Fig A Forest plot for rate differences for death during period of influenza activity in 2003-4




Fig B Forest plot for rate differences for influenza-like illness during period of influenza activity in 2003-4

	Rate difference (SE)	Rate difference (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	Rate difference (random) 95% Cl
20	-0.5111 (0.1143)		3.33	-0.51 [-0.74, -0.29]
19	-0.4599 (0.0723)		4.70	-0.46 [-0.60, -0.32]
15	-0.3917 (0.1553)	<del>(</del>	2.36	-0.39 [-0.70, -0.09]
11	-0.2748 (0.0793)	0. <del>7 ■0.4</del>	4.45	-0.27 [-0.43, -0.12]
17	-0.2118 (0.0796)		4.44	-0.21 [-0.37, -0.06]
16	-0.1943 (0.0519)	-	5.43	-0.19 [-0.30, -0.09]
01	-0.1721 (0.0574)	( <del></del>	5.24	-0.17 [-0.28, -0.06]
13	-0.1600 (0.1247)		3.05	-0.16 [-0.40, 0.08]
10	-0.1634 (0.0921)		4.02	-0.16 [-0.34, 0.02]
07	-0.1580 (0.0730)		4.68	-0.16 [-0.30, -0.01]
03	-0.1599 (0.0523)	-	5.41	-0.16 [-0.26, -0.06]
21	-0.0741 (0.0655)		4.95	-0.07 [-0.20, 0.05]
14	-0.0164 (0.0164)	•	6.36	-0.02 [-0.05, 0.02]
05	0.0001 (0.0250)		6.21	0.00 [-0.05, 0.05]
06	0.0283 (0.0686)	2 <sup>2</sup>	4.84	0.03 [-0.11, 0.16]
04	0.0425 (0.0246)	-	6.21	0.04 [-0.01, 0.09]
08	0.0516 (0.1908)		1.78	0.05 [-0.32, 0.43]
22	0.0559 (0.0727)		4.69	0.06 [-0.09, 0.20]
02	0.0731 (0.0431)	-	5.72	0.07 [-0.01, 0.16]
12	0.1503 (0.0792)		4.46	0.15 [0.00, 0.31]
09	0.2033 (0.1011)		3.73	0.20 [0.01, 0.40]
18	0.2527 (0.0940)		3.95	0.25 [0.07, 0.44]
otal (95% CI)		•	100.00	-0.09 [-0.14, -0.03]
est for heterogeneity: Ch	$i^2 = 140.62$ , df = 21 (P < 0.00001), $i^2 = 8$	35.1%		
est for overall effect: Z =	: 2.85 (P = 0.004)			

Fig C Forest plot for rate differences for admissions to hospital for influenza-like illness during period of influenza activity in 2003-4




Fig D Forest plot for rate differences for general practitioner consultations for influenza-like illness during period of influenza activity in 2003-4

	Rate difference (SE)	Rate difference (random) 95% Cl	Weight %	Rate difference (random) 95% Cl
h legg embeleg selegager Classic		Angress	- 87 - NAME AND ADD	
20	-0.4089 (0.1022)	<del>- • -</del>	3.37	-0.41 [-0.61, -0.21]
11	-0.3894 (0.0944)	-	3.63	-0.39 [-0.57, -0.20]
19	-0.3312 (0.0607)		4.95	-0.33 [-0.45, -0.21]
10	-0.2192 (0.0976)	-	3.52	-0.22 [-0.41, -0.03]
16	-0.2082 (0.0538)		5.24	-0.21 [-0.31, -0.10]
08	-0.1909 (0.2203)		1.20	-0.19 [-0.62, 0.24]
01	-0.1912 (0.0605)		4.96	-0.19 [-0.31, -0.07]
13	-0.1519 (0.1305)	-	2.57	-0.15 [-0.41, 0.10]
03	-0.1542 (0.0480)	-	5.47	-0.15 [-0.25, -0.06]
21	-0.0977 (0.0611)		4.93	-0.10 [-0.22, 0.02]
07	-0.0999 (0.0603)		4.97	-0.10 [-0.22, 0.02]
15	-0.0678 (0.1364)	-	2.43	-0.07 [-0.34, 0.20]
17	-0.0433 (0.0499)		5.40	-0.04 [-0.14, 0.05]
14	-0.0164 (0.0164)	•	6.49	-0.02 [-0.05, 0.02]
05	-0.0175 (0.0305)	<del>-</del>	6.12	-0.02 [-0.08, 0.04]
22	0.0047 (0.0592)	A	5.01	0.00 [-0.11, 0.12]
04	0.0425 (0.0246)	-	6.30	0.04 [-0.01, 0.09]
02	0.0358 (0.0253)	-	6.28	0.04 [-0.01, 0.09]
06	0.0498 (0.0535)	-	5.25	0.05 [-0.06, 0.15]
12	0.0856 (0.0579)	-	5.07	0.09 [-0.03, 0.20]
09	0.2222 (0.0994)		3.46	0.22 [0.03, 0.42]
18	0.3456 (0.1008)	-	3.41	0.35 [0.15, 0.54]
otal (95% CI)		•	100.00	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.02]
est for heterogeneity: 0	$Chi^2 = 128.63$ , $df = 21 (P < 0.00001)$ , $I^2 = 8$	3.7%		
est for overall effect: Z				
AND ARREST OF LOSS OF THE PROPERTY OF ANY	en vittellied en er en bringe.	-0.5 0 0.5	59	

Number of residents at baseline in each pair of homes.

Home pair	Number of residents in	Number of residents in control
	intervention home	home
01	54	48
02	54	83
03	77	119
04	80	53
05	52	57
06	77	86
07	62	32
08	45	39
09	32	61
10	54	51
11	48	48
12	40	45
13	49	40
14	59	60
15	60	62
16	80	80
17	80	73
18	58	56
19	67	130
20	45	39
21	67	130
22	55	58