

# Mitosis, stature, and evolution of plant mating systems: Low- $\Phi$ and high- $\Phi$ plants

Douglas G. Scofield \*

Stewart T. Schultz

\* E-mail for correspondence: scofield@bio.indiana.edu

## Electronic Appendix, Table 1: $F$ vs. $S$ for small- and large-statured plants

The following table contains the adult inbreeding coefficient  $F$  and progeny selfing rate  $S$  for populations of small-statured (= low- $\Phi$ ) and large-statured (= high- $\Phi$ ) plants gathered under the conditions described in Methods. The large-statured species have been further assigned a height class based on a typical height at maturity of  $\leq 20$  m or  $> 20$  m, as determined from a wide variety of formal and informal published and web-based resources. Where a species has multiple entries in the table, each entry represents estimates of  $F$  and  $S$  from a separate population with each population fitting the criteria described in Methods. These data are displayed in Figure 1a for short-statured species and Figure 1b for large-statured species.

Electronic Appendix, Table 1: *F* and *S* for populations of short- and long-lived plants.

Species	Family	Stature	<i>F</i>	<i>S</i>	Reference
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>	Pinaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.107	0.060	Shea 1987
<i>Abies lasiocarpa</i>	Pinaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.097	0.350	Shea 1987
<i>Aegiceras corniculatum</i>	Myrsinaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	0.045	0.347	Ge & Sun 1999
<i>Amzinckia douglasiana</i>	Boraginaceae	small	0.032	0.420	Johnston & Schoen 1996
<i>Amzinckia douglasiana</i>	Boraginaceae	small	0.047	0.250	Johnston & Schoen 1996
<i>Amzinckia gloriosa</i>	Boraginaceae	small	0.730	0.999	Johnston & Schoen 1996
<i>Amzinckia gloriosa</i>	Boraginaceae	small	0.740	0.997	Johnston & Schoen 1996
<i>Amzinckia spectabilis</i>	Boraginaceae	small	0.036	0.730	Johnston & Schoen 1996
<i>Amzinckia spectabilis</i>	Boraginaceae	small	0.170	0.550	Johnston & Schoen 1996
<i>Amzinckia spectabilis</i>	Boraginaceae	small	0.350	0.330	Johnston & Schoen 1996
<i>Amzinckia spectabilis</i>	Boraginaceae	small	1.000	0.998	Johnston & Schoen 1996
<i>Aquilegia caerulea</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.336	0.531	Brunet & Eckert 1998
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.002	0.340	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.060	0.840	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.090	0.600	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.220	0.550	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.240	0.740	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.290	0.980	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999

Electronic Appendix, Table 1: *F* and *S* for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	<i>F</i>	<i>S</i>	Reference
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.310	0.970	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.360	0.890	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.420	0.170	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Aquilegia canadensis</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.600	1.000	Routley <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Ardisia escallonioides</i>	Myrsinaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.253	0.532	Pascarella 1997
<i>Ardisia escallonioides</i>	Myrsinaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.207	0.610	Pascarella 1997
<i>Begonia socotrana</i>	Begoniaceae	small	-0.064	0.175	Hughes <i>et al.</i> 2003
<i>Beilschmedia pendula</i>	Lauraceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.300	0.082	Murawski & Hamrick 1991; Murawski 1995
<i>Bertholletia excelsa</i>	Lecythidaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.207	0.151	O'Malley <i>et al.</i> 1988
<i>Brosimum alicastrum</i>	Moraceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.271	0.125	Murawski & Hamrick 1991; Murawski 1995
<i>Carapa procera</i>	Meliaceae	large, $> 20$ m	0.040	0.220	Doligez & Joly 1997
<i>Cavanillesia platanifolia</i>	Bombacaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.198	0.431	Murawski <i>et al.</i> 1990
<i>Ceiba pentandra</i>	Bombacaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.297	0.311	Murawski & Hamrick 1992; Murawski 1995
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	-0.150	0.210	Eckert & Barrett 1994
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	-0.127	0.370	Eckert & Barrett 1994
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	-0.119	0.310	Eckert & Barrett 1994
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	-0.105	0.260	Eckert & Barrett 1994
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	-0.039	0.390	Eckert & Barrett 1994

Electronic Appendix, Table 1:  $F$  and  $S$  for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	$F$	$S$	Reference
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	0.003	0.400	Eckert & Barrett 1994
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	0.007	0.230	Eckert & Barrett 1994
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	0.021	0.130	Eckert & Barrett 1994
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	0.025	0.390	Eckert & Barrett 1994
<i>Decodon verticillatus</i>	Lythraceae	small	0.081	0.320	Eckert & Barrett 1994
<i>Delphinium barbeyi</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.000	0.446	Williams <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Delphinium nuttallianum</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.000	0.027	Williams <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Delphinium nuttallianum</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.025	0.120	Williams <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Delphinium nuttallianum</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.090	0.065	Williams <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Delphinium nuttallianum</i>	Ranunculaceae	small	0.117	0.084	Williams <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Enterolobium cyclocarpum</i>	Mimosoidea	large, > 20 m	0.000	0.087	Rocha & Aguilar 2001
<i>Eriogonum ovalifolium</i>	Polygonaceae	large, $\leq$ 20 m	-0.500	0.170	Neel <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Eriogonum ovalifolium</i>	Polygonaceae	large, $\leq$ 20 m	-0.190	0.190	Neel <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Eriogonum ovalifolium</i>	Polygonaceae	large, $\leq$ 20 m	-0.100	0.150	Neel <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Eriogonum ovalifolium</i>	Polygonaceae	large, $\leq$ 20 m	-0.060	0.320	Neel <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Eriogonum ovalifolium</i>	Polygonaceae	large, $\leq$ 20 m	0.120	0.110	Neel <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Eriogonum ovalifolium</i>	Polygonaceae	large, $\leq$ 20 m	0.350	0.260	Neel <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Eucalyptus marginata</i>	Myrtaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.570	0.080	Millar <i>et al.</i> 2000

Electronic Appendix, Table 1:  $F$  and  $S$  for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	$F$	$S$	Reference
<i>Eucalyptus marginata</i>	Myrtaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.360	0.290	Millar <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Eucalyptus marginata</i>	Myrtaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.340	0.200	Millar <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Eucalyptus marginata</i>	Myrtaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.140	0.190	Millar <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Eucalyptus rhodantha</i>	Myrtaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.120	0.330	Sampson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Eucalyptus rhodantha</i>	Myrtaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.120	0.410	Sampson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Gilia achilleoifolia</i>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.050	0.710	Schoen 1982
<i>Gilia achilleoifolia</i>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.100	0.580	Schoen 1982
G <sub>T</sub>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.200	0.200	Schoen 1982
<i>Gilia achilleoifolia</i>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.220	0.360	Schoen 1982
<i>Gilia achilleoifolia</i>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.470	0.850	Schoen 1982
<i>Glycine argyrea</i>	Papilionoidea	small	0.130	0.530	Schoen & Brown 1991
<i>Glycine clandestina</i>	Papilionoidea	small	0.380	0.560	Schoen & Brown 1991
<i>Glycine clandestina</i>	Papilionoidea	small	0.730	0.890	Schoen & Brown 1991
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.200	0.400	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.190	0.140	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.190	0.210	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.180	0.230	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.150	0.210	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978

Electronic Appendix, Table 1:  $F$  and  $S$  for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	$F$	$S$	Reference
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.120	0.090	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.090	0.250	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.060	0.330	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.030	0.460	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.050	0.190	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.050	0.260	Ellstrand <i>et al.</i> 1978
<i>Helicteres brevispira</i>	Sterculiaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.268	0.354	Franceschinelli & Bawa 2000
<i>Helicteres brevispira</i>	Sterculiaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.233	0.510	Franceschinelli & Bawa 2000
<i>Helicteres brevispira</i>	Sterculiaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	0.003	0.324	Franceschinelli & Bawa 2000
<i>Helicteres brevispira</i>	Sterculiaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	0.049	0.522	Franceschinelli & Bawa 2000
<i>Intsia palembanica</i>	Caesalpinoideae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.071	0.234	Lee <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Kandelia candel</i>	Rhizophoraceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.170	0.203	Sun <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Kandelia candel</i>	Rhizophoraceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	0.112	0.303	Sun <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Larix decidua</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	0.021	0.325	Lewandowski & Burczyk 2000
<i>Larix decidua</i> ssp. <i>polonica</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.057	0.057	Lewandowski <i>et al.</i> 1991
<i>Leucochrysum albicans</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.000	0.010	Costin <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Leucochrysum albicans</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.010	0.090	Costin <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Leucochrysum albicans</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.150	0.010	Costin <i>et al.</i> 2001

Electronic Appendix, Table 1: *F* and *S* for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	<i>F</i>	<i>S</i>	Reference
<i>Linanthus bicolor</i>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.569	0.925	Goodwillie 2000
<i>Linanthus bicolor</i>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.944	0.999	Goodwillie 2000
<i>Linanthus jepsonii</i>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.048	0.700	Goodwillie 2000
<i>Linanthus jepsonii</i>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.152	0.859	Goodwillie 2000
<i>Linanthus jepsonii</i>	Polemoniaceae	small	0.292	0.896	Goodwillie 2000
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Magnoliaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.050	0.139	Brotschol <i>et al.</i> 1986
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Magnoliaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.022	0.448	Brotschol <i>et al.</i> 1986
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	Magnoliaceae	large, > 20 m	0.080	0.453	Brotschol <i>et al.</i> 1986
<i>Lupinus arboreus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, ≤ 20 m	0.001	0.221	Kittelson & Maron 2000, 2001
<i>Metrosideros excelsa</i>	Myrtaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.010	0.780	Schmidt-Adam <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Metrosideros excelsa</i>	Myrtaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.040	0.600	Schmidt-Adam <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Metrosideros excelsa</i>	Myrtaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.060	0.500	Schmidt-Adam <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Metrosideros excelsa</i>	Myrtaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.100	0.470	Schmidt-Adam <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Metrosideros excelsa</i>	Myrtaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.120	0.550	Schmidt-Adam <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.010	0.280	Ritland & Ganders 1987
<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.114	0.615	Dole & Ritland 1993
<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.172	0.568	Dole & Ritland 1993
<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.200	0.570	Ritland & Ganders 1987

Electronic Appendix, Table 1: *F* and *S* for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	<i>F</i>	<i>S</i>	Reference
<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.210	0.360	Ritland & Ganders 1987
<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.218	0.703	Dole & Ritland 1993
<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.300	0.480	Ritland & Ganders 1987
<i>Mimulus guttatus</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.320	0.660	Ritland & Ganders 1987
<i>Mimulus platycalyx</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.497	0.814	Dole & Ritland 1993
<i>Mimulus platycalyx</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.533	0.797	Dole & Ritland 1993
<i>Mimulus platycalyx</i>	Scrophulariaceae	small	0.599	0.922	Dole & Ritland 1993
∞	Neobalanocarpus heimii	Dipterocarpaceae large, > 20 m	0.129	0.110	Konuma <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Ophiopogon xyloorrhizus</i>	Liliaceae	small	0.140	0.533	He <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Ophiopogon xyloorrhizus</i>	Liliaceae	small	0.287	0.677	He <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Ophiopogon xyloorrhizus</i>	Liliaceae	small	0.374	0.909	He <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Phaseolus coccineus</i> ssp. <i>formosus</i>	Phaseolus coccineus ssp. <i>formosus</i>	Papilionoidea	small	0.200	0.307
<i>Phaseolus coccineus</i> ssp. <i>formosus</i>	Phaseolus coccineus ssp. <i>formosus</i>	Papilionoidea	small	0.258	0.338
<i>Picea chihuahuana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.042	0.850	Ledig <i>et al.</i> 1997
<i>Picea chihuahuana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.414	1.000	Ledig <i>et al.</i> 1997
<i>Picea engelmannii</i>	Pinaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.074	0.080	Shea 1987
<i>Picea engelmannii</i>	Pinaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.056	0.040	Shea 1987
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.042	0.109	Boyle & Morgenstern 1986

Electronic Appendix, Table 1:  $F$  and  $S$  for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	$F$	$S$	Reference
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.041	0.098	Boyle & Morgenstern 1986
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.032	0.048	Boyle & Morgenstern 1986
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.029	0.109	Boyle & Morgenstern 1986
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.033	0.067	Boyle & Morgenstern 1986
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.037	0.374	Sproule & Dancik 1996
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.054	0.024	Boyle & Morgenstern 1986
<i>Picea mariana</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.160	0.384	Sproule & Dancik 1996
<i>Picea martinezii</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.058	0.601	Ledig <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Picea martinezii</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.121	0.315	Ledig <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Picea omorika</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.110	0.160	Kuittinen & Savolainen 1992
<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>bahamensis</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.115	0.150	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>bahamensis</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.160	0.070	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>hondurensis</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.148	0.080	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus caribaea</i> var. <i>hondurensis</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.172	0.110	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus cembra</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.014	0.192	Lewandowski & Burczyk 2000
<i>Pinus contorta</i> ssp. <i>latifolia</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.022	0.050	Epperson & Allard 1984
<i>Pinus leucodermis</i>	Pinaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.089	0.176	Morgante <i>et al.</i> 1993
<i>Pinus leucodermis</i>	Pinaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.069	0.277	Morgante <i>et al.</i> 1993

Electronic Appendix, Table 1:  $F$  and  $S$  for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	$F$	$S$	Reference
<i>Pinus maximinoi</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.176	0.350	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus monticola</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.105	0.023	El-Kassaby <i>et al.</i> 1987
<i>Pinus oocarpa</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.000	0.040	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus oocarpa</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.083	0.170	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus oocarpa</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.176	0.170	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus oocarpa</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.176	0.190	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus oocarpa</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.190	0.060	Matheson <i>et al.</i> 1989
<i>Pinus pinceana</i>	Pinaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.076	0.124	Ledig <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Pinus pinceana</i>	Pinaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.097	0.103	Ledig <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Pinus pinceana</i>	Pinaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.099	0.164	Ledig <i>et al.</i> 2001
<i>Pinus ponderosa</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.022	0.190	Farris & Mitton 1984
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.266	0.101	Rajjora <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.258	0.009	Rajjora <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.255	0.084	Rajjora <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.152	0.133	Rajjora <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.147	0.029	Rajjora <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.113	0.020	Rajjora <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.107	0.080	Rajjora <i>et al.</i> 2002

Electronic Appendix, Table 1:  $F$  and  $S$  for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	$F$	$S$	Reference
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.083	0.121	Rajora <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Pinus strobus</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.035	0.111	Rajora <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	0.032	0.091	Kärkkäinen <i>et al.</i> 1996
<i>Pinus washonensis</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.271	0.077	Mitton <i>et al.</i> 1997
<i>Pinus washonensis</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.263	0.117	Mitton <i>et al.</i> 1997
<i>Pinus washonensis</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.156	0.117	Mitton <i>et al.</i> 1997
<i>Pinus washonensis</i>	Pinaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.061	0.236	Mitton <i>et al.</i> 1997
<i>Piper amalga</i>	Piperaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.124	0.422	Heywood & Fleming 1986
<i>Pithecellobium elegans</i>	Mimosoidea	large, > 20 m	-0.055	0.030	Hall <i>et al.</i> 1996
<i>Pithecellobium pedicellare</i>	Mimosoidea	large, > 20 m	0.040	0.049	O'Malley & Bawa 1987
<i>Platypodium elegans</i>	Papilioidea	large, > 20 m	0.014	0.180	Hufford & Hamrick 2003
<i>Prosopis alba</i>	Mimosoidea	large, ≤ 20 m	0.008	0.282	Bessegå <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Prosopis chilensis</i>	Mimosoidea	large, ≤ 20 m	0.225	0.191	Bessegå <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Prosopis flexuosa</i>	Mimosoidea	large, ≤ 20 m	0.000	0.118	Bessegå <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Prosopis glandulosa</i>	Mimosoidea	large, ≤ 20 m	0.098	0.259	Bessegå <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Prosopis ruscifolia</i>	Mimosoidea	large, ≤ 20 m	0.022	0.024	Bessegå <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Prosopis velutina</i>	Mimosoidea	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.300	0.391	Keys & Smith 1994
<i>Prosopis velutina</i>	Mimosoidea	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.049	0.340	Keys & Smith 1994

Electronic Appendix, Table 1:  $F$  and  $S$  for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	$F$	$S$	Reference
<i>Prosopis velutina</i>	Mimosoidea	large, $\leq 20$ m	0.022	0.218	Bessega <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.058	0.080	Shaw & Allard 1982b,a
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.047	0.130	Shaw & Allard 1982b,a
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.038	0.040	Shaw & Allard 1982b,a
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.030	0.110	Shaw & Allard 1982b,a
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.020	0.080	Shaw & Allard 1982b,a
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.017	0.130	Shaw & Allard 1982b,a
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	-0.005	0.140	Shaw & Allard 1982b,a
<i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>	Pinaceae	large, $> 20$ m	0.000	0.090	Shaw & Allard 1982b,a
<i>Pterocarpus indicus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, $> 20$ m	0.015	0.092	Finkeldy <i>et al.</i> 1999
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, $> 20$ m	-0.457	0.249	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, $> 20$ m	-0.329	0.105	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, $> 20$ m	-0.318	0.042	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, $> 20$ m	-0.316	0.047	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, $> 20$ m	-0.315	0.281	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, $> 20$ m	-0.312	0.053	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, $> 20$ m	-0.275	0.109	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998

Electronic Appendix, Table 1:  $F$  and  $S$  for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	$F$	$S$	Reference
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, > 20 m	-0.228	0.102	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, > 20 m	-0.212	0.055	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Papilionoidea	large, > 20 m	-0.152	0.051	Liengsiri <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Rutidosis leiolepis</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.070	0.110	Young <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Rutidosis leiolepis</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.210	0.170	Young <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Rutidosis leiolepis</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.220	0.070	Young <i>et al.</i> 2002
<i>Schiadea adamantis</i>	Caryophyllaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.178	0.501	Sakai <i>et al.</i> 1997
<i>Senecio squaliidus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.234	0.281	Abbott & Forbes 1993
<i>Senecio squaliidus</i>	Asteraceae	small	-0.111	0.098	Abbott & Forbes 1993
<i>Senecio squaliidus</i>	Asteraceae	small	0.086	0.022	Abbott & Forbes 1993
<i>Shorea congestiflora</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	large, > 20 m	0.088	0.126	Murawski <i>et al.</i> 1994b
<i>Shorea leprosula</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.078	0.163	Lee <i>et al.</i> 2000
<i>Shorea megistophylla</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.247	0.263	Murawski <i>et al.</i> 1994a
<i>Shorea trapezifolia</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	large, > 20 m	-0.300	0.383	Murawski <i>et al.</i> 1994b
<i>Stemonoporus oblongifolius</i>	Dipterocarpaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	0.095	0.156	Murawski & Bawa 1994
<i>Tachigali versicolor</i>	Papilionoidea	large, > 20 m	-0.106	0.002	Loveless <i>et al.</i> 1998
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Cupressaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.167	0.255	Perry & Knowles 1990
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Cupressaceae	large, ≤ 20 m	-0.136	0.348	Perry & Knowles 1990

Electronic Appendix, Table 1:  $F$  and  $S$  for populations of short- and long-lived plants (continued).

Species	Family	Stature	$F$	$S$	Reference
<i>Thuja occidentalis</i>	Cupressaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	0.090	0.493	Perry & Knowles 1990
<i>Thuja orientalis</i>	Cupressaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.406	0.310	Xie <i>et al.</i> 1991
<i>Thuja orientalis</i>	Cupressaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.066	0.320	Xie <i>et al.</i> 1991
<i>Thuja orientalis</i>	Cupressaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	-0.049	0.190	Xie <i>et al.</i> 1991
<i>Thuja orientalis</i>	Cupressaceae	large, $\leq 20$ m	0.029	0.190	Xie <i>et al.</i> 1991

## Electronic Appendix, References

- Abbott, R. J., & Forbes, D. G. 1993. Outcrossing rate and self-incompatibility in the colonizing species *Senecio squalidus*. *Heredity*, **71**, 155–159.
- Bessegaa, C., Ferreyra, L., Julio, N., Montoya, S., Saidman, B., & Vilardi, J. C. 2000. Mating system parameters in species of genus *Prosopis* (Leguminosae). *Hereditas*, **132**(1), 19–27.
- Boyle, T. J. B., & Morgenstern, E. K. 1986. Estimates of outcrossing rates in 6 populations of Black Spruce in central New Brunswick. *Silv. Genet.*, **35**(2-3), 102–106.
- Brotschol, J. V., Roberds, J. H., & Namkoong, G. 1986. Allozyme variation among North Carolina populations of *Liriodendron tulipifera* L. *Silv. Genet.*, **35**(4), 131–138.
- Brunet, J., & Eckert, C. G. 1998. Effects of floral morphology and display on outcrossing in Blue Columbine, *Aquilegia caerulea* (Ranunculaceae). *Func. Ecol.*, **12**(4), 596–606.
- Costin, B. J., Morgan, J. W., & Young, A. G. 2001. Reproductive success does not decline in fragmented populations of *Leucochrysum albicans* subsp. *albicans* var. *tricolor* (Asteraceae). *Biol. Cons.*, **98**(3), 273–284.
- Dole, J., & Ritland, K. 1993. Inbreeding depression in two *Mimulus* taxa measured by multigenerational changes in the inbreeding coefficient. *Evolution*, **47**(2), 361–373.
- Doligez, A., & Joly, H. I. 1997. Mating system of *Carapa procera* (Meliaceae) in the French Guiana tropical forest. *Am. J. Bot.*, **84**(4), 461–470.
- Eckert, C. G., & Barrett, S. C. H. 1994. Inbreeding depression in partially self-fertilizing *Decodon verticillatus* (Lythraceae): Population-genetic and experimental analyses. *Evolution*, **48**(4), 952–964.

- El-Kassaby, Y. A., Meagher, M. D., Parkinson, J., & Portlock, F. T. 1987. Allozyme inheritance, heterozygosity and outcrossing rate among *Pinus monticola* near Ladysmith, British Columbia. *Heredity*, **58**, 173–181.
- Ellstrand, N. C., Torres, A. M., & Levin, D. A. 1978. Density and the rate of apparent outcrossing in *Helianthus annuus* (Asteraceae). *Syst. Bot.*, **3**(4), 403–407.
- Epperson, B. K., & Allard, R. W. 1984. Allozyme analysis of the mating system in lodgepole pine populations. *J. Hered.*, **75**(3), 212–214.
- Escalante, A. M., Coello, G., Eguiarte, L. E., & Pinero, D. 1994. Genetic structure and mating systems in wild and cultivated populations of *Phaseolus coccineus* and *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Fabaceae). *Am. J. Bot.*, **81**(9), 1096–1103.
- Farris, M. A., & Mitton, J. B. 1984. Population density, outcrossing rate, and heterozygote superiority in ponderosa pine. *Evolution*, **38**(5), 1151–1154.
- Finkeldey, R., De Guzman, N., & Changtragoon, S. 1999. The mating system of *Pterocarpus indicus* Willd. at Mt. Makiling, Philippines. *Biotropica*, **31**(3), 525–530.
- Franceschinelli, E. V., & Bawa, K. S. 2000. The effect of ecological factors on the mating system of a South American shrub species (*Helicteres brevispira*). *Heredity*, **84**(1), 116–123.
- Ge, X. J., & Sun, M. 1999. Reproductive biology and genetic diversity of a cryptoviviparous mangrove *Aegiceras corniculatum* (Myrsinaceae) using allozyme and intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR) analysis. *Mol. Ecol.*, **8**(12), 2061–2069.
- Goodwillie, C. 2000. Inbreeding depression and mating systems in two species of *Linanthus* (Polemoniaceae). *Heredity*, **84**(3), 283–293.

- Hall, P., Walker, S., & Bawa, K. 1996. Effect of forest fragmentation on genetic diversity and mating system in a tropical tree, *Pithecellobium elegans*. *Cons. Bio.*, **10**(3), 757–768.
- He, T.-H., Rao, G.-Y., You, R.-L., & Ge, S. 1998. Mating system of *Ophiopogon xylorrhizus* (Liliaceae), an endangered species in southwest China. *Int. J. Plant Sci.*, **159**(3), 440–445.
- Heywood, J. S., & Fleming, T. H. 1986. Patterns of allozyme variation in three Costa Rican species of *Piper*. *Biotropica*, **18**(3), 208–213.
- Hufford, K. M., & Hamrick, J. L. 2003. Viability selection at three early life stages of the tropical tree, *Platypodium elegans* (Fabaceae, Papilionoideae). *Evolution*, **57**(3), 518–526.
- Hughes, M., Hollingsworth, P. M., & Miller, A. G. 2003. Population genetic structure in the endemic *Begonia* of the Socotra archipelago. *Biol. Cons.*, **113**(2), 277–284.
- Johnston, M. O., & Schoen, D. J. 1996. Correlated evolution of self-fertilization and inbreeding depression: An experimental study of nine populations of *Amsinckia* (Boraginaceae). *Evolution*, **50**(4), 1478–1491.
- Kärkkäinen, K., Koski, V., & Savolainen, O. 1996. Geographical variation in the inbreeding depression of Scots pine. *Evolution*, **50**(1), 111–119.
- Keys, R. N., & Smith, S. E. 1994. Mating system parameters and population genetic structure in pioneer populations of *Prosopis velutina* (Leguminosae). *Am. J. Bot.*, **81**(8), 1013–1020.
- Kittelson, P. M., & Maron, J. L. 2000. Outcrossing rate and inbreeding depression in the perennial yellow bush lupine, *Lupinus arboreus* (Fabaceae). *Am. J. Bot.*, **87**(5), 652–660.
- Kittelson, P. M., & Maron, J. L. 2001. Fine-scale genetically based differentiation of life-history traits in the perennial shrub *Lupinus arboreus*. *Evolution*, **55**(12), 2429–2438.

- Konuma, A., Tsumura, Y., Lee, C. T., Lee, S. L., & Okuda, T. 2000. Estimation of gene flow in the tropical-rainforest tree *Neobalanocarpus heimii* (Dipterocarpaceae), inferred from paternity analysis. *Mol. Ecol.*, **9**(11), 1843–1852.
- Kuittinen, H., & Savolainen, O. 1992. *Picea omorika* is a self-fertile but outcrossing conifer. *Heredity*, **68**, 183–187.
- Ledig, F. T., Jacob-Cervantes, V., Hodgskiss, P. D., & Eguiluz-Piedra, T. 1997. Recent evolution and divergence among populations of a rare Mexican endemic, Chihuahua spruce, following Holocene climatic warming. *Evolution*, **51**(6), 1815–1827.
- Ledig, F. T., Bermejo-Velazquez, B., Hodgskiss, P. D., Johnson, D. R., Flores-Lopez, C., & Jacob-Cervantes, V. 2000. The mating system and genic diversity in Martinez spruce, an extremely rare endemic of Mexico's Sierra Madre Oriental: An example of facultative selfing and survival in interglacial refugia. *Can. J. For. Res.*, **30**(7), 1156–1164.
- Ledig, F. T., Capo-Arteaga, M. A., Hodgskiss, P. D., Sbay, H., Flores-Lopez, C., Conkle, M. T., & Bermejo-Velazquez, B. 2001. Genetic diversity and the mating system of a rare Mexican piñon, *Pinus pinceana*, and a comparison with *Pinus maximartinezii* (Pinaceae). *Am. J. Bot.*, **88**(11), 1977–1987.
- Lee, S. L., Wickneswari, R., Mahani, M. C., & Zakri, A. H. 2000. Mating system parameters in a tropical tree species, *Shorea leprosula* Miq. (Dipterocarpaceae), from Malaysian lowland dipterocarp forest. *Biotropica*, **32**(4), 693–702.
- Lee, S.-L., Ng, K. K.-S., Saw, L.-G., Norwati, A., Salwana, M. H. S., Lee, C.-T., & Norwati, M. 2002. Population genetics of *Intsia palembanica* (Leguminosae) and genetic conservation of virgin jungle reserves in peninsular Malaysia. *Am. J. Bot.*, **89**(3), 447–459.
- Lewandowski, A., & Burczyk, J. 2000. Mating system and genetic diversity in natural

- populations of European larch (*Larix decidua*) and stone pine (*Pinus cembra*) located at higher elevations. *Silv. Genet.*, **49**(3), 158–161.
- Lewandowski, A., Burczyk, J., & Mejnartowicz, L. 1991. Genetic structure and the mating system in an old stand of Polish Larch. *Silv. Genet.*, **40**(2), 75–79.
- Liengsiri, C., Boyle, T. J. B., & Yeh, F. C. 1998. Mating system in *Pterocarpus macrocarpus* Kurz in Thailand. *J. Hered.*, **89**(2), 216–221.
- Loveless, M. D., Hamrick, J. L., & Foster, R. B. 1998. Population structure and mating system in *Tachigali versicolor*, a monocarpic neotropical tree. *Heredity*, **81**, 134–143.
- Matheson, A. C., Bell, J. C., & Barnes, R. D. 1989. Breeding systems and genetic structure in some Central American pine populations. *Silv. Genet.*, **38**(3-4), 107–113.
- Millar, M. A., Byrne, M., Coates, D. J., Stukely, M. J. C., & McComb, J. A. 2000. Mating system studies in jarrah, *Eucalyptus marginata* (Myrtaceae). *Aust. J. Bot.*, **48**(4), 475–479.
- Mitton, J. B., Latta, R. G., & Rehfeldt, G. E. 1997. The pattern of inbreeding in Washoe pine and survival of inbred progeny under optimal environmental conditions. *Silv. Genet.*, **46**(4), 215–219.
- Morgante, M., Vendramin, G. G., Rossi, P., & Olivieri, A. M. 1993. Selection against inbreds in early life-cycle phases in *Pinus leucodermis* Ant. *Heredity*, **70**, 622–627.
- Murawski, D. A. 1995. Reproductive biology and genetics of tropical trees from a canopy perspective. Pages 457–493 of: Lowman, M. D., & Nadkarni, N. M. (eds), *Forest Canopies*. San Diego, California: Academic Press.
- Murawski, D. A., & Bawa, K. S. 1994. Genetic structure and mating system of *Stemonoporus oblongifolius* (Dipterocarpaceae) in Sri Lanka. *Am. J. Bot.*, **81**(2), 155–160.

- Murawski, D. A., & Hamrick, J. L. 1991. The effect of the density of flowering individuals on the mating systems of nine tropical tree species. *Heredity*, **67**(2), 167–174.
- Murawski, D. A., & Hamrick, J. L. 1992. Mating system and phenology of *Ceiba pentandra* (Bombacaceae) in central Panama. *J. Hered.*, **83**(6), 401–404.
- Murawski, D. A., Hamrick, J. L., Hubbell, S. P., & Foster, R. B. 1990. Mating systems of two bombacaceous trees of a Neotropical moist forest. *Oecologia*, **82**(4), 501–506.
- Murawski, D. A., Nimal Gunatilleke, I. A. U., & Bawa, K. S. 1994a. The effects of selective logging on inbreeding in *Shorea megistophylla* (Dipterocarpaceae) from Sri Lanka. *Cons. Bio.*, **8**(4), 997–1002.
- Murawski, D. A., Dayanandan, B., & Bawa, K. S. 1994b. Outcrossing rates of two endemic *Shorea* species from Sri Lankan tropical rain forests. *Biotropica*, **26**(1), 23–29.
- Neel, M. C., Ross-Ibarra, J., & Ellstrand, N. C. 2001. Implications of mating patterns for conservation of the endangered plant *Eriogonum ovalifolium* var. *vineum* (Polygonaceae). *Am. J. Bot.*, **88**(7), 1214–1222.
- O'Malley, D. M., & Bawa, K. S. 1987. Mating system of a tropical rain forest tree species. *Am. J. Bot.*, **74**(8), 1143–1149.
- O'Malley, D. M., Buckley, D. P., Prance, G. T., & Bawa, K. S. 1988. Genetics of Brazil nut (*Bertholletia excelsa* Humb. & Bonpl.: Lecythidaceae). 2. Mating system. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **76**(6), 929–932.
- Pascarella, J. B. 1997. The mating system of the tropical understory shrub *Ardisia escallonioides* (Myrsinaceae). *Am. J. Bot.*, **84**(4), 456–460.
- Perry, D. J., & Knowles, P. 1990. Evidence of high self-fertilization in natural populations of Eastern White Cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*). *Can. J. Bot.*, **68**(3), 663–668.

- Rajora, O. P., Mosseler, A., & Major, J. E. 2002. Mating system and reproductive fitness traits of eastern white pine (*Pinus strobus*) in large, central versus small, isolated, marginal populations. *Can. J. Bot.*, **80**(11), 1173–1184.
- Ritland, K., & Ganders, F. R. 1987. Covariation of selfing rates with parental gene fixation indexes within populations of *Mimulus guttatus*. *Evolution*, **41**(4), 760–771.
- Rocha, O. J., & Aguilar, G. 2001. Variation in the breeding behavior of the dry forest tree *Enterolobium cyclocarpum* (Guanacaste) in Costa Rica. *Am. J. Bot.*, **88**(9), 1600–1606.
- Routley, M. B., Mavraganis, K., & Eckert, C. G. 1999. Effect of population size on the mating system in a self-compatible, autogamous plant, *Aquilegia canadensis* (Ranunculaceae). *Heredity*, **82**, 518–528.
- Sakai, A. K., Weller, S. G., Chen, M. L., Chou, S. Y., & Tasanont, C. 1997. Evolution of gynodioecy and maintenance of females: The role of inbreeding depression, outcrossing rates, and resource allocation in *Schiedea adamantis* (Caryophyllaceae). *Evolution*, **51**(3), 724–736.
- Sampson, J. F., Hopper, S. D., & James, S. H. 1989. The mating system and population genetic structure in a bird-pollinated mallee, *Eucalyptus rhodantha*. *Heredity*, **63**, 383–393.
- Schmidt-Adam, G., Young, A. G., & Murray, B. G. 2000. Low outcrossing rates and shift in pollinators in New Zealand pohutukawa (*Metrosideros excelsa*; Myrtaceae). *Am. J. Bot.*, **87**(9), 1265–1271.
- Schoen, D. J. 1982. The breeding system of *Gilia achilleifolia*: Variation in floral characteristics and outcrossing rate. *Evolution*, **36**(2), 352–360.
- Schoen, D. J., & Brown, A. H. D. 1991. Whole-flower and part-flower self-pollination in

- Glycine clandestina* and *G. argyrea* and the evolution of autogamy. *Evolution*, **45**(7), 1651–1664.
- Shaw, D. V., & Allard, R. W. 1982a. Estimation of outcrossing rates in Douglas Fir using isozyme markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **62**(2), 113–120.
- Shaw, D. V., & Allard, R. W. 1982b. Isozyme heterozygosity in adult and open-pollinated embryo samples of Douglas Fir. *Silv. Fenn.*, **16**, 115–121.
- Shea, K. L. 1987. Effects of population structure and cone production on outcrossing rates in Engelmann Spruce and Sub-Alpine Fir. *Evolution*, **41**(1), 124–136.
- Sproule, A. T., & Dancik, B. P. 1996. The mating system of Black Spruce in north-central Alberta, Canada. *Silv. Genet.*, **45**(2-3), 159–164.
- Sun, M., Wong, K. C., & Lee, J. S. Y. 1998. Reproductive biology and population genetic structure of *Kandelia candel* (Rhizophoraceae), a viviparous mangrove species. *Am. J. Bot.*, **85**(11), 1631–1637.
- Williams, C. F., Ruvinsky, J., Scott, P. E., & Hews, D. K. 2001. Pollination, breeding system, and genetic structure in two sympatric *Delphinium* (Ranunculaceae) species. *Am. J. Bot.*, **88**(9), 1623–1633.
- Xie, C. Y., Dancik, B. P., & Yeh, F. C. 1991. The mating system in natural populations of *Thuja orientalis*. *Can. J. For. Res.*, **21**(3), 333–339.
- Young, A. G., Hill, J. H., Murray, B. G., & Peakall, R. 2002. Breeding system, genetic diversity and clonal structure in the sub-alpine forb *Rutidosis leiolepis* F. Muell. (Asteraceae). *Biol. Cons.*, **106**(1), 71–78.