Rapid Communicafion

Ecotype-Specific Expression of a Flowering Mutant Phenotype in *Arabidopsis thaljana'*

Sherrie L. Sanda and Richard M. Amasino*

Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706-1 569

The majority of mutations that delay flowering in Arabidopsis *tbabna* **have been identified in studies of the landsberg erecfa (ler) ecotype. In this report we describe a gene (referred to as** *FLD)* **that, when mutated, delays flowering in the Columbia ecotype but has a minimal phenotype in the Ler genetic background. The late-flowering phenotype of fld mutants requires a non-Ler allele of another gene involved in the control of flowering time, Flowering** *Locus* **C. fldmutants retain a photoperiod response, and the flowering time of fld mutants can be reduced by cold treatment and low red/far-red light ratios.**

The initiation of flowering is a complex process that, in many plant species, is regulated by a combination of developmental programs and responses to environmental signals, such as temperature and daylength (Lang, 1965; Vince-Prue, 1975). The physiology and genetics of flowering have been extensively studied in Arabidopsis thaliana. Flowering in Arabidopsis is promoted by long days, and in many genotypes, exposure to low temperatures also promotes flowering (vernalization). The response to low temperature and long days is quantitative; in the absence of these environmental signals most genotypes of Arabidopsis will eventually flower (Napp-Zinn, 1985).

Genes involved in the initiation of flowering have been identified by two approaches. One approach is to cross Arabidopsis ecotypes that exhibit different flowering behavior. These analyses have revealed that the major difference in flowering time among many ecotypes resides at the FRI locus (Napp-Zinn, 1985; Burn et al., 1993; Lee et al., 1993; Clarke and Dean, 1994). Plants containing *FRI* flower later under short-day than long-day photoperiods, and the late-flowering phenotype is reduced by cold treatment. Another approach is to isolate mutants in which the initiation of flowering is either delayed (late-flowering mutants) or accelerated (early-flowering mutants) (for review, see Martinez-Zapater et al., 1994). The late-flowering mutants can be further classified into at least two groups, depending on their response to photoperiod and low temperature (Martinez-Zapater et al., 1994). One group retains a photoperiod and vernalization response, i.e. flowering is more delayed in short days than in long days and the late-flowering phenotype can be reduced by low temperature. The other group does not exhibit a large difference in flowering time in short days compared to long days, and the vernalization response is reduced.

The majority of late-flowering mutations have been identified and characterized in the Ler ecotype (Koornneef et al., 1991). Redei (1962) identified three late-flowering mutants in the Col ecotype. One of the mutations, which identified the LD locus, has not been found among the late-flowering mutants generated in Ler (Koornneef et al., 1991), because Ler has been shown to contain an allele of the FLC gene that suppresses the late-flowering phenotype of FRI and Id mutations (Koornneef et al., 1994; Lee et al., 1994). The FLC alleles of most ecotypes, such as Col, appear to act as flowering inhibitors, whereas the Ler allele of FLC does not mediate this inhibition (Lee et al., 1994). The role of LD may be to counteract this FLC-mediated inhibition of flowering, and thus ld mutations in Ler have little effect on flowering time.

In this report, a novel late-flowering mutation is described, which, similar to Id, requires a non-Ler allele of FLC to cause a late-flowering phenotype. These results indicate that genetic studies in the Ler ecotype do not reveal the full range of genes that regulate flowering in most ecotypes of Arabidopsis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Lines

The late-flowering fld mutant was selected from ethyl methanesulfonate-mutagenized plants of the Col ecotype. The original fld mutant was backcrossed to Col, and several lines derived from late-flowering plants in the $F₂$ generation were selected for study. FLC-Col introgressed into Ler (the FLC-Col line) was described by Lee et al. (1994; table I, line 11) and was provided by M. Koomneef (Department of Genetics, Wageningen Agricultural University, Wageningen, The Netherlands).

 1 This work was supported by grants from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (95-37100-1614) and the National Science Foundation (9318481) to R.M.A. and by the College of Agricultura1 and Life Sciences.

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail amasino@biochem.wisc.edu; fax 1-608 -262-3453.

Abbreviations: Col, Columbia; FLC, *Flowering Locus C;* FLD, *Flowering Locus D; FRI, FRIGIDA; Ler,* Landsberg erecta; LD, *LUMINIDEPENDENS.*

Growth Conditions

Unless otherwise stated, plants were grown as described by Lee et al. (1993) with continuous illumination of approximately 100 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ of cool-white fluorescent light at 22 to 23°C. Temperature and light conditions for the photoperiod and vernalization treatments were as described by Lee and Amasino (1995). The flowering response was measured as the number of leaves in the rosette when the flowering stalk reached 1 cm in height as previously described (Lee et al., 1994).

Molecular Techniques

The map position of FLD and the allele at FLC were determined by microsatellite analysis according to the method of Bell and Ecker (1994), except that 20 μ L of PCR product were prepared and 35 PCR cycles were used. FLC is closely linked to the nga249 locus (Lee et al., 1994). At least one marker on each chromosome arm (except for the top arm of chromosome 2) was tested for segregation with the late-flowering phenotype to determine the map location of FLD. PCR products were visualized by staining with ethidium bromide after electrophoresis in a 7% nondenaturing acrylamide gel.

RESULTS

Screening for New Flowering-Time Mutations

The M₂ progeny of ethyl methanesulfonate-mutagenized plants of the Col ecotype were screened for plants that produced a greater number of rosette leaves than Col before initiating flowering. One of the late-flowering mutations isolated from this screen, which appeared to identify a novel flowering locus based on its map location, was chosen for further study (Fig. 1). This locus was designated FLD.

Genetic Characterization and Mapping of *fid*

When the *fid* mutant was crossed to wild-type Col, the resulting F, plants were slightly later flowering than wildtype Col (Table I). Self-pollinated F_1 plants produced F_2 populations that segregated for early- and late-flowering plants in a ratio of 3:1 (Table II). Thus, the *fid* mutation

Figure 1. The phenotype of the *fid* mutation in the Col background. A, Col wild type. B, *fid* mutant line (after two backcrosses to Col).

Table I. *Rosette leaf number and days to flowering of the fid mutant in the Col background*

The averages and sps of the values from 10 plants of each genotype are presented. Plants were grown in continuous light. The *fid* mutant plants used in this study had been backcrossed once to wild-type Col.

behaves as a single, moderately recessive trait.

Microsatellite analysis (Bell and Ecker, 1994) of plants from the F2 progeny of crosses between *fid* (in Col) and *Ler* were used to obtain the genetic map location of *fid.* The late-flowering phenotype co-segregated with Col DNA at two chromosomal locations, one near the top of chromosome 5 and the other on the upper arm of chromosome 3. The chromosome 5 locus was closely linked to FLC (see below). The chromosome 3 locus did not map near any known flowering-time gene. This locus was required in a homozygous state to cause late flowering and was designated FLD. The relationship of FLD to three microsatellite markers is shown in Figure 2.

The Late-Flowering Phenotype of *fid* **Requires the Col Allele of FLC**

To determine whether the *fid* mutant phenotype was dependent on the Col allele of FLC (FLC -Col), the $F₂$ population resulting from the cross of *the fid* mutant to *Ler* was analyzed. In this population, three-sixteenths of the progeny were late flowering (Table II; Fig. 3). The genotype at FLC was assessed by microsatellite analysis of the tightly linked marker nga249 (Lee et al., 1994). All late-flowering plants in this population contained at least one copy of the Col allele of FLC. The late-flowering plants that were heterozygous for FLC-Col flowered before producing 40 leaves, whereas the majority of the late-flowering plants homozygous for FLC-Col flowered after producing more than 40 leaves (Fig. 3). *The fid* mutation, therefore, requires FLC-Col to produce a late-flowering phenotype, and the effect of FLC-Col is dosage dependent.

The FLC dependence of the mutant phenotype was also examined by crossing *the fid* mutant in Col to a line of *Ler* containing FLC-Col (FLC-Col line; Lee et al., 1994). The $F₂$ population resulting from this cross produced early- and late-flowering plants segregating in a ratio of 3:1 as expected if the late-flowering trait in this cross

Table II. Segregation data and χ^2 analysis of the F_2 generations of *fid mutants crossed to Col, Ler, and* a *Ler line containing the FLC allele from Col (FLC-Col).*

Cross	Early Flowering	Late Flowering	Expected Ratio	χ^2
fld \times Col F ₂	57	26	3:1	1.8
fld \times Ler F_2	85	18	13:3	0.11
$f/d \times FLC$ -Col F ₂	122	38	3:1	0.13

Figure 2. Map location of *fid on* chromosome 3. Distances shown with brackets were derived from the recombinant inbred lines (Lister and Dean, 1995). Distances shown with arrows indicate the recombination percentages between the microsatellite markers and late flowering. From a total of 146 plants, the numbers of crossing over events detected for the markers nga126, nga162, and nga172 were 11, 15, and 43, respectively, *fin, FLD.*

were due to a single locus (Table II), confirming that the *fid* mutation requires FLC-Col to create a strong, lateflowering phenotype.

To analyze the effect of *the fid* mutation in the Ler genetic background, four plants homozygous for *both fid* and FLC-Ler were identified based on flanking microsatellite markers in an $F₂$ population resulting from the second backcross of *fid* to *Ler.* The genotypes of these plants were confirmed by test crosses. The self-pollinated progeny of these lines flowered only slightly later than *Ler* (Table III; Fig. 4). Thus,

Rosette Leaf Number

Figure 3. Frequency distribution of rosette leaf number in a segregating population from a cross between *fid* (Col background) and Ler. The arrow marks the division between early- and late-flowering plants. The *FLC* genotypes of the early-flowering plants were not determined (dotted columns). Among the late-flowering plants, those that were homozygous for the FLC allele from Col are shown as filled columns, and FLC heterozygotes (FLC-Col/FLC-Ler) are shown as open columns. The horizontal bars represents the leaf number distributions of the parental lines *fid* (filled bar) and Ler (open bar) and the F_1 (striped bar).

Table III. *Rosette leaf number and days to flowering of the fid mutation in the Ler background*

The averages and SDs of the values from eight plants are presented. Plants were grown in continuous light. The *fid* mutant plants used in this study had been backcrossed once to wild-type *Ler.*

the *fid* mutation in *Ler* produces a minimal alteration of flowering time.

Effect of Light Quality and Vernalization on the *fid* **Mutant Phenotype**

The flowering response of *fid* to photoperiod, light quality, and vernalization was examined (Fig. 5). *The fid* mutant flowered later than did wild type under long- and shortday photoperiods. However, *fid* mutant plants were still photoperiod responsive; the mutants flowered considerably later under short-day conditions than under long-day conditions. Cold treatment greatly reduced time to flowering in *fid* mutants under both photoperiods. Far-red light supplementation also reduced the number of leaves produced before flowering *in fid* mutants, and the reduction in flowering time was proportional to the amount of far-red light.

DISCUSSION

In this paper we report a novel flowering time gene, FLD, located on chromosome 3. Mutations in *fid* cause a strong late-flowering phenotype in the Col ecotype. The *fid* mutation joins an environmental response class that includes *FRI* and mutations in *Id, fca, fy, fve,* and *fpa* (Koornneef et al., 1991; Bagnall, 1993; Martinez-Zapater et al., 1994). This class is late flowering under long-day photoperiods and even later flowering under short-day photoperiods. Thus, mutants in this class exhibit a photoperiod response. As is the case for other mutants in this class (Bagnall, 1993), flowering time in *fid* mutant plants is reduced by cold treatment and low red/far-red light ratios. Another characteristic of this response class is that the late-flowering

Figure 4. Phenotype of *fid* in the Ler background. A, Ler wild type. B, *fid* mutant line with the Ler allele of *FLC* after two backcrosses to Ler. C, fld mutant line with the Col allele of *FLC* after two backcrosses to Ler.

Figure 5. Average rosette leaf number of *fld* mutant plants under different environmental conditions. Columbia (open columns) and *f/d* mutants (filled columns) were grown under long-day (LD) photoperiods with varying ratios of red/far-red light, with short-day (SD) photoperiods, and after cold treatment. Long-day photoperiods consisted *of* 20 h of light with a red/far-red ratio of 4.6, 1.3, and 0.8 (Lee and Amasino, 1995). The short-day photoperiod consisted of 8 h of light with a red/far-red ratio of 1.3. Plants were cold treated for 40 d in short days as described by Lee et al. (1994) and then grown under long-day (LDV) or short-day (SDV) photoperiods with a red/far-red light ratio *of* **1.3.** The *fld* mutant plants were derived from one backcross of the original mutant to Col.

phenotype is strongly enhanced when these mutants are combined with a *non-Ler* FLC allele such as *FLC* from Col (Sanda and Amasino, 1996). The fld mutation also exhibits this interaction with FLC. Similar to FRI and *ld* (Lee et al., 1994), the late-flowering phenotype of *fld* is greatly increased by the presence of FLC-Col. This effect of FLC on the fld phenotype is dosage dependent; maximum late flowering of fld mutants requires FLC-Col in a homozygous state, although a single copy of FLC-Col causes a substantia1 delay of flowering in *fld* mutants.

Mutations in the LD and FLD genes have not been identified in genetic analyses of flowering time in Ler because the late-flowering phenotype of these mutations is dependent on a non-Ler allele of FLC. The function of FLD, as suggested for LD (Lee et al., 1994), may be to counteract the inhibition of FLC alleles, which act as flowering inhibitors. Because the Ler allele of FLC does not act to inhibit flowering, fld mutations in the Ler background have little effect. We are screening for additional genes involved in the promotion of flowering for which the late-flowering mutant phenotype depends on a non-Ler allele of FLC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Maarten Koornneef for providing the FLC-Col line, Joe King for help with the mutant screen, and Todd Naumann for assistance with the microsatellite analysis.

Received December 18, 1995; accepted March 7, 1996. Copyright Clearance Center: 0032-0889/ 96/ 111 / 0641 / 04.

LITERATURE ClTED

- **Bagnall D** (1993) Light quality and vernalization interact in controlling late flowering in *Arnbidopsis* ecotypes and mutants. Ann Bot **71:** 75-83
- **Bell CJ, Ecker JR** (1994) Assignment of 30 microsatellite loci to the linkage map of Arabidopsis. Genomics 19: 137-144
- **Burn JE, Smyth DR, Peacock WJ, Dennis ES** (1993) Genes conferring late flowering in *Arabidopsis thaliana.* Genetica **90:** 147-155
- **Clarke JH, Dean C** (1994) Mapping *FRZ,* a locus controlling flowering time and vernalization response in *Arabidopsis thaliana.* Mo1 Gen Genet **242:** 81-89
- **Koornneef M, Blankestijn-de VH, Hanhart C, Soppe W, Peeters T** (1994) The phenotype of some late-flowering mutants is enhanced by a Iocus on chromosome 5 that is not effective in the Landsberg *erecta* wild-type. Plant J **6:** 911-919
- **Koornneef M, Hanhart CJ, van der Veen JH** (1991) A genetic and physiological analysis of late flowering mutants in *Arabidopsis tkaliana.* Mo1 Gen Genet **229:** 57-66
- **Lang A** (1965) Physiology of flower initiation. *In* W Ruhland, ed, Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 1371-1536
- **Lee I, Amasino RM** (1995) Effect of vernalization, photoperiod, and light quality on the flowering phenotype of Arabidopsis plants containing the *FRIGIDA* gene. Plant Physiol **108:** 157-162
- **Lee 1, Bleecker A, Amasino R** *(1993)* Analysis of naturally occurring late flowering in *Arabidopsis thaliana.* Mo1 Gen Genet **237:** 171-176
- **Lee I, Michaels SD, Masshardt AS, Amasino RM** (1994) The lateflowering phenotype of *FRIGIDA* and *LUMINIDEPENDENS* is suppressed in the Landsberg *erecta* strain of Arabidopsis. Plant J **6:** 903-909
- **Lister C, Dean C** (1995) Lister and Dean RI Map. Weeds World **2(ii):** 11-18
- **Martinez-Zapater JM, Coupland** *G,* **Dean C, Koornneef M** (1994) The transition to flowering in *Arabidopsis. In* E Meyerowitz, CR Somerville, eds, *Arabidopsis.* Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, pp 403-433
- **Napp-Zinn K** (1985) *Arabidopsis thaliana. In* AH Halevy, ed, CRC Handbook of Flowering. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp 492-503
- **Redei GP** (1962) Supervital mutants in *Arabidopsis.* Genetics **47:** 443-460
- **Sanda SL, Amasino RM** (1996) Interaction of late-flowering mutaions and *FLC* in *Arabidopsis thaliana.* Mo1 Gen Genet **251:** (in press)
- **Vince-Prue D** (1975) Photoperiodism in Plants. McGraw Hill, London