Ribonuclease Activity of *Petunia inflata* S Proteins **1s** Essential for Rejection of Self-Pollen

Shihshieh Huang,^a Hyun-Sook Lee,^a Balasulojini Karunanandaa,^b and Teh-hui Kao ^{a,b,1}

^aDepartment of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

lntercollege Graduate Program in Plant Physiology, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

S proteins, pistil-specific ribonucleases that cosegregate with S alleles, have previously been shown to control rejection of self-pollen in Pefunia *inflafa* and *Nicofiana alafa,* two solanaceous species that display gametophytic self-incompatibility. The ribonuclease activity of **S** proteins was thought to degrade RNA of self-pollen tubes, resulting in the arrest of their growth in the style. However, to date no direct evidence has been obtained. Here, the ribonuclease activity of S₃ protein of *P. inflata* was abolished, and the effect on the pistil's ability to reject S₃ pollen was examined. The S₃ gene was mutagenized by replacing the codon for His-93, which has been implicated in ribonuclease activity, with a codon for asparagine, and the mutant S₃ gene was introduced into P. *inflata* plants of S₁S₂ genotype. Two transgenic plants produced a level of mutant S₃ protein comparable to that of the S₃ protein produced in self-incompatible S_7S_3 and S_2S_3 plants, yet they failed to reject S₃ pollen. The mutant S₃ protein produced in these two transgenic plants did not exhibit any detectable ribonuclease activity. We have previously shown that transgenic plants $(S_1S_2$ plants transformed with the wild-type S_3 gene) producing a normal level of wild-type S₃ protein acquired the ability to reject S₃ pollen completely. Thus, the results reported here provide direct evidence that the biochemical mechanism of gametophytic self-incompatibility in P. *inflata* involves the ribonuclease activity of **S** proteins.

INTRODUCTION

Many species of flowering plants possess a prezygotic reproductive barrier, designated gametophytic self-incompatibility, that allows the pistil to recognize and reject self-pollen or pollen from genetically related individuals to prevent selffertilization (de Nettancourt, 1977). In the Solanaceae family, this **self-hon-self-discrimination** is controlled by a highly polymorphic S locus. Pollen that bears an S allele identical to one of the two S alleles carried by the pistil suffers growth arrest in the style; only pollen bearing an Sallele different from those carried by the pistil grows down the style to the ovary to effect fertilization.

Pistil proteins that cosegregate with S alleles have been identified in a number of solanaceous species (for reviews, see Haring et al., 1990; Singh and Kao, 1992; Sims, 1993). These proteins, named S proteins, exhibit characteristics implicating their involvement in self-incompatibility (Kao and Huang, 1994). Recently, the long-sought direct evidence for the role of S proteins in self-incompatibility has been obtained (Lee et al., 1994; Murfett et al., 1994). These experiments showed that S proteins are necessary and sufficient for the pistil to reject self-pollen. Specifically, inhibition of S protein production by an antisense S gene in transgenic plants leads to their inability to reject pollen bearing the S allele to which the affected S protein corresponds; the transgenic plants are thus rendered self-compatible (Lee et al., 1994). Conversely, when a gene corresponding to a third and different S allele is introduced into transgenic plants originally containing two S alleles, those plants that express the transgene at a normal level not only reject pollen bearing the endogenous S alleles but also acquire the ability to reject pollen bearing the same S allele as the transgene (Lee et al., 1994; Murfett et al., 1994).

Although the role of S proteins in rejecting self-pollen has now been firmly established, it remains to be determined how S proteins distinguish between self- and non-self-pollen and how this recognition leads to the growth arrest of self-pollen tubes. To address these problems, one must identify the pollen S allele product with which S proteins interact and gain more insight into the structure-function relationship of S proteins. To date, the identity of the pollen S allele remains elusive. However, the unexpected discovery that S proteins are ribonucleases (McClure et al., 1989) has shed much light on the biochemical mechanism of self-rejection. Models have been proposed based on the assumption that self-incompatibility is mediated by the cytotoxic action of the ribonuclease activity

^{&#}x27; **To** whom correspondence should be addressed at the Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 403 Althouse Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA **16802-4500.**

of *S* proteins (Haring et al., 1990; Thompson and Kirch, 1992; Sims, 1993; Kao and Huang, 1994). However, the fact that S proteins are ribonucleases does not necessarily mean that the ribonuclease activity is required for their function in selfincompatibility. The activity could be coincidental to the protein. Thus, it is imperative to determine whether or not the ribonuclease activity is essential for the function of *S* proteins.

This question has been previously addressed using two approaches. First, McClure et al. (1990) compared the fate of radiolabeled pollen RNA in Nicotiana *alata* pistils after selfor cross-pollination and found that pollen rRNA recovered from self-pollinated pistils was degraded, whereas that from crosspollinated pistils remained intact. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that the ribonuclease activity of S proteins degrades rRNA of self-pollen tubes, thereby inhibiting their growth in the style. However, the observed degradation of pollen tube rRNA in self-pollinated pistils may equally likely have occurred after the rRNA had been released from the pollen tubes as a result of self-incompatibility interactions (Singh and Kao, 1992; Newbigin et al., 1993). This is because self-pollen tubes frequently burst open after their growth has been arrested, releasing their cytoplasmic contents into the transmitting tract of the style, an area rich in ribonucleases (Singh et al., 1991).

In the second approach, Kowyama et al. (1994) mapped a naturally occurring mutation in a self-compatible variant of Lycopersicon peruvianum to the S locus and identified a pistil protein that cosegregated with the mutant *S,* allele. This *S,* protein was found to have no detectable ribonuclease activity. Further, the *S,* protein was found to contain a mutation in which a histidine residue thought to be essential for ribonuclease activity had been changed (cited in Dickinson, 1994). Unfortunately, because the wild-type S allele from which the mutant **S,** allele was derived has not been identified, it was not possible to conclude whether the loss of self-incompatibility was attributable to the negation of the ribonuclease activity or other alterations in the *S,* protein. As a consequence, these results again are consistent with but do not provide direct evidence for the involvement of the ribonuclease activity in self-incompatibility interactions.

One approach to directly ascertain the role of the ribonuclease activity of S proteins in self-incompatibility might be to examine the effect of abolishing the ribonuclease activity of S proteins on their ability to reject self-pollen. Conceivably, one can either produce a mutant *S* protein by chemical modification or by expressing a mutant S gene in a heterologous system; one could then test the abilityof the mutant *S* protein to inhibit growth of in vitro-germinated pollen tubes. However, because it is difficult to precisely reproduce in an in vitro germination system the exact in vivo milieu that pollen tubes encounter, the inhibitory effect of even wild-type *S* proteins is not pronounced in in vitro bioassays, and nonspecific inhibition of pollen tube growth is often observed (Jahnen et al., 1989; A. Singh and T.-h. Kao, unpublished results). Thus, the results from this type of bioassay are difficult to interpret.

We previously demonstrated that a Petunia inflata plant could acquire new S allele specificity by the expression of a new S gene. This has made possible the use of an in vivo approach to dissect the structure-function relationship of *S* proteins. Here, we address the question of whether a *P* inflata plant of *S₁S₂* genotype can acquire the ability to reject *S₃* pollen if it is transformed with a mutant S_3 gene encoding an S_3 protein lacking ribonuclease activity.

RESULTS

Transformation of **R** inflata Plants of *S,Sp* Genotype with a Mutant S₃ Gene

His-33 and His-93 of the *P. inflata* S₃ protein (Ai et al., 1990) are the two histidines that are conserved in all the **S** proteins examined and in two fungal ribonucleases, RNase T2 and RNase Rh, which share sequence similarity with *S* proteins (Kao, 1993). For the two fungal ribonucleases, these two histidines have been shown to be directly involved in their catalytic function (Kawata et al., 1990; Ohgi et al., 1992). Thus, to abolish the ribonuclease activity of the S₃ protein, we chose to change the CAT codon for His-93 to an AAT codon for asparagine to create a mutant S₃ gene, designated S₃(H93N) (Figure 1). The Ti plasmid containing the mutant S_3 gene was introduced into *P*. inflata plants of S_1S_2 genotype via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. A total of 162 transgenic plants were chosen for analysis.

Analysis of Levels of Mutant S₃ Protein in Pistils of Transgenic Plants

We previously showed that the expression level of an S_3 transgene in *P. inflata* plants of S₁S₂ genotype was crucial for transgenic plants to acquire S_3 allele specificity (Lee et al., 1994). Only those transgenic plants that produced a level of S₃ protein similar to the level of the S₃ protein produced by nontransgenic S_2S_3 or S_7S_3 plants were able to reject S_3 pollen completely. Therefore, we analyzed pistil proteins of the 162 transgenic plants by cation exchange column chromatography to search for plants that produced the mutant S₃ protein, S₃(H93N), at a level similar to that of the S₃ protein produced in *SzS3* plants. Two such plants, H93N-99 and H93N-132, were found. In addition to producing normal levels of endogenous *S,* and *S2* proteins, these two plants also produced a protein that eluted at a slightly lower salt concentration than the S₃ protein; the elution profile of one of the plants, H93N-99, is shown in Figure 2. The mobility of this protein was found to be identical with that of the S₃ protein when analyzed by SDS-PAGE (results not shown). Further, the first six amino acids at the N terminus of this protein were determined, and the sequence Asn-Phe-Asp-Tyr-Ile-GIn matches perfectly with

Figure 1. Construction of Mutant S₃ Gene to Replace the His-93 Codon with an Asparagine Codon.

pBK-S3 DNA was amplified by two separate PCR reactions, one using primer A and a forward primer and the other using primer B and a reverse primer, to yield two DNA fragments that overlap in the region spanning 447 to 467 bp of the S₃ gene. The darkened circles indicate the C-toA change in primer A and the G-toT change in primer 8. The two DNA fragments were further amplified using forward and reverse primers to yield a DNA fragment that contained the Spel fragment of the *S3* gene, except that the CAT codon for His-93 was replaced by the AAT codon for asparagine. This fragment was used to replace the corresponding fragment in pBI-GS3 (Lee et al., 1994), which is a recombinant Ti plasmid containing -2032 to $+1553$ bp of the S_3 gene ligated to pBI101 (Clonetech), to yield pBI-GS3(H93N). RB, right border; LB, left border; *nos-pro,* promoter of nopaline synthase gene; nos-ter, polyadenylation signal of nopaline synthase gene; *NPTII,* coding sequence of neomycin phosphotransferase II gene.

that of the S₃ protein. Thus, we concluded that this protein was the mutant S₃ protein, S₃(H93N). The shift of the elution position of the S_3 (H93N) protein toward a lower salt concentration relative to that of the S₃ protein is also consistent with the replacement of His-93 of the S₃ protein with a less basic amino acid, Asn-93, in the $S_3(H93N)$ protein.

Forty-seven transgenic plants were found to produce lower levels of the S₃(H93N) protein; the elution profile of one of them, H93N-25, is shown in Figure 2. The remaining transgenic plants did not produce any detectable amount of the S₃(H93N) protein. Three of those plants also produced very low levels of either an endogenous S₁ or S₂ protein, and one plant, H93N-27, produced avery low leve1 of both endogenous **S1** and *Sp* proteins (Figure 2). The suppression of the production of endogenous *S* proteins in these four transgenic plants by the transgene was most likely caused by the cosuppression phenomenon (Napoli et al., 1990).

DNA Gel Blot Analysis of the Presence of the Transgene and RNA Gel Blot Analysis of Its. Transcript Leve1 in Transgenic Plants

To confirm that the transgenic plants indeed carried the transgene and to determine the copy number, a number of

Figure 2. Cation Exchange Chromatographic Profiles of **S** Proteins in Transgenic, and Nontransgenic Plants.

Total pistil protein from each plant was chromatographed on a Mono-S column, and the portion of each elution profile containing *S* proteins is shown. S_2S_3 and S_7S_2 are nontransgenic plants; GS3-41 is a transgenic plant that has previously been shown to have acquired the ability to completely reject S₃ pollen resulting from the expression of the S₃ transgene **(Lee** et al., 1994); H93N-99, H93N-25, and H93N-27 are representative transgenic plants obtained in this study.

Figure 3. Genomic DNA Gel Blot Analysis.

The blot containing EcoRI digests of genomic DNA (10 µg per lane) isolated from S_1S_2 , a nontransgenic plant, and H93N-25 and H93N-99, two transgenic plants, was hybridized with $S₃$ cDNA probe. The arrow marks a 2.5-kb DNA fragment that corresponds to the endogenous S_2 gene. The endogenous S_1 gene did not cross-hybridize with the $S₃$ cDNA probe under the conditions used. The DNA length markers are indicated at left in kilobases.

transgenic plants were selected for DNA gel blot analysis. A blot of EcoRI-digested genomic DNA was hybridized with a radiolabeled probe of the full-length S_3 cDNA (Ai et al., 1990). The results for two of the transgenic plants, H93N-99 and H93N-25, and a nontransgenic S_1S_2 plant are shown in Figure 3. Both transgenic plants contained a 2.5-kb hybridizing fragment that was also present in the S_1S_2 plant. This fragment corresponds to the endogenous $S₂$ gene that cross-hybridized with the S_3 cDNA as a result of sequence similarity. Both transgenic plants contained an additional hybridizing DNA fragment that corresponds to the transgene. Each of these two DNA fragments resulted from one cut within the integrated transgene and a second cut outside the transgene in the genome. Their different sizes indicated different chromosome integration sites of the transgene in the two transgenic plants.

To determine whether the level of the *S3(H93N)* transcript paralleled that of the protein shown in Figure 2, RNA gel blot analysis was performed on total RNA isolated from pistils of a nontransgenic S_2S_3 plant and three transgenic plants, H93N-25, H93N-27, and H93N-99 (Figure 4). H93N-99 contained approximately the same level of *S3* RNA as did the S_2S_3 plant; H93N-25 contained approximately one-fourth the level of S_3 RNA in the $S_2 S_3$ plant; H93N-27, which contained one copy of the transgene, did not contain any detectable level of S_3 RNA. These results are consistent with the results of protein analysis shown in Figure 2.

Examination of Self-Incompatibility Phenotypes of Transgenic Plants

We first examined whether transgenic plants H93N-99 and H93N-132, which produced a normal level of mutant S₃(H93N) protein, could reject S₃ pollen. Pollination of these two plants with pollen from *8383* plants produced large fruits, each with \sim 200 seeds, a number comparable to that obtained from compatible pollination (Table 1). These results suggest that both H93N-99 and H93N-132 did not acquire the ability to reject S_3 pollen. In contrast, GS3-41, a previously obtained transgenic plant (Lee et al., 1994) that produced a similar level of wildtype S_3 protein from the expression of the S_3 transgene (Figure 2), rejected S₃ pollen completely (Table 1). H93N-25 and all the other transgenic plants that produced lesser amounts of S₃(H93N) protein also produced large fruits, characteristic of compatible pollination, when pollinated with pollen from S_3S_3 plants (Table 1).

All the transgenic plants described above produced normal levels of S_1 and S_2 proteins (Figure 2), and as expected, they remained self-incompatible and rejected pollen from both S_1S_1 and S_2S_2 plants (Table 1). Most of the other 162 transgenic plants analyzed behaved similarly. However, the four transgenic plants mentioned earlier that produced very low levels of either S_1 or S_2 protein or both S_1 and S_2 proteins became self-compatible and failed to reject pollen from S_1S_1 or S2S2 or both *S1S1* and S2S2 plants. For example, H93N-27,

Figure 4. RNA Gel Blot Analysis of the Expression of the Mutant S_3 Gene.

The blot contains total pistil RNA (10 μ g per lane) isolated from nontransgenic S_2S_3 and S_1S_2 plants and three transgenic plants, H93N-25, H93N-27, and H93N-99.

(Top) The autoradiogram shows the blot that was hybridized with an oligonucleotide probe specific to sense S_3 RNA (Lee et al., 1994). **(Bottom)** Results of rDNA probing of the same RNA gel blot. After autoradiography, the bound radiolabeled probe was removed from the blot shown above, and the blot was hybridized with the rDNA probe, which encodes the 25S rRNA of *P. inflate.*

Table 1. Results from Cross- and Self-Pollination of Transgenic and Tester Plants of *P. inflata*

 $-$ indicates pollination that did not result in fruit set; $+$ indicates pollination that resulted in fruit set.

which produced a very low level of both S₁ and S₂ proteins (Figure 2), failed to reject pollen from both S_7S_7 and S_2S_2 plants (Table 1). These results are consistent with our previous finding using the antisense RNA approach that *S* proteins are necessary for the pistil to reject self-pollen (Lee et al., 1994). As controls, nontransgenic S_1S_2 and S_2S_3 plants were also pollinated with pollen from S_1S_1 , S_2S_2 , and S_3S_3 plants, and the expected results were obtained (Table 1).

Ribonuclease Activity of Wild-Type S Proteins and Mutant S₃ Protein

To investigate whether the S_3 (H93N) protein produced in transgenic plant H93N-99 indeed lacked ribonuclease activity, we purified the $S_3(H93N)$ protein and examined its ribonuclease activity. As controls, we also examined the ribonuclease activity of purified S₁, S₂, and S₃ proteins. As shown in Table 2, the S_3 (H93N) protein did not show any detectable ribonuclease activity, whereas the $S_3(GS3)$ protein, which is the S_3 protein expressed from the S_3 transgene in transgenic plant GS3-41 (Figure 2), had ribonuclease activity comparable to that of S_3 protein purified from a nontransgenic S_2S_3 plant. The absence of ribonuclease activity of S_3 (H93N) protein was not caused by inactivation during purification, because the endogenous S₁ and S₂ proteins purified from H93N-99 at the same time had ribonuclease activity comparable to that of $S_1(GS3)$ and $S_2(GS3)$ proteins purified from GS3-41 (data not shown). We were also unable to detect ribonuclease activity in another mutant S₃ protein, S₃(H93R), from a different group of transgenic plants; this protein's His-93 had been replaced by an arginine. (We did not analyze the selfincompatibility phenotypes of this group of transgenic plants because none of them produced a high enough level of S3[H93R) protein.) These results are consistent with the previ**ous** findings that the histidine of RNase T2 and RNase Rh corresponding to His-93 of the S₃ protein is involved in catalysis (Kawata et al., 1990; Ohgi et ai., 1992).

DISCUSSION

Although His-93 of the *S3* protein of *P* inflata had not previously been shown to be essential for ribonuclease activity, sequence comparison between S proteins and two fungal ribonucleases, RNase T2 and RNase Rh, has revealed that this residue corresponds to one of the two histidines of RNase T2 and RNase Rh, which have been shown to be essential for their catalytic function (Kawata et al., 1990; Ohgi et al., 1992). Thus, we targeted this histidine for mutagenesis to abolish the ribonuclease activity of the *S3* protein. We chose asparagine to replace His-93 because of its structural similarity to histidine, thus minimizing the possibility of conformational alteration of the mutant protein. Transformation of S_1S_2 plants with the mutant S₃ gene, S₃(H93N), resulted in a wide range of expression levels of the transgene in the transgenic plants. The mutant **S₃** protein S₃(H93N) purified from the transgenic plant that expressed the highest level of the transgene, H93N-99, was indeed found not to exhibit any detectable ribonuclease activity. An identical result was obtained with another mutant S₃ protein that had His-93 replaced with arginine. These findings confirm that His-93 of the S₃ protein and most likely its counterpart in other *S* proteins are essential for catalysis. More importantly, the production of the mutant $S₃$ protein lacking its ribonuclease activity in transgenic plants allowed **us** to examine whether the ribonuclease activity of *S* proteins is required for their function in rejecting self-pollen.

For reasons not known, the level of *S* proteins is very critical for the pistil's ability to completely reject self-pollen. For example, immature buds that produce *S* proteins at lower than

 S_3 (GS3) 227.1 (3.9) S_3 (H93N) *O* S_3 (H93R) *O* ^a S proteins were purified from the following sources: S₁(GS3), $S₂(GS3)$, and $S₃(GS3)$ are from a previously obtained transgenic plant, GS3-41, which expressed an *S,* transgene in addition to the endogenous S₁ and S₂ genes (Lee et al., 1994); S₃ is from an S₂S₃ plant; S₃(H93N) is a mutant S₃ protein that has His-93 replaced by an Asn from transgenic plant H93N-99; S₃(H93R) is a mutant S₃ protein that has His-93 replaced by an Arg from a transgenic plant H93R-112.

b Specific activity is expressed as the A_{260} units of torula yeast RNA released into acid soluble form by 1 .O mg of the protein in 1 min at 37°C in 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0. Each value is the mean of three replicates. The standard error of the mean is given within parentheses.

 $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\frac{1}{2}$

the normal level produced in mature flowers cannot reject Selfpollen completely(C1ark et al., 1990). We previously found that *S₁S₂* plants transformed with the *S₃* gene must also produce the S₃ protein to a level comparable to that produced in mature flowers of S_7S_3 or S_2S_3 plants to acquire the ability to completely reject S₃ pollen (Lee et al., 1994). Thus, it is important to use the transgenic plants that produce a normal level of the mutant S₃ protein to ascertain their ability to reject S₃ pollen, so that we can rule out the possibility that their failure to reject S₃ pollen was a result of an insufficient amount of the mutant S₃ protein produced. Although only two such transgenic plants, H93N-99 and H93N-132, were found, they proved to be informative.

The amount of S_3 (H93N) protein produced in these two transgenic plants was comparable to that of S₃ protein produced in nontransgenic S_1S_3 and S_2S_3 plants, as well as in a previously obtained transgenic plant GS3-41. However, the former could not reject S₃ pollen, whereas the latter completely rejected **S3** pollen. Because the mutant *S3* protein produced in H93N-99 and H93N-132 differs from the wild-type **S₃** protein produced in GS3-41, *S₁S₃*, and *S₂S₃* plants in its lack of ribonuclease activity (resulting from the replacement of His-93 with Asn-93), the results reported here strongly suggest that the ribonuclease activity of S proteins is essential for their function in rejecting self-pollen. The results thus provide direct evidence that the biochemical mechanism of gametophytic self-incompatibility in solanaceous species involves the ribonuclease activity of S proteins.

Our finding of an essential role of the ribonuclease activity of S proteins in rejecting self-pollen provides an answer to one of the two key questions in self-incompatibility mentioned earlier regarding the biochemical mechanism of self-rejection; however, it does not provide an answer to the other key question regarding how S proteins distinguish self-pollen from non-selfpollen. A currently favored model proposes that the S allelespecific rejection of self-pollen lies in the specific uptake of S proteins into the cytoplasm of pollen tubes; the product of the pollen S allele, serving as a receptor for S proteins, only allows S proteins bearing the same allele to enter the cytoplasm to degrade RNA (Dickinson, 1994). The validity of this model can be confirmed only after the pollen S allele product has been identified.

Our finding reported here also raises the issue regarding which feature(s) of S proteins distinguishes them from other plant ribonucleases with which they share sequence similarity. Sequence comparison and phylogenetic analysis of the S-like ribonucleases and S proteins have revealed that most of the S-like ribonucleases are more similar to each other than to S proteins and that these two classes of ribonucleases fall into two distinct lineages (Taylor et al., 1993; Green, 1994). These results are consistent with the distinct function of S proteins from the S-like ribonucleases (although the function of none of the S-like ribonucleases has been determined, they are unlikely to be involved in self-incompatibility). However, one of the S-like ribonucleases, RNase X2 of P. *inflata*, exhibits a very high degree of sequence similarity with S proteins and is also a pistil-specific extracellular ribonuclease (Lee et al., 1992). In fact, two N. alara S proteins are more similar to RNase X2 than they are to the other S proteins (Kao, 1993).

The issue raised above is thus especially pertinent to RNase *X2* and perhaps to other yet to be identified S-like ribonucleases with similar properties to RNase X2. One feature that clearly distinguishes the **S** gene from the RNase X2 gene and the genes encoding other S-like ribonucleases is the high degree of polymorphism displayed by the former and the monomorphism displayed by the latter. This suggests that S proteins are endowed with the recognition domain for the pollen *S* allele product, and if the model described above is correct, they can enter the pollen tubes carrying a matching S allele to exert their cytotoxic action, whereas S-like ribonucleases do not contain the pollen recognition domain and cannot exert cytotoxicity on pollen tubes even if they are also present in the intercellular space of the transmitting tissue. In the future, the in vivo approach we used in our study can also be used to examine S allele specificities of chimeric *S* genes in transgenic plants. This will allow researchers to identify the pollen recognition domain or the S allele specificity domain of S proteins. This information may aid the design of experiments to identify the pollen *S* allele product.

Although ribonucleases were favored subjects for much of the seminal work on protein folding and tertiary structure, interest in them waned in the 1970s because their biological significance in situ was not understood. However, there is growing recognition of the possible involvement of extracellular ribonucleases in the regulation of growth and development in plants (Farkas, 1982) and animals (Benner and Allemann, 1989). In this context, our demonstration that a self-incompatible solanaceous species employs ribonucleases (S proteins) to reject self-pollen to prevent inbreeding has provided direct evidence for the involvement of ribonucleases in regulation of growth and development in plants.

METHODS

Slte-Directed Mutagenesls

An Spel fragment encompassing -186 to 1052 bp of the *S,* gene of Petunia inflata (Coleman and Kao, 1992) was ligated into pBluescript **II** KS+ vector (Stratagene) to yield pBK-S3. The strategy for site-directed mutagenesis to change the CAT codon (457 to 459 bp) for the invariant histidine, His-93, of the S₃ protein to an AAT codon for asparagine followed that developed by Ho et al. (1989). Two oligonucleotides with the following sequences were synthesized to use as primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR): primer A, 5'-ATACAATAAAAATGGAA-TATG-3'; primer B, **5'-CATATTCCAFTTATTGTAT-3!** Primer A and primer **B** correspond to 447 to 467 bp of the nontranscribed and transcribed strands of the *S,* gene (Coleman and Kao, 1992), respectively, except for a C-to-A change in primer A and a G-to-T change in primer **B** (the underlined positions in the two primer sequences shown above). The other two primers used were forward and reverse universal primers of 17 bases obtained from Promega. pEK-S3 DNA was amplified in

two separate PCRs (40 cycles each), one with primer A and the forward primer and the other with primer B and the reverse primer.

The reactions were conducted in a 100-µL solution containing 0.1 µg of DNA, 400 pM each of the primers, 50 mM KCI, 10 mM Tris-HCI, pH 9.0, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 1.5 mM MgCl₂. Each cycle consisted of denaturation at 95°C for 1 min, annealing at 44°C for 1 min, and extension at 72°C for 2 min, except that denaturation was for 2 min in the first cycle. lmmediately following the last cycle, the samples were left at 72°C for 5 min to allow the reactions to be completed. The two DNA fragments obtained were purified by low-melting agarose gel electrophoresis, and 0.3μ g of each DNA fragment was amplified by PCR together using 400 pM of forward and reverse primers under the same conditions described above. The DNA fragment obtained was digested with Spel to release the Spel fragment of the mutant S₃ gene. The entire coding region contained in the Spel fragment was sequenced to confirm the CAT-to-AAT mutation and to ensure that no other changes had been introduced. The Spel fragment was then used to replace the corresponding Spel fragment of the wild-type **S,** gene in pBI-GS3 (Lee et al., 1994) to yield pBI-GS3(H93N).

Plant Material and Transformation

The *S* genotypes of the *P. inflata* plants used in this study were determined by pollination with tester plants of known genotypes (Ai et al., 1990) and by PCR analysis of genomic DNA using allele-specific primers. The recombinant Ti plasmid pBI-GS3(H93N), which contained the mutant *S,* gene, was electroporated into Agrobacterium tumefaciens LBA4404 as previously described by **S.** Singh., T.-b Kao, and J.-J. Lin in a 1993 issue of focus published by Life Technologies, Inc. (Gaithersburg, MD). Leaf discs of P inflata with the S_1S_2 genotype were infected with the Agrobacterium by the cocultivation method (Horsch et al., 1985) on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (Sigma) supplemented with benzylaminopurine (1.0 mg/L) and naphthalene acetic acid (75 μ g/L). Shoots were regenerated on fresh MS medium supplemented with kanamycin (100 µg/mL) and carbenicillin (500 ug/mL). Regenerated shoots were transferred to hormone-free MS medium containing the same concentrations of antibiotics to induce root formation.

Purification of S Protelns

Total pistil protein was extracted from 30 pistils of each plant in 1 mL of extraction buffer as previously described (Lee et al., 1994), and the homogenate was applied to a column (1.6 \times 10 cm) of Biogel P-60 (Bio-Rad) that had been equilibrated with 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.0. Fractions containing S proteins, as determined by SDS-PAGE, were collected and chromatographed on a Mono-S column (HR 5/5) using the fast protein liquid chromatography system from Pharmacia. The bound proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of O to 500 mM NaCI in the same buffer at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. Proteins were monitored at $A_{280 \text{ nm}}$ with the sensitivity of the detector set to 0.1 **absorbance-unit-full-scale.** The N-terminal sequence of the Mono-S fraction containing the mutant S₃ protein, S₃(H93N), was determined at the Macromolecular Core Facility of the Pennsylvania State University Hershey Medical Center.

lsolation of Genomic DNA and DNA Blot Analysis

Genomic DNA was isolated from 5 g of young leaves freshly collected from each plant as described by Lee et al. (1994). Genomic DNA (10 pg) was digested with EcoRI, separated on a *0.8%* agarose gel, and transferred to a Biotrans (+) nylon membrane (ICN, Costa Mesa, CA). Prehybridization, hybridization, and washing of the membrane were conducted as previously described (Lee et al., 1994). The membrane was exposed on x-ray film at -70°C for 72 hr with an intensifying screen.

lsolation of Total RNA from Plstlls and RNA Gel Blot Analysis

The procedures for isolation of total RNA and for RNA blot analysis were identical to those previously described (Ai et al., 1990; Lee et al., 1994). The membrane was first hybridized with an oligonucleotide probe specific to sense **S,** RNA (Lee et al., 1994). After washing, the membrane was exposed on x-ray film at -70° C with an intensifying screen for 16 hr. The amount of radioactivity associated with each hybridizing band was determined using a Betascope (Betagen, Waltham, MA). The bound radiolabeled probe was then removed from the membrane, and the membrane was rehybridized with the ribosomal DNA probe that encodes **25s** rRNA of *I?* inflata (J. Mu and T.-h. Kao, unpublished results). The membrane was exposed on x-ray film at -70°C for 30 min with an intensifying screen. The amount of radioactivity associated with each hybridizing band was determined using a Betascope. The amount of *S,* RNA in each transgenic plant relative to the amount of S_3 RNA in the S_2S_3 plant was calculated after correction for differences in the total amount of rRNA.

Ribonuclease Assay

All S proteins were purified by the two-step procedure described above. For S_3 (H93N) protein, the Mono-S fractions containing it were rechromatographed on the same column to remove contaminating **S,** prdein. Protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976) using reagents from Bio-Rad. Ribonuclease activity assays were performed using torula yeast RNA as substrate as previously described (Singh et al., 1991).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank David Tu for use of his fast protein liquid chromatography system, and Andrew Clark, Daniel Cosgrove, Richard Cyr, Simon Gilroy, Andrew McCubbin, and Steve Schaeffer for valuable comments on the manuscript. H.-S.L. was partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) Postdoctoral Fellowship in Plant Biology Grant No. BIR-9303646. B.K. was supported by a Third Country Graduate Fellowship from Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. The research was supported by a grant from NSF (No. IBN-9220145) to T.-h.K.

Received March 22, 1994; accepted May 4, 1994.

REFERENCES

Ai, Y., Slngh, A., Coleman, C.E., loerger, T.R., Kheyr-Pour, A., and Kao, T .- h. (1990). Self-incompatibility in *Petunia inflata*: Isolation and characterization of cDNAs encoding three S-allele-associated proteins. Sex. Plant Reprod. **3,** 130-138.

- Benner, S.A., and Allemann, R.K. (1989). The return of pancreatic ribonucleases. Trends Biochem. Sci. **14,** 396-397.
- Bradford, **M.M.** (1976). A rapid sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of proteindye binding. Anal. Biochem. **72,** 248-254.
- Clark, K.R., Okuley, J.J., Colllns, **P.D.,** and Sims, T.L. (1990). Sequence variability and developmental expression of S-alleles in self-incompatible and pseudo-self-compatible petunia. Plant Cell **2,** 815-826.
- Coleman, C.E., and Kao, T.-h. (1992). The flanking regions of two *Petunia* inflata S-alleles are heterogeneous and contain repetitive sequences. Plant **MOI.** Biol. 18, 725-737.
- de Nettancourt, **D.** (1977). lncompatibility in angiosperms. In Monographs on Theoretical and Applied Genetics, R. Frankel, G.A.E. Gall, and H.F. Linskens, eds (Berlin: Springer-Verlag), pp. 28-57.
- Dlcklnson, H. (1994). Simply a social disease? Nature **367,** 517-518.
- Farkas, G.L. (1982). Ribonucleases and ribonucleic acid breakdown. In Nucleic Acids and Proteins in Plants **11,** B. Parthier and D. Boulter, eds (New York: Springer-Verlag), pp. 224-262.
- Green, P.J. (1994). The ribonucleases of higher plants. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Moi. Biol. 45, 421-445.
- Harlng, V., Gray, J.E., McClure, B.A., Anderson, M.A., and Clarke, A.E. (1990). Self-incompatibility: A self-recognition system in plants. Science **250,** 937-941.
- Ho, S.N., Hunt, H.D., Horton, R.M., Pullen, J.K., and Pease, L.R. (1989). Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension using the polymerase chain reaction. Gene **77,** 51-59.
- Horsch, R.B., Fry, J.E., Hoffmann, N.L., Eichholtz, D., Rogers, S.G., and Fraley, R.T. (1985). A simple and general method for transferring genes into plants. Science **227,** 1229-1231.
- Jahnen, W., Lush, W.M., and Clarke, A.E. (1989). lnhibition of in vitro pollen tube growth by isolated S-glycoproteins of Nicotiana alata. Plant Cell **1,** 501-510.
- Kao, T.-h. (1993). Self-incompatibility: A self/nonself recognition mechanism of sexual reproduction. In Plant Signals in lnteractions with Other Organisms, J.C. Schultz and I. Raskin, eds (Rockville, MD: American Society of Plant Physiologists), pp. 197-207.
- Kao, T.-h., and Huang, **S.** (1994). Gametophytic self-incompatibility: A mechanism for selfhonself discrimination during sexual reproduction. Plant Physiol. **105,** 461-466.
- Kawata, **Y.,** Sakiyama, F., Hayashl, F., and Kyogoku, Y. (1990). Identification of two essential histidine residues of ribonuclease T2 from Aspergillus oryzae. Eur. J. Biochem. **187,** 255-262.
- Kowyama, Y., Kunz, C., Lewis, **I.,** Newbigln, E., Clarke, A.E., and Anderson, M.A. (1994). Self-incompatibility in a Lycopersicon peruvianum variant (LA2157) is associated with a lack of style S-RNase activity. Theor. Appl. Genet., in press.
- Lee, H.-S., Singh, A., and Kao, T.-h. (1992). RNase X2, a pistil-specific ribonuclease from Petunia inflata, shares sequence similarity with solanaceous S proteins. Plant MOI. Biol. **20,** 1131-1141.
- Lee, H.-S., Huang, S., and Kao, T.-h. (1994). *S* proteins control rejection of incompatible pollen in Petunia inflata. Nature **367,** 560-563.
- McClure, B.A., Haring, V., Ebert, P.R., Anderson, M.A., Simpson, R.J., Sakiyama, F., and Clarke, A.E. (1989). Style self-incompatibility gene products of Nicotiana alata are ribonucleases. Nature **342,** 955-957.
- McClure, B.A., Gray, J.E., Anderson, M.A., and Clarke, A.E. (1990). Self-incompatibility in Nicotiana alata involves degradation of pollen rRNA. Nature **347,** 757-760.
- Murfett, J., Atherton, T.L., Mou, B., Gasser, C.S., and McClure, B.A. (1994). S-RNase expressed in transgenic Nicotiana causes S-allele-specific pollen rejection. Nature **367,** 563-566.
- Napoli, C., Lemleux, C., and Jorgensen, **R.** (1990). lntroduction of a chimeric chalcone synthase gene into petunia results in reversible co-suppression of homologous genes *in trans.* Plant Cell **2,** 279-289.
- Newbigin, E., Anderson, M.A., and Clarke, A.E. (1993). Gametophytic self-incompatibility systems. Plant Cell 5, 1315-1324.
- Ohgl, K., Horiuchi, H., Watanabe, H., Iwama, M., Takagi, M., and Masachika, **1.** (1992). Evidence that three histidine residues of a base non-specific and adenylic acid preferential ribonuclease from *Rhizopus niveus* are involved in the catalytic function. J. Biochem. **112,** 132-138.
- Sims, T.L. (1993). Genetic regulation of self-incompatibility. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. **12,** 129-167.
- Singh, A., and Kao, T.-h. (1992). Gametophytic self-incompatibility: Biochemical, molecular genetic, and evolutionary aspects. Int. Rev. Cytol. **140,** 449-483.
- Singh, A., AI, Y., and Kao, T.-h. (1991). Characterization of ribonuclease activity of three S allele-associated proteins of Petunia inflata. Plant Physiol. **96,** 61-68.
- Taylor, C.B., Bariola, P.A., DelCardayre, S.B., Raines, R.T., and Green, P.J. (1993). RNS2: A senescence-associated RNase of Arabidopsis that diverged from the S-RNases before speciation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **90,** 5118-5122.
- Thompson, **R.D.,** and Kirch, H.-H. (1992). The S-locus of flowering plants: When self-rejection is self-interest. Trends Genet. 8,383-387.