

CORRESPONDENCE

Rugby injuries to the cervical cord		
T W Hoskins, MB.....	1783	
"Lecture Notes on Medical Statistics"		
Aviva Petrie, MSc; I Clarke, FSS.....	1783	
Postanaesthetic oxygen		
J F Nunn, FFARCS.....	1784	
Diagnosis of breast tumours		
Patricia A Last, FRCSGLAS, and A R Bailey, MRCP.....	1784	
Use of the telephone in consultant practice		
E J M Campbell, FRCP(C).....	1784	
Paracetamol poisoning		
Milena Lesna, MRCPATH.....	1785	
Changing social-class distribution of heart disease		
A M Adelstein, FRCP, and L Bulusu, FSS..	1785	
Selection of patients for dialysis and transplantation		
J R T Gabriel, MRCP; R Ahmad, MB.....	1785	
Behçet's disease		
M Madkour, MRCP, and A Kudwah.....	1786	
Retroperitoneal fibrosis after treatment with atenolol		
C C Doherty, MD, and others.....	1786	
Hazards of sulphapyridine		
D Mattingly, FRCP, and others.....	1786	
Costs and benefits of serum α-fetoprotein screening		
A P Read, PHD.....	1787	
Seizure following lumbar myelography with metrizamide		
A R Wray, FRCS, and others.....	1787	
Quality control		
A R W Forrest, MRCP.....	1787	
Management of self-poisoned patients in hospital		
D R Blake, MRCP, and M G Bramble, MRCP	1788	
Caring for babies of very low birth weight		
F N Porter, MRCP.....	1788	
Social mobility in African patients with duodenal ulcers		
M G Moshal, MRCP, and L Schlemmer, BA	1788	
Dealing with residual bile duct stones		
R Mason, FRCS.....	1788	
Extending the role of the clinical nurse		
Lucy A Wagstaff, MB.....	1788	
Type II errors and ethics		
D J Newell, PHD.....	1789	
Communication with Asian diabetics		
B A Leatherdale, MRCP.....	1789	
Placement of central feeding catheters		
P Jacobs, FCP(SA), and J Jacobson, FRCS..	1789	
Listening to the lungs		
A J Johnston, MB.....	1789	
Syngamus in an Australian		
B A Latham, FRACP.....	1789	
"Ultrasound swallow"		
D J C Stevens, MB.....	1789	
Naming of drugs		
J M Kofi Ekue, MD.....	1790	
Frusemide and renal enzyme excretion		
J Petersen, MRCP, and others.....	1790	
Nitrites—to ban or not to ban?		
A A Lewis, MRCP.....	1790	
Car doors		
C Langton Hewer, FFARCS.....	1790	
Children with small stature		
C J H Kelnar, MRCP, and C G D Brook, MD	1790	
General practice records		
H W K Acheson, FRCGP.....	1790	
Nomen proprium—an unusual side effect		
J G Evans, FRCP, and J R Elliott, MB.....	1791	
A national medical service		
J P Crawford, FRCPSYCH.....	1791	
Staffing of accident and emergency departments		
R R Hardy, DM.....	1791	
Chaos caused by maternity leave regulations		
Cynthia M Illingworth, FRCP.....	1791	
Non-emergencies out of hours		
M J Illingworth, MB.....	1791	
Thoughts on hospital staffing		
E N S Fry, FFARCS.....	1792	
Consultant mileage allowances		
M Baum, FRCS.....	1792	
GP trainees' car allowance		
D M Katz, MB.....	1792	
Points Postanaesthetic oxygen (J N Lunn); Hip replacement: self-assessment of disability (A Ganel and H Horoszowski); Treatment of pressure sores (Brigadier B Ramamurthi); Data sheets and ABPI Compendium (C D E Morris).....	1792	
Correction: Heart valve replacement		
English <i>et al.</i>	1792	

Correspondents are urged to write briefly so that readers may be offered as wide a selection of letters as possible. So many are being received that the omission of some is inevitable. Letters must be signed personally by all their authors.

As stated each week in "Instructions to authors" no letter will be acknowledged unless a stamped addressed envelope or an international reply coupon is enclosed.

Rugby injuries to the cervical cord

SIR,—In a leading article last year¹ drawing attention to the slow evolution of rugby football as a hard contact sport you stated that cervical cord injuries due to rugby are rare, although the limited statistics provided suggested that they were commoner in the 1970s than in previous decades. Injuries and fatalities reported in the national press (for example, *Daily Telegraph*, 4 December 1978) support that impression.

I am writing to suggest that these injuries are no longer rare and that they are taking an increasing toll of schoolboys as well as adults. On 2 December a 17-year-old patient of mine playing prop forward for his school 1st XV suffered a complete dislocation of the fifth on sixth cervical vertebra as a result of a scrum collapse and sustained severe neurological damage. In October 1977 a schoolboy in Herefordshire was injured in the same way and has suffered permanent tetraplegia and a similar injury to a Dorset schoolboy occurred this year. I know of other recent cases of cervical spine injury from school rugby in which the neurological consequences have not been so severe.

Surely the time is overdue for implementation of the measures advocated in your leading article: a review of the rules and a central reporting body for serious spinal injuries due to rugby football.

T W HOSKINS

Horsham

¹ *British Medical Journal*, 1977, 1, 1556.

"Lecture Notes on Medical Statistics"

SIR,—I was disturbed and amazed by the review of my book *Lecture Notes on Medical Statistics* (9 December, p 1631). Certainly an author must be prepared to accept the personal opinions of a reviewer when these differ from his own. However, such tolerance cannot extend to the situation in which the reviewer has clearly failed in his duty to read the book thoroughly and as a consequence makes criticisms which are unwarranted and misleading. I find myself in the unenviable position of having to correct the impression that your readers may have gained on reading

this review. The following is a list of my corrections of the reviewer's inaccuracies concerning my book in the order in which they appear.

(1) The vital link between the type of variable and type of statistical test is not omitted, as suggested. On p 87 it is clearly stated that the χ^2 test relates to the frequencies of occurrence of the individuals in the categories of two or more variables. Furthermore, contrary to the reviewer's inference, it is specifically mentioned on p 132 that one of the applications of distribution-free (non-parametric) tests is when the data are measured by the ordinal scale.

(2) The problem of small numbers is not mentioned briefly once in a flow chart, as suggested by the reviewer. The distinction between large and small numbers is mentioned on pp 50, 53, 65, 73, and 132 and discussed on p 51. Furthermore, it would appear that the reviewer has only glossed over and made no attempt at understanding the example (pp 81-83) that he criticises on the basis of the sample sizes being 12 and 13. These sample sizes are sufficient to allow a comparison of two means by the two-sample *t* test, provided the population variances do not differ. Since, in the example, there is no evidence to indicate that the population variances are unequal ($P > 0.05$, pp 85-86), consideration need not be given to whether the sample sizes are large. This thought process is clearly represented in the flow chart (p 74).

(3) In contrast to his other criticisms, the