Genetic Linkage and Heterogeneity in Type I Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease (Hereditary Motor and Sensory Neuropathy Type I)

Phillip F. Chance,* Thomas D. Bird,[‡] Peter O'Connell,[†] Hillary Lipe,[‡] Jean-Marc Lalouel,[†] and Mark Leppert[†]

*Division of Medical Genetics and †Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Utah Medical Center, Salt Lake City; and ‡Divisions of Medical Genetics and Neurology, University of Washington School of Medicine, and Veteran's Administration Medical Center, Seattle

Summary

The segregation patterns of DNA markers from the pericentromeric regions of chromosomes 1 and 17 were studied in seven pedigrees segregating an autosomal dominant gene for Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy type I (CMT I; hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy I). A multilocus analysis with four markers (pMCR-3, pMUC10, FY, and pMLAJ1) spanning the pericentromeric region of chromosome 1 excluded the CMT I gene from this region in six pedigrees but gave some evidence for linkage to the region of Duffy in one pedigree. Linkage of the CMT I gene to markers in the pericentromeric region of chromosome 17 (markers pA10-41, pEW301, p3.6, and pTH17.19) was established; however, in these seven pedigrees homogeneity analysis with chromosome 17 markers detected significant genetic heterogeneity. This analysis suggested that three of the seven pedigrees are not linked to this same region. Overall, two of the seven CMT I pedigrees were not linked to markers tested from chromosomes 1 or 17. These results confirm genetic heterogeneity in CMT I and implicate the existence of a third autosomal locus, in addition to a locus on chromosome 17, and a probable locus on chromosome 1. This evidence of etiological heterogeneity, supported by statistical tests, will have to be taken into consideration when fine-structure genetic maps of the regions around CMT I are constructed.

Introduction

Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type I (CMT I; hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy type I), a common form of genetic neuropathy (Dyck 1984), is clinically characterized by slowly progressive distal muscle weakness and atrophy with loss of deep tendon reflexes. The onset of this condition is usually in late childhood or adolescence. While autosomal recessive and X-linked forms have been reported, most CMT is inherited in an autosomal dominant manner (Skre 1974). Early studies in two CMT I families suggested linkage to the

Received January 10, 1990; final revision received August 6, 1990.

Duffy locus on chromosome 1 (Bird et al. 1980, 1982). Linkage to the Duffy locus was later confirmed in a large Indiana pedigree (Stebbins and Conneally 1982). In this same Indiana pedigree, close linkage to the FC gamma RII gene, which maps to chromosome 1 in the region of the Duffy locus, has recently been detected (Lebo et al. 1989). Many studies have identified CMT I families which failed to show linkage to the Duffy locus (Guiloff et al. 1982; Bird et al. 1983; Dyck et al. 1983; Marazita et al. 1985; Rossi et al. 1985; Ionasescu et al. 1987; Griffiths et al. 1988). Both a survey of the published data linking CMT I with the Duffy marker and a test of homogeneity of linkage confirmed that some CMT I families have a high posterior probability of linkage to the Duffy locus while others do not (Chance and Bird 1989). Recently, in two separate studies, linkage of CMT I to two anonymous DNA markers (pEW301 and pA10-41) on the proximal short arm of chromosome 17 was reported (Raeymaekers et

Address for correspondence and reprints: Phillip Chance, M.D., Division of Medical Genetics, 413 Medical Research and Education Building, University of Utah Medical Center, 50 North Medical Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84132.

^{© 1990} by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved. 0002-9297/90/4706-0006\$02.00

al. 1989; Vance et al. 1989). The present paper documents linkage studies with multiple DNA markers from the pericentromeric regions of chromosomes 1 and 17 in seven CMT I families and reveals that this disorder is heterogeneous, with loci mapping to chromosome 17 and to another autosome(s).

Material and Methods

Pedigrees

Seven pedigrees meeting widely accepted criteria (Dyck 1984; Dyck et al. 1989) for CMT I were ascertained. The pedigrees are depicted in the Appendix (fig. A1). Pedigrees K1519-K1521 have been reported elsewhere (Bird et al. 1980, 1982) in linkage studies with the Duffy locus. Two hundred five subjects, including 106 affected individuals and 60 individuals at risk, were investigated. All subjects either with a presumption of CMT I or at genetic risk were examined by a neurologist (in most instances, T.D.B. or P.F.C.) to confirm CMT I and exclude other causes of peripheral neuropathy. Male-to-male transmission excluded X-linked inheritance in all pedigrees. Probands in all families demonstrated motor-nerve conduction velocities of less than 38 m/s, a finding compatible with CMT I (Harding and Thomas 1980). Nerve conduction velocities were also measured in at-risk family members whose clinical examinations were equivocal. In two pedigrees (K1520 and K1521), a sural nerve biopsy demonstrated the presence of hypertrophic demyelinating neuropathy. After informed consent was obtained, 30-50 ml of blood was obtained, by venipuncture, from each par-

Table i

Polymorphic Markers

ticipant, for DNA isolation and for the constitution of transformed cell lines. Analysis of inheritance patterns at VNTR (variable-number tandem repeat) loci including CMM101, EFD126.3, and YNZ22 (Nakamura et al. 1987) detected no instances of either false paternity or errors of sample collection and processing (data not shown).

DNA Isolation

Permanent cell lines were established by Epstein-Barr transformation (Neitzel 1986). High-molecular-weight DNA was isolated from lymphoblasts or leukocytes by standard procedures (Maniatis et al. 1982) and resuspended at a concentration of 0.15 µg/ml.

Enzyme Digestion and Southern Transfer

Restriction-enzyme digestions were carried out overnight according to the manufacturer's specifications (Molecular Biology Resources, Inc); enzyme digestions included 4 mM spermidine. DNA fragments were separated by 0.7%-1.2% agarose-gel electrophoresis. After electrophoresis, gels were soaked in 0.4 N NaOH for 30 min prior to overnight transfer to membranes (Gene Screen Plus; Dupont) by standard methods (Southern 1975). After the transfer, membranes were rinsed in 5 x SSC and exposed to UV light (1,200 µJ) in the presence of a Stratagene cross-linker.

Probes and Hybridization

DNA probes used in the present study are listed in table 1. Probes were denatured and labeled with α -dCTP³² to high specific activity (typically 5 × 10⁸-5

Probe	Locus Symbol	Chromosome Location	Enzyme	Alleles (kb)	Heterozygosity	Reference
pMCR3	NRAS	1p11	EcoRI	6.0/4.8	.36	O'Connell et al. 1989a
pMUC10	MUC 1	1q21-q25	Hinfl	VNTR (>10 alleles)	.79	O'Connell et al. 1989a
Duffy	Fy	1cen-q22	_	Fy ^a /Fy ^b	.48	O'Connell et al. 1989a
FcyRII	FCG2	1q22-q24	TaqI	7.7/7.3		
			-	/6.7/6.4	.65	Grundy et al. 1989
pMLAJ1	D1S61	1q22	Hinfl	VNTR (>8 alleles)	.68	O'Connell et al. 1989b
pA10-41	D17S71	17p11-q11	MspI	2.4/1.9	.50	O'Connell et al. 1989b
			PvuII	3.2/3.0	.26	
pEW301	D17S58	17p11	BglIII	10.0/8.0	.39	Golgar et al. 1989
-		-	Taql	4.5/3.1	.54	C C
p3.6	D17Z1	17cen	EcoRI	2.0/1.1,0.9	.45	O'Connell et al. 1989b
pHHH202	D17\$33	17q11.2	Rsal	2.1/1.8	.49	O'Connell et al. 1989b
pTH17.19	D17S82	17q11.2	BglII	16.0/12.0	.52	O'Connell et al. 1989b

× 10^9 cpm/µg DNA) by the random-hexamer priming method of Feinberg and Vogelstein (1984). Hybridizations were carried out overnight at 42°C in a solution containing 50% formamide, 4 × SSC, 1 × Denhardt's solution, 20 MM NaPO4, 5% dextran sulfate, 0.5% SDS, and human placental DNA (200 mg/ml). After hybridization, filters were washed with 0.1 × SSC containing 0.1% SDS at 65°C for 30 s–10 min, depending on the probe. Filters were placed against X-ray film (Kodak X-OMat AR) with intensifying screens for 1–2 d at -70°C for autoradiography.

Linkage Analysis

LOD score (Z) values, under the assumption of singlegene autosomal dominant inheritance, were calculated with the computer program LINKAGE (Lathrop et al. 1985). In a retrospective study the CMT I gene was estimated to be 97% penetrant by age 27 years, when clinical features or nerve conduction velocity findings were examined (Bird and Kraft 1978). However, reliable age-dependent risk of affection curves have not been established for CMT I. Nerve conduction velocity can be used to identify individuals who have inherited the CMT I gene but who have no clinical symptoms of CMT I (distal muscle weakness, atrophy, diminished/absent deep tendon reflexes, etc.) (Vanasse and Dubowitz 1981). Therefore, all individuals below age 21 years who had normal clinical examinations yet were unavailable for confirmation by nerve conduction velocity testing were excluded from the linkage analysis. In view of the low prevalence and high penetrance of this condition (Bird and Kraft 1978), a gene frequency of .0001 was assumed for the CMT I allele, and penetrance was taken as .95. Male and female recombination fractions were assumed to be equal.

Haplotypes were constructed for markers pA10-41 and pEW301. For these two markers there was significant linkage disequilibrium within the observed haplotypes determined from 33 unrelated persons (χ^2 = 50.1, P < .001 for pA10-41; χ^2 = 22.5, P < .001 for pEW301). Z values for haplotyped markers were calculated using the disequilibrium haplotype frequencies. Location scores were computed with the LINK-AGE program, by using map information provided in published reports (Golgar et al. 1989; O'Connell et al. 1989*a*). Tests of homogeneity were carried out with the computer program HOMOG (Ott 1985).

Results

Chromosome I Markers

The results of pairwise linkage analyses in seven CMT I pedigrees, with DNA markers which span the pericentromeric regions of chromosomes 1 and 17, are presented as total $Z(\hat{Z})$ values in table 2 and for individual pedigrees in the Appendix. The Z values from all seven pedigrees did not implicate a CMT I locus on chromosome 1. A multilocus analysis for each pedigree by using markers pMCR-3, pMUC10, FY, and pMLAJ1 as fixed points gave no evidence for the localization of a CMT I locus to this region in any pedigree except K1521, which gave a location score of 2.0 in the immediate vicinity of FY (Duffy). The summed location scores on chromosome 1 and the individual analyses for pedigrees K1521, K1550, and K1551 are shown

Table 2

				θ					
Marker	(Chromosome)	.001	.05	.10	.20	.30	.40	Ź	Ô
pMCR3	(1)	- 25.60	- 11.23	- 7.08	- 3.02	-1.12	26	0	.50
pMUC10	(1)	- 42.20	- 18.40	- 11.69	- 4.94	-1.74	42	0	.50
Fy	(1)	-12.35	- 3.60	-1.30	.37	.66	.45	.66	.30
FCγRII	(1)	- 26.67	-8.01	- 3.25	.22	.08	.05	.22	.20
pMLAJ	(1)	- 37.08	-15.57	- 10.14	-4.65	- 1.96	72	0	.50
pA10-41	(17)	- 2.20	3.21	4.10	3.94	2.87	1.44	4.19	.15
pEW301	(17)	-4.22	3.31	5.35	5.90	4.64	2.49	5.97	.15
p3.6	(17)	78	3.11	4.08	3.90	2.68	1.18	4.20	.15
pHHH202	(17)	- 4.90	1.17	1.83	1.68	1.05	.32	1.89	.13
pTH17.19	(17)	-1.10	5.15	6.03	5.52	3.83	1.65	6.09	.12

Cumulative LOD Scores with Chromosome I and 17 Markers in Seven CMT Type I Pedigrees



GENETIC LOCATION (CM)

Figure I Multipoint linkage analysis of CMT I gene in seven pedigrees, with markers pMCR-3, pMUC10, Fy (Duffy), and pMLAJ1. The program LINKMAP from the LINKAGE package was used to calculate location scores of the CMT I locus relative to known position of the DNA markers. Location scores (log10) are shown on the vertical axis. Locations of the markers and genetic distances (cM) are shown on the horizontal axis. Also shown is a graph of the location scores for pedigrees K1521, K1550, and K1551.

in figure 1. The pairwise results of an analysis with FC gamma RII in all seven pedigrees are shown in the Appendix (table A1). FC gamma RII was not selected as a locus for multipoint analysis, since its precise map position was unknown. While pedigree K1550 gave a positive yet nonsignificant Z with FC gamma RII (\hat{Z} = 1.34, recombination fraction $[\theta]$ = .15), no pedigree gave significant evidence for linkage to this marker, known to map near the Duffy locus.

Chromosome 17 Markers

The summed \hat{Z} values from all seven families indicated strong evidence for linkage to markers pTH17.19 $(\hat{Z} = 6.09, \theta = .12), p 3.6 (\hat{Z} = 4.20, \theta = .15),$ $pEW301 (\hat{Z} = 5.97, \theta = .15)$, and $pA10-41 (\hat{Z} = 4.19)$, θ = .15). However, closer inspection of the individual pairwise Z values suggested that not all of the pedigrees supported linkage to this region of chromosome 17 (see Appendix). Location scores for the CMT I gene on a map of markers pA10-41, pEW301, p3.6, and pTH17.19, as shown in figure 2, were calculated for each



Figure 2 Multipoint linkage analysis of the CMT I gene in seven pedigrees, with markers pA10-41, pEW301, p3.6, and pTH17.19. The program LINKMAP from the LINKAGE package was used to calculate location scores of the CMT I locus relative to known position of the DNA markers. Location scores (log10) are shown on the vertical axis. Locations of the markers and genetic distances (cM) are shown on the horizontal axis.

of the seven CMT I pedigrees. Four pedigrees (K1519, K1520, K1549, and K1552) demonstrated positive location scores for this region on chromosome 17, and three pedigrees (K1521, K1550, and K1551) had negative location scores within this same region. While pedigree K1551 gave weakly positive yet nonsignificant Zvalues with three markers (pA10-41, pEW301, and p3.6) from this region, close inspection of this pedigree with all three marker genotypes detected numerous independent recombinational events involving one or more of the markers and the disease locus. All individuals (in most instances affected persons) in pedigrees K1521, K1550, and K1551 who exhibited apparent recombination were rechecked for both genotype error (pA10-41, and pEW301) and affected status, to confirm initial assignment.

Homogeneity Analysis

Evidence of genetic heterogeneity was confirmed by formal tests (Ott 1985), in which the general hypothesis assumes that sampling units can be either linked or unlinked to the marker loci. The location scores (\log_{10}) from the seven pedigrees were examined for evidence of genetic heterogeneity on chromosomes 1 and 17, by

Table 3

Testing Homogeneity of Linkage in Seven CMT I Pedigrees

Chromosome and Interval	θ^{a}	X ² 1	Р
1:			
Duffy-pMUC10	.02 .04 .06 .08	4.03	<.05
Duffy-pMLAJ-1	.03 .06 .08 .12	4.03	<.05
17:			
pA10-41-pter	.1 .2 .3 .4	18.93	<.001
pEW301-pA10-41	.007 .013 .02 .026	49.29	<.001
p3.6–pEW301	.01 .02 .03 .04	53.75	<.001
pTH17.19-qter	.1 .2 .3 .4	10.07	<.001

NOTE. - For testing homogeneity of linkage, location scores were examined by means of the computer program HOMOG (Ott 1985, pp. 200-203).

^a For each interval, location scores were computed at equidistant recombination fractions between markers. The genetic distances between markers were based on the known genetic map of the regions on chromosomes 1 and 17.

means of the HOMOG computer program test (Ott 1985). The results of this analysis for chromosomes 1 and 17 are shown in table 3. For chromosome 1, heterogeneity was detected only in the region of the Duffy locus, and pedigree K1521 gave a posterior probability of .95 for mapping to this region. Significant heterogeneity was observed for all chromosome 17 markers tested. The posterior probabilities of linkage (for pA10-41 and pEW301) were greater than .99 for pedigrees K1519, K1520, K1549, and K1552 and were zero for pedigrees K1521, K1550, and K1551.

As pedigrees K1519, K1520, K1549, and K1552 consistently gave high posterior probabilities for linkage to this region of chromosome 17, the location scores of these four pedigrees were combined for an overall location of the CMT I gene on this chromosome. As shown in figure 3, the results clearly assign the CMT I gene segregating in these pedigrees to this region, yet they do not localize the gene to a specific interval of the map.

Discussion

Since the first reported suggestion of linkage of CMT I to the Duffy locus (Bird et al. 1980, 1982), attempts have been made to confirm this relationship and to explore the possibility of genetic heterogeneity within this disorder. To date, the Indiana kindred reported by Stebbins and Conneally (1982) remains the only pedigree which individually can support linkage to the Duffy locus at a \hat{Z} in excess of 3.0. Recently, close linkage to the FC gamma RII gene, which maps to 1q21.2-q23,

was reported in this same Indiana pedigree (Lebo et al. 1989). None of the pedigrees in the present report gave evidence confirming linkage of CMT I to FC gamma RII. Unfortunately, the FC gamma RII marker was uninformative in pedigree K1521, the only pedigree with a location score suggesting linkage to the region of the Duffy locus. Numerous other studies with either the Duffy locus or other chromosome 1 pericentromeric loci have remained inconclusive (Lebo et al.



Figure 3 Combined location scores from a multipoint analysis of CMT I pedigrees (K1519, K1520, K1549, and K1552) with markers pA10-41, pEW301, p3.6, and pTH17.19 as fixed positions in the pericentromeric region of chromosome 17.

1986; Chance et al. 1987, 1988; Ionasescu et al. 1987, 1988; Griffiths et al. 1988; Middleton-Price et al. 1989). In six CMT I pedigrees linkage was found to markers from the very proximal short arm of chromosome 17 (Vance et al. 1989). In two of the six pedigrees the Zvalues with marker pEW301 were greater than 3.0, and no genetic heterogeneity was detected. Subsequently, in an additional large pedigree, the CMT I gene was entirely excluded from chromosome 1 and also was found to be linked to chromosome 17 (Raeymaekers et al. 1989). The present report confirms this assignment of a form of CMT I to the pericentromeric region of chromosome 17 in four pedigrees. Furthermore, it also demonstrates genetic heterogeneity within this group of hereditary neuropathies. Three of the seven pedigrees in the present report do not appear to have the CMT I mutation in the pericentromeric region of chromosome 17. This study has also provided evidence that some pedigrees fulfilling the clinical criteria for CMT I may have a locus which maps neither to chromosome 1 nor to chromosome 17 (see figs. 1 and 2 for pedigrees K1550 and K1551). The existence of CMT I loci on chromosome 17 and on the X chromosome (Gal et al. 1985; Fischbeck et al. 1986) now appears firm. It is also likely that other CMT I loci are located on chromosome 1 and another autosome(s). The present study has shown that the CMT I gene in pedigree K1520 (family B of Bird et al. 1982), which had demonstrated a weakly positive \hat{Z} value with the Duffy locus $(Z = 0.64, \theta = .15)$, is actually linked to markers on chromosome 17. The CMT I gene in pedigree K1521 (family A in Bird et al. 1982), which has a positive Z value with the Duffy locus ($\hat{Z} = 2.0, \theta = 0$), is not linked to chromosome 17 markers. The study of additional markers more closely linked to the Duffy locus is warranted in pedigree K1521 in order to confirm or reject linkage to chromosome 1.

Genetic heterogeneity characterizes conditions which are phenotypically similar yet arise from mutations at different loci. For multilocus analyses and fine genetic mapping of a given disorder, it is crucial that the data be collected from a set of families which have mutations at the same locus. Huntington disease (Conneally et al. 1989), neurofibromatosis I (Collins et al. 1989), and Friedreich ataxia (Chamberlain et al. 1989) are disorders for which no genetic heterogeneity has been observed, whereas X-linked spastic paraplegia can be caused by mutation at either of two distinct loci on the X chromosome (Keppen et al. 1987). Further refinement of the location of the CMT I locus on chromosome 17 will require demonstrating the absence of genetic heterogeneity within the collection of pedigrees under study. Furthermore, the establishment of genetic heterogeneity in CMT I predicts that the universal clinical application of chromosome 17 probes for presymptomatic and prenatal diagnosis is premature at this time.

Note added in proof.—Additional evidence supporting genetic heterogeneity in CMT I can be found in the recent report by Defesche et al. (1990), who described five CMT I pedigrees, one of which was not linked to markers tested from chromosome 17 and gave evidence for linkage to chromosome 1.

Acknowledgments

The authors greatly appreciate the advice and suggestions of Raymond L. White, Ph.D. The authors also wish to thank Melanie Black for expert preparation of the manuscript, Ruth Foltz for editorial suggestions, Melinda Mitchell for data entry, and Don Atkinson for technical assistance. Dr. Jurg Ott provided the computer program HOMOG. Probe pEW301 was a gift of Dr. Jeff Vance. Dr. Roger V. Lebo provided the FCgamma RII probe. Probe pA10–41 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. P. F. C. is supported by the Muscular Dystrophy Association, the March of Dimes (Basil O'Connor Starter Scholar Award), and a Clinical Investigator Development Award (NINCDS) NS01341. T.D.B. is supported by research funds from the Veteran's Administration. J.-M.L. is an investigator at the Howard Hughes Institute.

Table AI

			θ					
Marker and Pedigree	.001	.05	.10	.20	.30	.40	Ź	Ô
Duffy:								
K1519	-1.25	76	52	26	12	04	0	.50
K1520	- 3.11	.20	.58	.57	.31	.10	.64	.15
K1521	2.09	1.94	1.78	1.42	1.02	.55	2.09	.001
K1549	74	48	33	15	06	01	0	.50
K1550	-6.67	- 3.08	- 2.01	96	43	15	0	.50
K1551	- 2.68	-1.43	79	25	05	01	0	.50
K1552 ^a			• • •				• • •	
pMCR-3:								
K1519	-1.75	14	.06	.16	.13	.06	.16	.20
K1520	- 6.73	-2.52	- 1.51	63	27	01	0	.50
K1521	- 3.32	-1.44	89	39	15	04	0	.50
K1549	- 2.82	- 1.13	79	37	11	0	0	.50
K1550	-6.12	- 3.65	- 2.41	- 1.1	45	11	0	.50
K1551	-4.73	- 2.24	-1.45	64	25	07	0	.50
K1552	16	12	01	0	0	0	0	.50
pMUC-10:								
K1519	- 4.49	- 3.47	- 2.34	- 1.1	51	17	0	.50
K1520	- 1.05	- 6.06	- 4.88	- 3.0	-1.63	70	0	.50
K1521	- 2.92	- 1.45	93	46	23	09	Õ,	.50
K1549	- 8.85	- 4.90	- 3.31	-1.59	68	20	0	.50
K1550	- 1.68	18	.09	.24	.20	.14	.24	.20
K1551	- 5.34	- 02	78	1 1 3	90	40	1.13	20
K1552	- 8.40	- 2.31	- 1.11	18	.21	.22	.22	.40
pMLAI-1:								
K1519	-413	- 2 32	- 1 71	-10	- 53	- 21	0	50
K1520	-12.32	-6.26	- 5 14	- 3 30	-1.83	- 80	Ő	50
K1520	- 3 29	- 89	- 39	01	13	12	13	20
K1549	- 3 76	-1.03	- 27	29	30	10	34	25
K1550	-7.68	- 3 41	-2.15	- 92	- 35	- 08	0	50
K1550	-4 11	-1.67	- 77	07	.55	.00	.11	30
K1552	- 1.8	.02	.03	.35	.20	.06	.36	.15
FC gamma RII-								
K1519	_ 4 62	_ 1 41	_ 79	_ 29	_ 11	- 03	0	50
K1512	- 8.85	-4.16	-2.56	_1.25	- 80	_ 48	0	50
K1520	- 0.03	10	- 2.30	- 1.23	00	10	U	.50
K1321	· · · · 61				· · · · 26		· · · 42	20
KIJ47	04 07	.03	1.32	1 20	.20	50. 50	1 24	.20
KIJJU	7/	.70	1.20	1.30	1.03	.57	1.34	.13
K1551	- 6 47	-1.05	- 52	21 24	32	.08	.08	40
NIJJ	-0.4/	- 1.37		.27		.43	.45	

Two-Point LOD Scores for CMT I and Chromosome I Markers

^a Marker not evaluated. ^b Marker uninformative.

Table A2

MARKER AND PEDIGREE .001 .05 .10 .20 .30 .40 \hat{Z} $\hat{\theta}$ pA10-41: 1.60 1.49 1.37 1.10 .79 .43 1.60 .001 K1519 .80 .73 .66 .52 .37 .20 .80 .001 K1520 -3.52 -2.17 -1.35 60 24 06 0 .50 K1549 1.49 1.33 1.18 .83 .48 .16 1.49 .001 K1550 -3.89 49 07 .45 .46 .29 .46 .30 K1551 27 .87 .92 .74 .46 .17 .93 .07 K1552 1.59 1.42 1.24 .89 .54 .23 1.59 .001 K1519 1.34 1.25 1.15 .93 .67 .37 1.34 .001				θ					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Marker and Pedigree	.001	.05	.10	.20	.30	.40	Ź	Ô
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	pA10-41:								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1519	1.60	1.49	1.37	1.10	.79	.43	1.60	.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1520	.80	.73	.66	.52	.37	.20	.80	.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1521	- 3.52	- 2.17	- 1.35	60	24	06	0	.50
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1549	1.49	1.33	1.18	.83	.48	.16	1.49	.001
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1550	- 3.89	49	07	.45	.46	.29	.46	.30
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1551	27	.87	.92	.74	.46	.17	.93	.07
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1552	1.59	1.42	1.24	.89	.54	.23	1.59	.001
K1519 1.34 1.25 1.15 .93 .67 .37 1.34 .001 K1520 1.35 3.10 3.22 2.83 2.05 1.01 3.22 .10 K1521 .18 .17 .15 .12 .01 0 .18 .001 K1549 .83 .76 .70 .56 .40 .21 .83 .001 K1550 -6.12 -4.62 -2.82 -1.11 37 01 0 .50 K1551 -3.78 65 27 10 11 11 0 .50 K1552 1.96 3.28 3.21 2.68 1.93 1.01 3.28 .05 p3.6:	pEW301:								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1519	1.34	1.25	1.15	.93	.67	.37	1.34	.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1520	1.35	3.10	3.22	2.83	2.05	1.01	3.22	.10
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1521	.18	.17	.15	.12	.01	0	.18	.001
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	К1549	.83	.76	.70	.56	.40	.21	.83	.001
K1551 -3.78 65 27 10 11 11 0 .50 K1552 1.96 3.28 3.21 2.68 1.93 1.01 3.28 .05 p3.6:	K1550	-6.12	- 4.62	- 2.82	-1.11	37	01	0	.50
K1552 1.96 3.28 3.21 2.68 1.93 1.01 3.28 .05 p3.6:	K1551	- 3.78	65	27	10	11	11	0	.50
p3.6:	K1552	1.96	3.28	3.21	2.68	1.93	1.01	3.28	.05
F	p3.6:								
K1519 ^a	K1519 ^a								
K1520 17 16 14 12 10 06 0 .50	K1520	17	16	14	12	10	06	0	.50
K_{1521}	K1521	- 4.70	- 3.25	- 2.20	-1.15	57	22	0	.50
K1549	K1549	.23	.38	.41	.33	.18	.05	.41	.10
K1550 -1.48 -1.07 71 33 12 03 0 .50	K1550	-1.48	- 1.07	71	33	12	03	0	.50
K1551 83 65 73 55 27 06 73 09	K1551	83	.65	.73	.55	.27	.06	.73	.09
K1552 5.45 6.40 5.93 4.59 3.02 1.37 6.47 .03	K1552	5.45	6.40	5.93	4.59	3.02	1.37	6.47	.03
pHHH202:	pHHH202:								
K1519 ^a	K1519 ^a								
K_{1520} -1 42 13 30 34 .26 .15 .35 .17	K1520	-1.42	.13	.30	.34	.26	.15	.35	.17
K1521 ^a	K1521 ^a								
K1549 55 51 47 37 .26 .14 .55 .001	K1549	55	51				.14	.55	.001
$K_{1550} = -627 - 283 - 201 - 120 - 63 - 23 0 50$	K1550	-6.27	- 2.83	- 2 01	-1.20	- 63	- 23	0	50
K1550 13 12 10 06 02 00 13 001	K1551	.13	.12	.10	.06	.02	.00	.13	.001
K1552 2.11 3.24 2.97 2.11 1.12 .25 3.25 .04	K1552	2.11	3.24	2.97	2.11	1.12	.25	3.25	.04
pTH17 19·	nTH17 19∙								
K1519 1 03 93 82 59 34 11 1 03 001	K1519	1 03	93	82	59	34	11	1 03	001
K1520	K1520	3 43	3 1 5	2 84	2 1 5	1 37	54	3.43	.001
K_{1521} -3.95 -1.72 -1.14 - 59 - 30 - 11 0 50	K1521	- 3 95	-1 72	-1 14	- 59	- 30	11	0	.50
K_{1549} 49 2 07 2 14 1 81 1 21 50 2 15 09	K1549	2.25 49	2 07	2 1 <u>4</u>	1 81	1 21	50	215	.50
$K_{15} = 1.51 + 1.51 $	K1550	-4.08	-2.07	-1 58	- 80	_ 38	_ 14	0	50
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	K1550	-172	- <u>2</u> .55 _ 19	- 1.58	00 AN	۰.58 ۵۲	14	กิร	20
K1552 3,53 3,26 2.96 2.29 1.55 .75 3.53 .001	K1552	3.53	3.26	2.96	2.29	1.55	.75	3.53	.001

Two-Point	LOD	Scores for	CMT I and	I Chromosome	17	Markers
-----------	-----	------------	-----------	--------------	----	---------

^a Marker uninformative.



Figure AI CMT I pedigrees K1519, K1520, K1521, K1549, K1550, K1551, and K1552. Darkened circles and squares denote affected females and affected males, respectively. Cross-slashed figures are deceased. The linkage analysis included all living individuals shown.

References

- Bird TD, Kraft GH (1978) Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: data for genetic counseling relating age to risk. Clin Genet 14:43-49
- Bird TD, Ott J, Giblett ER (1980) Linkage of Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy to the Duffy locus on chromosome 1. Am J Hum Genet 32:99A
- Bird TD, Ott J, Giblett ER, Chance PF, Sumi SM, Kraft GH (1983) Genetic linkage evidence for heterogeneity in Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy (HMSN type 1). Ann Neurol 14:679–684
- Bird TD, Ott J, Giblett R (1982) Evidence for linkage of Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy to the Duffy locus on chromosome 1. Am J Hum Genet 34:388-394
- Chamberlain S, Shaw J, Wallis J, Rowland A, Chow L, Farrall M, Keats B, et al (1989) Genetic homogeneity at the Friedreich ataxia locus on chromosome 9. Am J Hum Genet 44:518-521
- Chance P, Bird T, Dracopoli N, Boehnke M, Ott J, Housman D, Ketting R, et al. (1988) Heterogeneity and linkage analysis in Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy type I. Neurology 38[Suppl]: 175
- Chance PF, Bird TD (1989) Gene mapping in the hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies. In: Rowland LP, Wood DS, Schon EA, DiMauro S (eds) Molecular genetics in disease of brain, nerve and muscle. Oxford University Press, New York, pp 349–355
- Chance PF, Murray JC, Bird TD, Kochin RS (1987) Genetic linkage relationships of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (HMSN-Ib) to chromosome 1 markers. Neurology 37: 325-329
- Collins FS, Ponder BAJ, Seizinger BR, Epstein CJ (1989) Invited editorial: the von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis region on chromosome 17-genetic and physical maps come into focus. Am J Hum Genet 44:1-5
- Conneally PM, Haines JL, Tanzi RE, Wexler NS, Penchaszadeh GK, Harper PS, Folstein SE, et al (1989) Huntington disease: no evidence for locus heterogeneity. Genomics 5:304-308
- Defesche JC, Hoogendijk JE, de Visser M, Ongerboer de Visser BW, Bolhuis PA (1990) Genetic linkage of hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy type I (Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease) to markers of chromosomes 1 and 17. Neurology 40:1450–1453
- Dyck PJ (1984) Inherited neuronal degeneration and atrophy. In: Dyck PJ (ed) Diseases of the peripheral nervous system. Saunders, Philadelphia, pp 1609–1630
- Dyck PJ, Karnes JL, Lambert EH (1989) Longitudinal study of neuropathic deficits and nerve conduction abnormalities in hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy type I. Neurology 39:1302–1308
- Dyck PJ, Ott J, Moore B, Swanson CJ, Lambert EH (1983) Linkage evidence for genetic heterogeneity among kinships with hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy. Mayo Clin Proc 58:430-435

- Feinberg AP, Vogelstein B (1984) A technique for radiolabeling DNA restriction endonuclease fragments to high specific activity: addendum. Anal Biochem 137:266–267
- Fischbeck KH, Ar-Rushdi HN, Pericak-Vance MA, Rozear M, Roses AD, Fryns JP (1986) X-linked neuropathy: gene localization with DNA probes. Ann Neurol 20:527–532
- Gal A, Mucke J, Theile H, Wieacker PF, Ropers H-H, Wienker TF (1985) X-linked dominant Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: suggestion of linkage with a cloned DNA sequence from the proximal Xq. Hum Genet 70:38-42
- Goldgar DE, Green P, Perry DM, Mulvihill JJ (1989) Multipoint linkage analysis in neurofibromatosis type I: an international collaboration. Am J Hum Genet 44:6–12
- Griffiths LR, Zwi MB, McLeod JG, Nicholson GA (1988) Chromosome 1 linkage studies in Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy type I. Am J Hum Genet 42:756–771
- Grundy OH, Peltz G, Moore KW, Golbus SM, Jackson GL, Lebo RV (1989) The polymorphic FCgamma RII gene maps to human chromosome 1q. Immunogenetics 29:331–339
- Guiloff RJ, Thomas PK, Contreras M, Armitage S, Schwartz G, Sedgewick EM (1982) Linkage of autosomal dominant type I hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy to the Duffy locus on chromosome 1. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 45:669–674
- Harding AE, Thomas PK (1980) Genetic aspects of hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy (types I and II). J Med Genet 17:329-336
- Ionasescu V, Anderson R, Burns TL, Searby C, Ionasescu R, Ferrell R (1988) Evidence for linkage of Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy (CMTI) to apolipoprotein A2 (Apo-A2). Am J Hum Genet 42:74–76
- Ionasescu V, Murray JC, Burns TL, Ionasescu R, Ferrell R, Searby C, Chirgwin J (1987) Linkage analysis of Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy (HMSN type I). J Neurol Sci 80:73-78
- Keppen LD, Leppert MF, O'Connell P, Nakamura Y, Stauffer D, Lathrop M, Lalouel JM, et al (1987) Etiological heterogeneity in X-linked spastic paraplegia. Am J Hum Genet 41:933–943
- Lathrop GM, Lalouel J-M, Julier C, Ott J (1985) Multilocus linkage analysis in humans: detection of linkage and estimation of recombination. Am J Hum Genet 37:482–498
- Lebo R, Anderson L, Lau C, Carver V, Conneally PM (1986) Linkage analysis of Charcot-Marie-Tooth syndrome. Muscle Nerve 9[Suppl]: 235
- Lebo RV, Dyck PJ, Chance PF, King MC, Lynch E, Redila-Flores M, Golbus MS, et al (1989) Charcot-Marie-Tooth locus in FCyRII gene region. Am J Hum Genet 45:A148
- Maniatis T, Fritsch EF, Sambrook J (1982) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
- Marazita ML, Cederbaum SD, Spence MA, Crandall BF, Sparkes RS, Sparkes MC, Crist N (1985) Possible heterogeneity in the linkage between autosomal dominant CMT I (Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy type I) and FY. Cytogenet Cell Genet 40:688–689

Linkage and Heterogeneity in CMT I

- Middleton-Price HR, Harding AE, Berciano J, Pastor JM, Huson SM, Malcolm S (1989) Absence of linkage of hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy type I to chromosome 1 markers. Genomics 4:192–197
- Nakamura Y, Leppert M, O'Connell P, Wolff R, Holm T, Culver M, Martin C, et al (1987) Variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) markers for human gene mapping. Science 235:1616–1622
- Neitzel H (1986) A routine method for the establishment of permanent growing lymphoblastoid cell lines. Hum Genet 73:320-326
- O'Connell P, Lathrop GM, Nakamura Y, Leppert ML, Ardinger RH, Murray JC, Lalouel J-M, et al (1989*a*) Twenty-eight loci form a continuous linkage map of markers for human chromosome 1. Genomics 4:12–20
- O'Connell P, Leach RJ, Ledbetter DH, Cawthon RW, Culver M, Eldridge JR, Frej A-K, et al. (1989b) Fine structure DNA mapping studies of the chromosomal region harboring the genetic defect in neurofibromatosis type 1. Am J Hum Genet 44:51–57
- Ott J (1985) Variability of the recombination fraction. In: Analysis of human genetic linkage. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore pp 200–203

Raeymaekers P, Timmerman V, De Jonghe P, Swerts L,

Cheuens J, Martin J-J, Muylle L, et al (1989) Localization of the mutation in an extended family with Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy (HMSN I). Am J Hum Genet 45: 953–958

- Rossi A, Paradiso C, Cioni R, Rizzuto N, Gauzzi G (1985) Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: study of a large kinship with an intermediate form. J Neurol 232:91–98
- Skre H (1974) Genetic and clinical aspects of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. Clin Genet 6:98-118
- Southern EM (1975) Detection of specific sequences among DNA fragments separated by gel electrophoresis. J Mol Biol 98:503-517
- Stebbins NB, Conneally PM (1982) Linkage of dominantly inherited Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy to the Duffy locus in an Indiana family. Am J Hum Genet 34:195A
- Vanasse M, Dubowitz V (1981) Dominantly inherited personal muscular atrophy (hereditary motor and sensory neuropathy type I) in infancy and childhood. Muscle Nerve 4:26-30
- Vance JM, Nicholson GA, Yamaoka LH, Stajich J, Stewart CS, Speer MC, Hung WY, et al (1989) Linkage of Charcot-Marie-Tooth neuropathy type Ia to chromosome 17. Exp Neurol 104:186–189