

**Table 3.** The nucleotide sequences of Murphy *et al.* (1) (excluding gaps and missing sites) (GTR +  $\Gamma$  model)

Tree topology	$\Delta \ln L (\pm \text{SE})$	PP	BP	AU	KH	WSH
1 (Eul,((Chi,(Per,Car)),Cetart),Out)	<-65312.6>	0.452	0.252	0.786	0.594	0.988
2 (Eul,(Chi,(Cetart,(Per,Car))),Out)	-0.5( $\pm 2.2$ )	0.275	0.167	0.652	0.406	0.94
3 (Eul,(Chi,((Cetart,Per),Car)),Out)	-7.1( $\pm 6.4$ )	4e-04	0.003	0.115	0.138	0.711
4 (Eul,((Chi,Cetart),(Per,Car)),Out)	-0.6( $\pm 2.2$ )	0.256	0.156	0.643	0.391	0.938
5 (Eul,((Chi,Car),(Cetart,Per)),Out)	-4.3( $\pm 7.2$ )	0.006	0.109	0.493	0.272	0.861
6 (Eul,((Chi,(Cetart,Car)),Per),Out)	-8.6( $\pm 6.3$ )	9e-05	0.003	0.09	0.09	0.595
7 (Eul,((Chi,(Cetart,Per)),Car),Out)	-6.2( $\pm 6.7$ )	0.001	0.023	0.269	0.177	0.781
8 (Eul,(((Chi,Car),Cetart),Per),Out)	-6.2( $\pm 6.9$ )	0.001	0.032	0.284	0.19	0.765
9 (Eul,(((Chi,Cetart),Per),Car),Out)	-7.7( $\pm 6.2$ )	2e-04	0.005	0.15	0.111	0.648
10 (Eul,((Chi,Per),(Cetart,Car)),Out)	-6.6( $\pm 6.4$ )	0.001	0.011	0.222	0.156	0.749
11 (Eul,(((Chi,Cetart),Car),Per),Out)	-8.3( $\pm 6.2$ )	1e-04	0.002	0.074	0.091	0.595
12 (Eul,(((Chi,Car),Per),Cetart),Out)	-4.8( $\pm 6.0$ )	0.004	0.05	0.358	0.208	0.801
13 (Eul,(((Chi,Per),Car),Cetart),Out)	-5.6( $\pm 5.8$ )	0.002	0.032	0.311	0.171	0.751
14 (Eul,(((Chi,Per),Cetart),Car),Out)	-5.6( $\pm 6.7$ )	0.002	0.058	0.406	0.2	0.806
15 (Eul,(Chi,((Cetart,Car),Per)),Out)	-9.1( $\pm 5.9$ )	5e-05	0	0.02	0.068	0.477
45 ((Eul,Cetart),Chi,(Out,(Per,Car)))	-15.0( $\pm 11.0$ )	1e-07	0.029	0.219	0.088	0.535
54 ((Eul,Cetart),(Chi,(Per,Car)),Out)	-15.2( $\pm 9.6$ )	1e-07	0.01	0.151	0.059	0.419
20 (Eul,Chi,((Out,(Per,Car)),Cetart))	-16.0( $\pm 11.0$ )	5e-08	0.022	0.172	0.074	0.481
53 (Eul,Chi,(Out,(Cetart,(Per,Car))))	-18.7( $\pm 10.4$ )	3e-09	0.002	0.081	0.04	0.338
37 ((Eul,Cetart),((Chi,Car),Per),Out)	-19.1( $\pm 11.2$ )	2e-09	0.004	0.07	0.046	0.374
21 (Eul,Chi,((Out,Cetart),(Per,Car)))	-19.2( $\pm 10.5$ )	2e-09	0.001	0.074	0.036	0.34
101 ((Eul,Cetart),Chi,((Out,Car),Per))	-20.3( $\pm 12.7$ )	7e-10	0.006	0.142	0.059	0.465
76 ((Eul,Cetart),((Chi,Per),Car),Out)	-20.4( $\pm 11.2$ )	6e-10	0.001	0.05	0.037	0.337
83 ((Eul,Cetart),(Chi,Per),(Out,Car))	-21.1( $\pm 12.7$ )	3e-10	0.007	0.129	0.052	0.44
74 (Eul,Chi,((Out,Car),Per),Cetart))	-21.7( $\pm 12.9$ )	2e-10	0.005	0.1	0.051	0.409
42 ((Eul,Cetart),Chi,((Out,Per),Car))	-22.1( $\pm 12.5$ )	1e-10	0.001	0.075	0.042	0.394
71 (Eul,Chi,(((Out,Car),Cetart),Per))	-23.8( $\pm 13.0$ )	2e-11	0.002	0.061	0.039	0.354
86 (Eul,(Chi,Per),((Out,Car),Cetart))	-26.2( $\pm 12.4$ )	2e-12	6e-05	0.054	0.022	0.263

Differences in log-likelihood scores of alternative trees from the highest likelihood tree [and their SEs calculated by the Kishino and Hasegawa (2) formula in parentheses] are given. The log-likelihood of the highest likelihood tree is shown in brackets. PP, Bayesian posterior probability; BP, RELL bootstrap probability (3); AU,  $P$  values of the approximately unbiased test (4); KH, Kishino and Hasegawa test (2); WSH, weighted Shimodaira and Hasegawa test (5); Eul, Eulipotyphla; Chi, Chiroptera; Car, Carnivora; Cetart, Cetartiodactyla; and Per, Perissodactyla. Tests were done with the CONSEL program (6). Among the 105 possible trees, only 15 are shown, including the Eulipotyphla basal tree and others having  $P > 0.05$  (from the AU test).

## References

- Murphy, W. J., Eizirik, E., O'Brien, S. J., Madsen, O., Scally, M., Douady, C. J., Teeling, E., Ryder, O. A., Stanhope, M. J., de Jong, W. W. & Springer, M. S. (2001) *Science* **294**, 2348-2351.
- Kishino, H. & Hasegawa, M. (1989) *J. Mol. Evol.* **29**, 170-179.
- Kishino, H., Miyata, T. & Hasegawa, M. (1990) *J. Mol. Evol.* **31**, 151-160.
- Shimodaira, H. (2002) *Syst. Biol.* **51**, 492-508.
- Shimodaira, H. & Hasegawa, M. (1999) *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **16**, 1114-1116.
- Shimodaira, H. & Hasegawa, M. (2001) *Bioinformatics* **17**, 1246-1247.