# Public Health Briefs

# Does Smoking Cessation Lead to Weight Gain? The Experience of Asbestos-Exposed Shipyard Workers

THOMAS J. COATES, PHD, AND VIRGINIA C. LI, PHD, MPH

Abstract: We examined the relationship between smoking cessation and weight change in a sample of 373 asbestos-exposed workers who had participated in a smoking cessation program. Those who quit smoking for one year and those who quit for shorter periods gained significantly more weight than those who continued to smoke. Those with initially poorer health and those who quit to avoid illness gained less weight. (Am J Public Health 1983; 73:1303– 1304.)

Cross-sectional studies<sup>1-5</sup> and baseline values in prospective studies<sup>6-8</sup> show that former smokers (mean = 171.67 lbs, range = 170.00 to 185.5 lbs, N = 5,346) weigh slightly more than current smokers (mean = 163.08 lbs, R = 169.8 lbs, range = 167.5 to 183.7 lbs., N = 6,749); nonsmokers fall between the two (mean = 168.68, range = 167.5 to 178.2 lbs, N = 6,152).

Brozek and Keys followed 42 males for five years.<sup>9</sup> Those who quit smoking (N = 21) gained  $8.2 \pm 4.3$  pounds while continuing smokers (N = 21) lost  $1.1 \pm 7.6$  pounds. Five other prospective studies<sup>6–8,10,11</sup> show that former smokers gain more weight (mean = 5.27 lbs, range = 3.8 to 11.7 lbs) than continuing smokers (mean = 1.09 lbs; range = 0.3 to 3.7 lbs.). Hickey and Mulcahy reported the one exception.<sup>12</sup> In a sample of post-myocardial infarction patients receiving dietary counseling, continuing smokers (N = 64) lost 0.2 pounds while quitters (N = 60) gained only 1.6 pounds over the two-year study period.

The present study examines prospectively the relationships between smoking cessation and weight change in blue collar workers. We also studied variables related to weight change in order to shed some light on the processes affecting this relationship.

### Materials and Methods

Subjects in this study were asbestos-exposed smokers identified during an asbestos medical screening program

conducted at a shipyard.<sup>13,14</sup> The men were  $42 \pm 10$  years old, and had  $12.8 \pm 3$  years of education; 84 per cent were White. The men had smoked  $24.4 \pm 12$  cigarettes per day, and 87 per cent had tried to quit previously.

Subjects completed a medical examination, a 33-item self-report instrument which included questions about demographic characteristics, smoking behavior, knowledge and concern about health risks related to smoking, medical history, and respiratory symptoms. At a second clinic visit, subjects were given the results of the medical examinations and counseled about smoking cessation.<sup>14</sup> Three months later subjects were interviewed by telephone to determine smoking status. Twelve months later subjects returned for a repeat visit, reported how many cigarettes they were smoking currently, and underwent a repeat medical examination.

Subjects were classified as: nonsmokers at 3 and 12 months (n = 13), smokers at 3 and 12 months (n = 322), quit at 3 months but smoking at 1 year (n = 13), and smoking at 3 months but quit at 1 year (n = 25).

#### **Results and Discussion**

Long-term quitters and those who had quit smoking for some period during the year gained significantly more weight than continuing smokers (see Table 1).

Figure 1 shows the percentage of workers in each group who fell into various weight change categories. There were

#### TABLE 1—Weight Changes in Four Groups of Asbestos-Exposed Workers over a One-Year Period

	Group	Mean*	Standard Deviation	Range
1.	Quit at Three Months and Quit			
2.	at One Year Smokers at Three	+5.15	11.45	-17 to +29
2	Months, Quit at One Year Quit at Three	+3.76	10.03	-7 to +36
J.	Months, Smokers at One Year	+2.76	4.85	-7 to +11
4.	Months and One Year	+0.35	7.53	-35 to +61

\*A one-way analysis of variance was significant ( $F_{3.369} = 3.17$ , p < .02). Orthogonal contrasts compared Group 1 vs Group 4 ( $t_{369} = 2.175$ , p < .03) and Group 2 and 3 vs Group 4 ( $t_{369} = 2.075$ , p < .03).

Address reprint requests to Thomas J. Coates, PhD, Division of General Internal Medicine, A-405, University of California School of Medicine, 400 Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94143. Dr. Li is with the University of California School of Public Health at Los Angeles. This paper, submitted to the Journal December 18, 1982, was revised and accepted for publication January 5, 1983.

<sup>© 1983</sup> American Journal of Public Health 0090-0036/83 \$1.50

## PUBLIC HEALTH BRIEFS



FIGURE 1—Percentages of Subjects in Each Smoking Category Showing Different Amounts of Weight Gain or Weight Loss

more long-term quitters among those gaining 5 pounds or more. There were more who quit either at 3 or 12 months among those gaining 0-4 pounds, while greater percentages of continuing smokers were found among those losing weight.

Several of the responses to questions were correlated significantly with weight change: "I smoke to be sociable" (-.12, p < .006); "I have coughed daily for 3 months" (-.18, p < .003); "I cough up phlegm when getting up" (-09, p. < 03); "I have coughed up phlegm for 3 months" (-14, p. < 02); "I walk more slowly" (-.08, p < .05); "I am moderately happy with life" (.13, p < .03); "I wish to quit smoking to avoid personal illness" (--14, p < .002); "I wish to quit smoking to improve my sense of taste" (-.09, p < .04). Table 2 presents the multiple regression solution using these variables; maximum significance was achieved after three steps. Variables relating quitting smoking for health-related reasons were negatively related to weight gain following smoking cessation.

Those quitting smoking for one year showed significantly greater weight gain than those who continued to smoke. Larger percentages of the continuing nonsmokers also appeared in those groups showing greater weight gain. Those with initially poorer health or who quit to avoid illness showed less weight gain.

The findings are consistent with previous studies of

TABLE 2-Multiple Regression Solution Predicting to Change in Weight

Variable	Beta	Multiple Correlations	Simple Correlations	F
Cough Daily for				
3 Months	-0.1845	.18	1 <b>8**</b>	8.60
Quit Smoking to				
Avoid Illness	-0.1678	.24	14**	7.25
I am moderately				
happy with life	0.1323	.26	.13*	4.29

\*p < .05

other populations. While differences among smokers and quitters do exist, the magnitude of the differences should not be exaggerated. There is considerable overlap among the distributions, with some continuing smokers gaining considerable amounts of weight.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. American Heart Association: Guidelines for a Weight Control Component in a Smoking Cessation Program (Pamphlet). Dallas: AHA, 1978.
- Erikssen J, Enger SC: The effect of smoking on selected coronary heart disease risk factors in middle-aged men. Acta Medica Scand 1978; 203:27-30
- Higgins MW, Kjeksberg M: Characteristics of smokers and non-smokers in Tecumseh, Michigan—II. the distribution of certain diseases in smokers and non-smokers. Am J Epidemiol 1967; 86:60–77.
- Jacob DR, Gottenborg S: Smoking and weight: the Minnesota Lipid Research Clinic. Am J Public Health 1981; 71:391–396.
- Kesteloot H, Vuylsteke M, Van Houte O: Distribution and consequences of smoking habits in a cross-sectional epidemiological survey. J Chron Dis 1976; 301–310.
- Comstock GW, Stone RW: Changes in body weight and subcutaneous fat thickness related to smoking habits. Arch Env Health 1972; 24:271–276.
- Gordon T, Kannel WV, Dawler TR, et al: Changes associated with quitting cigarette smoking: The Framingham Study. Am Heart J 1975; 90:322-328.
- Garvey AJ, Bosse R, Seltzer CC: Smoking, weight change and age. Arch Env Health 1974; 29:327–329.
- Brozek J, Keys A: Changes in body weight in normal men who stop smoking cigarettes. Science 1957; 125(3259):1203.
- 10. Rode R, Shephard RJ: Smoking withdrawal programme: personality and cardio-respiratory fitness. Arch Env Health 1972; 24:27-36.
- 11. Seltzer CC: Effect of smoking on blood pressure. Am Heart J 1974; 87(5):558-564.
- Hickey N, Mulcahy R: Effect of cessation of smoking on body weight after myocardial infarction. Am J Clin Nutr 1973; 26(4):385-386.
- 13. Ewart CK, Li VC, Coates TJ: Getting Physicians to apply Preventive Techniques: Continuing Education Is Not Enough. Journal of Medical Education, (in press).
- Terry PB, Li VC, Kim YK, et al: A Smoking Cessation Program for Asbestos Workers: 11-Month Follow-Up Data. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University, 1982.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by NCI Contract No. NO1-CN-95432 to Virginia C. Li, PhD, MPH. The work was completed at Johns Hopkins School of Hygiene and Public Health. Acknowledgment is made to the Regional Naval Medical Center, Charleston, SC, and especially to Captains Lloyd Stetzer and Edward Marsh (MC), USN, and the staff of the Occupational Health and Preventive Medicine Service. We thank Judy Cuthie for her assistance in data management and analysis.