EDITORIAL REVIEW

The potential role of superantigens in inflammatory bowel disease

R. A. KAY University Department of Pathology, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, UK

(Accepted for publication 26 January 1995)

INTRODUCTION

Superantigens are the protein products of a number of bacteria and viruses. Their name derives from their ability to stimulate large numbers of T cells compared with that seen with conventional antigens [1].

Among the most widely studied bacterial superantigens have been those derived from *Staphylococcus aureus*. In particular, the enterotoxins SEA, SEB, SEC, SED and SEE responsible for food poisoning and TSST-1 responsible for the toxic shock syndrome have received wide attention. Even though these proteins may share a high degree of sequence homology (SEA and SEE are 92% homologous), they still interact with distinct subsets of T cells [2–5].

Superantigens may also be retrovirally encoded. The protein product of the ORF gene of the mouse mammary tumour virus (MMTV) and the gag gene-encoded p30 antigen of the LP-BM5 variant strain of the murine leukaemia virus are good examples [6–9]. In certain mouse strains, retroviral superantigens may also be produced by endogenous proviral sequences integrated into the genome. A number of MMTVs have been incorporated into the mouse genome and encode the antigens of the minor lymphocyte stimulatory (MIs) system. Over 40 different endogenous MMTV strains have now been identified [10].

Recently, observations of inherited differences in human $V\beta 2$ peripheral T cell levels unrelated to HLA background and *TCRBV2S1* genotype have led workers to suggest that endogenous superantigens may also be present in humans [11].

IMMUNOLOGY OF SUPERANTIGENS

Binding characteristics

Superantigens appear capable of binding to a range of class II MHC molecules including HLA-DR, -DP and -DQ [12], although there may be both isotypic and allelic differences in the efficiency of superantigen presentation between them [13,14]. HLA class II binding appears to be required for the induction of T cell proliferation and cytokine release in the majority of cases, but data derived from MHC class II-deficient macrophage cell lines suggest that several other cell surface molecules are capable of both binding superantigens and triggering cellular activation [13,15]. Unlike conventional antigens, superantigens are not processed by antigen-presenting

Correspondence: R. A. Kay, University Department of Pathology, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee DD1 9SY, UK. cells; indeed proteolysis inhibits their superantigen activity [16,17]. Recent crystallographic data confirm that superantigens bind outside the peptide-binding groove [18]. The exact location differs for each superantigen, so that SEB binds to the α 1 domain of DR1, whereas mutational studies suggest that SEA binds to the β 1 domain, and TSST-1 binds to both α 1 and β 1 domains [19,20].

SEB's ability to bind multiple DR allotypes may be explained by its exclusive interaction with the DR α chain, but other recent data suggest there may also be multiple binding sites for class II MHC binding on the SEB molecule [21].

The capacity to stimulate large numbers of T cells results from the ability of superantigens to bind with the T cell receptor (TCR) in a V β -specific manner [22]. Furthermore, mutations in the TCR's complementarity-determining regions (CDR1-3) generally affect the recognition of conventional MHCrestricted peptide antigens, but not superantigens [23,24]. The TCR region which binds superantigen most strongly is a loop between β -strands D and E of the V β , referred to as the fourth hypervariable region (HV4) or CDR4. While the TCR β -chain alone is sufficient for superantigen/class II recognition, there is some evidence to suggest that the TCR α chain and perhaps even the J β gene segment may play a role in regulating SA binding specificity [24].

Mediator release

Superantigens can cause the release of a number of cytokines and inflammatory mediators. TSST-1 can stimulate IL-1, IL-2, interferon-gamma (IFN- γ) and tumour necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) expression, as can SEB and the mycoplasmal superantigen, MAM. Furthermore, SEB and MAM both induce IL-4 expression and MAM also induces IL-6 expression. SEA induces a similar range of cytokines to SEB, with the exception of IL-1 [2].

In addition, SEB administered orally to monkeys can induce leukotriene release and modify arachadonic acid metabolism [25,26].

Effects on TCR repertoire

There are three reported outcomes of T cell exposure to superantigens: proliferation, anergy, and deletion. These may occur separately or in combination, depending on a variety of factors. MMTV-encoded superantigens may cause intense proliferation of specific V β -bearing T cells *in vitro* (a feature which distinguishes Mls responsiveness) or deletion of T cells *in vivo*. Deletion usually occurs during thymic education, when

characteristic, $V\beta$ -specific gaps in the T cell repertoire occur in both CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ cell populations [1,27]. Exposure to a MMTV-encoded superantigen after birth, by suckling, results in almost total thymic deletion of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, but in the periphery only mature CD4⁺ T cells are anergized [28]. Treatment of adult mice with exogenous SEB results in initial proliferation followed by either deletion or anergy [29,30].

Human T cells also proliferate *in vitro* in response to superantigen stimulation in a V β -specific fashion [31]. Superantigens have also been shown to induce anergy in cultured human T cell lines [32]. *In vivo*, superantigen-driven increases in T cells and TCR-specific mRNA have been observed acutely after exposure to TSST-1 in the toxic shock syndrome and Kawasaki disease (KD) [33-35]. Superantigen-secreting staphylococcal and streptococcal strains have been isolated from KD patients [36], although the reported increases in $V\beta2^+$ and $V\beta8^+$ T cell numbers in this disease have been recently challenged [37]. Increases in cell numbers may persist for several months after the toxic shock syndrome [31,33], but there are no data as to long-term sequelae in terms of T cell responsiveness in either condition.

EFFECTS IN HUMAN GASTROINTESTINAL DISEASES

It has been known for some time that bacterial superantigenic toxins cause acute diarrhoeal illnesses [38]. The systemic symptoms they induce are to a large extent attributable to the massive systemic cytokine release they cause [31].

However, the role that superantigens may play in more chronic conditions such as Crohn's disease (CD) has been highlighted ever since the discovery that $V\beta 8^+$ T cells were elevated in the peripheral blood and mesenteric lymph nodes of a subset of CD patients compared with controls [39]. This study demonstrated that CD patients, even within the same family, could have either elevated or normal levels of $V\beta 8^+$ T cells in their peripheral blood. Furthermore, neither HLA genotyping nor BamH1 restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) of V β 8.1 predicted which CD patients would have elevated $V\beta 8^+$ T cell levels, suggesting that external rather than inherited factors were responsible for the changes in T cell repertoire [39]. Further studies by the same group demonstrated that intestinal epithelial cells (IEC) were capable of presenting superantigens in about 50% of normal individuals. Those IEC not capable of presenting superantigens were refractory to treatment by accessory cytokine addition, the induction of MHC class II expression and the suppression of prostaglandin E_2 production [40]. This group also quoted preliminary data suggesting that IEC from uninvolved areas of bowel in CD patients also showed variability in their ability to present superantigens, perhaps explaining why only a subset of CD patients exhibited changes in their $V\beta 8^+$ T cell repertoire [40]

In a recent issue of this journal, elegant experiments using TCR-directed cytotoxicity have extended these studies further by demonstrating that the peripheral blood $V\beta 8^+$ T cell population is functionally abnormal in some CD patients [41]. Cultured $V\beta 8^+$ T cells from these patients showed decreased cytotoxic activity compared with controls, despite equivalence in total or CD8⁺ V $\beta 8^+$ T cell numbers. Furthermore, although the $V\beta 8^+$ T cells from CD patients proliferated

in response to treatment with the staphylococcal enterotoxin E superantigen (SEE), neither SEE nor an anti-V β 8 MoAb could induce increased cytolytic activity from this T cell subset compared with controls.

However, this is not the only reported defect within human $V\beta 8^+$ T cells. A recent paper examining $V\beta 8^+$ T cell responsiveness in HIV⁺ individuals reported a lack of proliferation and IL-2R expression in these T cells after stimulation with either an anti-V\beta 8 MoAb or the $V\beta 8^+$ T cell-stimulating superantigens, SEE and ETA [42]. This defect could not be reversed by the addition of exogenous IL-2 or IL-4.

It is already known that superantigen exposure *in vitro* can inhibit T cell proliferation without impairing cytotoxic function [43,44], and can also abrogate antigen responsiveness, leaving proliferative capacity unaltered [32]. While the second paper did not examine the cytotoxic capacity of the $V\beta 8^+$ T cells in HIV⁺ individuals, these studies would appear to be an *in vivo* corollary demonstrating that proliferation and antigen responsiveness are two separate functional characteristics capable of being inhibited separately.

While these findings are indeed exciting, a certain amount of caution must be exercised. Proliferative and cytotoxic anergy may have been observed *in vitro* after superantigen exposure, but the demonstration of cytotoxic and proliferative anergy *in vivo* is not, in itself, evidence of such exposure. In human diseases where superantigens have been demonstrated, such as toxic shock syndrome and KD, initial T cell proliferation and TCR mRNA up-regulation have been observed, but the long term sequelae in terms of T cell function are not known [33–36]. Until such data are known, the role of superantigens in the pathogenesis of a number of conditions, including inflammatory bowel disease, can only be surmised.

REFERENCES

- 1 Herman A, Kappler JW, Marrack P, Pullen AM. Superantigens: mechanism of T cell stimulation and role in immune responses. Ann Rev Immunol 1991; **9**:745–72.
- 2 Micusan VV, Thibodeau J. Superantigens of microbial origin. Semin Immunol 1993; 5:3-11.
- 3 Choi Y, Kotzin B, Herron L, Callaghan J, Marrack P, Kappler J. Interaction of *Staphylococcus aureus* toxin 'superantigens' with human T cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1989; 86:8941-5.
- 4 Takimoto H, Yoshikai Y, Kishihara K, Matsuzaki G, Kuga H, Otani T, Nomoto K. Stimulation of all T cells bearing Vβ1, Vβ3, Vβ11 and Vβ12 by staphylococcal enterotoxin A. Eur J Immunol 1990; 20:617-21.
- 5 Hudson KR, Robinson H, Fraser JD. Two adjacent residues in staphylococcal enterotoxins A and E determine T cell receptor V β response. J Exp Med 1993; 177:175-84.
- 6 Choi Y, Kappler JW, Marrack P. A superantigen encoded in the open reading frame of the 3' long terminal repeat of the mouse mammary tumour virus. Nature 1991; 350:203-7.
- 7 Acha-Orbea H, Shakhov AN, Scarpelino L *et al.* Clonal deletion of V β 14-bearing T cells in mice transgenic for mammary tumour virus. Nature 1991; **350**:207–11.
- 8 Pullen AM, Choi Y, Kushnir E, Kappler J, Marrack P. The open reading frames in the 3' long terminal repeats of several mouse mammary tumour virus integrants encode Vβ3-specific superantigens. J Exp Med 1992; 175:41-47.
- 9 Hugin AW, Vacchio MS, Morse HC. A virus-encoded "superantigen" in a retrovirus-induced immunodeficiency syndrome of mice. Science 1991; 252:424-7.

- 10 Acha-Orbea H, Waanders GA, Shakhov AN, Held W. Infectious minor lymphocyte stimulating (Mls) antigens. Semin Immunol 1992; 4:297-303.
- 11 Clarke GR, Reyburn H, Lancaster FC, Boylston AW. Bimodal distribution of Vβ2⁺CD4⁺ T cells in human peripheral blood. Eur J Immunol 1994; 24:837-42.
- 12 Labreque N, Thibodeau J, Sékaly R-P. Interactions between staphylococcal superantigens and MHC class II molecules. Semin Immunol 1993; 5:23-32.
- 13 Mollick JA, Cook RG, Rich RR. Class II MHC molecules are specific receptors for Staphylococcal enterotoxin A. Science 1989; 244:817-20.
- 14 Herman A, Croteau G, Sékaly R-P, Kappler J, Marrack P. HLA-DR alleles differ in their ability to present staphylococcal enterotoxins to T cells. J Exp Med 1990; 172:709–17.
- 15 Beharka AA, Armstrong JW, Landolo JJ, Chapes SK. Binding and activation of MHC class II-deficient macrophages by Staphylococcal exotoxins. Infect Immun 1994; 62:3907–15.
- 16 Jorgensen JL, Reay PA, Ehrich EW, Davis MM. Molecular components of T cell recognition. Annu Rev Immunol 1992; 10: 835-73.
- 17 Dellabona P, Peccoud J, Kappler J, Marrack P, Benoist C, Mathis D. Superantigens interact with class II molecules outside of the antigen groove. Cell 1990; 62:1115-21.
- 18 Jardetsky TS, Brown JH, Gorga JC et al. Three-dimensional structure of a human class II histocompatibility molecule complexed with superantigen. Nature 1994; 368:711-8.
- 19 Herman A, Labreque N, Thibodeau J, Marrack P, Kappler JW, Sékaly R-P. Identification of the staphylococcal enterotoxin A superantigen binding site in the β1 domain of the human histocompatibility antigen HLA-DR. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991; 88: 9954-8.
- 20 Braunstein NS, Weber DA, Wang X-C, Long EO, Karp D. Sequences in both class II major histocompatibility complex α and β chains contribute to the binding of the superantigen for toxic shock syndrome toxin 1. J Exp Med 1992; 175:1301-5.
- 21 Soos JM, Johnson HM. Multiple binding sites of the superantigen Staphylococcal enterotoxin B impart versatility in binding to MHC class II molecules. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 1994; 201:596– 602.
- 22 White J, Herman A, Pullen AM, Kubo R, Kappler JW, Marrack P. The V β -specific superantigen Staphylococcal enterotoxin B: stimulation of mature T cells and clonal deletion in neonatal mice. Cell 1989; **56**:27–35.
- 23 Gahm S-J, Fowlkes BJ, Jameson SC *et al.* Profound alteration in an $\alpha\beta$ T-cell antigen receptor repertoire due to polymorphism in the first complementarity-determining region of the β chain. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1991; **88**:10267-71.
- 24 Gascoigne NRJ. Interactions of the T cell receptor with bacterial superantigens. Semin Immunol 1993; 5:13-21.
- 25 Scheuber PH, Denzlinger C, Wilker D, Beck G, Keppler D, Hammer DK. Staphylococcal enterotoxin B as a non-immunological mast cell stimulus in primates: the role of endogenous cysteinyl leukotrienes. Int Archs Allergy Appl Immunol 1987; 82:289–91.

- 26 Jett M, Brinkley W, Neill R, Gemski P, Hunt R. Staphylococcus aureus enterotoxin B challenge of monkeys: correlation of plasma levels of arachadonic acid cascade products with occurrence of illness. Infect Immun 1990; 58:3494-9.
- 27 Acha-Orbea H, Palmer E. Mls—a retrovirus exploits the immune system. Immunol Today 1991; 12:356-61.
- 28 Ignatowitcz L, Kappler J, Marrack P. The effects of chronic infection with a superantigen-producing virus. J Exp Med 1992; 175:917-23.
- 29 Kawabe Y, Ochi A. Selective anergy of $V\beta 8^+$, CD4⁺ T cells in Staphylococcus enterotoxin B-primed mice. J Exp Med 1990; 172: 1065-70.
- 30 Rellahan BL, Jones LA, Kruisbeek AM, Fry AM, Matis LA. *In vivo* induction of anergy in peripheral $V\beta 8^+$ T cells by staphylococcal enterotoxin B. J Exp Med 1990; 172:1091–100.
- 31 Marrack P, Kappler J. The Staphylococcal enterotoxins and their relatives. Science 1990; 248:705-11.
- 32 O'Hehir RE, Lamb JR. Induction of specific clonal anergy in human T lymphocytes by *Staphylococcus aureus* enterotoxins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1990; 87:8884-8.
- 33 Choi Y, Lafferty JA, Clements JR et al. Selective expansion of T cells in toxic shock syndrome. J Exp Med 1990; 172:981–4.
- 34 Abe J, Kotzin BL, Jujo K, Melsih ME, Glode MP, Kohsaka T, Leung DYM. Selective expansion of T cells expressing T-cell receptor variable regions $V\beta 2$ and $V\beta 8$ in Kawasaki disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1992; **89**:4066-70.
- 35 Abe J, Kotzin BL, Meissner C et al. Characterisation of T cell repertoire changes in acute Kawasaki disease. J Exp Med 1993; 177:791-6.
- 36 Leung DY, Meissner HC, Fulton DR, Murray DL, Kotzin BL, Schlievert PM. Toxic shock syndrome toxin-secreting *Staphylococcus aureus* in Kawasaki syndrome. Lancet 1993, 342:1385-8.
- 37 Pietra BA, De Inocencio J, Giannini EH, Hirsch R. TCR Vβ family repertoire and T cell activation markers in Kawasaki disease. J Immunol 1994; 153:1881-8.
- 38 Iandolo JJ. Genetic analysis of extracellular toxins of Staphylococcus aureus. Annu Rev Microbiol 1992; 43:375–402.
- 39 Posnett DN, Schmelkin I, Burton DA, August A, McGrath H, Mayer LF. T cell antigen receptor V gene usage. Increases in $V\beta 8^+$ T cells in Crohn's disease. J Clin Invest 1990; **85**:1770–6.
- 40 Aisenberg J, Ebert EC, Mayer L. T-cell activation in human intestinal mucosa: the role of superantigens. Gastroenterology 1993; 105:1421-30.
- 41 Baca-Estrada ME, Wong DKH, Croitoru K. Cytotoxic activity of $V\beta 8^+$ T cells in Crohn's disease: the role of bacterial superantigens. Clin Exp Immunol 1995; **99**:398-403.
- 42 Dadaglio G, Garcia S, Montagnier L, Gougeon M-L. Selective anergy of $V\beta 8^+$ T cells in human immunodeficiency virus-infected individuals. J Exp Med 1994; 179:413-24.
- 43 Otten GR, Germain RN. Split anergy in a CD8⁺ T cell: receptordependent cytolysis in the absence of interleukin-2 production. Science 1991; 251:1228-31.
- 44 Go C, Lancki DW, Fitch FW, Miller J. Anergized T cell clones retain their cytolytic ability. J Immunol 1993; 150:367-76.