## Supplementary Material – Activities and Sensitivities in Boolean Network Models

Ilya Shmulevich<sup>1</sup> and Stuart A. Kauffman<sup>2,1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Cancer Genomics Laboratory University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center Houston, TX 77030 <sup>2</sup>Department of Cell Biology and Physiology University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center Albuquerque, NM 87131

April 19, 2004

## Abstract

In this appendix, we give a proof of the fact that the expected activity vector of a random canalizing function with one canalizing variable is equal to  $E[\boldsymbol{\alpha}^f] = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots, \frac{1}{4})$ .

Let the symbols  $\lor$  and  $\land$  denote the Boolean disjunction and conjunction, respectively. Also, recall that  $\land$  takes precedence over  $\lor$  so that writing  $a \lor b \land c$  is the same as  $a \lor (b \land c)$ . Let  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_K)$  be a random canalizing function of the form

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_K) = x_1 \lor g(x_2,\ldots,x_K),$$

where g is chosen randomly from the set of all  $2^{2^{K-1}}$  Boolean functions. Without loss of generality, we are supposing that the first variable,  $x_1$ , is a canalizing variable. Furthermore, the discussion for other types of canalizing functions (e.g.,  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_K) = x_1 \wedge g(x_2, \ldots, x_K)$ ) would be nearly identical. Our first aim is to characterize the activities of each of the variables, which are also random variables themselves by virtue of f being random. It is clear that the activity of variables  $x_2, \ldots, x_K$  should behave identically in the probabilistic sense if  $g(x_2, \ldots, x_K)$  is a random unbiased function. Consequently, it will suffice to examine the activity of variable  $x_2$ , with the other variables behaving identically.

Let us first compute  $\alpha_1^f$  – the activity of  $x_1$  in f. Firstly, we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = (0 \lor g(x_2, \dots, x_K)) \oplus (1 \lor g(x_2, \dots, x_K))$$
$$= g(x_2, \dots, x_K) \oplus 1$$
$$= g'(x_2, \dots, x_K).$$

Now, since g is a random unbiased function (i.e. p = 1/2), the expected activity of the canalizing variable  $x_1$  is equal to

$$E\left[\alpha_{1}^{f}\right] = E[2^{-(K-1)} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^{K-1}} g'(x_{2}, \dots, x_{K})]$$
  
$$= 2^{-(K-1)} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^{K-1}} E\left[g'(x_{2}, \dots, x_{K})\right]$$
  
$$= 2^{-(K-1)} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^{K-1}} \frac{1}{2}$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{2}.$$

Now let us consider the expected activity of variable  $x_2$ . We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = (x_1 \lor g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,0)})) \oplus (x_1 \lor g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,1)})) 
= (x_1 \lor g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,0)})) \land (x_1 \lor g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,1)}))' 
\lor (x_1 \lor g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,0)}))' \land (x_1 \lor g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,1)})) 
= (x_1 \lor g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,0)})) \land (x'_1 \land g'(\mathbf{x}^{(2,1)})) 
\lor (x'_1 \land g'(\mathbf{x}^{(2,0)})) \land (x_1 \lor g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,1)})) 
= x'_1 \land g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,0)}) \land g'(\mathbf{x}^{(2,1)}) \lor x'_1 \land g'(\mathbf{x}^{(2,0)}) \land g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,1)}) 
= x'_1 \land (g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,0)}) \oplus g(\mathbf{x}^{(2,1)})) 
= x'_1 \land \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2},$$

where in the second equality we used the fact that  $a \oplus b = a \wedge b' \vee a' \wedge b$ , in the third equality we used de Morgan's identity:  $(a \vee b)' = a' \wedge b'$ , in the fifth equality we again used the definition of  $\oplus$ , and in the last equality, we used the definition of partial derivative. The expected activity of variable  $x_2$ is now equal to

$$E\left[\alpha_2^f\right] = E[2^{-(K-1)} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^{K-1}} x_1' \wedge \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2}].$$

Note that  $\frac{\partial g(x_2,...,x_K)}{\partial x_2}$  is a Boolean function of K-2 variables and the above summation is taken over all  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_3, \ldots, x_K)$ . Let us break up this summation into parts, corresponding to  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_1 = 1$ . We have

$$E\left[\alpha_{2}^{f}\right] = 2^{-(K-1)} \cdot \left[\sum_{\mathbf{x}^{(1,0)} \in \{0,1\}^{K-1}} E[1 \wedge \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}}] + \sum_{\mathbf{x}^{(1,1)} \in \{0,1\}^{K-1}} E[0 \wedge \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}}]\right]$$
$$= 2^{-(K-1)} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x}^{(1,0)} \in \{0,1\}^{K-1}} E[\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}}].$$

Since g is a random unbiased function, so is  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2}$ . This essentially means that the probability that a random function g differs on  $\mathbf{x}^{(j,0)}$  and  $\mathbf{x}^{(j,1)}$  is equal to 1/2. Thus,

$$E\left[\alpha_{2}^{f}\right] = 2^{-(K-1)} \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x}^{(1,0)} \in \{0,1\}^{K-1}} \frac{1}{2}$$

and since there are exactly  $2^{K-2}$  different vectors  $\mathbf{x}^{(1,0)} = (0, x_3, \dots, x_K),$ 

$$E\left[\alpha_{2}^{f}\right] = 2^{-(K-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2^{K-2} = \frac{1}{4}$$

Thus, the expected activity of all non-canalizing variables is equal to 1/4. The expected activity vector is then equal to  $E[\boldsymbol{\alpha}^f] = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots, \frac{1}{4})$  and the expected sensitivity is equal to  $E[s(f)] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot (K-1) = (K+1)/4$ .