The Plant Cell, Vol. 7, 195-201, February 1995 © 1995 American Society of Plant Physiologists

Isolation of the Arabidopsis GA4 Locus

Hui-Hwa Chiang, Inhwan Hwang,¹ and Howard M. Goodman²

Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School and Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114

Progeny from a transgenic Arabidopsis plant generated by the Agrobacterium root transformation procedure were found to segregate for a gibberellin (GA)-responsive semidwarf phenotype. Complementation analysis with genetically characterized GA-responsive mutants revealed that the transgenic plant has an insertional mutation (ga4-2) that is an allele of the ga4 locus. The semidwarf phenotype of ga4-2 is inherited as a recessive mutation that cosegregates with both the T-DNA insert and the kanamycin resistance trait. DNA gel blot analysis indicated that the insertion site contains a complex T-DNA unit. A genomic library was constructed with DNA from the tagged ga4 mutant; a DNA clone was isolated from the library that flanks the T-DNA insert. The plant sequence isolated from this clone was used to isolate the corresponding full-length genomic and cDNA clones from wild-type libraries. DNA sequence comparison of the clones to the existing data bases suggests that they encode a hydroxylase. This conclusion is in agreement with a biochemical study that indicated that the ga4 mutant is deficient in 3β -hydroxylase in the GA biosynthetic pathway of Arabidopsis. RNA gel blot analysis showed that the message is ubiquitously expressed in different tissues of Arabidopsis but most abundantly in the silique. Unexpectedly, a higher level of transcription was detected in the ethyl methanesulfonate-induced ga4 mutant, and this overexpression was repressed by treatment with exogenous GA.

INTRODUCTION

Gibberellins (GAs) are a large family of tetracyclic diterpenoid plant growth hormones that promote various growth and developmental processes in higher plants, such as seed germination, stem elongation, flowering, and fruiting (Crozier, 1983). A number of GA-responsive dwarf mutants have been isolated from various plant species, such as maize, pea, tomato, and Arabidopsis (Phinney, 1956; Koornneef, 1978; Koornneef et al., 1990; Reid and Ross, 1993). The dwarf mutants of maize (dwarf-1, dwarf-2, dwarf-3, and dwarf-5) were used to characterize the maize GA biosynthetic pathway by determining specific steps leading to biologically important metabolites (Phinney and Spray, 1982; Fujioka et al., 1988). Similar studies have been done with the dwarf mutants from pea (Reid and Ross, 1993). GA-deficient mutants have also been isolated from Arabidopsis (ga1, ga2, ga3, ga4, and ga5) (Koornneef and van der Veen, 1980). The Arabidopsis ga4 mutant, induced by ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) mutagenesis, is a germinating, GAresponsive, semidwarf whose phenotype can be restored to the wild type by repeated application of exogenous GA (Koornneef and van der Veen, 1980). In Arabidopsis, based on GA analysis, it appears that the ga4 mutant allele blocks the conversion to 3β-hydroxy GAs, reducing the endogenous levels of GA₁, GA₈, and GA₄ and increasing the endogenous levels of GA₁₉, GA₂₀, and GA₉ (Talon et al., 1990). The reduced levels of the 3β-hydroxy GAs result in the semidwarf phenotype of the *ga4* mutant. The mutated GA4 gene may therefore encode an altered form of 3β-hydroxylase, as has been suggested for the *l*e mutant of pea (Ross et al., 1989).

We report here the identification of a new allele of the *ga4* locus generated by Agrobacterium root transformation (Valvekens et al., 1988). The mutant phenotype is inherited as a recessive mutation that cosegregates with the T-DNA insert, indicating that the *ga4* locus is tagged by T-DNA. In this study, we describe the cloning of the *GA4* locus and its transcriptional regulation.

RESULTS

Characterization of a T-DNA Insertion Mutant Allelic to ga4

In the course of plant transformation experiments designed for another purpose, a semidwarf mutant was generated from Arabidopsis (Landsberg erecta [er]) as a result of Agrobacterium-mediated root transformation (Valvekens et al., 1988). This mutant transgenic plant elongates its shoots in response to added GA_3 (Figure 1). There are several different GA-

¹ Current address: Plant Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Research Center, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju, 660-701, Republic of Korea.

² To whom correspondence should be addressed.



Figure 1. T-DNA-Tagged Mutant Is an Allele of the GA4 Locus.

Both the T-DNA-tagged allele ga4-2 (T) and the EMS-induced allele ga4-1 (ga4) responded to GA₃ treatment with shoot elongation (T + GA₃ and $ga4 + GA_3$, respectively). The complementation analysis of the ga4-2 plant with ga4-1 plant ($ga4 \times T$) revealed that the transgenic plant has an insertional mutation that is an allele of the GA4 locus. W, canonical wild type, Landsberg *er*.

responsive mutants in Arabidopsis, and therefore to test for allelism, the transgenic plant was crossed to them in pairwise combinations. Complementation analysis with the other genetically characterized semidwarf mutants in Arabidopsis revealed that the cross between the transgenic plant and the EMS-induced *ga4* plant (Koornneef and van der Veen, 1980) does not complement the mutant phenotype (Figure 1). Therefore, the mutation in the transgenic plant is an allele of the *ga4* locus.

To test for cosegregation of the mutant phenotype and the T-DNA insert, the T₁ progeny of the transgenic mutant that exhibited the semidwarf trait were outcrossed either to an Arabidopsis *transparent testa2 (tt2)* plant or to wild-type C24. The self-fertilized F₂ progeny from those two crosses were tested for segregation of the kanamycin resistance marker encoded by the T-DNA. Progeny were grown on sterile medium containing 50 mg/L kanamycin, and the ratio of kanamycin-resistant plants to kanamycin-sensitive plants was determined by their viability. Because approximately three-quarters of the F₂ progeny from both crosses were resistant to kanamycin (Table 1), the data indicate that there is one T-DNA insertion site in the transgenic plant. The self-fertilized F₂ progeny from the

two crosses were also tested for segregation of the mutant phenotype. The results from both crosses (Table 2) show that a quarter of the resulting F_2 progeny exhibited the semidwarf phenotype, indicating that the semidwarf phenotype is inherited

Table 1. Segregation Ratios of the Kanamycin-Resistant andKanamycin-Sensitive F_2 Progeny from ga4-2 (T-DNA-TaggedAllele) Plants Crossed to tt2 Plants or Crossed to C24 Wild-TypePlants

F ₂ Plant	Kan ^r :Kan ^s	Approximate Segregation Ratios	T-DNA Insertions	
ga4-2 × tt2	163:56	3:1	1 (P > 0.8)	
ga4-2 × C24	104:29	3:1	1 (P > 0.3)	

Progeny were grown on sterile mineral nutrient medium containing 50 mg/L kanamycin; the ratio of kanamycin-resistant (Kan^r) plants to sensitive (Kan^s) plants was determined from their viability. The number of T-DNA insertion sites predicted from the 3:1 segregation ratio and their probabilities from the chi-square test are shown.

Table 2. Segregation Ratios of the Wild-Type and Semidwarf F_2 Progeny from *ga4-2* (T-DNA-Tagged Allele) Plants Crossed to *tt2* Plants or Crossed to C24 Wild-Type Plants

F ₂ Plant	Wild Type: Dwarf	Approximate Segregation Ratios	Mutant Loci	
ga4-2 × tt2	151:53	3:1	1 (P > 0.5)	
ga4-2 × C24	74:25	3:1	1 (P > 0.9)	

Progeny were soil grown, and the ratio of plants that showed the wildtype compared with semidwarf phenotype was determined. The number of mutant loci predicted from the 3:1 segregation ratio and their probabilities from the chi-square test are shown.

as a single recessive mutation. Although the data from these two independent tests are indicative, we could not conclude that the *ga4* allele is tagged by the T-DNA insert. The presence of the insert and its linkage with the mutant trait were therefore further tested by DNA gel blot analysis.

Twenty F₃ progeny from self-fertilized F₂ plants (transgenic plant × tt2) were selected for their semidwarf phenotype and then tested for linkage of the T-DNA insert and the mutant phenotype by DNA gel blot analysis. DNA was isolated from leaf tissue of the individual F₃ progeny and digested with HindIII. After separation on an agarose gel and transfer to nylon filters, the DNA gel blot was probed with the ³²P-labeled pBIN19 plasmid containing the T-DNA border sequences (Bevan, 1984). The probe hybridized to DNA from all the representative transgenic plants, confirming the presence of the T-DNA insert (Figure 2). The hybridization pattern correlated with the T-DNA insert and the T-DNA-plant DNA junctions, and there was no hybridization with the wild-type (Landsberg er) control. The hybridization pattern also indicated that the insertion site contains a complex T-DNA unit (there are four fragments associated with the T-DNA insert in all representative plants) and that all of these fragments cosegregate with the mutant phenotype. Thus, data from both the segregation test (Table 2) and the DNA gel blot analysis (Figure 2) indicate that the T-DNA insert is the cause of the semidwarf mutation in the transgenic plant (the T-DNA-tagged allele will be referred to as ga4-2) and that the T-DNA insert is tightly linked to the ga4 locus (the EMS-induced allele will be referred to as ga4-1).

Isolation of the GA4 Gene

A genomic library was constructed with DNA isolated from F_4 progeny of the *ga4-2* plant. Genomic clone λ T1-5 was derived by screening the genomic library with ³²P-labeled pBIN19 as a probe. After plaque purification, the clone was characterized by restriction enzyme analysis (Figure 3A). To identify the region that corresponds to the T-DNA insertion site, the HindIII fragments of the genomic clone were subcloned into the

plasmid vector pBluescript KS–. The 1.2-kb HindIII fragment subclone pT12 contains the T-DNA–plant DNA junction and was used to identify the insertion site by sequencing into the T-DNA insertion break point. The plant sequences from the flanking 3.4-kb HindIII fragment subclone pT34 were used to isolate the corresponding wild-type genomic clone λ WT6 (Figure 3B). The 3.2-kb HindIII subclone (pWT32) from λ WT6 contains the sequences corresponding to the T-DNA insertion site in λ T1-5 and was used as a probe to screen the wild-type leaf cDNA library and the *ga4-1* genomic library. The full-length *ga4-1* genomic and wild-type cDNA clones isolated span sequences contained in both clone pT34 and pWT32.

Nucleotide and Amino Acid Sequences

The GA4 cDNA is 1077 nucleotides with an open reading frame of 359 amino acids (Figure 4). There is a single 433-bp intron whose position was deduced from a comparison of the cDNA and genomic sequences. Sequence analysis of the T-DNA– plant DNA junction indicates that the T-DNA insertion is within the intron. Analysis of the sequence revealed two possible AUG initiation codons (nucleotide position 1 and nucleotide position 10) within the open reading frame, both of which have weak



Figure 2. DNA Gel Blot Hybridization Analysis Showing Cosegregation of the T-DNA Insert with the *ga4* Mutation.

DNA was isolated from leaf tissue of F_3 progeny of individual F_2 (*ga4-2* × *tt2*) plants exhibiting the semidwarf phenotype (lanes 1 to 8). The DNA was digested with HindIII, separated by electrophoresis, bound to nylon filters, and then hybridized to ³²P-labeled pBIN19 plasmid that contains the T-DNA border sequences. The hybridization pattern correlates with the T-DNA insert and the T-DNA-plant DNA junctions. Four fragments associated with the T-DNA insert are visible in all plants (lanes 1 to 8) and cosegregated with the semidwarf phenotype. Molecular length markers are given at left in kilobases. L, canonical, wild type, Landsberg *er.*



Figure 3. Restriction Maps of the Genomic Clones and Subclones Used To Isolate the GA4 Gene.

(A) Genomic clone λ T1-5. This clone was derived by screening the ga4-2 genomic library using the ³²P-labeled pBIN19 vector as a probe. The 1.2-kb HindIII fragment subclone pT12 contains the T-DNA-plant DNA junction and was used to identify the insertion site by sequencing into the T-DNA insertion break point. The plant sequences from the flanking 3.4-kb HindIII fragment subclone pT34 were used to isolate the corresponding wild-type genomic clone λ WT6.

(B) Genomic clone λ WT6. The subclone pWT32, which corresponds to the the T-DNA insertion site in λ T1-5, was used as a probe to screen the wild-type leaf cDNA library and the *ga4-1* genomic library. All constructs were subcloned into pBluescript KS-.

H, HindIII restriction site.

homology with the "Kozak" consensus sequence for translation initiation (Kozak, 1987; Lütcke et al., 1987).

To confirm that the sequence determined is indeed the GA4 locus, genomic fragments from the other allele, *ga4-1*, were isolated and sequenced. The *ga4-1* allele was generated by EMS mutagenesis in the same genetic background, Landsberg er. Sequence analysis of *ga4-1* indicates that the EMS-induced mutation occurs at nucleotide 659 (Figure 4), resulting in a single nucleotide change from G to A and a corresponding amino acid change from cysteine to tyrosine. This nucleotide change in the coding region, which led to the amino acid change, is presumably responsible for the *ga4-1* mutation.

Data base searches indicated sequence similarity with several genes. An alignment of the amino acid sequence of GA4 with barley flavanone-3-hydroxylase (Meldgaard, 1992) showed 24% amino acid identity, and alignment with pumpkin gibberellin 20-oxidase (Lange et al., 1994) showed 22% amino acid identity (Figure 5). In addition, alignment with the amino acid sequence of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase (ethylene-forming enzyme) from avocado (McGarvey et al., 1990) showed 18% amino acid identity (data not shown). The GA4 locus therefore probably encodes a hydroxylase; this conclusion is in agreement with a biochemical study that indicated GA4 most likely encodes the protein 3β -hydroxylase in the GA biosynthetic pathway of Arabidopsis (Talon et al., 1990).

The ga4 Mutant Overexpresses ga4 mRNA

To study the pattern of *GA4* gene expression, total RNA was isolated from different tissue types, and RNA gel blots were hybridized with a GA4-specific probe (Figure 4). A 1.4-kb transcript was detected in roots, flowers, and siliques when 2 μ g of total RNA from each sample was loaded on the gel (Figure 6A). The same-size transcript was detected in leaves when

1	ATGCCTGCTATGTTAACAGATGTGTTTAGAGGCCATCCCATTCACCTCCCACACTCTCAC M P A M L T D V F R G H P I H L P H S H	20
61	ATACCTGACTTCACATCTCTCCGGGAGCTCCCGGATTCTTACAAGTGGACCCCTAAAGAC	120
21	I P D F T S L R E L P D S Y K W T P K D	40
121	GATCTCCTCTTCTCCGCTGCTCCTTCTCCTCCGGCCACCGGTGAAAACATCCCTCTCATC	18
41	D L L F S A A P S P P A T G E N I P L I	60
181	GACCTCGACCACCCGGACGACTAACCAAATCGGTCATGCATG	24
61	D L D H P D A T N Q I G H A C R T W G A	80
241	TTCCAAATCTCAAACCACGGCGTGCCTTTGGGACTTCTCCAAGACATTGAGTTTCTCACC	. 300
81	FQISNHGVPLGLLQDIEFLT	100
301	GGTAGTCTCTTCGGGCTACCTGTCCAACGCAAGCTTAAGTCTGCTCGGTCGG	360
101	G S L F G L P V Q R K <u>L K S A R S E T G</u>	. 120
361	GTGTCCGGCTACGCGTCGCTCGTATCGCATCTTTCTTCAATAAGCAAATGTGGTCCGAAG	420
121	<u>V S G Y A S L V S H L S S I S K C G P K</u>	140
421	GTTTCACCATCACTGGCTCGCCTCTCAACGATTTCCGTAAACTTTGGCCCCCAACATCACC	480
141	<u>V S P S L A R L S T I S V N F G P N I T</u>	160
481	TCAACTACTGCGATATCGTATGAAGAGTACGAGGAACATATGAAAAAGTTGGCATCGAAA	540
161	<u>STTA</u> ISYEEYEEHMKKLASK	180
541	TTGATGTGGTTAGCACTAAATTCACTTGGGGTCAGCGAAGAAGACATTGAATGGGCCAGT	600
181	LMWLALNSLGVSEEDIEWAS	200
601	CTCAGTTCAGATTTAAACTGGGCCCAAGCTGCTCTCCAGCTAAATCACTACCCGGTTTGT	660
201	L S S D L N W A Q A A L Q L N H Y P V C	220
661	CCTGAACCGGACCGAGCCATGGGTCTAGCAGCTCATACCGACTCCACCCTCCTAACCATT	720
221	PEPDRAMGLAAHTDSTLLTI	240
721	CTGTACCAGAACAATACCGCCGGTCTACAAGTATTTCGCGATGATCTTGGTTGG	780
241	L Y Q N N T A G L Q V F R D D L G W V T	260
781	GTGCCACCGTTTCCTGGCTCGCTCGTGGTTAACGTTGGTGACCTCTTCCACATCCTATCC	840
261	V P P F P G S L V V N V G D L F H I L S	280
841	AATGGATTGTTTAAAAGCGTGTTGCACCGCGCTCGGGTTAACCAAACCAGAGCCCGGTTA	900
281	NGLPKSVLHRARVNQTRARL	300
901	TCTGTAGCATTCCTTTGGGGTCCGCAATCTGATATCAAGATATCACCTGTACCGAAGCTG	960
301	S V A F L W G P Q S D I K I S P V P K L	320
961	GTTAGTCCCGTTGAATCGCCTCTATACCAATCGGTGACATGGAAAGAGTATCTTCGAACA	102
321	V S P V E S P L Y Q S V T W K E Y L R T	340
1021	AAAGCAACTCACTTCAACAAAGCTCTTTCAATGATTAGAAATCACAGAGAAGAATGA	107
341	KATHFNKALSMIRN'HREE*	359
	TTAGATAATAATAGTTGTGATCTACTAGTTAGTTGATTAATAAATTGTTGTAAATGATT TCAGCAATATGATTTGTTTGTCCTCAA	

Figure 4. Nucleotide and Deduced Amino Acid Sequence of the GA4 cDNA Clone.

The GA4 cDNA is encoded by 1077 nucleotides and has an open reading frame of 359 amino acids (Genome Sequence Data Base Accession No. L37126). The position of the intron as deduced from a comparison of cDNA and genomic sequences is indicated by ▼. The site of T-DNA insertion is within the intron. The EMS-induced mutation occurs at nucleotide 659 (*) and results in a single nucleotide change from G to A and an amino acid change from cysteine to tyrosine. The underlined area indicates the sequence of the polymerase chain reaction–labeled probe used for RNA gel blot analysis. $4 \,\mu g$ of total RNA was loaded on the gel (Figure 6B). The gene was expressed ubiquitously in the different tissues examined (root, leaf, flower, and silique), but the message was most abundant in the silique.

There was differential expression between the wild type and mutants in 4-week-old rosette leaves. There was three- to fourfold more message expressed in the EMS-induced *ga4-1* plants when compared with the wild type, but no message was detected in the T-DNA-tagged *ga4-2* plants (Figure 6B). The overexpression of *ga4* message, which was detected in the *ga4-1* plants, can be repressed by the application of 10^{-5} M GA₃ on the rosette leaves of Arabidopsis. The transcriptional repression was detected at 8 hr after the initial treatment and lasted for up to 24 hr (Figure 6C).

DISCUSSION

We have isolated the Arabidopsis GA4 gene by T-DNA tagging. The T-DNA insertion mutant ga4-2 and the EMS-induced mutant ga4-1 both contain sequence alterations in the gene. The changes in the mutant alleles interfere with normal transcription. The deduced amino acid sequence of the GA4 protein shows similarity with the sequences of flavanone-3-hydroxylase and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase from a variety of plant species (Deikman and Fischer, 1988; McGarvey et al., 1990; Britsch et al., 1992; Meldgaard, 1992). On the basis of this sequence similarity, we propose that the GA4 gene encodes a hydroxylase involved in GA biosynthesis. This conclusion agrees with information based on biochemical studies (Talon et al., 1990) that showed that the Arabidopsis *ga4* mutant had reduced levels of the 3-hydroxy and 3,13-hydroxy GAs and that it accumulates the 13-hydroxy GAs and the non-3,13hydroxy GAs, with some exceptions. Therefore, the *GA4* gene most likely encodes a protein with 3β-hydroxylation activity, but its actual enzymatic activity remains to be demonstrated. Presumably, a similar activity and gene sequence will be found for the cognate genes corresponding to *GA4* in agronomically important crop plants.

The overexpression of ga4 message in the EMS-induced ga4-1 mutant and transcriptional regulation by exogenous GA₃ are novel findings with regard to the regulation of the GA biosynthetic pathway. The terminal GAs in Arabidopsis are GA1 and GA4, which are effective in causing stem elongation (Talon et al., 1990). GA₃ has been shown to be present at low levels in vegetative tissue of maize. GA₃ is biosynthesized from GA₂₀ via GA₅; GA₁ is the product of GA₃ in maize (Fulioka et al., 1990). There is no evidence of GA₃ biosynthesis in Arabidopsis, but experiments show that exogenous GA₃ is active in promoting stem elongation in Arabidopsis and in other species. The biological activity may be induced by either GA₃ itself or the terminal GAs, such as GA₁, as shown in the proposed pathway in maize (Fujioka et al., 1990). In wildtype plants, the concentrations and proportions of the cellular GAs are maintained by the balance between synthesis and utilization. In the ga4-1 plant, this balance is perturbed by the mutation and the concomitant reduction in the catalytic activity

F3h Ga-20 Ga4	MALNGKVATE	SAPSNLNEEM PAMLTDVFRG	V S N E T F L P T E K G E Y R P P F G G H P I H L P H S H I	AWGEATLRPS SDESKVPBDF PDFTSLRELP	F V R D E D B R P K I W S E K F B A S E D S Y K W T P K D D	VAHDRFSDAV LLPVLDVPTI LLFSAAPSPP	43 60 51
F3h	PLISLHGIDG	A R R A Q I R D R V	A A A C E D W G I F	Q V I D H G V D A D	LIADMTRLAR	E F F A L PA E D K	103
Ga-20	DLEKFMSGDK	S Y V E E A T R L V	D E A C R Q H G I F	F V V N H G V D I E	MMGRVHDCMN	E F F T IP L D V K	120
Ga4	ATGENIPLID	L D H P D A T N Q	G H A C R T W G A F	Q I S N H G V P L G	LLQDIEFLTG	S IF G L P V Q R F	111
F3h	E R Y D M S G G K K	GGER. IVSSHL	Q G E A V Q D W R E	I V T Y F S Y P V K	ARDYGRWPEK	PAGWCAVVER	162
Ga-20	Q R A K R K V G E S	YGYTNSFFGR	F A S N L P W K E T	F S L R C V A A Q N	SSAAHDYVLD	TLCPSFSHHG	180
Ga4	L K S A R S E T G V	SGYASLVSHL	S S I S K C G P K V	S P S L A R L S T I	SVNFGPNI	TSTTAISYEE	169
F3h	Y S E R L MG L S C	N L M G V L S E A	CLETEATAKA	C V D M B	Q K V V X V F Y P R	C P Q P D L T L G L	217
Ga-20	K A Y Q E C G I A L	N E G G T K I V E L	LGLSLGISRE	Y F K N F F E D N D	S I L R L N Y Y P T	C D K P W V V L G T	240
Ga4	Y E E H M K K L A S	K L M W L A L N S L	CVSEEDIEWA	S L S S D L N W A Q	A A L Q L N H Y P V	C P E P D R A W G L	229
F3h	K R H T D P G T I T	LLLQDLVGGL	Q A TR DCG K NW	I T V Q P I S G A F	V V N L G D H G H F	M S N G R F K N A D	277
Ga-20	G P H T D P T S V T	ILHQDPVSGL	Q V C S ND Q W	Y S P P N P A F	V I N I G D T F T S	L T N G I M K G C I	298
Ga4	A A H T D S T L L T	ILYQNNTAGL	Q V F R D D . L G W	V T V P P F P G S M	V V N V G D L F H I	L S N G L F K S V L	288
F3h	H Q A V V N G E S S	R L S I A TF Q N P	A P D A R V W P L A	. VREGEEPIL	E E P I TETEMY	R R K M E R . D L D	335
Ga-20	H R A V V N S M N A	R K S L A F F L C P	S H D K V V R A P E	ELVEKSPPRK	Y P D Y KM P M L	E M T Q K R Y R P D	358
Ga4	H R A <mark>R</mark> V N Q T R A	R L S V A F W G P	Q S D I K S P V P	KLVSPVESPL	Y Q S V TE K E Y L	F T H A T H F N K A	348
F3h Ga-20 Ga4	. A K R K K Q A K D C N T L E A F K T W . S M I R N H R E E	Q L M Q Q Q L Q L Q V Q E G K A L D T G	Q Q Q A V A A <mark>A</mark> P M S T I T A P S <mark>A</mark>	РТАТКРЬМЕ I 	LA377 386 358		

Figure 5. Amino Acid Sequence Comparison of GA4, Barley Flavanone-3-Hydroxylase, and Pumpkin Gibberellin 20-Oxidase.

Alignment is shown for the deduced amino acid sequences of the GA4 gene (Ga4) from Arabidopsis, flavanone-3-hydroxylase (F3h) from barley (Meldgaard, 1992), and gibberellin 20-oxidase (Ga-20) from pumpkin (Lange et al., 1994). Identical residues are shown in black boxes with white lettering; conserved amino acids are indicated by light shading. Dots were introduced to optimize alignment.



Figure 6. RNA Gel Blot Analysis of GA4 Gene Expression in Arabidopsis.

RNA blots were subjected to hybridization with a ³²P-labeled polymerase chain reaction GA4-specific probe (see Figure 4, legend).

(A) GA4 gene expression in different tissues (silique, flower, root, and leaf). Approximately 2 μg of total RNA from each sample was loaded on the gel.

(B) ga4 gene expression in 4-week-old rosette leaves of ga4-2 plants (T-ga4), ga4-1 plants (ga4), and wild-type Landsberg *er* (Lan). Approximately 4 μ g of total RNA from each sample was loaded on the gel. **(C)** ga4 gene expression in ga4-1 with (+) or without (-) exogenous GA₃. The ga4-1 plants were sprayed with 10⁻⁵ M GA₃, and leaf samples were taken 8 and 24 hr after the treatment. Approximately 2 μ g of total RNA from each sample was loaded on the gel.

of the 3β -hydroxylase, which leads to the accumulation of GA_9 and GA_{20} and the reduction in GA_4 and GA_1 , respectively. The mutated gene would lead either to translation of the mutant form of the protein (presumably inactive or less active) or to no translation at all. The overexpression of *ga4* message, as detected in the *ga4-1* plants and the repression of transcription by exogenous GA_3 , indicates a transcriptional feedback regulatory mechanism. One hypothesis to explain these results in the *ga4-1* plants is that the regulatory domain of the GA4 protein is intact but the reduced levels of endogenous GA_4 and GA_1 diminish the feedback control by the terminal GAs. The application of exogenous GA_3 , which leads to the accumulation of terminal GAs in Arabidopsis, restores the feedback mechanism. It has been previously established that 3β -hydroxylation is important in the regulation of stem growth (Phinney and Spray, 1982; Ingram et al., 1984). The results of the studies presented here indicate that, in addition to the critical roles the properties and compartmentalization of the active GAs play in stem growth, molecular regulatory mechanisms also play an important part in the control of GA biosynthesis.

METHODS

Plants and RNA and DNA Isolation

An ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS)-induced *ga4* mutant (*ga4-1*) was obtained from M. Koornneef (Agricultural University, Wageningen, The Netherlands). A T-DNA-tagged *ga4* mutant (*ga4-2*) was generated by *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* root transformation with the pBIN19 vector (Bevan, 1984). Plants were grown under greenhouse conditions using a 16-hr light/8-hr dark cycle. Tissue for DNA and RNA isolation was harvested at \sim 3 to 4 weeks after planting and before bolting, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -70° C. Genomic DNA was isolated using the methods of Watson and Thompson (1986). Total RNA was isolated using the methods of Ausubel et al. (1989).

Library Construction and Screening

The genomic libraries for the T-DNA insertion mutant (*ga4-2*) and EMSinduced mutant (*ga4-1*) were constructed in λ FIX II vectors (Stratagene) and packaged using Gigapack II Gold packaging extracts (Stratagene). The *ga4-1*, *ga4-2*, and Landsberg *erecta* (*er*) genomic libraries and the Landsberg cDNA library were plated on strain ER1458. Plaque lifts were made using Hybond filters (Amersham Corp.), which were then autoclaved for 2 min. Filters were hybridized with probes as described for DNA and RNA gel blot analysis.

DNA Subcloning and Sequencing

Bacteriophage λ DNA was prepared from ER1458 lysates according to the miniprep method of Grossberger (1987). DNA fragments were subcloned into pBluescript KS- vectors (Stratagene) and used to transform JM109.

Double-stranded DNA was isolated from plasmid clones and purified by CsCl banding. Sequencing was performed using α -³⁵S-dATP and Sequenase (United States Biochemical Corp.) according to the manufacturer's protocol for double-stranded DNA sequencing. Sequence analysis was performed using the GCG sequence analysis software package (Genetics Computer Group, Inc., Madison, WI) and the Blast network service of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (Bethesda, MD).

DNA and RNA Gel Blot Analysis

Electrophoresis of DNA was in Tris–acetate–EDTA buffer with subsequent transfer in 25 mM NaHPO₄ to Biotrans filters (International Chemical and Nuclear Corp., Aurora, OH). RNA samples were electrophoresed in agarose gels containing RNase inhibitor using 3-(*N*-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid–EDTA buffer and transferred to nylon filters as was done for DNA gel blot analysis. Filters were UV cross-linked using a Stratalinker (Stratagene) and baked for 1 hr at 80°C.

Radioactive probes were separated from unincorporated nucleotides using a 1-mL Sephadex G-50 spin column (Pharmacia) and denatured in a microwave oven (Stroop and Schaefer, 1989). Prehybridization for 1 hr and hybridization overnight were performed at 65°C in the hybridization buffer described by Church and Gilbert (1984). Filters were washed once for 15 min in $2 \times SSC$ (1 $\times SSC$ is 0.15 M NaCl, 0.015 M sodium citrate) at room temperature and then twice for 30 min in 0.1 \times SSC, 0.1% SDS at 60°C. The damp filters were autoradiographed at -80°C using intensifying screens. Filters were stripped twice in 2 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.2% SDS at 70°C for 30 min prior to reprobing (Church and Gilbert, 1984).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Maarten Koornneef for the Arabidopsis *ga4-1* mutant. We . would also like to thank Brian Tague for useful discussions and Jyan-Chyun Jang for photographic assistance and helpful suggestions. This work was supported by U.S. Department of Agriculture Grant No. 92-37300-7649 and by a grant from Hoechst AG.

Received August 30, 1994; accepted December 7, 1994.

REFERENCES

- Ausubel, F.M., Brent, R., Kingston, R.E., Moore, D.D., Seidman, J.G., Smith, J.A., and Struhl, K., eds (1989). Current Protocols in Molecular Biology. (New York: Green Publishing Associates Wiley Interscience).
- Bevan, M. (1984). Binary Agrobacterium vectors for plant transformation. Nucl. Acids Res. 12, 8711–8721.
- Britsch, L., Ruhnau-Brich, B., and Forkmann, G. (1992). Molecular cloning: Sequence analysis and in vitro expression of flavanone 3βhydroxylase from Petunia hybrida. J. Biol. Chem. 267, 5380–5387.
- Church, G.M., and Gilbert, W. (1984). Genomic sequencing. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 1991–1995.
- Crozier, A., ed (1983). The Biochemistry and Physiology of Gibberellins, Vol. 2. (New York: Praeger).
- Deikman, J., and Fischer, R.L. (1988). Interaction of a DNA binding factor with the 5'-flanking region of an ethylene-responsive fruit ripening gene from tomato. EMBO J. 7, 3315–3320.
- Fujioka, S., Yamane, H., Spray, C.R., Gaskin, P., MacMillan, J., Phinney, B.O., and Takahashi, N. (1988). Qualitative and quantitative analyses of gibberellins in vegetative shoots of normal, dwarf-1, dwarf-2, dwarf-3, and dwarf-5 seedlings of Zea mays L. Plant Physiol. 88, 1367–1372.
- Fujioka, S., Yamane, H., Spray, C.R., Phinney, B.O., Gaskin, P., MacMillan, J., and Takahashi, N. (1990). Gibberellin A₃ is biosyn-

thesized from gibberellin A_{20} via gibberellin A_5 in shoots of Zea mays L. Plant Physiol. **94**, 127–131.

- Grossberger, D. (1987). Minipreps of DNA from bacteriophage lambda. Nucl. Acids Res. 15, 6737.
- Ingram, T.J., Teid, J.B., Murfet, I.C., Gaskin, P., Willis, C.L., and MacMillan, J. (1984). Internode length in *Pisum*. Planta 160, 455–463.
- Koornneef, M. (1978). Gibberellin-sensitive mutants in Arabidopsis thaliana. Arabidopsis Inf. Serv. 15, 17–20.
- Koornneef, M., and van der Veen, J.H. (1980). Induction and analysis of gibberellin sensitive mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. Theor. Appl. Genet. 58, 257–263.
- Koornneef, M., Bosma, T.D.G., Hanhart, C.J., van der Veen, J.H., and Zeevaart, J.A.D. (1990). The isolation and characterization of gibberellin-deficient mutants in tomato. Theor. Appl. Genet. 80, 852–857.
- Kozak, M. (1987). An analysis of 5'-noncoding sequences from 699 vertebrate messenger RNAs. Nucl. Acids Res. 15, 8125–8148.
- Lange, T., Hedden, P., and Graebe, J.E. (1994). Expression cloning of a gibberellin 20-oxidase, a multifunctional enzyme involved in gibberellin biosynthesis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 91, 8552–8556.
- Lütcke, H.A., Chow, K.C., Michel, F.S., Moss, K.A., Kern, H.F., and Scheele, G.A. (1987). Selection of AUG initiation codons differs in plants and animals. EMBO J. 6, 43–48.
- McGarvey, D.J., Yu, H., and Christoffersen, R.E. (1990). Nucleotide sequence of a ripening related cDNA from avocado fruit. Plant Mol. Biol. 15, 165–167.
- Meldgaard, M. (1992). Expression of chalcone synthase, dihydroflavanol reductase, and flavanone-3-hydroxylase in mutants of barley deficient in anthocyanin and proanthocyanidin biosynthesis. Theor. Appl. Genet. 83, 695–706.
- Phinney, B.O. (1956). Growth response of single gene dwarf mutants in maize to gibberellic acid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 42, 185–189.
- Phinney, B.O., and Spray, C.R. (1982). Chemical genetics and the gibberellin pathway in *Zea mays* L. In Plant Growth Substance, P.F. Wareing, ed (New York: Academic Press), pp. 101–110.
- Reid, J.B., and Ross, J.J. (1993). A mutant-based approach, using *Pisum sativum*, to understanding plant growth. Int. J. Plant Sci. 154, 22–34.
- Ross, J.J., Reid, J.B., Gaskin, P., and MacMillan, J. (1989). Internode length in *Pisum*: Estimation of GA₁ levels in genotypes *Le*, *le* and *le^d*. Physiol. Plant. **76**, 173–176.
- Stroop, W.G., and Schaefer, D.C. (1989). Comparative effect of microwaves and boiling on the denaturation of DNA. Anal. Biochem. 182, 222–225.
- Talon, M., Koornneef, M., and Zeevaart, J.A.D. (1990). Endogenous gibberellins in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and possible steps blocked in the biosynthetic pathways of the semidwarf *ga4* and *ga5* mutants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **87**, 7983–7987.
- Valvekens, D., Van Montagu, M., and van Lijsebettens, M. (1988). Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana root explants by using kanamycin selection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 85, 5536–5540.
- Watson, J.C., and Thompson, W.F. (1986). Purification and restriction endonuclease analysis of plant nuclear DNA. Methods Enzymol. 118, 57–75.