Table 4. Comparison of the thermodynamic parameters obtained for residues of Groups 1, 2, and 3 as defined in Tables 1 and 2

	Forward		Reverse		Equilibrium		Barrier ∆G <sup>+</sup> at 22°C, kJ/mol	
Group	ΔH <sup>+</sup> , kJ/mol	ΔS <sup>+</sup> , J/mol K	ΔH <sup>+</sup> , kJ/mol	ΔS <sup>+</sup> , J/mol K	ΔH, kJ/mol	ΔS, J/mol K	Forward	Reverse
1	$75 \pm 5$	27 ± 15	$70 \pm 7$	$50 \pm 22$	5 ± 5	$-23 \pm 16$	$66.7 \pm 0.1$	$54.8 \pm 0.1$
2	67 ± 2	17 ± 8	$60 \pm 4$	8 ± 12	7 ± 4	9 ± 12	$62.3 \pm 0.1$	$57.9 \pm 0.1$
3	45 ± 4	$-49 \pm 13$	60 ± 1	17 ± 5	$-15 \pm 4$	$-66 \pm 13$	$59.8 \pm 0.1$	$55.5 \pm 0.1$

Relaxation dispersion data were fit directly to a model where  $k_a$  and  $k_b$  were constrained according to the relation  $k_{a/b} = \frac{k_B T}{h} \exp\left(-\Delta G_{a/b}^+ / RT\right)$ . Chemical shift differences between states a and b,  $\Delta \varpi$ , were allowed to vary with temperature. Note that in Tables 1 and 2, parameters were obtained from fits where rates were not constrained to a specific functional form and where temperature-independent  $\Delta \varpi$  values were assumed.