plasmid was digested with *Bam*HI and treated with Klenow polymerase, and ARS1 was inserted as above, resulting in pAE515, which carried two copies of ARS1. The plasmid pAE518 that contains three copies of ARS1 in the forward orientation was generated by ligation of the blunt-ended *Eco*RI/*Bam*HI ARS1 fragment into the *Hin*dIII site of pAE515.

Plasmid pAE808, which contains  $3x-2\mu$ ARS without the Mig1-binding sites, and pAE859, which contains 2x-ARS1 with additional Mig1-binding sites, were constructed by PCR amplification and sequential cloning of the resulting fragments for ARS1 into the *Sma*I, *Bam*HI, and *Hin*dIII sites of pAE370, as described above.

Construction of genomic libraries: Genomic libraries were prepared by partially digesting yeast genomic DNA with either Sau3AI or HaeIII and ligating size-fractionated fragments into the BamHI and SmaI sites of pAE370, respectively. Plasmid DNA was prepared from 14,000 pooled Escherichia coli transformants and used to transform the yeast strain AEY565 to leucine prototrophy at a density of  $\sim 200$  colonies per plate. These colonies were replica plated onto selective fluoroorotic acid (FOA) plates, and FOA-resistant (FOA<sup>R</sup>) colonies were then replica plated onto YM plates lacking uracil. Colonies with an  $FOA^{\mathbb{R}}/Ura^+$  phenotype were analyzed in a serial dilution assay to confirm silencing activity. Genomic DNA prepared from the candidates was used to transform the E. coli strain DH5α to ampicillin resistance, and plasmid DNA was prepared. Plasmid inserts were partially sequenced and the sequence was compared to the Saccharomyces Genome Database (SGD). Furthermore, plasmids were retransformed into AEY565 and a second serial dilution assay was performed. Only candidates that retained the FOA<sup>R</sup>/Ura<sup>+</sup> phenotype were used for further investigations.

**Yeast methods:** The strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. Media for the growth of *S. cerevisiae* were as described in SHERMAN (1991); YM + 5-FOA medium contained the drug 5-fluoroorotic acid (BOEKE *et al.* 1984) at 1 mg/ml and 2% glucose. All cultures were grown at 30° except strain AEY2243 that was grown at 23°. Yeast transformations were performed by the lithium acetate procedure (ITO *et al.* 1983). Strain AEY1365 was constructed by inducing a mating-type switch in AEY565 using the plasmid YCp50-Gal1-10-HO. Construction of the different gene disruptions in AEY565 was performed by replacing the respective genes with *kanMX* using the PCR knockout strategy according to the guidelines for EUROFAN

(WACH et al. 1994). Knockout strains were verified by PCR analysis.

Serial dilution assays were performed as described in APARI-CIO and GOTTSCHLING (1994). For quantitative FOA assays, cells were plated at a density of  $\sim$ 200 colonies on supplemented YM to determine the rate of survival. Cell density was 10- to 1000-fold higher on FOA plates than on YM plates to allow optimal determination of FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies. The ratio of FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies per viable colonies was determined. Patch mating assays were performed as described in EHRENHOFER-MUR-RAY *et al.* (1995).

**Chromatin immunoprecipitations:** Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analyses were performed as previously described (HECHT *et al.* 1996). PCR reactions were performed at 94° (1 min), 54° (30 sec), and 72° (40 sec). Cycle numbers were 30 or 35, depending on the experiment. PCR products were analyzed on 1% agarose gels.

**Other:** Site-directed mutagenesis was performed with the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA). Northern blot analysis was performed as described in SAMBROOK *et al.* (1989). Sequences of all primers used in this study are available upon request from the author.

#### RESULTS

A functional screen for silencers in Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Silencers are sequence elements that confer repression to genes located in their vicinity. The silencers known to date in yeast are the HM silencers (Loo and RINE 1994) and the subtelomeric core X element (FOUREL et al. 1999; PRYDE and LOUIS 1999), but it is unclear whether other silencers exist in yeast that might mediate gene repression by yet unknown mechanisms. Identifying such silencers has the potential of yielding

Yeast strains used in this study		
Strain	Genotype	Source <sup><i>a</i></sup>
AEY1	MATα ade2-1 leu2-3 can1-100 trp1-1 ura3-1 his3-11, 15 (W303)	J. Rine
AEY264	MATa his4	J. Rine
AEY265	MATa his4	J. Rine
AEY565	MATa ade2-1 leu2-1 trp1-1 ura3-52 his3-11 lys2-8 ppr1::HIS3	Aparicio et al. (1991)
AEY579	MATa leu2-3 can1-100 trp1-1 his4-519 ura3-52	J. Rine
AEY1365	AEY565, but $MAT\alpha$	5
AEY1486	AEY565; $sir2\Delta$ ::kanMX	
AEY1488	AEY565; $sir 3\Delta$ ::kanMX	
AEY1490	AEY565; $sir4\Delta$ ::kanMX	
AEY1509	AEY565; $hst1\Delta$ :: $kanMX$	
AEY1511	AEY565; $hst2\Delta$ :: $kanMX$	
AEY1513	AEY565; $hst 3\Delta$ :: $kanMX$	
AEY1515	AEY565; $hst4\Delta$ ::kanMX	
AEY1517	AEY565; $hdf1\Delta$ ::kanMX	
AEY2009	AEY565; $sir1\Delta$ ::kanMX	
AEY2243	AEY565; orc2-1	
AEY2458	AEY1365; HST3-HA::K.l.TRP1	
AEY2530	AEY565; $mig1\Delta$ ::kanMX	
AEY2724	AEY565; $hda1\Delta$ ::kanMX	
AEY2726	AEY565; $sfp1\Delta::kanMX$	

 TABLE 1

 Veast strains used in this study

<sup>a</sup> All strains except the ones indicated were constructed during the course of this study.



FIGURE 1.—Characterization of the reporter cassette (silencer trap) for measuring silencing activity. (A) Principle of the silencer trap is as follows: a cassette was constructed to contain the URA3 and the al genes flanked by the HMR-E and -I silencers. Arrows indicate the direction of transcription. For the screen, small genomic DNA fragments were cloned into the reporter plasmid in place of HMR-E. (B) A serial dilution assay was performed to characterize the reporter assay. Insertion of HM silencers resulted in URA3 repression and, hence, FOA<sup>R</sup>. The effect of the wildtype (E) and the synthetic HMR-E silencer (SS) in the presence or absence of HMR-I on URA3 gene repression is shown. Arrows indicate the orientation of the silencer with respect to URA3. (C) Repression of the al gene in the silencing cassette, as measured in a mating assay. (D) Effect of  $hst 3\Delta$ and  $hst4\Delta$  on silencing activity of HMR-E in the reporter cassette. A quantitative FOA assay was performed. The mean values of at least three independent experiments are shown. (E) Effect of known silencers isolated in the silencer trap screen on expression of URA3 in the silencing cassette.

information on novel silencing mechanisms and may help locate other silenced regions in the yeast genome.

In this study, we sought to find other, potentially novel silencing activities by searching for sequence elements capable of mediating silencing. Our approach was to construct a plasmid carrying a "silencing cassette" with two divergently transcribed reporter genes and to build libraries of yeast genomic sequences inserted in front of the cassette. These libraries were transformed into an appropriate yeast strain, and the transformants were then screened for clones in which both reporter genes were repressed ("silencer trap"). The reporter cassette consists of two components: (1) the URA3 gene, whose expression can be monitored both on uracil-lacking medium and on medium containing the drug 5-FOA, and (2) the mating-type gene **a**l, which, when expressed, leads to a nonmating phenotype in a  $MAT\alpha$  strain and hence can be measured in a mating assay. The effect of silencing on URA3 was sensitized by measuring expression in strains lacking the *trans*-activator of URA3, Ppr1 (Rov *et al.* 1990). The silencing cassette was flanked on one side by the cryptic *HMR*-I element (Figure 1A), which on its own did not confer silencing. *HMR*I is capable of supporting silencing by weak silencers and thus was expected to improve the likelihood of isolating DNA elements with weak silencing activity.

In a first set of experiments, we investigated the properties of the silencing cassette. In the absence of a silencer ( $\Delta E$ ), the cells were completely Ura<sup>+</sup> and FOA sensitive, indicating full expression of URA3 despite the presence of HMR-I. Furthermore, a MATa strain carrying the silencing cassette lacking *HMR*-E ( $\Delta$ E) was a nonmater, indicating full expression of a1. Conversely, expression of both reporter genes was efficiently repressed by the wild-type HMR-E silencer as well as by a synthetic version of HMR-E (McNALLY and RINE 1991) independently of the orientation of the silencer (Figure 1, B and C), as indicated by the strong FOA resistance (FOA<sup>R</sup>) and improved mating ability of the respective strains. At the same time, the strains were also Ura<sup>+</sup>, indicating that URA3 was still expressed in a portion of the cells. Furthermore, deletion of the HMR-I silencer reduced the silencing activity, showing that HMR-I alone did not provide silencing, but supported repression mediated by HMR-E. These characteristics of URA3 repression were reminiscent of subtelomeric insertions of *URA3* that cause strains to be both  $Ura^+$  and FOA<sup>R</sup> due to telomeric repression of the reporter gene in a portion of the cells (GOTTSCHLING et al. 1990).

Silencing of the reporter cassette was further characterized by determining whether it displayed some of the known characteristics of HM silencing. As for HM silencing, repression was dependent on SIR1, SIR2, SIR3, and SIR4 (data not shown). Furthermore, we investigated the effect of deletions of the Sir2 homologs HST1 to HST4 on repression of the silencing cassette by HMR-E. Interestingly, silencing was independent of *HST1* and *HST2*, but the deletion of *HST3* (*hst3* $\Delta$ ) or *HST4* (*hst4* $\Delta$ ) led to a slight reduction of the silencing capacity of *HMR*-E by  $\sim$ 10-fold (Figure 1D). In addition, the *hst3* $\Delta$  and *hst4* $\Delta$  colonies grew slower on FOA plates than did the isogenic wild-type strain. These results suggested that  $hst3\Delta$  and  $hst4\Delta$  caused slight derepression at HMR-E on the silencing cassette. This was in contrast to the observations made on chromosomal HMR, which is not affected by *hst3* $\Delta$  and *hst4* $\Delta$  (BRACHMANN *et al.* 1995; data not shown). We also tested the effect of  $hst 3\Delta$ or  $hst4\Delta$  on repression by the sensitized synthetic HMR-E silencer. However, since neither single nor double deletion caused derepression (data not shown), this suggested that silencing of the reporter cassette was more sensitive to trans-acting factors than was chromosomal silencing.

To identify silencing elements in the yeast genome, libraries were constructed with fragments of yeast genomic DNA inserted in front of the reporter cassette lacking *HMR*-E (*i.e.*, downstream of *URA3*). The libraries were transformed into the yeast reporter strain, and the transformants were screened for clones that were FOA<sup>R</sup> as well as Ura<sup>+</sup>. Ura<sup>+</sup> clones were selected because FOA<sup>R</sup> clones that were completely Ura<sup>-</sup> in all cases proved to have lost or mutated the URA3 gene (data not shown). In screening 25,000 transformants, we isolated 14 FOA<sup>R</sup>/ Ura<sup>+</sup> candidates whose phenotype could be reconfirmed (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). As expected, we were able to recover the known silencers with this screen: four clones contained HML-E, three clones carried HML-I, and one clone carried HMR-I. Furthermore, we isolated three independent clones of a subtelomeric region of the left arm of chromosome XIII (Figure 1E). Silencing activity in this case could be narrowed down to a subtelomeric core X element and an internal C<sub>1-3</sub>-A repeat (data not shown). Interestingly, we isolated one novel fragment with silencing activity from the endogenous 2µ plasmid of S. cerevisiae. An involvement of 2µ DNA in silencing is unprecedented and thus was further analyzed.

Silencing activity by the 2µ origin of replication: The 1.56-kb fragment of 2µ plasmid DNA isolated in the screen for silencers contains three functional sequences that play important roles in replication and stability of the extrachromosomal 2µ DNA (BROACH and VOLKERT 1991): the 2µ origin of replication (2µARS), the STB, and the FRT (Figure 2A). In a first experiment, we asked whether FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies from the 2µ fragment were truly epigenetically silenced by determining whether they were able to switch to  $Ura^+$ . About 1/100 of the cells were FOA<sup>R</sup>, but were inhomogenous in size upon growth on FOA. Also, only 1-5% of the FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies were able to grow when replicated onto medium lacking uracil. They hence had a functional URA3 gene and were capable of epigenetic switching. Further analysis showed that the other  $\sim 95\%$  of FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies had lost or mutated URA3 (data not shown). Thus,  $\sim 1/10^4$  colonies carrying the 2µ fragment displayed silencing. This was in contrast to silencing by the HMR-E silencer, in which all FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies were also Ura<sup>+</sup> (data not shown).

To narrow down the silencing activity, we divided the 2µ fragment into a 870-bp fragment containing the STB as well as a part of the 2µARS origin of replication and a 697-bp fragment containing the other part of the  $2\mu$ fragment including the ACS and the FRT site. Neither construct showed silencing activity in a serial dilution assay as measured by the appearance of FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies that were also Ura<sup>+</sup> (Figure 2A). Interestingly, the fragment that contained the FRT site gave FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies that were all Ura<sup>-</sup>. These FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies carried deletions in or around the URA3 gene (data not shown), which could be the consequence of an Flp1-induced recombination event at FRT. However, a 300-bp fragment surrounding the 2µ origin of replication (2µARS) alone gave FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies at a frequency of  $1/10^5$ . Notably, all these FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies were Ura<sup>+</sup>, suggesting that they displayed epigenetic repression. Also, introducing two or three tandem repeats of the 2µARS (2x-2µARS, 3x-2µARS) into the reporter cassette further increased



FIGURE 2.—The 2µ origin of replication was a silencer. (A) Schematic representation of the 1.56-kb 2µ fragment isolated in a screen for silencers and quantitative measurement of the frequency of FOA<sup>R</sup>/ Ura<sup>+</sup> colonies obtained with derivatives of the 2µ fragment cloned in front of the reporter cassette. FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies with a Ura<sup>+</sup> phenotype per viable colonies on a logarithmic scale are shown. Arrows indicate the orientation of 2µARS with respect to URA3. The graph shows the mean values of at least three independent experiments. STB, stability locus; 2µARS, 2µ origin of replication; FRT, flipase recognition target. (B) URA3 silencing of the 3x-2µARS construct compared to the HMR-E construct is shown in a serial dilution assay. (C) Repression of the al gene by the 3x- and 2x-2µÅRS constructs compared to HMR-E in a mating assay. Dash indicates no silencing reporter;  $\Delta E$ , silencing reporter lacking HMR-E. (D) Distancedependent silencing of the URA3 gene by the 3x- and 2x-2µARS constructs is shown in a serial dilution assay. Arrows indicate the direction of URA3 transcription.

the number of FOA<sup>R</sup>/Ura<sup>+</sup> colonies (Figure 2, A and B). Mating assays of  $MAT\alpha$  strains carrying these constructs also showed strong silencing of the **a**l gene, suggesting that the silencing activity of the 2µARS was gene independent (Figure 2C).

We also measured the effect of  $2\mu$ ARS on mRNA levels of **a**1 and *URA3* by Northern blotting. Whereas **a**1 message was undetectable in strains carrying the silencing reporter plasmid with *HMR*-E, no difference was found in strains carrying one, two, or three copies of  $2\mu$ ARS, compared to strains with no silencer. This may be due to the fact that silencing by  $3x-2\mu$ ARS is approximately two orders of magnitude lower than that by *HMR*-E. Furthermore, *URA3* levels were undistinguishable even in the presence of *HMR*-E due to expression of *URA3* from the endogenous *ura3-52* allele (data not shown). Silencing induced by tandem repeats is not unprecedented and might simply be a consequence of the repeats themselves rather than the particular sequence involved. Therefore, we tested whether three tandem repeats of another origin of replication, ARS1, could also promote silencing. However, no silencing was observed with this construct (Figure 2A; see also Figure 4B), showing that the silencing activity of the repetitive 2µARS was specific to this origin of replication.

In our silencing cassette, the putative silencer repressing the URA3 promoter is located downstream of URA3 at a distance of  $\sim$ 1 kb. To test the effect of promoter distance on silencing by 3x-2µARS, plasmids were constructed in which the URA3 promoter was proximal to 3x-2µARS. Interestingly, silencing activity by 2x-2µARS and 3x-2µARS in these constructs increased compared to the 2µARS constructs that contained the URA3 pro-



FIGURE 3.—Silencing by  $2\mu$ ARS was *SIR*, *ORC2*, and *HST3* dependent. The effect of the deletion of the *SIR* genes, *HST* genes, and *HDF1* (yKu70) as well as the *orc2-1* mutation on  $3x-2\mu$ ARS silencing activity was determined. The frequency of FOA<sup>R</sup>/Ura<sup>+</sup> colonies was quantitated and is represented as in Figure 2A.

moter in a silencer distal orientation, demonstrating a distance-dependent silencing effect of  $2\mu$ ARS (Figure 2D).

Silencing activity of  $3x-2\mu$ ARS was so far measured in the presence of the *HMR*-I silencer. We next determined whether the  $2\mu$  origin could independently provide silencing. The deletion of *HMR*-I caused a complete loss of FOA<sup>R</sup> colonies (Figure 3), showing that  $3x-2\mu$ ARS required *HMR*-I for silencing. Thus, it can be classified as a proto-silencer, which is a silencer that acts only in conjunction with another (proto-)silencer.

2µARS silencing was SIR, ORC2, and HST3 dependent: To further characterize the silencing capacity of the 2µ origin of replication, we tested the effect of deletions or mutations in genes encoding silencing proteins on 2µ-mediated silencing. 3x-2µARS silencing was dependent upon all four SIR genes and ORC2 and thus displayed similarity to HM silencing. Conversely, the deletion of the SIR2 homologs HST1, HST2, or HST4 and deletion of HDF1 caused no or minor derepression of 3x-2µARS-mediated silencing. However, the disruption of HST3 caused complete derepression, which was as strong as the loss of silencing by the SIR deletions (Figure 3). Notably, the *hst3* $\Delta$ -dependent derepression was stronger than that observed for HMR-E when inserted into the silencer cassette. Hst3 has been shown by two-hybrid assay to interact with the split finger protein Sfp1 (UETZ et al. 2000). However, 3x-2µARS-mediated silencing was unaffected by the deletion of SFP1 (data not shown), showing that this interaction was not necessary for Hst3 function in this context.

Taken together, these results showed that  $2\mu$ ARS silencing had some similarities, but also some differences to *HM* silencing and thus constituted a novel class of silencer in yeast. Furthermore, these observations showed that the  $2\mu$  origin of replication had silencing potential that distinguished it from other origins. Interestingly, the known *HM* silencers also possess ARS activity, although only the *HMR* silencers are chromosomal origins of replication (RIVIER *et al.* 1999). However, not every origin or ORC-binding site confers silencing and it remains to be determined what features unite the  $2\mu$ origin and the *HM* silencers.

The transcriptional repressor Mig1 was necessary for 2µARS-mediated silencing: One common theme of silencing at the HM loci as well as at subtelomeric core X elements is the presence of binding sites for ORC (ACS), Rap1, and Abf1, and all these elements are required for repression. The 2µARS also contains an ACS, and ORC was required for 2µARS-mediated silencing (see above). We next asked whether 2µARS contained binding sites for known silencing proteins or whether other DNA-binding proteins might be present. A sequence analysis of the 2µARS origin of replication using the TransFac Database (QUANDT et al. 1995) revealed no binding sites for Rap1 or Abf1. However, 2µARS contained a DNA consensus binding site for the transcriptional repressor Mig1 that was located 218 bp away from the ACS. The ACS is located at positions 3702-3712 of the 2µ GenBank sequence. Furthermore, TransFac identified a potential binding site for Fkh1 in the 2µARS, at a distance of 77 bp from the ACS (Figure 4A). We therefore asked whether these sites were required for 2µARS-mediated silencing. Mutation of all three Fkh1-binding sites in the 3x-2µARS construct did not diminish silencing (data not shown), suggesting that forkhead proteins were not involved in this form of silencing. Interestingly, a deletion of the Mig1 consensus binding sites in 3x-2µARS led to a reduction of both URA3 and al silencing (Figure 4, B and C). This demonstrated that this cis-element was important for full silencing activity and suggested an involvement of the Mig1 protein in 2µARS-mediated silencing. We next determined whether the deletion of the MIG1 gene influenced silencing. Significantly, silencing by 3x-2µARS was reduced in a *mig1* $\Delta$  strain (Figure 4D), and the contribution of  $migl\Delta$  was comparable to that of the deletion of the Migl-binding site. Taken together, these results suggested that 2µARS-mediated silencing depended upon Mig1 for full repression.

Since  $2\mu$ ARS has silencing activity that distinguished it from, for instance, the ARS1 origin and since ARS1 has no Mig1 site in its vicinity, we hypothesized that the Mig1 site might be able to convert a nonsilencer origin into a silencer. To test this possibility, a version of ARS1 was constructed that carried a Mig1-binding site 85 bp away from the ACS. However, this construct displayed no silencing activity (Figure 4B), suggesting that the combination of a Mig1-binding site with other unknown features of an origin were necessary for  $2\mu$ ARS-mediated silencing.

Migl functions in glucose repression through the recruitment of Ssn6/Tup1 and the histone deactylase Hda1 (OSTLING and RONNE 1998; WU *et al.* 2001). We



FIGURE 4.—Silencing by 2µARS depended on Mig1. (A) Positions of the putative Mig1and Fkh1-binding sites relative to the ACS in 2µARS. X, mutation of the Fkh1-binding sites;  $\Delta Mig1_{bs}$ , deletion of the Mig1binding site. (B) The Mig1binding site was required for 2µARS silencing activity. A fusion of the Mig1-binding site to ARS1 did not induce silencing. (C) Effect of the deletion of the Mig1-binding sites in 3x-2µARS on al repression, as measured in a mating assay. (D) The effect of the deletion of the MIG1 gene on 3x-2µARS silencing activity. (E) 3x-2µARS silencing was unaffected by deletion of HDA1. (F) Repression of GAL4 was independent of Hst3, as shown by a Northern analysis with a GAL4-specific probe. *GAL4* was induced in a *mig1* $\Delta$ , but not in an *hst3* $\Delta$  strain. ACT1 was used as a loading control.

therefore tested whether  $2\mu$ ARS-mediated silencing depended upon *HDA1*. However, silencing by  $3x-2\mu$ ARS was unaffected by *hda1* $\Delta$  (Figure 4E), suggesting that the role of Mig1 in silencing was distinct from its role in glucose repression.

The observation of an involvement of Mig1 in Hst3mediated 2 $\mu$ ARS silencing prompted us to test whether Hst3 interacted with Mig1. However, we were unable to detect co-immunoprecipitation of the two proteins (data not shown). Furthermore, we tested whether Hst3 was required for Mig1-mediated repression of glucoseregulated genes. However, the expression of *GAL4*, a Mig1-repressed gene, was unaffected by the deletion of *HST3* (Figure 4F), suggesting that Hst3 was not required for glucose repression.

Hst3 was physically present at the 2µARS origin of replication: The involvement of the histone deacetylase homolog Hst3 in 2µARS-mediated silencing raised the

question whether the influence of Hst3 was indirect, for instance by changing global histone acetylation levels in the cell, or whether Hst3 might be directly recruited to 2µARS and might locally deacetylate histones, much like Sir2 at the HM locus (IMAI et al. 2000). To distinguish between these possibilities, we asked whether Hst3 was physically present at 2µARS in the silencer cassette. For this purpose, ChIPs were performed with epitopetagged Hst3, and the precipitates were tested for the presence of sequences from the silencing reporter plasmid. The genomically tagged Hst3-HA was functional in that it was fully capable of silencing 3x-2µARS (data not shown). Significantly, a fragment close to 3x-2µARS was amplified in the precipitates, and the amplification depended upon the presence of the antibody as well as upon tagged Hst3 (fragment P1, Figure 5B). PCR analysis of serial dilutions showed that the amplifications were within the linear range (Figure 5C). In contrast

66



FIGURE 5.—Hst3 was associated with 2µARS sequences in the silencing reporter and on the endogenous 2µ plasmid. (A) Positions of the primers P1, P2, P3, and P4 at 3x-2µARS in the silencing reporter and at the endogenous 2µ plasmid are indicated. (B) ChIP analysis of Hst3-HA with 2µARS. Primers against plasmid-borne *LEU2* are used as a negative control. Inp, input; dash indicates without antibody; +, with HA antibody. (C) PCR analysis with 2.5-fold serial dilutions of ChIPs indicates that the amplifications were within the linear range. (D) Hst3 was associated at 3x-2µARS when the Mig1-binding site was deleted.

to  $3x-2\mu$ ARS, a fragment within the silenced region at the junction of URA3 and **a**1 (fragment P3), a fragment comprising *HMR*-I (fragment P4), and *LEU2* on the silencing reporter plasmid were not enriched, suggesting that Hst3 did not spread across the silenced region and that unrelated sequences were not enriched in the precipitates (Figure 5B). Taken together, these experiments suggested that Hst3 was directly bound at the silencer. However,  $3x-2\mu$ ARS sequences were still enriched when the Mig1-binding site was deleted (Figure 5D), suggesting that Hst3 was brought to the silencer independently of Mig1.

Since Hst3 was capable of binding  $2\mu$ ARS on the silencing reporter plasmid, we also determined whether it was present at the  $2\mu$ ARS of the endogenous  $2\mu$  plasmid. A specific amplification was found using primers



FIGURE 6.—Repression of *FLP1* depends on Hst3. *FLP1* expression was induced in an  $hst3\Delta$  strain compared to wild type as shown in a Northern blot using a probe against *FLP1*. Flp<sub>ind</sub>, galactose-induced overexpression of *FLP1* from a Gal1/10 promoter. An *ACT1* probe was used as a loading control.

that recognized the endogenous  $2\mu$ ARS only (fragment P2, Figure 5, A and B), thus suggesting that Hst3 was also bound at the  $2\mu$  plasmid origin. We further tested the possibility that Hst3 was physically present at the endogenous *HMR* locus. However, *HMR*-E sequences were not enriched in the precipitates (Figure 5B).

*FLP1* mRNA was upregulated upon deletion of *HST3*: The observation that 2µARS displayed silencing activity on our silencing reporter plasmid and that Hst3 was bound to the 2µARS of the 2µ plasmid raised the question whether the 2µARS and Hst3 had a role in regulating 2µ-encoded genes. To test this possibility, we analyzed the expression of the *FLP1* recombinase mRNA in wild-type *vs. hst3*Δ strains. Interestingly, *FLP1* RNA was detectable in a *hst3*Δ strain, whereas it was undetectable in wild-type strains (Figure 6), thus suggesting that Hst3 was directly or indirectly required for the regulation of the amount of *FLP1* mRNA.

Increased amounts of Flp1 have previously been shown to induce  $2\mu$  recombination and increase  $2\mu$ copy number (Som *et al.* 1988). However, the copy number of  $2\mu$  in *hst3* $\Delta$  strains was indistinguishable from that of isogenic wild-type strains (data not shown). Thus, although Hst3 was involved in *FLP1* regulation, it did not influence  $2\mu$  copy number.

### DISCUSSION

The chromosomes of multicellular eukaryotes are subdivided into active euchromatin and transcriptionally inactive heterochromatin. Repressed genomic regions that display some of the features of heterochromatin in larger eukaryotes are also found in *S. cerevisiae*. For instance, they replicate late in S phase (REYNOLDS *et al.* 1989), localize to the nuclear periphery (ANDRULIS *et al.* 1998), and are packaged in hypoacetylated histones (BRAUNSTEIN *et al.* 1993). So far, three classes of silencing are recognized in *S. cerevisiae*: the *HM* loci, the telomeres, and the rDNA locus. However, little is known about silencing in other parts of the yeast genome, but indications suggest the existence of other silenced regions in yeast (WYRICK *et al.* 1999). Although Sir2 has long been known to be required for silencing, the cellular function of the Sir2 homologs (Hst proteins) is still unclear. One hypothesis is that they silence particular genomic regions, like Sir2 represses some classes of silenced loci in yeast (BRACHMANN *et al.* 1995).

In this study, we undertook a search for novel silencing activities. We developed a silencer trap reporter cassette and screened for genomic sequences that displayed silencing activity. With this approach, we have isolated a novel silencer, the 2µ origin of replication. Gene silencing by 2µARS displayed the classical features of silencing: it was independent of the orientation of the silencer and gene independent. Furthermore, 2µARSmediated silencing depended upon all four Sir proteins and Orc2, suggesting that a heterochromatin-like structure similar to that at the HM loci and the telomeres was established. However, in contrast to known silencing phenomena, 2µARS-mediated silencing depended upon Hst3 and Mig1. Thus, the 2µARS silencing constitutes a novel class of silencing that shares some features with HM silencing, but is different in some important aspects.

Origins of replication have been implicated in silencing before. For instance, the *HMR* silencers are chromosomal origins of replication (RIVIER and RINE 1992), and the *HML* silencers contain ORC-binding sites, although they do not normally initiate replication (DUBEY *et al.* 1991). One model for ORC's role in silencing is that it recruits Sir1 through an interaction between Orc1 and Sir1 (Fox *et al.* 1997). Interestingly, we found  $2\mu$ ARS silencing to be Sir1 and Orc2 dependent, suggesting that an ORC-dependent Sir1 recruitment also takes place at this origin.

How does 2µARS promote silencing? The 2µARS displaying silencing activity contains an ORC-binding site, but no recognizable Rap1- or Abf1-binding site, arguing against an involvement of Rap1 and Abf1 in this class of silencing. Using the TransFac program, we found a binding site for Mig1 in the 2µARS sequence. Mig1 is a DNA-binding protein responsible for glucose repression of several genes such as GAL1, GAL4, and SUC2 that contain binding sites for Mig1 in their promoter (NEHLIN et al. 1991). Repression of these genes is thought to be mediated by recruiting Ssn6 and Tup1, which are general repressors in S. cerevisiae (TREITEL and CARLSON 1995). Our finding that Mig1 was also necessary for 2µARS-mediated silencing suggested a novel role for Mig1 in building silenced chromatin with similarities to HM silencing. The mechanism by which Mig1 and the Orc proteins worked together to provide silencing is still unclear, but may be comparable to the role of Rap1 and Abf1 of the HMR-E silencer. Perhaps the cooperation of Mig1 with ORC serves to recruit Hst3, whereas Rap1/Abf1 in combination with ORC as at HMR-E or HMR-I recruit the Sir proteins. Interestingly, 3x-2µARS-mediated silencing depended upon HMR-I and the two histone deacetylases Hst3 and Sir2. One hypothesis for the requirement of both deacetylases is that Hst3 is recruited by Mig1/ORC at 2µARS

and that Sir2, Sir3, and Sir4 are recruited by Abf1/ORC at HMR-I. In principle, this model could be tested by making silencing of the reporter genes solely dependent upon 2µARS, for instance by replacing HMR-I by 3x-2µARS. However, recombination events excising the URA3 reporter in such constructs prevented us from measuring silencing in this context (A. GRÜNWELLER, unpublished data). Interestingly, silencing mechanisms in S. cerevisiae exist that depend on the recruitment of the Hst proteins. An alternative silencing mechanism has been proposed for the Sum1-1-dependent silencing at HMR. Binding of Sum1-1 to ORC in this case leads to the deacetylation of histones by Hst1 and the repression of neighboring genes (RUSCHE and RINE 2001). Interestingly, Hst proteins contain highly conserved zinc finger and leucine zipper motifs, and they thus may be able to form complexes with other proteins or bind directly to DNA (BRACHMANN et al. 1995).

Interestingly, 2µARS-mediated silencing also required Sir1. Since Sir1 interacts with ORC, it can conceivably be recruited via 2µARS or via HMR-I. However, ORC at  $2\mu$ ARS was distinct from that at the *HM* loci in that it led to the participation of Hst3 in 2µARS-mediated silencing. Hence, the context of a particular ORCbinding site is crucial for its ability to function as a silencer and for the class of silencing that it establishes, and a previously unrecognized context is found at 2µARS. Accordingly, we found that another ORC-binding site within the ARS1 origin was unable to provide silencing, even when present in three tandem repeats. Interestingly, ARS1 contains an Abf1-binding site like HMR-I, but is unable to act as a proto-silencer, supporting the notion that ORC-binding sites are qualitatively distinct. In this context, it is interesting to note that the 2µARS sequence showed the best homology to a region of the HMR locus spanning the ACS and 192 bp of centromere-proximal sequences (60% identity). This was the only ARS-containing DNA region in the SGD with homology to 2µARS. Maybe the region around the ACS is important for silencing relevant features of ORC binding at the ACS. For instance, the affinity of ORC binding to an ACS could be modulated by neighboring sequences and may thus influence the silencing capacity of an origin.

How does replication initiation at  $2\mu$ ARS affect silencing? The native  $2\mu$  origin is a strong origin of replication that initiates early in S phase (ZAKIAN *et al.* 1979). The chromosomal context of origins influences their initiation ability and timepoint of replication (FRIEDMAN *et al.* 1996). Due to replicator dominance mechanisms, origins can be inactivated by origins close by, likely because replication forks originating from the origin that initiates first inactivate the prereplication complexes formed at the second origin (PALACIOS DEBEER and Fox 1999). Also, heterochromatinization, for instance at the telomeres, can lead to late initiation or to the prevention of initiation (STEVENSON and GOTTSCHLING

1999). Our 2µARS silencing reporter plasmid carries three potential origins: (1) ARSH4, which initiates earlier than ARS1 in the chromosomal context (A. EHREN-HOFER-MURRAY, unpublished observation); (2) 2µARS; and (3) HMR-I, a late-replicating origin (RIVIER et al. 1999). Perhaps 2µARS inactivation by replication forks from ARSH4 leads to silencing in these cells, so that in this scenario, initiation at 2µARS is detrimental to silencing. Alternatively, silencing may occur only in those clones in which replication initiates at 2µARS, which may be infrequent because ARSH4 generally initiates earlier than 2µARS. A third possibility is that silencing on the plasmid occurs only in those rare cases in which neither 2µARS nor ARSH4 initiates within one particular cell cycle, so that HMR-I now is the plasmid replicator origin. In principle, this question could be resolved by measuring origin initiation on the plasmid by two-dimensional origin mapping gels (FANGMAN and BREWER 1991). However, this method is unable to distinguish between initiation events that lead to silencing and those that are simple initiation events, so that the question of which origin initiates in the silenced clones cannot currently be resolved.

Another aspect of the role of ORC and replication initiation in silencing concerns replication timing. Silenced genomic regions in yeast as well as other eukaryotes are generally replicated late in S phase (REYNOLDS et al. 1989), but it is not clear whether late replication is a cause or a consequence of silencing. Initiation by an origin late in S phase is achieved by the chromosomal context of the origin, and removing it from this context results in early replication (FRIEDMAN et al. 1996). Our 2µARS silencing plasmid carries two early and one latereplicating origin, and it thus seems likely that the plasmid is replicated early in the majority of clones. However, it is conceivable that a few clones would escape this pattern and could become late replicating, for instance, in the event that neither 2µARS nor ARSH4 initiates on the plasmid, so that HMR-I now takes over initiation late in S phase; perhaps it is in these clones that silencing occurs. Again, replication timing of the plasmid could easily be measured (FRIEDMAN et al. 1995), but would yield information only on replication timing of the bulk of plasmids, whereas silencing, and thus perhaps late replication, may occur only in a fraction of cells.

A singular role for Hst3 in silencing mechanisms has not been demonstrated so far. Here, we show that Hst3 was necessary for the formation of silenced chromatin induced by the  $2\mu$ ARS origin of replication, because a deletion of Hst3 completely abolished  $2\mu$ ARS-mediated silencing. Moreover, we found a direct association of Hst3 with  $2\mu$ ARS sequences by ChIP analysis. Thus we propose that Hst3 is transiently or permanently associated with the silent chromatin and deacetylates lysines, either on histones or on histone-associated silencing proteins. Perhaps a particular combination of DNA- binding proteins in conjunction with ORC determines the recruitment of a particular deacetylase to specific genomic regions, for instance the recruitment of Hst3 by Mig1 and ORC (see above). However, Hst3 is likely not recruited at other Mig1-binding sites, because the repression of Mig1-regulated genes did not require Hst3. Remarkably, though, FLP1 was derepressed in *hst3* $\Delta$  strains, and Hst3 was localized at the endogenous 2µARS in ChIP experiments. However, it is questionable whether 2µARS plays a role in this repression because (1) 2µARS lies downstream of the *FLP1* gene,  $\sim$ 1.5 kb away from the FLP1 promoter; (2) 2µARS was a protosilencer in our silencing assay (i.e., it provided repression only in combination with HMR-I); and (3) FLP1 sequences could not be amplified in Hst3 ChIPs (A. GRÜNWELLER, unpublished results), suggesting that Hst3 was not bound near the FLP1 promoter. Thus, we favor the hypothesis that Hst3 indirectly caused FLP1 derepression, and the role of Hst3 at 2µARS remains to be determined.

In summary, our data provide evidence for a novel alternative silencing mechanism that depended on Hst3 and Mig1. Identifying further determinants of  $2\mu$ ARS silencing will be important for understanding the silencing mechanisms at play and may lead to the discovery of further silencing elements in the yeast genome, thus contributing to our understanding of genomic organization in yeast and other organisms.

We thank S. Meijsing for reading the manuscript and for helpful comments and A. König, A. Barduhn, U. Marchfelder, and K. Vogel for excellent technical support. We also thank R. Kamakaka, A. Ludewig, and the members of our laboratory for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the Max Planck Society.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ANDRULIS, E. D., A. M. NEIMAN, D. C. ZAPPULLA and R. STERNGLANZ, 1998 Perinuclear localization of chromatin facilitates transcriptional silencing. Nature 394: 592–595.
- APARICIO, O. M., and D. E. GOTTSCHLING, 1994 Overcoming telomeric silencing: a *trans*-activator competes to establish gene expression in a cell cycle-dependent way. Genes Dev. 8: 1133–1146.
- APARICIO, O. M., B. L. BILLINGTON and D. E. GOTTSCHLING, 1991 Modifiers of position effect are shared between telomeric and silent mating-type loci in *S. cerevisiae*. Cell **66**: 1279–1287.
- BOEKE, J. D., F. LACROUTE and G. R. FINK, 1984 A positive selection for mutants lacking orotidine-5'-phosphate decarboxylase activity in yeast: 5-fluoro-orotic acid resistance. Mol. Gen. Genet. 197: 345–346.
- BONE, J. R., and S. Y. ROTH, 2001 Recruitment of the yeast Tup1p-Ssn6p repressor is associated with localized decreases in histone acetylation. J. Biol. Chem. 276: 1808–1813.
- BRACHMANN, C. B., J. M. SHERMAN, S. E. DEVINE, E. E. CAMERON, L. PILLUS *et al.*, 1995 The *SIR2* gene family, conserved from bacteria to humans, functions in silencing, cell cycle progression, and chromosome stability. Genes Dev. 9: 2888–2902.
- BRAUNSTEIN, M., A. B. ROSE, S. G. HOLMES, C. D. ALLIS and J. R. BROACH, 1993 Transcriptional silencing in yeast is associated with reduced nucleosome acetylation. Genes Dev. 7: 592–604.

BROACH, J. R., and F. C. VOLKERT, 1991 Circular DNA plasmids in yeast, pp. 297–329 in *The Molecular and Cellular Biology of the Yeast* Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Genome Dynamics, Protein Synthesis, and Energetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

DUBEY, D. D., L. R. DAVIS, S. A. GREENFEDER, L. Y. ONG, J. G. ZHU et

*al.*, 1991 Evidence suggesting that the ARS elements associated with silencers of the yeast mating-type locus *HML* do not function as chromosomal DNA replication origins. Mol. Cell. Biol. **11**: 5346–5355.

- EHRENHOFER-MURRAY, A., M. GOSSEN, D. PAK, M. R. BOTCHAN and J. RINE, 1995 Separation of origin recognition complex functions by cross-species complementation. Science 270: 1671–1674.
- FANGMAN, W. L., and B. J. BREWER, 1991 Activation of replication origins within yeast chromosomes. Annu. Rev. Cell Biol. 7: 375– 402.
- FOUREL, G., E. REVARDEL, C. E. KOERING and E. GILSON, 1999 Cohabitation of insulators and silencing elements in yeast subtelomeric regions. EMBO J. 18: 2522–2537.
- FOX, C. A., S. LOO, A. DILLIN and J. RINE, 1995 The origin recognition complex has essential functions in transcriptional silencing and chromosomal replication. Genes Dev. 9: 911–924.
- FOX, C. A., A. E. EHRENHOFER-MURRAY, S. LOO and J. RINE, 1997 The origin recognition complex, *SIR1*, and the S phase requirement for silencing. Science **276**: 1547–1551.
- FRIEDMAN, K. L., M. K. RAGHURAMAN, W. L. FANGMAN and B. J. BREWER, 1995 Analysis of the temporal program of replication initiation in yeast chromosomes. J. Cell Sci. Suppl. 19: 51–58.
- FRIEDMAN, K. L., J. D. DILLER, B. M. FERGUSON, S. V. NYLAND, B. J. BREWER *et al.*, 1996 Multiple determinants controlling activation of yeast replication origins late in S phase. Genes Dev. **10**: 1595–1607.
- GARDNER, K. A., J. RINE and C. A. FOX, 1999 A region of the Sirl protein dedicated to recognition of a silencer and required for interaction with the Orcl protein in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 151: 31–44.
- GOTTSCHLING, D. E., O. M. APARICIO, B. L. BILLINGTON and V. A. ZAKIAN, 1990 Position effect at *S. cerevisiae* telomeres: reversible repression of Pol II transcription. Cell **63**: 751–762.
- HECHT, A., B. S. STRAHL and M. GRUNSTEIN, 1996 Spreading of transcriptional repressor Sir3 from telomeric heterochromatin. Nature 383: 92–96.
- HUBERMAN, J. A., L. D. SPOTILA, K. A. NAWOTKA, S. M. EL-ASSOULI and L. R. DAVIS, 1987 The *in vivo* replication origin of the yeast 2 microns plasmid. Cell **51**: 473–481.
- IMAI, S., C. M. ARMSTRONG, M. KAEBERLEIN and L. GUARENTE, 2000 Transcriptional silencing and longevity protein Sir2 is an NADdependent histone deacetylase. Nature 403: 795–800.
- ITO, H., Y. FUKUDA, K. MURATA and A. KIMURA, 1983 Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J. Bacteriol. 153: 163–168.
- LANDRY, J., A. SUTTON, S. T. TAFROV, R. C. HELLER, J. STEBBINS et al., 2000 The silencing protein SIR2 and its homologs are NADdependent protein deacetylases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 5807–5811.
- Loo, S., and J. RINE, 1994 Silencers and domains of generalized repression. Science **264:** 1768–1771.
- Loo, S., and J. RINE, 1995 Silencing and domains of heritable gene expression. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 11: 519–548.
- MARAHRENS, Y., and B. STILLMAN, 1992 A yeast chromosomal origin of DNA replication defined by multiple functional elements. Science 255: 817–823.
- MCNALLY, F. J., and J. RINE, 1991 A synthetic silencer mediates SIRdependent functions in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11: 5648–5659.
- NEHLIN, J. O., M. CARLBERG and H. RONNE, 1991 Control of yeast GAL genes by MIG1 repressor: a transcriptional cascade in the glucose response. EMBO J. 10: 3373–3377.
- NIELSEN, A. L., M. OULAD-ABDELGHANI, J. A. ORTIZ, E. REMBOUTSIKA, P. CHAMBON *et al.*, 2001 Heterochromatin formation in mammalian cells: interaction between histones and HP1 proteins. Mol. Cell 7: 729–739.
- OSTLING, J., and H. RONNE, 1998 Negative control of the Miglp repressor by Snflp-dependent phosphorylation in the absence of glucose. Eur. J. Biochem. **252:** 162–168.
- PAK, D. T., M. PFLUMM, I. CHESNOKOV, D. W. HUANG, R. KELLUM *et al.*, 1997 Association of the origin recognition complex with heterochromatin and HP1 in higher eukaryotes. Cell **91**: 311–323.
- PALACIOS DEBEER, M. A., and C. A. Fox, 1999 A role for a replicator dominance mechanism in silencing. EMBO J. **18**: 3808–3819.
- PERROD, S., M. M. COCKELL, T. LAROCHE, H. RENAULD, A. DUCREST et al., 2001 A cytosolic NAD-dependent deacetylase, Hst2p, can

modulate nucleolar and telomeric silencing in yeast. EMBO J. 20: 197–209.

- PRYDE, F. E., and E. J. LOUIS, 1999 Limitations of silencing at native yeast telomeres. EMBO J. 18: 2538–2550.
- QUANDT, K., K. FRECH, H. KARAS, E. WINGENDER and T. WERNER, 1995 MatInd and MatInspector: new fast and versatile tools for detection of consensus matches in nucleotide sequence data. Nucleic Acids Res. 23: 4878–4884.
- RAO, H., Y. MARAHRENS and B. STILLMAN, 1994 Functional conservation of multiple elements in yeast chromosomal replicators. Mol. Cell. Biol. 14: 7643–7651.
- REYNOLDS, A. E., R. M. MCCARROLL, C. S. NEWLON and W. L. FANG-MAN, 1989 Time of replication of ARS elements along yeast chromosome III. Mol. Cell. Biol. 9: 4488–4494.
- RIVIER, D. H., and J. RINE, 1992 An origin of DNA replication and a transcription silencer require a common element. Science 256: 659–663.
- RIVIER, D. H., J. L. EKENA and J. RINE, 1999 HMR-I is an origin of replication and a silencer in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 151: 521–529.
- Roy, A., F. EXINGER and R. LOSSON, 1990 *cis-* and *trans-*acting regulatory elements of the yeast *URA3* promoter. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10: 5257–5270.
- RUSCHE, L. N., and J. RINE, 2001 Conversion of a gene-specific repressor to a regional silencer. Genes Dev. 15: 955–967.
- SAMBROOK, J., E. F. FRITSCH and T. MANIATIS, 1989 Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- SHERMAN, F., 1991 Getting started with yeast. Methods Enzymol. 194: 3–21.
- SHERMAN, J. M., E. M. STONE, L. L. FREEMAN-COOK, C. B. BRACHMANN, J. D. BOEKE *et al.*, 1999 The conserved core of a human *SIR2* homologue functions in yeast silencing. Mol. Biol. Cell **10**: 3045– 3059.
- SIKORSKI, R. S., and P. HIETER, 1989 A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics **122**: 19–27.
- SINGH, J., and A. J. KLAR, 1992 Active genes in budding yeast display enhanced in vivo accessibility to foreign DNA methylases: a novel in vivo probe for chromatin structure of yeast. Genes Dev. 6: 186–196.
- SMITH, J. S., and J. D. BOEKE, 1997 An unusual form of transcriptional silencing in yeast ribosomal DNA. Genes Dev. 11: 241–254.
- SMITH, J. S., C. B. BRACHMANN, I. CELIC, M. A. KENNA, S. MUHAMMAD et al., 2000 A phylogenetically conserved NAD+-dependent protein deacetylase activity in the Sir2 protein family. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 6658–6663.
- SOM, T., K. A. ARMSTRONG, F. C. VOLKERT and J. R. BROACH, 1988 Autoregulation of 2 micron circle gene expression provides a model for maintenance of stable plasmid copy levels. Cell 52: 27–37.
- STEVENSON, J. B., and D. E. GOTTSCHLING, 1999 Telomeric chromatin modulates replication timing near chromosome ends. Genes Dev. 13: 146–151.
- SUTTON, A., R. C. HELLER, J. LANDRY, J. S. CHOY, A. SIRKO *et al.*, 2001 A novel form of transcriptional silencing by Sum1-1 requires Hst1 and the origin recognition complex. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21: 3514–3522.
- TANNY, J. C., and D. MOAZED, 2001 Coupling of histone deacetylation to NAD breakdown by the yeast silencing protein Sir2: evidence for acetyl transfer from substrate to an NAD breakdown product. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **98:** 415–420.
- TREITEL, M. A., and M. CARLSON, 1995 Repression by SSN6-TUP1 is directed by MIG1, a repressor/activator protein. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 3132–3136.
- TRIOLO, T., and R. STERNGLANZ, 1996 Role of interactions between the origin recognition complex and SIR1 in transcriptional silencing. Nature 381: 251–253.
- UETZ, P., L. GIOT, G. CAGNEY, T. A. MANSFIELD, R. S. JUDSON *et al.*, 2000 A comprehensive analysis of protein-protein interactions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nature **403**: 623–627.
- WACH, A., A. BRACHAT, R. POHLMANN and P. PHILIPPSEN, 1994 New heterologous modules for classical or PCR-based gene disruptions in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Yeast **10**: 1793–1808.
- WEILER, K. S., and B. T. WAKIMOTO, 1995 Heterochromatin and gene expression in *Drosophila*. Annu. Rev. Genet. **29**: 577–605.

- WU, J., N. SUKA, M. CARLSON and M. GRUNSTEIN, 2001 TUP1 utilizes histone H3/H2B-specific HDA1 deacetylase to repress gene activity in yeast. Mol. Cell 7: 117–126.
- WYRICK, J. J., F. C. HOLSTEGE, E. G. JENNINGS, H. C. CAUSTON, D. SHORE *et al.*, 1999 Chromosomal landscape of nucleosomedependent gene expression and silencing in yeast. Nature **402**: 418–421.
- XIE, J., M. PIERCE, V. GAILUS-DURNER, M. WAGNER, E. WINTER et al.,

1999 Sum1 and Hst1 repress middle sporulation-specific gene expression during mitosis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J. **18**: 6448–6454.

ZAKIAN, V. A., B. J. BREWER and W. L. FANGMAN, 1979 Replication of each copy of the yeast 2 micron DNA plasmid occurs during the S phase. Cell **17**: 923–934.

Communicating editor: F. WINSTON