Specificity of IgG subclass antibodies in different clinical manifestations of leprosy

S. DHANDAYUTHAPANI*, S. IZUMI[†], D. ANANDAN^{*} & V. N. BHATIA^{*} *Central Leprosy Teaching and Research Institute, Chengalpattu, India, and [†]National Institute for Leprosy Research, Tokyo, Japan

(Accepted for publication 12 December 1991)

SUMMARY

We analysed specific IgG subclasses levels to *Mycobacterium leprae* sonicate extract (MSE), lipoarabinomannan B (LAM) and phenolic glycolipid I (PGL-I) in the sera of leprosy patients with different clinical manifestations. IgG2 was found to be the predominant antibody to MSE regardless of clinical manifestations, and IgG1 response was mostly seen in lepromatous patients. IgG3 reacted only rarely but IgG4 reacted relatively more in certain clinical groups such as borderline lepromatous and lepromatous with erythema nodosum leprosum (ENL) reaction. Most of the IgG subclass responses to MSE could be accounted for reactivity with LAM, suggesting that LAM is the major immunogen involved in the pathogenesis of leprosy. In contrast to LAM, PGL-I antigen showed considerably lower reactivities for IgG subclasses. An association between IgG subclass responses and clinical manifestations of leprosy was also seen. Whereas borderline lepromatous patients were found to have significantly higher levels of IgG2 and IgG4 to MSE, lepromatous patients had elevated levels of IgG1 and lower levels of IgG2. An interesting observation, however, was the significantly higher levels of IgG2 to LAM in the pure neuritic leprosy patients.

Keywords IgG subclasses leprosy clinical manifestations

INTRODUCTION

Leprosy is a chronic infectious disease caused by the noncultivable bacterial species Mycobacterium leprae. It is characterized by a spectrum of clinical manifestations and the differences are due to variability in host immune response to M. leprae infection [1]. Patients at the tuberculoid (TT) end of the clinical spectrum show high cell-mediated immune response and low humoral response to M. leprae antigens; the opposite is true for lepromatous (LL) patients [2]. The humoral immune response in leprosy has been studied by several investigators by measuring circulating immunoglobulin levels with radial immunodiffusion technique [3-9]. Although the results of these studies were often contradictory as to the levels of immunoglobulin isotypes, markedly raised IgG levels in the sera of leprosy patients compared with healthy subjects have been noticed by some investigators [3,7,9]. Our unpublished observations, and others [10,11] have also revealed that IgG is the predominant circulating antibodies against M. leprae antigens.

Human IgG is composed of four subclasses which differ in structure and biological activities such as binding capacity to mononuclear phagocytic cells, reacting with staphylococcal proteins and activating complement fixation [12]. The quantita-

253

tive response of each IgG subclass varies with the nature of antigens; IgG1, IgG3 and IgG4 respond mostly to protein antigens [13], and IgG2 is primarily induced by carbohydrate antigens [14]. In recent years, increasing importance has been given to the IgG subclass levels as they are found to be of pathogenetic significance in viral [15–17], bacterial [14] and parasitic [18–22] infections. In particular, an association between specific IgG subclasses levels and different type of clinical manifestations has been noticed in AIDS [17], lymphatic filariasis [19] and onchocerciasis [20]. However, little is known regarding *M. leprae*-specific IgG subclass levels and different clinical manifestations of leprosy. Here we have examined *M. leprae*-specific IgG subclass levels in the sera of leprosy patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sera

Serum samples were obtained from patients with active leprosy attending clinics of Central Leprosy Teaching and Research Institute, Chengalpattu, Tamil Nadu, India. In total, 138 patients were analysed. These sera from patients classified according to Ridley & Jopling [23] were of 18 tuberculoid (TT), 23 borderline tuberculoid (BT), eight mid-borderline (BB), 27 borderline lepromatous (BL), 38 lepromatous (LL), 15 LL with erythema nodosum leprosum (ENL) reaction and nine pure neuritic (PN). Sera from 49 healthy subjects were also analysed as controls.

Correspondence: Dr S. Dhandayuthapani, National Institute for Leprosy Research, 4-2-1 Aoba cho, Higashimurayama-shi, Tokyo 189, Japan.

Antigens

M. leprae antigens were obtained through the IMMLEP section of the World Health Organization. *M. leprae* sonicate extract and native phenolic glycolipid-I (PGL-I) were provided by Dr R. J. W. Rees, National Institute of Medical Research, London, UK. Lipoarabinomannan B (LAM) from *M. tuberculosis* H37Ra was a kind gift from Dr P. J. Brennan, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO.

MoAbs

Mouse MoAbs to human IgG1 (clone SG-16), IgG2 (clone HP-6014), IgG3 (clone HP-6050), IgG4 (clone Sk-44) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO).

ELISA for IgG subclasses

IgG subclass levels to specific antigens were measured semiquantitatively by ELISA. Three antigens were used and each had a different reactivity with the serum samples. It became necessary, therefore, to optimize the ELISA condition for each antigen separately. For this purpose, 10-fold dilutions of antigens and serum samples were made and assayed for the reactivity in the presence of optimal concentrations of different anti-human IgG MoAbs. The lowest concentrations of antigens and the highest dilution of serum samples that gave maximal absorbances in combination with the lowest background were chosen. The concentrations of antigens selected were 10 μ g/ml MSE, 1 μ g/ml LAM and 50 μ g/ml PGL-I. Carbonate buffer, pH 9.6, was used for coating the antigens, except for native PGL-I, for which hexane was used. Sera dilutions of 1:300, 1:300 and 1:20 were selected to quantify MSE, LAM and PGL-I specific IgG subclasses, respectively.

Fifty microlitres of antigen solution were added to each well of 96-well flat-bottomed polystyrene microtitre plates (Dynatech, Plochingen, Germany) and incubated at 37°C overnight. After washing the plates five times with PBS, 100 μ l of 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS were added to each well and incubated for 1 h to block the antigen-free surface of the wells. Then serum samples diluted appropriately were added to the wells (50 μ l/well) and incubated at 37°C for 90 min. Each serum sample was tested in duplicate antigen-coated and noncoated control wells for each IgG subclass. After washing, 50 μ l of MoAbs directed against human IgG subclasses were added and incubated at 37°C for 90 min. The dilutions of mouse MoAbs used were: IgG1, 1:5000; IgG2, 1:5000; IgG3, 1:5000; and IgG4, 1:7500. The plates were then washed and 50 μ l/well of peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse IgG (1:2000) (Dakopatts, Glostrup, Denmark) were added for 60 min at 37°C. Subsequently, 50 μ l of citrate buffer, pH 5·0, containing 0·4 mg/ ml of the chromogenic substrate OPD and 0.006% H₂O₂ were added to each well. The reaction was arrested after 30 min by adding 50 μ l/well 5 M H₂SO₄ and absorbance was measured at 492 nm in a Titertek Multiscan (Flow Labs).

The mean optical density (OD)+3 s.d. of healthy subjects for each IgG subclass with respect to each antigen was used as cut-off value to determine positivity.

Statistical analysis

The data were subjected to one-way classification of analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Hewlett Packard statistical software. The mean IgG subclass levels between different groups were compared with Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) multiple range test and P < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Since the sonicate extract of M. leprae (MSE) has been reported [24] to contain all major antigens including the carbohydrate



Fig. 1. Distribution of *M. leprae* sonicate extract (MSE) specific IgG subclasses in different groups of sera. \Box , IgG1; \blacksquare , IgG2; \blacksquare , IgG3; \blacksquare , IgG4. TT, tuberculoid; BT, borderline tuberculoid; BB, mid borderline; BL, borderline lepromatous; LL, lepromatous; ENL, lepromatous with ENL reaction; PN, pure neuritic.



Fig. 2. Distribution of lipoarabinomannan-B- specific IgG subclasses in different groups of sera. \Box , IgG1; \blacksquare , IgG2; \blacksquare , IgG3; \blacksquare , IgG4. TT, tuberculoid; BT, borderline tuberculoid; BB, mid borderline; BL, borderline lepromatous; LL, lepromatous; ENL, lepromatous with ENL reaction; PN, pure neuritic.



Fig. 3. Distribution of phenolic glycolipid-I-specific IgG subclasses in different groups of sera. \Box , IgG1; \blacksquare , IgG2; \blacksquare , IgG3; \blacksquare , IgG4. TI tuberculoid; BT, borderline tuberculoid; BB, mid borderline; BI borderline lepromatous; LL, lepromatous; ENL, lepromatous wit ENL reaction; PN, pure neuritic.

Serum no.	Antigen	IgG class	Cut-off value*	Groups							
				HS (46)	TT (18)	BT (23)	BB (8)	BL (27)	LL (38)	ENL (15)	PN (9)
1	MSE	IgG1	0.190	2 (4)	3 (17)	3 (13)	3 (37)	8 (27)	21 (55)	11 (73)	1 (11)
		IgG2	0.460	0	6 (33)	8 (35)	4 (50)	19 (70)	24 (63)	11 (73)	5 (55)
		IgG3	0.060	1 (2)	2(11)	1 (04)	3 (37)	9 (33)	15 (39)	4 (27)	4 (44)
		IgG4	0.120	1 (2)	2 (11)	4 (17)	2 (25)	18 (67)	8 (21)	11 (73)	2 (22)
2	LAM	IgG1	0.090	1 (2)	3 (17)	4 (17)	1 (12)	4 (15)	16 (42)	7 (47)	3 (33)
		IgG2	0.160	1 (2)	6 (33)	10 (43)	5 (62)	17 (63)	28 (74)	11 (73)	8 (89)
		IgG3	0.150	1 (2)	1 (5)	0	1 (12)	7 (26)	10 (26)	1 (07)	1(11)
		IgG4	0.060	2 (4)	3 (17)	9 (39)	3 (37)	18 (67)	28 (74)	10 (67)	7 (77)
3	PGL-I	IgG1	0.210	0	2(11)	6 (26)	3 (37)	15 (55)	27 (71)	10 (67)	4 (44)
		IgG2	0.290	0	8 (44)	11 (48)	7 (87)	17 (63)	15 (39)	9 (60)	2 (22)
		IgG3	0.220	0	3 (16)	3 (13)	1 (12)	9 (33)	9 (23)	6 (40)	2 (22)
		IgG4	0.180	0	1 (5)	3 (13)	2 (25)	21 (78)	11 (29)	13 (86)	2 (22)

Table 1. Positive individuals to IgG subclasses in different groups of subjects

* Cut-off values represent mean OD+3 s.d. of the healthy subjects. Numbers in parentheses are percentages.

LAM, we first analysed the IgG subclasses against this so that an overall picture could be obtained. As can be seen in Fig. 1, IgG2 subclass was the predominant antibody to MSE regardless of clinical status. The highest level of IgG2 (mean OD 1.230) was seen in the serum of BL leprosy patients. Significantly lower levels of IgG2 (mean OD ≤ 0.790 ; P < 0.05) were noticed in all other groups, except ENL patients who showed IgG2 levels (mean OD 1.150) comparable to that of BL patients. After IgG2, IgG1 was found to be the second largest antibody that reacted with MSE. Although few sera from BT and TT groups showed strong reactivity for IgG1, LL sera either with or without ENL reactions reacted more frequently to IgG1 and thus the levels of IgG1 were significantly (P < 0.05) higher in these groups (mean OD ≥ 0.530) than other patient groups (mean OD ≤ 0.240). IgG3 and IgG4 reactivities to MSE were mainly seen in BL, LL and ENL groups with a relatively higher titre to IgG4 antibody.

The quantification of IgG subclass antibodies to the mycobacterial cell wall polysaccharide LAM in different groups of leprosy sera showed (Fig. 2) a pattern almost similar to that noticed for MSE, but with slightly decreased levels of reactivity. The remarkable differences between the profiles of MSE and LAM specific reactivity were the decreased IgG1 levels in LL patients with ENL reaction and increased IgG2 levels in PN patients.

Figure 3 shows IgG subclasses reactivity with *M. leprae*specific cell wall PGL-I. Unlike MSE and LAM, PGL-I showed a different pattern of reactivity. Sera from all clinical groups other than PN showed more or less similar IgG2 levels to PGL-I (range OD 0.340-0.370). In PN, IgG2 levels (mean OD 0.130; P < 0.05) was significantly lower, but IgG1 (mean OD 0.330; P < 0.05) levels were higher than in other groups. No significant differences in IgG3 and IgG4 levels to PGL-I antigen were noticed between the different groups of sera tested.

The numbers of positive responders to each IgG subclass in different groups of patients with respect to different antigens are summarized in Table 1.

DISCUSSION

Little progress has been made in understanding the role of antigen-specific humoral immune responses in the pathogenesis of leprosy. Although this may be attributed to the lack of welldefined antigens from *M. leprae* and from related mycobacterial species for many years, application of modern biological techniques in leprosy research [25] has now changed the situation dramatically. Several carbohydrate and some protein antigens of *M. leprae* have become available in large quantities, enabling more detailed study. In the present study, two purified carbohydrate antigens (LAM and PGL-I) were used, in addition to whole MSE, to quantify specific IgG subclass levels in different clinical manifestations of leprosy. We used mouse MoAbs directed against human IgG subclasses which ensured the specificity of the IgG subclass measured in addition to reducing background due to non-specific binding in ELISA.

The results indicate that IgG antibodies to M. leprae antigens are predominantly of IgG2 subclass as in other bacterial infections [14]. The IgG2 levels for MSE show an increasing trend from paucibacillary leprosy (TT, BT) to multibacillary leprosy (BB, BL, LL), possibly indicating a correlation with bacillary load. Most of these IgG2 responses to sonicate extract, and in fact for all IgG subclass responses, could be accounted for reactivity with LAM. This suggests that LAM is the major immunogen involved in the induction of M. lepraespecific humoral immune responses and consequently in the immunopathogenesis of leprosy. This is consistent with the earlier observations that LAM has many B cell epitopes [25, 26]. In vitro studies have also indicated that LAM may play a suppressive role on cell-mediated immunity against M. leprae. Whereas Kaplan et al. [27] and Moreno et al. [28], respectively, showed that LAM inhibits the antigen responsiveness of the human peripheral blood leucocytes and antigen-induced proliferation of CD4+ T cell clones, Sibley et al [29] reported inhibition of interferon-gamma-mediated activation of macrophages by LAM. Even though we used LAM from M. *tuberculosis* in this study, it may not have altered the results because LAM from M. *leprae* as well as M. *tuberculosis* are largely similar in structure and have many cross-reacting epitopes [25].

Compared with LAM, PGL-I in general showed considerably low reactivity with IgG subclasses in this study. Similar to this, Levis *et al.* [30] observed low anti-PGL IgG antibodies but high anti-PGL-I IgM antibodies in the sera of leprosy patients. Although both PGL-I and LAM are cell wall carbohydrates, the reason for the differential induction of immunoglobulin isotypes in the host is not clear at present. However, the fact that a few patients sera do have high titre of IgG subclasses to PGL-I tends to suggest that PGL-I might play some role in IgG-mediated pathogenesis in leprosy.

There seems to be a striking association between MSEspecific IgG subclasses levels and clinical manifestations of leprosy. LL patients are found to have significantly elevated IgG1 levels but reduced IgG2 levels when compared with other multi-bacillary patients, particularly BL patients. By contrast, BL patients have remarkably higher IgG2 levels accompanied by a slight increase in IgG4 levels. Only during ENL reaction did LL patients have some IgG4 antibodies but with a corresponding increase in IgG2. Although these discrepancies appear to exist only at subclass level without affecting much the total MSE-specific IgG between BL and LL patients, it appears to increase the total MSE-specific IgG in LL patients with ENL reaction. IgG subclass binding patterns further reveal that IgG2 and IgG4 levels in BL as well as in LL patients are mainly directed to LAM whereas IgG1 levels in LL patients are in response to both LAM and PGL-I. It is not clear why the levels of certain IgG subclasses increase and others decrease in some clinical conditions of leprosy. Clinically BL leprosy resembles lepromatous (LL) disease in many respects, except for the relatively widespread peripheral nerve trunk and skin lesions with predominant macrophages and lymphocytes [2]. ENL reaction, however, is an inflammatory episode mediated by circulating immune complex which occurs mostly in LL leprosy and very rarely in BL [2]. A previous study [31] found no increase in M. leprae-specific immunoglobulin isotypes, including IgG, during ENL reaction, although total increase in immunoglobulin levels during ENL has been noticed by some investigators [8]. However, an interesting observation is the significantly higher levels of anti-LAM IgG2 in PN leprosy patients. Although PN is often considered a pauci-bacillary disease between BT and BB [2] in the classification of leprosy, a recent histopathological study [32] in neuritic patients demonstrates features ranging from indeterminate to LL. Our study is the first one to measure antibody levels in these patients as a separate group; whether the observed antibody levels have any specific role in the nerve damage remains to be investigated.

Specific IgG subclasses differ with the nature of infection. Unlike bacterial infections which predominantly elicit IgG2, viral infections and parasite infections induce IgG1 and IgG4 as predominant specific antibodies, respectively [15–22]. Virusspecific IgG1 has been demonstrated to play a key role in antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity [16] and the parasitespecific IgG4 antibodies are reported to block the killing mediated by IgG effector antibodies [33]. However, the precise role of IgG2 antibodies in bacterial infection is not clear. Circumstantial evidences suggest that IgG2 mediates complement-activated killing of bacteria but experimental evidence is lacking (see [12] for review).

To date, no attempt has been made on these lines in leprosy. Future studies directed towards the functional role of humoral antibodies would lead to a better understanding of humoral responses in leprosy. The association of antigen-specific IgG subclass levels with certain clinical manifestations could also be better explained once the functional role of these antibodies is known.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr P. J. Brennan and IMMLEP section of WHO for kindly providing the antigens used in this study. The skillful technical assistance by Ms B. Vasanthi and Mr G. Yussuf is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- I Bloom BR, Mehra V. Immunological unresponsiveness in leprosy. Immunol Rev 1984; 80:5-28.
- 2 Hastings RC, Gillis TP, Krahenbuhl JL, Franzblau SG. Leprosy. Clin Microbiol Rev 1988; 1:330-48.
- 3 Lim SD, Fusaro RM. Leprosy IV. The quantitation of immune globulins (IgG, IgA and IgM) in leprosy sera. Int J Lepr 1968; **36**:144-53.
- 4 Bullock WE, Ho MF, Chen MJ. Studies of immune mechanisms in leprosy. II. Quantitative relationship of IgG, IgA and IgM immunoglobulins. J Lab Clin Med 1970; 75:863-70.
- 5 Srivastava LM, Agarwal DP, Goedde HW, Rhode R. Biochemical, immunological and genetic studies in leprosy. II. Profile of immunoglobulins, complements and c-reactive protein in sera of leprosy patients and healthy controls. Tropenmed Parasitol 1975; 26:212-18.
- 6 Sirisinha S, Charupatana C, Ramasoota T. Serum immunoglobulins in leprosy patients with different spectra of clinical manifestations. Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 1972; 140:1062–8.
- 7 Sengupta U, Sinha S, Ramu G. Immunological assessment of sera of leprosy patients. Lepr India 1979; **51**:43-8.
- 8 Harikrishnan S, Balakrishnan S, Bhatia VN. Serum immunoglobulin profile and C3 level in lepromatous leprosy patients. Lepr India 1982; 54:454-60.
- 9 Choudhary MS, Singh NB, Srivastava K, Gupta HP. Patterns of immunoglobulins in the serum of leprosy patients. Ind J Lepr 1987; 59:178-87.
- 10 Melsom R, Harboe M, Myrvang B, Godal T, Belehu A. Immunoglobulin class specific antibodies to *M. leprae* in leprosy patients, including the indeterminate group and healthy contacts as a step in the development of methods for serodiagnosis of leprosy. Clin Exp Immunol 1982; 47:225-33.
- 11 Touw J, Langendijk EMJ, Stoner GL, Belehu A. Humoral Immunity in leprosy: immunoglobulin G and M antibody responses to *Mycobacterium leprae* in relation to various disease patterns. Infect Immun 1982; 36:885-92.
- 12 Jefferis R, Kumararatne DS. Selective IgG subclass deficiency: quantification and clinical relevance. Clin Exp Immunol 1990; 81:357-67.
- 13 Skvaril F. IgG subclasses in viral infections. Monogr Allergy 1986; 19:134-43.
- 14 Hammarstrom L, Smith CIE. IgG subclasses in bacterial infections. Monogr Allergy 1986; 19:122–33.
- 15 Linde GA, Hammarstrom L, Persson MAA, Smith CIE, Sundqvist VA, Wahren B. Virus specific antibody activity of different subclasses of immunoglobulins G and A in cytomegalovirus infections. Infect Immun 1983; 42:237-44.
- 16 Ljunggren K, Broliden PA, Mansson LM, Jondal M, Wahren B. IgG subclass response to HIV in relation to antibody-dependent

cellular cytotoxicity at different clinical stages. Clin Exp Immunol 1988; 73:343-7.

- 17 Broliden PA, Mansson LM, Rosen J, Jondal M, Wahren B. Fine specificity of IgG subclass response to group antigens in HIV-1 infected patients. Clin Exp Immunol 1989; 76:216-21.
- 18 Ottesen EA, Skvaril F, Tripathy SP, Poindexter RW, Hussain R. Prominence of IgG4 in the IgG antibody response to human filariasis. J Immunol 1985; 134:2707-12.
- 19 Hussain R, Grogl M, Ottesen EA. IgG antibody subclasses in human filariasis. Differential subclass recognition of parasitic antigens correlates with different clinical manifestations of infection. J Immunol 1987; 139:2794–8.
- 20 Cabrera Z, Buttner DW, Parkhouse RME. Unique recognition of a low molecular weight Onchocerca volvulus antigen by IgG3 antibodies in chronic hyper-reactive oncho-dermatitis (Sowda). Clin Exp Immunol 1988; 74:223-9.
- 21 Ljungstrom I, Hammarstrom L, Kociecka W, Smith CIE. The sequential appearance of IgG subclasses and IgE during the course of *Trichinella spiralis* infection. Clin Exp Immunol 1988; 74:230-5.
- 22 Evengard B, Hammarstrom L, Smith CIE, Linder E. Early antibody responses in human schistosomiasis. Clin Exp Immunol 1990; 80:69-76.
- 23 Ridley DS, Jopling WH. Classification of leprosy according to immunity. A five group system. Int J Lepr 1966; 34:255-73.
- 24 Vega-Lopez F, Stoker NG, Locniskar MF, Dockrell HM, Grant KA, McAdam KPWJ. Recognition of mycobacterial antigens by sera from patients with leprosy. J Clin Microbiol 1988; 26:2474-9.
- 25 Gaylord H, Brennan PJ. Leprosy and the leprosy bacillus: recent developments in characterization of antigens and immunology of the disease. Annu Rev Microbiol 1987; 41:645-75.
- 26 Gaylord H, Brennan PJ, Young DB, Buchanan TM. Most Myco-

bacterium leprae carbohydrate reactive monoclonal antibodies are directed primarily to lipoarabinomannan. Infect Immun 1987; **55**:2860-3.

- 27 Kaplan G, Gandhi R, Weinstein DE, Lewis WR, Patarroyo ME, Brennan PJ, Cohn ZA. *Mycobacterium leprae* antigen induced suppression of T cell proliferation in vitro. J Immunol 1987; 138:3028-34.
- 28 Moreno C, Mehlert A, Lamb J. The inhibitory effects of mycobacterial lipoarabinomannan and polysaccharides upon polyclonal and monoclonal human T cell proliferation. Clin Exp Immunol 1988; 74:206-10.
- 29 Sibley LD, Hunter SW, Brennan PJ, Krahenbuhl JL. Mycobacterial lipoarabinomannan inhibits gamma interferon mediated activation of macrophages. Infect Immun 1988; 56:1232-6.
- 30 Levis WR, Meeker HC, Schuller-Levis G, Sersen E, Schwerer B. IgM and IgG antibodies to phenolic glycolipid I from *Mycobacterium leprae* in leprosy: insight into patient monitoring, erythema nodosum leprosum and bacillary persistence. J Invest Dermatol 1986; 86:529-34.
- 31 Andreoli A, Brett SJ, Draper P, Payne SN, Rook GAW. Changes in circulating antibody levels to the major phenolic glycolipid during erythema nodosum leprosum in leprosy patients. Int J Lepr 1985; 53:211-17.
- 32 Kaur G, Girdhar BK, Girdhar A, Malaviya GN, Mukherjee A, Sengupta U, Desikan KV. A clinical, immunological and histological study of neuritic leprosy patients. Int J Lepr 1991; 59:385-91.
- 33 Khalife J, Dunne DW, Richardson BA, Mazza G, Thorne KJI, Capron A, Butterworth AE. Functional role of human IgG subclasses in eosinophil mediated killing of schistosomula of Schistosoma mansoni. J Immunol 1989; 142:4422-7.