Page 31 of 34

#### Supplementary data (Tables S1, S2 and S3)

595 **Table S1:** Variables and model parameters. Values are the same for the example involving the equilibrium and the cyclic ecological dynamics unless two are given (values for the cyclic dynamics are then included in parentheses).

**Table S2**: Individual-level equations used in the simulations. These equations apply to individuals older than 1 year for the equilibrium dynamics and to all individuals for the cyclic ecological dynamics. Population-level equations are presented in Table S3 (see also Persson

600

et al. (1998) and de Roos & Persson (2001)).

**Table S3**: Population-level equations used in the simulations of the ecological dynamics.Notice that in case of the Ricker stock-recruitment relationship, newborn individuals areassigned reversible and irreversible mass values at birth that correspond to the length at

- 605 which they are recruited to the population (*l*=50mm). This newborn cohort (with index 0) is not taking part in the population dynamics until reaching age 1 and is hence neither harvested nor included into the resource foraging of the total population (summation term in the resource dynamic equation). Without a stock-recruitment relationship the newborn cohort follows the dynamics as prescribed by the within-season equations for all cohorts and is also
- 610 harvested and included into the population foraging rate. In simulations based on the quantitative genetics approach, the newborn cohort is subdivided into a number of different sub-cohorts, which are characterized by their respective maturation sizes  $l_{mat}$ . Population dynamics follow the same systems of equations as in the absence of any phenotypic variability; the population is now made up by a larger number of smaller sub-cohorts with

615 their own body sizes (x and y) and maturation size parameters.

### References

Persson, L., Leonardsson, K., de Roos, A. M., Gyllenberg, M. & Christensen, B. 1998 Ontogenetic scaling of foraging rates and the dynamics of a size-structured consumer-

620 resource model. *Theor. Pop. Biol.* **54**, 270-293.

de Roos, A. M. & Persson, L. 2001 Physiologically structured models - from versatile technique to ecological theory. *Oikos* **94**, 51-71.

# Table S1

subject	symbol	value	unit	interpretation
Consumer	N	-	#	cohort size
	x	-	g	irreversible mass
	У	-	g	reversible mass
Seasonality	Y	90 (120)	days	growth season
Resource	R	-	g L <sup>-1</sup>	resource density
	r	0.1	$d^{-1}$	population growth rate
	Κ	0.003 (0.01)	g L <sup>-1</sup>	carrying capacity
	V	10 <sup>9</sup>	L	habitat volume
Consumer ontogeny	Wb	1.4·10 <sup>-3</sup>	g	total egg mass
	$l_{exp}$	0.29	-	allometric exponent
	$l_c$	58.9	mm g <sup>-lexp</sup>	allometric scalar
	l <sub>mat</sub>	varied	mm	maturation size
	$q_j$	0.74	-	juvenile max. condition
	$q_a$	1.0	-	adult max. condition
	<i>k</i> <sub>r</sub>	0.5	-	gonad-egg conversion efficiency
Planktivory	α	1.0 (0.6)	-	allometric exponent
	$A_{max}$	$(1.5 \cdot 10^5)$	$L d^{-1}$	maximum attack rate (* = at 95 mm length)
	$x_0$	28.7	g	optimal foraging size (irreversible mass)
Handling	ξı	3.8	$d g^{-(1+\xi_2)}$	allometric scalar
	ξ2	-0.81	-	allometric exponent
Metabolism	$\rho_I$	0.033	$g^{(1+\rho_2)} d^{-1}$	allometric scalar
	$\rho_2$	0.77	-	allometric exponent
	$k_e$	0.61	-	conversion coefficient
Natural mortality	$\mu_0$	0.014 (0.02)	$d^{-1}$	background mortality rate
	$q_s$	0.2	-	starvation condition threshold
	S	0.2	d <sup>-1</sup>	starvation mortality threshold

# Table S2

Subject	Equation
Body length	$L(x) = l_c x^{l_{exp}}$
Attack rate	$A(x) = A_{\max}\left(\frac{x}{x_0} \exp(1 - \frac{x}{x_0})\right)^{\alpha}$
Handling time	$H(x) = \xi_1 x^{\xi_2}$
Food intake rate	$I(x,R) = \frac{A(x)R}{1 + A(x)H(x)R}$
Assimilated energy	$E_a(x,R) = k_e I(x,R)$
Maintenance requirements	$E_m(x, y) = \rho_1(x+y)^{\rho_2}$
Energy balance	$E_g(x, y, R) = E_a(x, R) - E_m(x, y)$
Fraction of net energy $E_g$ allocated to growth in irreversible mass	$\kappa(x, y, R) = \begin{cases} \frac{y}{(1+q_j)q_jx} & \text{if } L(x) \le l_{mat} \text{ and } E_g > 0\\ \frac{y}{(1+q_a)q_ax} & \text{if } L(x) > l_{mat} \text{ and } E_g > 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
Starvation mortality rate	$\mu_s(x, y) = \begin{cases} s \left( q_s \frac{x}{y} - 1 \right) & \text{if } \frac{y}{x} \le q_s \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{y}{x} > q_s \end{cases}$
Total natural mortality rate	$\mu(x, y) = \mu_0 + \mu_s(x, y)$
Harvested fraction of cohort	$h(x) = \frac{h_{\max}}{1 + e^{0.15(T - L(x))}}$
Fecundity (eggs spawned)	$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} k_r(y - q_j x) / w_b & \text{if } L(x) > l_{mat} \text{ and } y > q_j x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

# Table S3

Subject	Equation
Resource dynamics	$\frac{dR}{dt} = r(K - R) - \sum_{i} I(x_i, R) \frac{N_i}{V}$
Within-season dynamics of (sub-)cohorts	$\begin{cases} \frac{dN_i}{dt} = -\mu(x_i, y_i)N_i \\ \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \kappa_i(x_i, y_i, R)E_g(x_i, y_i, R) \\ \frac{dy_i}{dt} = (1 - \kappa_i(x_i, y_i, R))E_g(x_i, y_i, R) \end{cases}$
Reproduction (Ricker stock-recruitment relationship)	$\begin{cases} N_0 = 0.01 E e^{-2 \cdot 10^{-9} E} & \text{with } E = \sum_i F(x_i, y_i) (1 - h(x_i)) N_i \\ x_0 = 0.57 \\ y_0 = 0.42 \end{cases}$
Reproduction (no stock- recruitment relationship)	$\begin{cases} N_0 = \sum_i F(x_i, y_i)(1 - h(x_i))N_i \\ x_0 = \frac{1}{1 + q_j} w_b \\ y_0 = \frac{q_j}{1 + q_j} w_b \end{cases}$

Between-season changes of older cohorts (harvesting, aging, reproduction)  $\begin{cases} N_{i+1} = (1 - h(x_i))N_i \\ x_{i+1} = x_i \\ y_{i+1} = \begin{cases} q_j x_i & \text{if } F(x_i, y_i) > 0 \\ y_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$