# HERD HEALTH PRACTICE

## J. F. Cote\*

THE PURPOSE OF THIS PAPER is to report progress and to make a start at evaluating herd health management as practised in our Farm Service Clinic. The average calving interval and the annual veterinary costs for six herds, which have been on our program for at least two years are the yardsticks used. The number of cows removed from each herd and the reason for their departure are included.

The program consists basically of a monthly visit to each herd at which time the following procedures are carried out:

1. Cows and heifers that were bred six weeks previously are examined for pregnancy.

Pir	nebush P.R.	
Name:	Bonnie Ear Tag: 0420311	Birth Date: Jan. 24/5
Calving D	Jate: Aug. 12, 1960.	Calf: male
Breeding	Dates: (heat) Oct. 16/60	Nov. 7/60 Nov. 28/60
Pregnancy	Diagnosis Jan. 16/61 o.k.	Due: Oct. 21/61
Date	Post-Partum: C 1 x Normal	U. Rt. horn doughy
Sept.17/	60 regressing corpus Righ	t Treat.infusion Pen St
Dec.15/6	O Lame L.Hind Fistulous	tract at coronet late:
	digit. T. 103 Penn St	rep & Bandage applied.
Dec.15-	Lateral digit increasin	gly inflamed and
30/60	swollen - quite lame - therapy.	Antibiotic and ensyme
Jan. 2/61	Digit removed surgicall	y - Good response.
		Sept. 1
ept.11/6	Mastitis: R.F. Negative	R.H.Haem, Staph Furacin
	Culture: L.F.	.H.Haem, Staph
lbs. milk	12,800 lbs. fet 484	B.C.A. 118
Calving D	ate: Oct. 25/61	Celf: female
Breeding	Dates: Dec. 1/61 (heat) Dec	. 22/61 Jan. 12/62
Pregnancy	Diagnosis March 15/62 o.k.	Due: Oct. 20/62
Date	Post-Partum: C normal	U. normal
Dec.18/6	1 O. small follicle (right	) Treat.:
Nov.15/6	1 Ketosis ++ production de	own 10 lb. Trisucrol I.
	Ketol orally.	
		Aug. 25
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Aug.15/	2Mastitis: R.F. Negative F	LH. Haen, Staph   Fure
Aug.15/	52Mastitis: R.F. Negative R Culture: L.F. Negative L	H. Haem. Staph Fure

FIGURE 1.

2. Cows that freshened 30 days previously are examined rectally (also vaginally using a speculum if this is deemed necessary) for abnormalities of the reproductive system. Pathological conditions are treated as indicated.

3. Cows that have been milking for 90 days and have not shown estrus are examined and treated if necessary.

4. Cows bred three or more times without conceiving are examined and treated or re-examined at the next heat period.

5. Monthly California Mastitis Tests are conducted on composite samples from each cow and individual quarter samples from dry cows are cultured and treated.

6. Brucella vaccination, dehorning and removal of extra teats are performed on heifer calves.

7. Rations are discussed, evaluated, and if necessary, suggestions are made regarding their adjustment.

8. Individual Record cards are maintained on each cow in the herd with the co-operation of the herd

owner. A reproduction of a record card is shown in Figure 1.

The program was started in August, 1960, in two herds, and is now being conducted in 20 herds.

\*Department of Medicine and Surgery, Ontario Veterinary College, Guelph, Ontario.

## CANADIAN VETERINARY JOURNAL

# FEES

Previous to July 1, 1962, the fee on a trial basis was \$10 per visit. Since July 1, 1962, the charge has been \$5 per milk cow per year. A separate charge is made for all drugs used or dispensed and all emergency work, e.g. dystocia, milk fever, ketosis, retained placenta. The \$5 per head charge was adopted from practitioners who felt it to be a fair fee for a similar program in their practice (1).

# RESULTS

Table I compares the calving intervals for 1961 (the first year on the program) and 1962 in six herds. The reasons for disposal of cows from the herds are shown in Table II. The total veterinary costs for 1960, 1961, and 1962 are compared in Table III. The herd health portion of each account is listed.

TABLE I							
CALVING	INTERVALS OF	Herds	ON	Herd	Health	Program	

		1961		1962	Estations due
Herd No.	Cows	Average Calving Interval	Cows	Average Calving Interval	to Reducing Calving Interval
$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \\       2 \\       3 \\       4 \\       5 \\       6     \end{array} $	26 19 35 30 22	14 mo. 13.3 mo. 14.5 mo. 15.35 mo. 14.32 mo.	27 29 38 26 50	13.18 mo. 14 mo. 13.2 mo. 13.33 mo. 13.41 mo.	\$882.40 \$1,976.00 \$2,230.00 \$1,755.00

TABLE II REASONS FOR DISPOSAL OF COWS ON HERD HEALTH PROGRAM

Н	erd	1961	1962		
1.	Conventional Barn— Sold for breeding purpose: Sold for slaughter:	A.I. 5 6 (Endometritis (Salpingitis (Poor production	-2	(Endometritis (Poor production (Cervicitis	2 1 1
	Died:	1 (Bloat	—ı 1	(Pelvic hematoma and old age (13 yrs.)	
2.	Conventional Barn— Sold for breeding purpose: Sold for slaughter: Died:	A.I. 0 5 (Old age (Mastitis and Infertility (Poor production (Brucellosis reactor 1 (Salmonella	-14 -2 -1 -1	(Infertility	4
3.	Conventional Barn—A.I. a Sold for breeding purpose: Sold for slaughter:	nd Natural Service 8 1 (mastitis	6	(Nervous Disorder (Fibrosed udder (Mummified Foetus (Abortion	-1 -1 -1 -3

### HERD HEALTH PRACTICE

H	erd	196	51	1962		
4.	Conventional Barn—A.I. a Sold for breeding purpose: Sold for slaughter: Died:	und 4 5 2	Natural Service (Poor production (Infertility (Mastitis (Acute mastitis (Endocarditis and	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \dots 2 \\ -2 \dots 1 \\ -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{array}$	(Poor production	—1
			Arthritis	1		
5.	Loose housing and milk pa Sold for breeding purpose: Sold for slaughter:	rlou 1 9	r—A.I. (Broken down udder (Infertility (Pyometra (Poor production (Calving problem	$\begin{array}{c} \dots \dots 2 \\ -3 \dots \dots 11 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \end{array}$	(Broken down udder (Infertility (Arthritis (Size (Cystic ovaries (Poor production	-5 -1 -2 -1 -1 -1 -1
6.	Conventional Barn Sold for breeding purpose: Sold for slaughter: Died:	$\frac{-}{2}$ 8	-A.I. (Cervicitis (Abortion (Broken down udder (Poor production (Salpingitis (Massive hemorrhage mammary vein	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	(Cervicitis (Fractured Hip (Abortion	1 1 1

TABLE II—Continued

 TABLE III

 TOTAL ANNUAL VETERINARY COSTS OF HERDS ON HEALTH PROGRAM

Herd No.			Herd Health		
	1960	1961		1962	
1	\$458.50	\$658.25	\$120.00	\$611.25	\$ 97.50
$^{2}$	\$508.25	\$546.75	\$130.00	\$651.25	\$107.50
3	\$604.00	\$769.60	\$140.00	\$918.35	\$135.00
4	\$159.25	\$343.50	\$ 90.00	\$288.00	\$115.00
5	\$175.50	\$364.25	<b>\$</b> —	\$454.75	\$ 90.00
6	\$295.75	\$446.50	\$ 80.00	\$446.50	\$102.50

### Comment

It is recognized that the above data give only an indication of what can be expected from a herd health program.

In each herd except #2 the calving interval was reduced, and in each herd except #4 the number of cows increased. Stone has estimated that by reducing the calving interval the dairyman earns \$1.30 per day per average cow (average meaning a 10,000 lb. per year producer and milk selling for \$4 per 100 lb.) (3). The resulting earnings ranged from \$249.60 to \$2,230 and in herd #2 the calving interval increased as did the number of cows in the herd.

Since going on the program the total annual veterinary costs have increased in all cases. Thus, from our experience an owner may expect an increased veterinary bill, but with increased net returns.

#### CANADIAN VETERINARY JOURNAL

The number of animals removed from each herd varied and it is too early to evaluate this category. The culling of unprofitable animals is an important practice which a herd health program facilitates. It has been shown by Dr. Don Hillman at Michigan State University that a larger net income can be achieved by feeding and milking a small number of high producers as compared with a large number of low producing cows (2). From this standpoint the longevity of good brood cows influences the number of their progeny which will be available to the herd in future. This fact helps to illustrate that a herd program is a long range project and may best be evaluated after 8 or 10 years. However, we will attempt to evaluate our program periodically.

#### References

- 1. HARTWICK, K. A., and CLARKE, T. W., Fisherville, Ontario. Personal Communication. 1962.
- 2. LASSITER, C. A. Better cows, not larger herds. Hoard's Dairyman. 107: 513. 1962.
- 3. STONE, J. B. Dry cow management. Ontario Department of Agriculture Farm Report. Vol. 2, no. 1, 1962.

# ABSTRACT

The following is an abstract of a paper which will be presented at the 15th annual meeting of the Canadian Veterinary Medical Association in Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, July 15–17, 1963.

# A New Look at Swine Feeding Standards-J. M. Bell

The history of the development of swine feeding standards is discussed with particular reference to the Morrison Feeding Standards and the U.S. N.R.C.-N.A.S. nutrient requirement tables. Limitations of these standards as applied to Canadian conditions are discussed in relation to: (a) problems of carcass quality and market returns; (b) variations in nature of basal grain used in the ration and (c) changing growth patterns of pigs.

Attempts at improving commercial grading results through modifying the level of digestible energy in the ration; by selective breeding for ability to produce high lean to fat ratio in the carcass and by feed restriction are described.

Results of three research projects involving use of electronic computers are presented. This technique has allowed simultaneous testing of all the major nutrients and the identification of problem areas in the application of predominantly U.S. data to Canadian feed formulation. The results have focused special attention on protein, lysine, riboflavin and pantothenic acid levels. The impact of such findings on practical feeding recommendations is discussed.