# **AI Toxicity in Yeast'**

# **A Role for Mg?**

# **Colin W. MacDiarmid and Richard C. Cardner\***

Center for Gene Technology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019, Auckland, New Zealand

We have established conditions in which soluble AI is toxic to the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The major modifications to a standard synthetic medium were lowering the pH and the concentration of Mg ions. Alterations to the **PO,,** Ca, or **K** concentration had little effect on toxicity. Organic acids known to chelate AI reduced its toxicity, suggesting that  $Al^{3+}$  is the toxic Al species. The unique ability of Mg ions to ameliorate AI toxicity led **us** to investigate the hypothesis that AI inhibits Mg uptake by yeast. Yeast cells accumulate Mg, Co, Zn, Ni, and Mn ions via the same transport system (G.F. Fuhrmann, A. Rothstein **119681** Biochim Biophys Acta **163: 325- 330).**  $Al^{3+}$  inhibited the accumulation of  ${}^{57}Co^{2+}$  by yeast cells more effectively than Ca, La, or Mg. In addition, a mutant yeast strain with a defect in divalent cation uptake proved to be more sensitive to AI than a wild-type strain. Taken together, these results suggest that AI may cause **Mg** deficiency in yeast by blocking **Mg** transport. We discuss the relevance of yeast as a model for the study **of** AI toxicity in plant systems.

The cytotoxicity of A1 is well documented in plants (Delhaize and Ryan, 1995; Kochian, 1995). The solubility of A1 is dependent on low pH, and acidic soils often contain high concentrations of the dissolved cation. Plants grown in such soil have reduced root systems and exhibit a variety of nutrient-deficiency symptoms, with a consequent decrease in yield (Luttge and Clarkson, 1992). In many countries with naturally acidic soils, AI toxicity is a major agricultural problem, and hence has been predominantly studied in plant systems. However, the A1 ion is also toxic to microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, and green algae (Foy and Gerloff, 1972; Date and Halliday, 1979; Guida et al., 1991; Zel et al., 1993), and is detrimental to fish in acidic conditions (Baker and Schofield, 1982). A1 has also been associated with severa1 pathological states in humans, including neurological disorders such as Alzheimer's disease (Houeland, 1990) and syndromes related to long-term dialysis treatment (Arieff, 1990). Hence, the cytotoxicity of A1 appears to be a general phenomenon.

 $1$  This research was supported by a grant from the Foundation for Research Science and Technology (AGR 06-052) to the New Zealand Pastoral Agriculture Research Institute. C.W.M. was the recipient of a University of Auckland doctoral scholarship.

Despite the economic and environmental importance of A1 toxicity, there is little definitive information to suggest a mechanism of action for the ion in biological systems. A1 has been reported to interact strongly with a number of organic molecules, including proteins, polynucleotides, lipids, and glycosides (Siegel et al., 1982; MacDonald et al., 1987; Akeson et al., 1989; Allan et al., 1990; Martin, 1992), but many of these experiments were performed in vitro with purified components and the interactions have not been convincingly linked to a toxic effect. Another suggestion is that A1 acts to promote a lesion at the cell membrane, perhaps by interacting with membrane-associated proteins. Recent work on the phosphoinositide signal transduction pathway in plants revealed an interaction between A1 and phospholipase C (Jones and Kochian, 1995), a membraneassociated enzyme involved in signal transduction in yeast and animal cells (Haug et al., 1994). Interaction of A1 with the plasma membrane or membrane-intrinsic proteins could also conceivably alter cation homeostasis. In plants A1 toxicity is modulated by the concentration of other cations in solution, most significantly Ca and Mg (Kinraide and Parker, 1987). A1 toxicity in some plants is associated with decreased uptake and content of some cations (Rengel, 1990; Robinson and Rengel, 1991) and cation-deficiency symptoms (Foy, 1984). There is evidence to suggest that A1 acts directly to inhibit specific membrane proteins responsible for cation uptake in root cell membranes (Rengel and Robinson, 1989; Rengel and Elliott, 1992; Gassmann and Schroeder, 1994; Huang et al., 1996), although it is less clear which of these cation transport processes is physiologically most important to the maintenance of root growth. Despite the large number of hypotheses put forward, there is not yet a definitive mechanism for A1 toxicity.

A better understanding of the molecular basis of A1 toxicity would be facilitated by the isolation of genes that contribute to A1 resistance. A cloned resistance gene would also provide a valuable resource for use in the genetic manipulation of crop species. A1 resistance in plants can be broadly divided into mechanisms of exclusion, in which A1 is prevented from penetrating the symplasm, and mechanisms of tolerance, in which the tissue has the ability to withstand symplastic A1 (Kochian, 1995). Natural variation in resistance between species and genetic analysis of resis-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author; e-mail **r.gardnerQauck1and.ac.nz;** fax 64-9 -373-7416.

Abbreviations: LPM, low pH, low magnesium; YPD, yeast peptone dextrose.

tance in wheat (Delhaize et al., 1993; Delhaize and Ryan, 1995) indicates that single-gene resistance traits do exist in plants. However, the mapping and isolation of genes corresponding to such traits is hampered by the large genome size of most crop plants and could be accelerated by the use of a better-characterized genetic system. Recently, reported work with the model plant *Arabidopsis tkaliana* has demonstrated that the generation of mutants with increased A1 sensitivity is possible (Larsen et al., 1996), although molecular cloning of the genes responsible remains an arduous task.

We have been studying AI toxicity using the yeast *Saccharomyces cereuisiae.* **As** a genetic and physiological model for A1 toxicity in eukaryotes, yeast has several advantages. Yeast and plants both use proton gradients to drive secondary transport systems, and yeast has been extensively used to identify the genetic factors that influence metal toxicity (Mehra and Winge, 1991). Acidic conditions (pH 3.5-5.0) are required for the optimal growth of yeast, making it particularly suited to investigations into the effects of AI. Yeast can also be grown in a defined minimal medium (Sherman, 1991), which can be modified to optimize metal toxicity (Bianchi et al., 1981). In addition, the entire DNA sequence of the yeast genome is now available, facilitating the rapid analysis of cloned traits.

In this paper we describe the development of a stringent selection for the isolation of AI-resistant yeast strains. The selection was achieved by lowering the pH and decreasing the Mg concentration of defined media. We suggest a possible mechanism for the toxicity of AI and other trivalent metals in yeast based on the effects of trivalent metals on the divalent cation transport system and the AI-sensitive phenotype of a previously characterized mutant strain deficient in cation uptake.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Yeast Media**

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was grown on YPD medium or on a synthetic minimal medium, LPM, which is a modification of synthetic dextrose medium (Sherman, 1991). LPM had a low pH (3.5) and a low concentration of  $KH_2PO_4$  (25  $\mu$ M) and MgCl<sub>2</sub> (200  $\mu$ M) unless otherwise indicated. KCl (5 mM) was added to compensate for the reduced K caused by a decreased  $KH_2PO_4$  concentration. To make LPM medium, solutions of the major salts, trace elements, and nutritional supplements were mixed, adjusted to pH 3.5 with dilute HC1, and autoclaved. Carbon sources (Glc or Gal) and vitamins (as a  $500\times$  concentrated solution) were filter-sterilized and added after autoclaving.

### **Solid Medium**

Strains were routinely cultured on YPD medium solidified with 2% Bacto agar (Difco, Detroit, MI). LPM medium was gelled with 1% agarose (type **I1** EEO medium, Sigma). To prevent hydrolysis of agarose solutions at low pH during sterilization, the medium was prepared from separately autoclaved solutions of double-strength salts with amino acids (pH 3.5) and 2% agarose, and then mixed. When required, solutions of  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_{3}$ , GaCl<sub>3</sub>, and LaCl<sub>3</sub> were filter-sterilized and added to the complete medium prior to pouring plates.

## **Growth Curves**

Yeast cultures in synthetic medium at late log phase were harvested by centrifugation, washed twice with distilled water, and resuspended in 1 mL of water. The cell suspension was used to inoculate 25 mL of LPM medium to a final  $A_{600}$  of 0.01 to 0.02. After a 4-h incubation, the Al ion was added as  $Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ , and growth of the cultures was monitored by determining the optical density of 1-mL samples  $(A_{600})$ . For amelioration experiments organic acids were added 5 min before the addition of AI.

#### **Co Uptake Assay**

Co uptake was assayed using the protocol of Conklin et al. (1993) with some modifications. The S288C derivative FY23 (Winston et al., 1995) was grown to saturation in YPD medium, and 100  $\mu$ L was used to inoculate 200 mL of high-PO<sub>4</sub> LPM containing 200  $\mu$ M MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 5 mM  $KH_2PO_4$ , pH 4.0. The culture was harvested at log phase  $(A_{600} = 0.5;$  approximately 14 h of growth), and the cells were washed twice with 50 mL of distilled water, then resuspended in 5 mm Tris-succinate buffer (pH 4.0) at a density of approximately 10<sup>8</sup> cells/mL. One milliliter of cells was incubated for 30 min with *2%* Glc at 25°C to provide metabolic energy for transport (Norris and Kelly, 1977). The incubation was followed by the immediate addition of 0.25  $\mu$ Ci of <sup>57</sup>CoCl<sub>2</sub> (Amersham) with cold CoCl<sub>2</sub>, to give a final concentration of 100  $\mu$ M total Co<sup>2+</sup>. Aliquots of the suspension (150  $\mu$ L) were filtered onto cellulose filters (0.45- $\mu$ m pore size, Millipore), which were immediately washed twice with 10 mL of cold wash buffer (50 mM Tris-succinate buffer, pH 4.0, containing 20 mm  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$  and 1.0 mm CoCl<sub>2</sub>, at 0°C). Nonexchangeable radioactivity on the filters was quantified with a gamma counter (Wizard 1480, Wallac, Gaithersburg, MD). Controls containing no cells showed insignificant binding of the tracer to the filter. For competition experiments metal salts were mixed with the tracer before being added to the cell suspension.

#### **RESULTS**

To ensure the solubility of A1 in the cell culture medium, several modifications were made to the standard synthetic medium (Sherman, 1991). The effect of these changes was monitored by the measurement of growth rates in liquid culture. GSY122 (Wagenbach et al., 1991) (see Table I) was used as a representative laboratory strain for the optimization of A1 toxicity.

### **Crowth of Yeast in Low-PO,, Low-pH Conditions**

When using A1 the pH of the medium should be at 4.2 or below to ensure high activity of the  $Al^{3+}$  ion in solution (Haug, 1984). In the synthetic medium active growth was obtained with an initial pH as low as 3.5. The pH decreased during growth, consistently reaching a level of 2.9 to 3.0 at



**Figure 1).** Effect of Mg concentration on AI toxicity. Duplicate samples of low-pH (3.5) medium containing 25  $\mu$ <sub>M</sub> KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and different MgCl<sub>2</sub> levels (50, 200, or 2000  $\mu$ <sub>M</sub>) were inoculated with washed cells from a culture of CSY122 (grown in synthetic medium and harvested at log phase) to give an initial A<sub>600</sub> of 0.01. Cultures were incubated at 30°C with shaking, and growth was monitored by spectrophotometry (for GSY122, an  $A_{600}$  of 1.0 = 3  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> cells/mL). After 4 h of incubation, AI was added to a final concentration of 50  $\mu$ <sub>M</sub> (open symbols), or was not added (filled symbols). Error bars represent  $\pm$  se  $(n = 3)$ .

the stationary phase (data not shown). Fermentative growth of yeast is known to reduce the medium pH (Sigler et al., 1980), and because this would not be expected to decrease the activity of  $Al^{3+}$  in solution no attempt was made to buffer the medium. In subsequent experiments the initial pH was 3.5, to maximize the activity of  $Al^{3+}$ .

#### **PO, Requirements for Growth**

Al and  $PO_4$  ions have been reported to interact and precipitate in solution, reducing both the soluble AI concentration and the available  $PQ_4^{3-}$  (Koyama et al., 1988). Reduction of the  $PO<sub>4</sub>$  concentration was essential in ensuring AI toxicity in tobacco cell culture experiments (Conner and Meredith, 1985). To determine the minimal  $PO<sub>4</sub>$  requirement of yeast we performed growth assays using GSY122 with a range of  $PO<sub>4</sub>$  concentrations from 0 to 500  $\mu$ M. PO<sub>4</sub> limitation affected the final cell density reached at the stationary phase but did not affect the initial growth rate, and adequate growth was obtained with as little as 25  $\mu$ M PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> (data not shown). To rule out any interaction with Al in solution, the  $PO_4^{3-}$  concentration of the liquid medium was reduced to  $25 \mu m$  unless otherwise stated. In subsequent experiments with modified media, no evidence of any  $PO_4^{3-}$  amelioration of AI toxicity was seen, even with  $PO_4^{3-}$  levels up to 5 mM (data not shown). As a result, for some applications (e.g. in solid medium) the  $PO<sub>4</sub>$  concentration was increased to  $100 \mu$ <sub>M</sub>.

#### **Effect of Cation Concentration on AI Toxicity**

When 200  $\mu$ M Al as Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> was added to log-phase yeast subcultures in a low-pH, low-PO $_4$ , synthetic medium, a decrease in the initial rate of growth was observed relative to controls and the final cell density was reduced by approximately 20%. However, higher levels of A1 (up to 5 mM; data not shown) caused no additional decrease in growth rate or final cell density. This level of growth inhibition was insufficient to provide a selection using physiologically relevant (micromolar) levels of AI. For this reason we decided to modify the synthetic medium further to determine if we could increase A1 toxicity.

Ca and Mg ions are potent ameliorators of AI toxicity, both in whole plant systems (Alva et al., 1986; Kinraide and Parker, 1987) and in plant cell cultures (Conner and Meredith, 1985). We performed experiments to determine the yeast requirement for Ca and Mg, with the aim of reducing divalent cation concentrations if possible. When the Ca content of the medium was reduced to 1  $\mu$ M the growth rate of GSY122 was not significantly affected, indicating that this strain has a very low Ca requirement (data not shown). This is consistent with the results of Iida et al. (1990), who found that the addition of Ca chelators and Ca ionophores was required to arrest yeast growth in Cadeficient medium. Reducing the Ca concentration to  $1 \mu$ M (in a medium with 2.0 mm  $Mg$ ) did not increase Al toxicity (data not shown).

In contrast, growth of GSY122 was dependent on available Mg. The lowest concentration of Mg that did not affect the growth rate was 200  $\mu$ <sub>M</sub> (Fig. 1). Moreover, Al toxicity significantly increased with decreasing Mg concentration. In a medium with 200  $\mu$ M Mg, the addition of 50  $\mu$ M Al strongly inhibited growth (Fig. 1). Increasing the Mg concentration to 500  $\mu$ <sub>M</sub> or above efficiently ameliorated the Al toxicity, so we lowered the Mg concentration of the synthetic medium to 200  $\mu$ <sub>M</sub> for subsequent experiments. At this Mg concentration, A1 was strongly inhibitory to growth at concentrations of 50 to 250  $\mu$ M (Fig. 2). The effect of lowered Ca concentration on A1 toxicity was re-determined in a



**Figure 2.** Effect of AI on growth in LPM medium. A low-pH (3.5) medium containing 25  $\mu$ <sub>M</sub> KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> and 200  $\mu$ M MgCl<sub>2</sub> was inoculated with GSY122 as before (see Fig. 1). Cultures were incubated for 4 h, and **AI** was added to give the final concentrations shown (0-250  $\mu$ <sub>*M*</sub>). Error bars represent  $\pm$  SE  $(n = 3)$ .





medium with 200  $\mu$ M Mg, and was found to be negligible (data not shown).

K is another cation that ameliorates A1 toxicity in plants, albeit more weakly than Mg and Ca (Kinraide and Parker, 1987). GSY122 was very sensitive to K concentration, and growth was strongly reduced at less than 2.5 mm K. However, lowering the K concentration of the medium did not significantly increase A1 toxicity (data not shown).

#### **AI Selection on Solid Medium**

The addition of A1 to LPM gelled with agarose (1%) provided a selection sufficiently stringent for routine use. The use of agarose was necessary due to the high Mg content of commercial agar preparations (data not shown). Severa1 yeast strains, including CG379, SH2332, FY23, and CYP520 (Table I), were tested for sensitivity to A1 in solid LPM medium. Although some differences in sensitivity were seen, growth of all of the strains was strongly inhibited by Al concentrations in the range of 100 to 200  $\mu$ M (data not shown; see Fig. 4 for example).

## **Effect of Organic Acids on AI Toxicity**

A variety of substances have been shown to chelate A1 in vitro, including the carboxylic acids malic and citric acid (Jackson, 1982). Strong Al-acid complexes are nontoxic to cells, and the secretion of organic acids from roots has been suggested as a possible mechanism for A1 exclusion in plants (Suhayda and Haug, 1986; Miyasaka et al., 1991; Delhaize et al., 1993). We examined the effect of citric and malic acids on A1 toxicity in LPM. As shown in Figure 3A, citric acid in 10-fold excess over A1 allowed growth almost to control levels. Malic acid was less effective, but still significantly decreased toxicity at 100-fold excess over A1 (Fig. **3B).** 

## **Toxicity of Trivalent Cations in LPM**

We were interested to see if the lowered Mg concentration in LPM affected the toxicity of other trivalent metal cations. The growth of four different yeast strains was compared on low pH medium with added Al, Ga, or La and two different levels of Mg (2.0 mm and 100  $\mu$ m; Fig. 4). For a11 four strains the toxicity of A1 and Ga but not La was modulated by the Mg concentration; compare the overall growth and colony size in the upper and lower plates of Figure 4. Note that for the two most tolerant strains, CG379 (C) and GSY122 (A), the Ga sensitivity was manifested as differences in colony size. Higher levels of Ga gave a total growth dependence on the Mg concentration similar to that seen for the two more sensitive strains shown in Figure 4 (data not shown). In the LPM medium the trivalent metals were toxic in the order  $Al > Ga > La$ .

#### **Soluble AI lnhibits Divalent Cation Uptake in Yeast**

Since A1 toxicity in yeast appeared to be related to Mg availability, we investigated the effect of A1 on the yeast divalent cation transport system using  ${}^{57}Co^{2+}$  as a tracer.



**Figure 3.** Amelioration of AI toxicity by organic acids. LPM medium (200  $\mu$ M Mg) was inoculated with GSY122 (see Fig. 1). After 4 h of growth, citric acid **(A),** malic acid **(B),** and AI were added to give the indicated concentrations. Error bars represent  $\pm$  SE ( $n = 3$ ) and are smaller than the data points.



**2 mM MgCI;**

**Figure 4.** Comparative toxicity of trivalent metals to yeast. LPM plates (100  $\mu$ M PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 3.8) contained either 2 mM or 100  $\mu$ M MgCl<sub>2</sub> as indicated. Trivalent metal solutions were added to a final concentration of 100  $\mu$ m. 1, No metal; 2, Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>; 3, GaCl<sub>3</sub>; and 4, LaCl<sub>3</sub>. Strains were incubated at 30°C for 3 d. A, GSY122; B, FY23; C, CG379; and D, SH2332.

Divalent cations such as Mg, Co, and Mn are transported into the yeast cell by the same energy-dependent system, the activity of which is coupled to Glc availability (Fuhrmann and Rothstein, 1968; Norris and Kelly, 1977; Borst-Pauwels, 1981). Cells of the FY23 strain were grown to mid-log phase, harvested, and resuspended in 5 mm Trissuccinate buffer, pH 4.0. The low pH of the buffer ensured the solubility of Al. Although succinate as a dicarboxylate anion could potentially bind Al, Al-succinate complexes are relatively weak compared with Al-citrate complexes (Jackson, 1982; Jackson and Cosgrove, 1982). With 100  $\mu$ M Co uptake was very rapid and essentially complete after 35 min (Fig. 5A). When Al was added to the cells along with the Co tracer, uptake was strongly inhibited. Al lowered both the initial rate of uptake and the final Co content of the yeast cells.

Using the same assay we compared Co uptake in the presence of  $Al^{3+}$ ,  $Ga^{3+}$ ,  $La^{3+}$ , and  $Mg^{2+}$  ions (Fig. 5B). La and Mg had previously been reported to inhibit divalent cation uptake in yeast (Norris and Kelly, 1977; Okorokov et al., 1977), whereas Ga is toxic in LPM medium (Fig. 4 and data not shown). When values for final uptake (after *2* h) were compared Al was the most effective inhibitor, followed by Ga (Fig. 5B). Although La reduced the initial rate of uptake as effectively as Ga, final values of Co accumu-



**Figure 5.** Effect of Al and other metal ions on Co uptake. Error bars represent  $\pm$ se ( $n = 4$ ). A, Effect of Al concentration on Co uptake. Time course of  $57Co^{2+}$  uptake by strain FY23 is shown without Al and with the addition of  $Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>$ , to give 10, 25, 50, and 100  $\mu$ M final  $Al^{3+}$  concentration. B,  ${}^{57}Co^{2+}$  uptake in the presence of different metal ions. Conditions were as before with no metal added and with 12.5  $\mu$ M Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, 25  $\mu$ M GaCl<sub>3</sub>, 25  $\mu$ M LaCl<sub>3</sub>, and 25  $\mu$ M  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ .

lated were comparable with inhibition by Mg and significantly higher than with Ga.

## **Analysis of the** *COTZ* **Mutant under AI Stress**

In yeast the *COT2 (GRRZ)* gene product controls the activity of the divalent cation transport system by coupling its regulation to the Glc growth signal (Conklin et al., 1993). When grown in Glc *cot2* mutant strains exhibited reduced Co uptake (approximately 10% of wild-type levels; Conklin et al., 1993). Growth of a *cot2::LEU2* (CYP522) strain and an isogenic wild-type strain (CYP520) were compared in LPM medium with 2% Glc. Without A1 CYP522 grew more slowly than CYP520 during the log phase (data not shown). This effect of the *cot2/grrl* mutation on growth rate in Glc media is well documented, and is believed to result from a decreased capacity for Glc transport (Conklin et al., 1993; Vallier et al., 1994). When the two strains were grown using Gal as the carbon source, there was little difference in growth rate, because Gal uptake is unaffected by the *cot2*  mutation (Vallier et al., 1994). Therefore, we compared the effect of A1 on growth of the two strains in Gal medium. The results showed that the *cot2* strain CYP522 was significantly more affected by A1 than was the wild type (Fig. 6). The A1 sensitivity of CYP522 was also seen when the strains were compared on a solid LPM plus A1 medium with Gal (data not shown). Since the *cot2* mutation probably affects the uptake of Mg we also examined the growth of both strains in a Mg-deficient medium. Trace amounts of A1 could be toxic to yeast at very low Mg concentrations, so  $50 \mu$ <sub>M</sub> citric acid was added to the medium to chelate any AI present. No consistent difference in growth rate was seen between the two strains at Mg concentrations as low as 5 to 10  $\mu$ M (data not shown).

# **Effect of AI Stress and Lowered Mg on the Morphology of**  *cot2* **Cells**

The *cot2* mutation has previously been reported to be associated with altered budding and morphology of yeast



**Figure 6.** Effect of the *cot2* mutation on growth under AI stress. Aliquots (25 mL) of LPM medium (200  $\mu$ M Mg, 2% Gal, pH 3.8) were inoculated with log-phase cells of either CYP520 *(COT2)* or CYP522 *(cot2)* to give an initial  $A_{600}$  of 0.01. After 4 h of growth, Al was added (50  $\mu$ m). After 40 h of growth at 30°C,  $A_{600}$  of the cultures was recorded. Error bars represent  $\pm$ se ( $n = 3$ ).

cells (Conklin et al., 1993). We also observed a budding defect when the *cot2* strain CYP522 was grown in YPD or LPM media (200  $\mu$ M Mg) (Fig. 7B). A much more severe morphological defect was noted when the same strain was grown under A1 stress (Fig. 7H). The defect appeared to result from unipolar bud elongation and incomplete cell division, and was more extreme than that previously reported to result from growth of *cot2* strains on sporulation medium (Conklin et al., 1993). Addition of equimolar quantities of citrate to LPM plus A1 medium restored growth and reduced the filamentous morphology of the CYP522 strain (data not shown), indicating that the growth defect was related to A1 toxicity. Filamentous growth was not seen when the wild-type strain was treated with Al; instead the cells became highly vacuolated (Fig. 7G), appeared to have weak cell walls, and exhibited swelling and bursting when exposed to hypotonic solutions. The effects of A1 on the morphology of both strains could be duplicated by growth in citrate-containing medium with a low Mg concentration  $(0 \mu M)$  (Fig. 7, E and F). For CYP522 the distortion became progressively more extreme as Mg concentrations decreased (compare 200  $\mu$ M with 25  $\mu$ M and no  $MgCl<sub>2</sub>$ ; Fig. 7, B, D, and F). The effects of Mg starvation and A1 treatment on morphology and budding in the CYP strains could not be duplicated by the reduction of  $PO<sub>4</sub>$ , Ca, or K concentrations in the medium (data not shown).

# **DlSCUSSlON**

## **Development of an AI Selection for Yeast**

The key factor in obtaining Al-selective conditions in synthetic medium appears to be the Mg concentration, which was reduced to 200  $\mu$ M to obtain useful growth inhibition at micromolar AI levels. Reduction of the pH of the medium to 3.5 ensured the solubility of Al, and, because yeast are tolerant to low pH and actually reduce their pH during growth, A1 can be reliably maintained in solution without the use of buffers, which may chelate Al. The  $PO_4^{3-}$  content of LPM was set at a low level, which, together with the low pH of the medium, effectively eliminated any amelioration of Al toxicity by  $PO_4^{3-}$  ions.

#### **Mechanism of AI Toxicity in Yeast**

We hypothesize that in yeast **AI** acts to prevent the uptake of Mg, and that A1 toxicity in LPM medium results from Mg deficiency for the following reasons: (a) AI is less toxic when more Mg is available. This effect was specific to Mg, since variation in Ca, K, and  $PO_4^{3-}$  concentrations had little effect on A1 toxicity. (b) A1 effectively blocked the uptake of Co into yeast cells, and hence probably blocks uptake of Mg via inhibition of the nonspecific divalent cation transport system. Although previous studies reported that the transport system has a high affinity for Mg, A1 was far more effective than Mg as an inhibitor of Co uptake. (c) The *cot2* mutation in yeast, which decreases the activity of the divalent cation uptake system, also results in increased sensitivity to AI. (d) In both wild-type and *cot2*  strains of yeast, AI toxicity and Mg deficiency produced similar morphological changes in growing cells.



**Figure** 7. Morphology of the CYP522 strain changes under Al stress and Mg deficiency. Washed cells of CYP520 and CYP522 harvested from cultures at the exponential phase were subcultured into LPM and 2% Gal media, then grown for 24 h under the conditions given and examined using a phase-contrast microscope (model IMT-2, Olympus). The left column shows CYP520 (CO72); the right column shows CYP522 (cot2). A and B, LPM with 200  $\mu$ M MgCl<sub>2</sub>. C and D, LPM with 25  $\mu$ M MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 25  $\mu$ M citrate (pH 3.8). E and F, LPM with no MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 25  $\mu$ M citrate. G and H, LPM with 200  $\mu$ M Mg and 100  $\mu$ M Al (added after 4 h of growth).

We propose that the effect of Al on Mg transport is most likely to result from competitive inhibition, although an indirect effect of Al on cation influx cannot be excluded. One explanation for the effectiveness of Al as an inhibitor of Mg uptake in yeast may lie in the similar size of hydrated Al and Mg ions (Martin, 1992). It has

been previously suggested that this size similarity may allow the substitution of Al for divalent cations at Mgbinding sites of enzymes and structural proteins (e.g. tubulin [MacDonald et al., 1987]). An analogous reaction could occur if trivalent Al ions act as a substrate for the Mg transporter in yeast. However, we cannot say if Al blocks the transporter by irreversibly binding to the active site (and is not itself a substrate for transport), or simply competes more effectively than Mg for the transporter. Genetic evidence obtained using the Al selection may clarify this issue, as may further kinetic studies on the yeast transport system.

# **Toxic Action of Other Trivalent Ions**

The relative effectiveness of three trivalent metals as inhibitors of Co accumulation corresponded to their toxicity in LPM medium. Like Al toxicity, Ga toxicity was affected by Mg availability. Ga also strongly reduced the final Co uptake after 2 h of incubation (Fig. 5B). These results are consistent with the idea that Ga also inhibits Mg transport. In contrast, although La effectively reduced the initial rate of Co uptake, the ion was a relatively ineffective inhibitor of Co accumulation, and La toxicity was not significantly affected by Mg concentration. The La ion is more similar in size to Ca than Mg, and may affect yeast growth via a different physiological mechanism.

## **Yeast as a Model for Al Toxicity in Plants**

Although these results were obtained using yeast, several reports implicate the inhibition of Mg transport as a possible mechanism of Al action in plant systems. Comprehensive surveys of nutrient changes in plants in response to long-term Al treatment have shown that there are no consistent changes in dicotyledonous species (Wheeler et al., 1992c; Wheeler and Dodd, 1995), but that reductions in Mg content are the major feature of Al treatment in both cereals (Clark, 1977; Tan and Keltjens, 1990; Tan et al., 1991; Wheeler et al., 1992a) and grass species (Wheeler et al., 1992b). Greater Mg uptake has been associated with Al resistance in some plants (Foy, 1984; Huang and Grunes, 1992). Rengel and Robinson (1989) showed that Al competitively inhibited Mg uptake and concluded that this inhibition was most likely due to binding of Al to Mgspecific sites on transport proteins, rather than to Alinduced damage to the root system. Inhibition of Mg uptake occurred within 30 min, well before inhibition of root growth occurred, suggesting that the block of uptake could be the primary cause of Al toxicity (Robinson and Rengel, 1991).

Despite this indicative evidence, the inhibition of Mg uptake has not been a favored option in the literature as a potential mechanism for Al toxicity (see Kochian, 1995). One likely reason is that Al inhibition of root elongation can be demonstrated in a medium containing only  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$ (see Kinraide and Parker, 1987; Ryan et al., 1994). This observation, combined with recent evidence that Al does not act by preventing Ca uptake (Ryan et al., 1994; see review by Kochian, 1995), makes it unlikely that Al inhibits

root elongation in plants by simply restricting cation uptake. However, it is clear that longer-term Mg deficiency may contribute to the overall syndrome of AI toxicity in cereals and grasses. Our results in yeast lead us to suggest a critica1 reassessment of the hypothesis that AI blocks Mg transport.

This work has revealed a difference in cation amelioration of AI toxicity between yeast and plants. In plants, cations in general and Ca ions in particular are effective ameliorators of A1 toxicity (Kinraide and Parker, 1987; Ryan et al., 1994). Kinraide et al. (1994) suggested that this occurs by reducing the negative charge at the membrane surface and so decreasing the local activity of  $Al^{3+}$ . In contrast, we found that Ca had little effect on AI toxicity in yeast. However, yeast synthetic medium is of relatively high ionic strength (total cation concentration 47 mm) compared with those commonly used for assays of A1 toxicity and cation amelioration in whole plants (e.g.  $0.4-2$  mm) (Kinraide et al., 1994). Although we used a wide range of Ca concentrations in our experiments (0-2 mM), it is possible that the high cation concentration of the medium may have masked any effect of Ca on AI toxicity. If the inability of Ca ions to ameliorate A1 toxicity in yeast is a genuine effect, it could be attributable to variations in the ionbinding capacity of plant and yeast cell walls or to differences in the surface charge and composition of the plasma membrane.

Regardless of the mechanism of toxicity in yeast, the availability of AI-selective media for yeast provides a powerful tool for the genetic analysis of A1 toxicity and resistance in both yeast and plants. Modified synthetic media have been used in our laboratory to isolate yeast mutants sensitive to AI (E. Schott and R. Gardner, unpublished results) and to identify yeast genes that provide AI resistance when overexpressed (C. MacDiarmid and R. Gardner, unpublished results). We also intend to search for plant AI resistance genes, using the heterologous expression of plant cDNA clones in a wild-type yeast strain (see Kushnir et al., 1995). A good candidate for study may be the *altl* locus from wheat, which is associated with the Al-triggered release of malate from root tips (Delhaize et al., 1993; Delhaize and Ryan, 1995). Since malate ameliorates AI toxicity in yeast (Fig. 3), expression of the *altl* locus may help growth on A1 and hence enable the isolation of a cDNA clone encoding this trait. Such genetic investigations will shed new light on the physiology of **AI** toxicity and resistance in plants.

## **ACKNOWLEDCMENTS**

We thank Douglas Conklin for the gift of the CYP strains, Rod Bieleski, Eric Schott, and Dave Wheeler for helpful discussions during this work, and Jo Putterill for her critica1 reading of the manuscript.

Received April 30, 1996; accepted August 14, 1996. Copyright Clearance Center: 0032-0889/96/112/ 1101 /09.

### **LITERATURE ClTED**

**Akeson MA, Munns DN, Burau RG** (1989) Absorption of  $Al^{3+}$  to phosphatidylcholine vesicles. Biochim Biophys Acta **986:** 3340

- **Allan DL, Shann JR, Bertsch PM** (1990) Role of root cell walls in iron deficiency of soybean *(Glycine max)* and aluminium toxicity of wheat *(Triticum aestivum). In* L van Beusichem, ed, Plant Nutrition-Physiology and Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 345-349
- **Alva AK, Asher CJ, Edwards DG** (1986) The role of calcium in alleviating aluminium toxicity. Aust J Agric Res **37:** 375-382
- **Arieff AI** (1990) Aluminium and the pathogenesis of dialysis dementia. Environ Geochem Health **12:** 89-93
- **Baker JP, Schofield CL** (1982) Aluminum toxicity to fish in acidic waters. Water Air Soil Pollut **18:** 289-309
- **Bianchi ME, Carbone ML, Lucchini G, Magni GE** (1981) Mutants resistant to manganese in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Curr Genet **4:**  215-220
- **Borst-Pauwels GWFH** (1981) Ion transport in yeast. Biochim Biophys Acta **650:** 88-127
- **Clark RB** (1977) Effect of aluminium on growth and mineral elements of Al-tolerant and Al-intolerant corn. Plant Soil **47:**  653-662
- **Conklin DS, Kung C, Culbertson MR** (1993) The *COT2* gene is required for glucose-dependent divalent cation transport in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Mo1 Cell Biol **13:** 2041-2049
- **Conner AJ, Meredith CP** (1985) Simulating the mineral environment of aluminium toxic soils in plant cell culture. J Exp Bot **36:**  870-880
- **Date RA, Halliday J** (1979) Selecting *Rhizobium* for acid, infertile soils of the tropics. Nature **277:** 62-64
- **Delhaize E, Ryan PR** (1995) Aluminum toxicity and tolerance in plants. Plant Physiol 107: 315-321
- **Delhaize E, Ryan PR, Randall PJ** (1993) Aluminum tolerance in wheat *(Triticum aestivum* L.). **11.** Aluminum-stimulated excretion of malic acid from root apices. Plant Physiol **103:** 695-702
- **Foy CD** (1984) Physiological effects of hydrogen, aluminum, and manganese toxicities in acid soil. *In* F Adams, ed, Soil Acidity and Liming, Ed 2. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, WI, pp 57-97
- **Foy CD, Gerloff GC** (1972) Response of *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* to aluminum and low pH. J Phycol **8:** 268-271
- and Ni2+ into yeast cells. Biochim Biophys Acta **163:** 325-330 **Fuhrmann GF, Rothstein A (1968)** The transport of  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Co^{2+}$
- **Gassmann W, Schroeder JI** (1994) Inward rectifying K<sup>+</sup> channels in root hairs of wheat. A mechanism for aluminum-sensitive low affinity K+ uptake. Plant Physiol **105:** 1399-1408
- **Guida L, Saidi Z, Hughes MN, Poole RK** (1991) Aluminium toxicity and binding to *Escherichia coli.* Arch Microbiol **156:**  507-512
- **Haug A** (1984) Molecular aspects of aluminum toxicity. Crit Rev Plant Sci **1:** 345-373
- **Haug A, Shi B, Vitorello V** (1994) Aluminum interaction with phosphoinositide-associated signal transduction. Arch Toxicol **68:** 1-7
- **Houeland T** (1990) Aluminium and Alzheimer's disease: is there a causal connection? Environ Geochem Health **12:** 173-178
- **Huang JW, Grunes DL** (1992) Potassium/magnesium ratio effects on aluminum tolerance and mineral composition of wheat **for**age. Agron J **84:** 643-650
- **Huang JW, Pellet DM, Papernik LA, Kochian LV** (1996) Aluminum interactions with voltage gated calcium transport in plasma membrane vesicles isolated from roots of aluminum-sensitive and -resistant wheat cultivars. Plant Physiol **110:** 561-569
- **Iida H, Sakaguchi S, Yagawa Y, Anraku Y** (1990) Cell cycle control by Ca2+ in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* J Biol Chem **265:** 21216-21222
- **Jackson GE** (1982) Studies on the chelation **of** aluminium for biological application. Part **1.** Citric acid. S Afr J Chem **35:** 89-92
- **Jackson GE, Cosgrove A** (1982) Studies on the chelation of aluminium for biological application. Part 2. Oxalic, malonic and succinic acids. S Afr J Chem **35:** 93-95
- **Jones DL, Kochian LV** (1995) Aluminum inhibition of the inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate signal transduction pathway in wheat roots: a role in aluminum toxicity? Plant Cell *7* 1913-1922
- **Kinraide TB, Parker DR** (1987) Cation amelioration of aluminum toxicity in wheat. Plant Physiol **83:** 546-551
- **Kinraide TB, Ryan PR, Kochian LV** (1994) Al<sup>3+</sup>-Ca<sup>2+</sup> interactions in aluminum rhizotoxicity. II. Evaluating the  $Ca^{2+}$ -displacement hypothesis. Planta 192: 104-109
- **Kochian LV** (1995) Cellular mechanisms of aluminum toxicity and resistance in plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mo1 Biol 46: 237-260
- **Koyama H, Okawara R, Ojima K, Yamaya T** (1988) Re-evaluation of characteristics of a carrot cell line previously selected as aluminum-tolerant cells. Physiol Plant 74: 683-687
- **Kushnir S, Babiychuk E, Kampfenkel K, Belles-Boix E, Van**  ana cDNA's that render yeast tolerant toward the thiol-oxidising drug diamide. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 92: 10580-10584
- **Larsen PB, Tai C-Y, Kochian LV, Howell SH** (1996) Arabidopsis mutants with increased sensitivity to aluminum. Plant Physiol 110: 743-751
- **Luttge U, Clarkson DT** (1992) Mineral nutrition: aluminium. Prog Bot 53: 63-77
- **MacDonald TL, Humpreys WG, Martin LB** (1987) Promotion of tubulin assembly by aluminum ion *in vitro.* Science 236: 183-186
- **Martin RB** (1992) Aluminium speciation in biology. *In* DJ Chadwick, J Whelan, eds, Aluminium in Biology and Medicine. John Wiley & Sons, New York, pp 5-25
- **Mehra RK, Winge DR** (1991) Metal ion resistance in fungi: molecular mechanisms and their regulated expression. J Cell Biochem 45: 30-40
- **Miyasaka SC, Buta JG, Howell RK, Foy CD** (1991) Mechanism of aluminum tolerance in snapbeans. Root exudation of citric acid. Plant Physiol 96: 737-743
- **Norris PR, Kelly DP** (1977) Accumulation of cadmium and cobalt by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* J Gen Microbiol 99: 317-324
- **Okorokov LA, Lichko LP, Kadomtseva M, Kholodenko VP, Titovsky VT, Kulaev IS** (1977) Energy-dependent transport of manganese into yeast cells and distribution of accumulated ions. Eur J Biochem **75:** 373-377
- **Rengel Z** (1990) Competitive  $Al^{3+}$  inhibition of net  $Mg^{2+}$  uptake by intact *Lolium multiflorum* roots. 11. Plant age effects. Plant Physiol 93: 1261-1267
- **Rengel** *Z***, Elliott DC** (1992) Mechanism of aluminum inhibition of net <sup>45</sup>Ca<sup>2+</sup> uptake by *Amaranthus* protoplasts. Plant Physiol **98:** uptake by *Amaranthus* protoplasts. Plant Physiol 98: 632-638
- **Rengel Z, Robinson DL (1989) Competitive Al<sup>3+</sup> inhibition of net** Mg2+ uptake by intact *Lolium multiflovum* roots. I. Kinetics. Plant Physiol 91: 1407-1413
- **Robinson DL, Rengel Z** (1991) Aluminum influences on magnesium uptake and on grass tentany potential of ryegrass. Curr Top Plant Biochem Physiol 10: 107-116
- **Ryan PR, Kinraide TB, Kochian LV** (1994) A13+-Ca2+ interactions in aluminum rhizotoxicity. I. Inhibition of root growth is not caused by reduction of calcium uptake. Planta 192: 98-103
- **Sherman F** (1991) Getting started with yeast. Methods Enzymol 194: 3-20
- **Siegel N, Suhayda C, Haug A** (1982) Aluminum changes the conformation of calmodulin. Physiol Chem Phys 14: 165-167
- **Sigler K, Knotkov A, Paca J, Wurst M** (1980) Extrusion of metabolites from baker's yeast during glucose-induced acidification. Folia Microbiol 25: 311-317
- **Suhayda CG, Haug A** (1986) Organic acids reduce aluminum toxicity in maize root membranes. Physiol Plant 68: 189-195
- **Tan K, Keltjens WG** (1990) Effects of aluminium on growth, nutrient uptake, proton efflux and phosphorus assimilation of Al-tolerant and -sensitive sorghum genotypes. *In* ML van Beusichem, ed, Plant Nutrition-Physiology and Application. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 397-401
- **Tan K, Keltjens WG, Findenegg GR** (1991) Role of magnesium in combination with liming in alleviating acid-soil stress with the aluminium-sensitive sorghum genotype CV323. Plant Soil 136: 65-71
- **Vallier LG, Coons D, Bisson LF, Carlson M** (1994) Altered regulatory responses to glucose are associated with a glucose transport defect in *grrl* mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Genetics 136: 1279–1285
- **Wagenbach W, O'Rourke K, Vitez L, Wieczorek A, Hoffman S, Durfee S, Tedesco J, Stetler G** (1991) Synthesis of wild type and mutant human hemoglobins in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae.* Biotechnology 9: 57-61
- **Wheeler DM, Dodd MB** (1995) Effect of aluminium on yield and plant chemical concentrations of some temperate legumes. Plant Soil 173: 133-145
- **Wheeler DM, Edmeades DC, Christie RA** (1992a) Effect of aluminum on relative yield and plant chemical concentrations of cereals grown in solution culture at low ionic strength. J Plant Nutr 15; 403-418
- Wheeler DM, Edmeades DC, Christie RA (1992b) Effect of aluminum on plant chemical concentrations in some temperate grasses grown in solution culture at low ionic strength. J Plant Nutr 15: 387-402
- **Wheeler DM, Edmeades DC, Christie RA, Putterill J, Gardner R** (1992c) Effect of aluminum and pH on relative yield and plant chemical concentrations of  $\hat{7}$  dicotyledonous species grown in solution culture at low ionic strength. J Plant Nutr 15: 419-433
- **Winston F, Dollard C, Ricupero-Hovasse SL** (1995) Construction of a set of convenient *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains that are isogenic to S288C. Yeast 11: 53-55
- **Zel** J, **Svetek J, Crne H, Schara M** (1993) Effects of aluminum on membrane fluidity of the mycorrhizal fungus *Amanita muscaria.*  Physiol Plant **89:** 172-176