Helicobacter pylori infection potentiates the inhibition of gastric acid secretion by omeprazole

D Gillen, A A Wirz, W D Neithercut, J E S Ardill, K E L McColl

Abstract

Background—Omeprazole has a greater intragastric pH elevating effect in *Helicobacter pylori* positive than negative subjects. Ammonia production by *H pylori* has been suggested as a probable mechanism.

Aims—To assess the effect of *H pylori* status on gastric acid secretion during omeprazole treatment, and to examine the possible role of ammonia neutralisation of intragastric acid in increased omeprazole efficacy in infected subjects.

Methods—Twenty H pylori positive and 12 H pylori negative healthy volunteers were examined before and six to eight weeks after commencing omeprazole 40 mg/day. On both occasions plasma gastrin and acid output were measured basally and in response to increasing doses of gastrin 17 (G-17). Gastric juice ammonium concentrations were also measured.

Results—Prior to omeprazole, measurements were similar in the *H pylori* positive and negative subjects. During omeprazole, median basal intragastric pH was higher in the *H pylori* positive (7.95) versus negative (3.75) subjects (p<0.002). During omeprazole basal, submaximal (180 pmol/kg/h G-17), and maximal acid outputs (800 pmol/kg/h G-17) were lower in *H pylori* positive subjects (0.0, 3.6, 6.0 mmol/h respectively) versus negative subjects (0.3, 14.2, 18.6 mmol/h) (p<0.03 for each). This effect was not explained by neutralisation by ammonia.

Conclusion—The presence of H pylori infection leads to a more profound suppression of acid secretion during omeprazole treatment. The effect cannot be explained by neutralisation of intragastric acid by bacterial ammonia production and its precise mechanism has to be explained.

(Gut 1999;44:468-475)

Keywords: omeprazole; *Helicobacter pylori*; ammonia; acid secretion

Omeprazole, a substituted benzimidazole, noncompetitive inhibitor of the gastric proton pump,^{1 2} has become one of the world's most frequently prescribed medications.³ Early studies in duodenal ulcer patients⁴ and healthy volunteers⁵ showed its efficacy in producing profound suppression of acid secretion. This ability has been utilised with great success in a wide spectrum of acid related disorders.⁶⁻⁹ After the introduction of omeprazole, H pylori was recognised as a highly prevalent infectious agent of the gastric mucosa in both dyspeptic patients¹⁰⁻¹² and asymptomatic healthy individuals.^{13 14} Omeprazole has been shown to exert effects on H pylori^{15 16} and the associated gastritis.¹⁷⁻²⁰

The presence of *H pylori* infection may also exert effects on the actions of omeprazole. Four studies have shown that intragastric pH during omeprazole treatment is higher in *H pylori* infected subjects than in *H pylori* negative or eradicated subjects.²¹⁻²⁴ The investigators in these studies have concluded that the greater elevation of pH on omeprazole in the presence of *H pylori* is mainly due to production of ammonia by its urease enzyme,²⁵ neutralising intragastric acid.

The aims of this study were: (1) to assess the effect of H pylori status on gastric acid secretion, as opposed to intragastric pH, during proton pump inhibitor (PPI) treatment; and (2) to assess the contribution of H pylori ammonia production to any effects observed. Our findings show that the presence of H pylori leads to notably greater suppression of basal, submaximal, and maximal acid secretion during PPI treatment. They also show that ammonia production by H pylori and, indeed, neutralisation from any other source, cannot explain these observations.

Materials and methods

SUBJECTS STUDIED

Twenty *H pylori* negative healthy volunteers (10 men, five smokers) and 12 *H pylori* positive healthy volunteers (four men, four smokers) were studied. The mean weight and age of the *H pylori* negative volunteers were 75.9 kg and 27.9 years; those of the *H pylori* positive volunteers were 71.4 kg and 29.5 years. None of these volunteers were taking any medication, other than oral contraceptives. None reported any major gastrointestinal symptoms.

H pylori status was determined using the ¹⁴C-urea breath test. This test has been validated in our unit: using a cut off value of 30 (kg % dose/mmol CO_2) for the 20 minute result it has a sensitivity of 98% and a specificity of 100%.²⁶

METHODS

Basal gastrin concentration, basal intragastric pH, and basal acid output were measured in all subjects. Acid output was then measured in

Abbreviations used in this paper: BAO, basal acid output; ECL, enterochromaffin-like; G-17, gastrin 17; GORD, gastro-oesophageal reflux disease; MAO, maximal acid output; PPI, proton pump inhibitor.

University Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Western Infirmary, Glasgow G11 6NT, UK D Gillen A A Wirz K E L McColl

Arrowe Park Hospital, Upton, Wirral, Merseyside, UK W D Neithercut

Department of Medicine, The Queen's University of Belfast, Royal Victoria Hospital, Belfast, UK J E S Ardill

Correspondence to: Professor McColl.

Accepted for publication 7 October 1998



Figure 1 Basal fasting gastric juice pH in H pylori negative and positive subjects before and during omeprazole treatment. Medians are represented by horizontal bars.

response to submaximal and maximal doses of gastrin 17 (G-17). Following this, the subjects took an eight week course of omeprazole 40 mg each morning (at 0900 hours) (Astra Hassle, Molndal, Sweden) with weekly reminder telephone calls and fortnightly tablet counts being carried out. During the last two weeks of this course, the gastrin and acid secretory studies were repeated 24 hours after the previous dose of omeprazole.

For the gastric secretory studies, all subjects reported at 0900 after a 12 hour fast. A 16F nasogastric tube (Andersen Inc., New York, USA) was passed and its position in the dependent part of the stomach was checked using the water recovery test.²⁷ After the stomach was emptied, intermittent suction was applied using an intermittent suction unit (Ohmeda, Columbia, Maryland, USA), which applies suction for 20 seconds in each 32 second cycle. A 30 minute basal acid collection was obtained, then sequential 30 minute collections were made during infusions of G-17 at doses of 7, 20, 60, 180, and 800 pmol/kg/h. Blood samples were collected each morning for gastrin determination, both basally and at the end of each infusion period. The plasma was stored at -20°C. A gastric juice sample was taken at the end of both the basal and the peak G-17 infusion periods for later ammonium measurement. These gastric juice samples were stored at -70°C. Basal samples at both time points were unavailable for two of the H pylori negative subjects and one of the H pylori positive subjects, maximal samples for six of the Hpylori negative subjects and three of the H pylori positive subjects. The pH and volume of each acid collection was noted and its hydrogen ion concentration was measured by titration with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide to pH 7.0 using an autotitrator (Radiometer ETS 822, Copenhagen, Denmark).

G-17 was purchased from Peninsula Laboratories (Belmont, California, USA) as aliquots of freeze dried lyophilised powder. Subsequent preparation was performed under sterile conditions by the Western Infirmary Pharmacy Department. Each aliquot was dissolved in a small volume of ammonium bicarbonate, then made up into a stock solution. Vials containing 100 μ g of G-17 were prepared and stored at -20° C until the day of the study. For each study, the content of the vial was further diluted in 0.9% sodium chloride solution containing 1% human serum albumin (Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service, Law Hospital, Carluke, Scotland, UK).

Plasma gastrin levels were measured by radioimmunoassay using antiserum R98, which has a sensitivity of 5 ng/l and detects both sulphated and unsulphated forms of G-17 and G-34 with equal affinity.²⁸

Before analysis for ammonium concentration, gastric juice samples were centrifuged at 3000 g for 10 minutes to remove mucus. The concentration of ammonium was measured in the supernatant after dilution in 0.2 mol/l phosphate buffer pH 7.4 using a previously validated enzymatic method (Sigma Chemicals) adapted for the Cobas Mira (Roche, Welwyn Garden City, UK).²⁹ The interassay coefficient of variation ranged from 8.5% at 2.3 mmol/l gastric juice ammonium to 1.9% at 4.7 mmol/l, while the detection limit was 30 µmol/l. Gastric juice samples were diluted with phosphate buffer prior to analysis to prevent low gastric juice pH interfering with the enzyme used in the assay.29

STATISTICS

Statistical analysis was performed using a two tailed Mann-Whitney U test for unpaired data and a two tailed Wilcoxon sum rank test for paired data. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. The healthy volunteers were recruited by advertising in the hospital's catchment area. The study was approved by the West of Glasgow Hospitals University NHS Trust Ethics Committee and all subjects gave written, informed consent.

Results

BASAL INTRAGASTRIC pH

Pre-omeprazole, the median basal pH in the H pylori negative subjects was 1.6 (range 1.2–7.2), and that in the H pylori positive subjects was 1.6 (1.2–2.9) (p<0.84; fig 1). During omeprazole, the median basal pH in the H pylori negative subjects was 3.75 (1.7–8.5), and that in the H pylori positive subjects was 7.95 (2.7–8.3) (p<0.002; fig 1).

BASAL PLASMA GASTRIN CONCENTRATIONS

Before omeprazole, the median basal gastrin in the *H pylori* negative subjects was 15 ng/l (range 5–90), which was not significantly different from that in the *H pylori* positive subjects (20 (5–75) ng/l; p<0.29; fig 2). Basal plasma gastrin was significantly higher on omeprazole than pre-omeprazole in both the *H pylori* negative subjects (p<0.001) and the *H pylori* positive subjects (p<0.003). However, during omeprazole, the median basal plasma gastrin concentration in the *H pylori* negative subjects (35 (5–120) ng/l), was considerably lower than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (95 (30–400) ng/l; p<0.006; fig 2).



Figure 2 Basal plasma gastrin concentrations in the H pylori negative and positive subjects before and during omeprazole treatment. Medians are represented by horizontal bars.



Figure 3 Basal acid output in H pylori negative and positive subjects before and during omeprazole treatment. Medians are represented by horizontal bars.

BASAL ACID OUTPUT

Before omeprazole, the median basal acid output (BAO) in the *H pylori* negative subjects was 3.2 (0.0–9.7) mmol/h, which was the same as that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (3.2 (0.7–14.7) mmol/h; p<0.59; fig 3). BAO was lower on omeprazole than pre-omeprazole in both *H pylori* negative (p<0.001) and *H pylori* positive subjects (p<0.003). However, during omeprazole, the median BAO in the *H pylori* negative subjects was 0.3 (0.0–4.2) mmol/h, which was greater than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (0.0 (0.0–1.2) mmol/h; p<0.009; fig 3).

Before omeprazole, there was no difference in basal intragastric acidity between the two groups. However, on omeprazole, the median basal intragastric acidity of the *H pylori* negative subjects (7.4 (0.0–36.4) mmol/l), was significantly greater than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (0.0 (0.0–14.8) mmol/l; p<0.006).

During omeprazole, the median degree of inhibition of BAO in the *H pylori* negative subjects was 93.05% (-18.2% to 100%), which was less than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (100% (75% to 100%); p<0.008). The median degree of inhibition of the basal volume of gastric juice secreted by the *H pylori*

negative subjects (43.85%) was also less than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (61.8%), although this did not reach classical statistical significance (p<0.13). The median degree of omeprazole induced inhibition of basal intragastric acidity of the *H pylori* negative subjects was 83.15% which was lower than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (100%; p<0.007).

BASAL GASTRIC JUICE AMMONIUM

CONCENTRATIONS AND AMMONIA OUTPUT

Before omeprazole, the median basal ammonium concentration in the *H pylori* negative subjects was 1023 (396–3210) µmol/l which was lower than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (3285 (975–4590) µmol/l; p<0.002). During omeprazole, the median basal ammonium concentration of the *H pylori* negative subjects was 1088 (387–3465) µmol/l, which was also lower than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (2220 (360–4035) µmol/l; p<0.003). This represents a difference in medians on omeprazole of 1.1 mmol/l.

From data for basal gastric juice volume and basal gastric juice ammonium concentration, the basal gastric juice ammonia output can be calculated, in a similar fashion to the calculation of gastric acid output, from the product of gastric juice volume and hydrogen ion concentration. Before omeprazole, the median basal ammonia output of the H pylori negative subjects (0.08 (0.01-0.59) mmol/h), was significantly lower than that of the H pylori positive subjects (0.28 (0.04-0.56) mmol/h; p<0.03). During omeprazole, the median basal ammonia output of the H pylori negative subjects (0.07 (0.01-0.36) mmol/h), was not significantly different from that of the *H* pylori positive subjects (0.13 (0.02-0.31) mmol/h; p<0.15).

MAXIMAL ACID OUTPUT

Before omeprazole, the median maximal acid output (MAO) of the *H pylori* negative subjects was 32.4 (17.9–53.0) mmol/h, which was similar to that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (32.2 (14.5–60.3) mmol/h; p<0.50; fig 4). During omeprazole, the median MAO of the *H pylori* negative subjects was 18.6 (3.2–39.0) mmol/h, which was greater than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (6.0 (0.2–31.7) mmol/h; p<0.009; fig 4). MAO was lower on omeprazole than pre-omeprazole in both the *H pylori* negative (p<0.0009) and positive subjects (p<0.003).

Before omeprazole, there was no significant difference in intragastric acidity under maximal G-17 stimulation between the two groups. However, on omeprazole, the median intragastric acidity of the *H pylori* negative subjects (96.0 (39.2–119.6) mmol/l), was significantly greater than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (43.2 (4.8–103.6) mmol/l; p<0.0008).

The median degree of omeprazole induced inhibition of MAO in the *H pylori* negative subjects (54.6% (-25.2% to 89.0%)) was less than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (79.8% (27.3% to 99.4%); p<0.003). The median degree of omeprazole induced inhibition of the volume of gastric juice secreted in



Figure 4 Maximal acid output in the H pylori negative and positive subjects before and during omeprazole treatment. Medians are represented by horizontal bars.

the *H pylori* negative subjects (33.0% (-82.6% to 81.8%)) was less than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (50.0% (1.3% to 84.4%); p<0.04). The median degree of omeprazole induced inhibition of intragastric acidity of the *H pylori* negative subjects (29.8% (-16.6% to 59.5%)) was less than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (61.7% (26.3% to 96.1%)); (p<0.001).

GASTRIC JUICE AMMONIUM CONCENTRATION AND AMMONIA OUTPUT DURING MAXIMAL G-17 STIMULATION

Before omeprazole, the median ammonium of concentration in the *H pylori* negative subjects the subject of the

was 661.5 (276–1425) µmol/l, which was lower than that in the *H pylori* positive subjects (1958 (178–5670) µmol/l; p<0.009). During omeprazole, the median ammonium concentration in the *H pylori* negative subjects was 825 (378– 1485) µmol/l, which was also lower than that in the *H pylori* positive subjects (2025 (915– 8055) µmol/l; p<0.0002). This represents a difference in medians on omeprazole of only 1.2 mmol/l.

Before omeprazole, the median ammonia output of the *H pylori* negative subjects (0.16 (0.07–0.53) mmol/h), was significantly lower than that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (0.51 (0.04–1.34) mmol/h; p<0.04). During omeprazole, the median ammonia output of the *H pylori* negative subjects (0.16 (0.07–0.48) mmol/h) was not significantly different from that of the *H pylori* positive subjects (0.23 (0.10–1.07) mmol/h; p<0.16).

SUBMAXIMAL ACID OUTPUTS DURING G-17 STIMULATION

Table 1 shows median acid outputs at infusion rates of 7, 20, 60, and 180 pmol/k/h of G-17. Before omeprazole there were no significant differences at any G-17 infusion rate. However, on omeprazole, the acid outputs of the *H pylori* negative subjects were significantly greater at all infusion rates (fig 5).

Table 2 shows the median intragastric acidities in both groups, at each of the submaximal doses of G-17. The H pylori negative subjects had a significantly lower median degree of omeprazole induced inhibition of acid secretion than the H pylori positive subjects at each

Table 1 Acid output at submaximal doses of gastrin 17 in H pylori negative and positive subjects before and during omeprazole

	Gastrin 17 infusion rate (pmol/kg/h)				
	7	20	60	180	
H pylori negative, before	9.2(1.4-22.4)	22.0 (6.8-34.2)	29.8 (12.2-42.2)	33.6 (15.8-52.3)	
<i>H pylori</i> positive, before omeprazole	7.6 (0.8–25.2)	16.2 (2.1–33.0)	23.2 (6.7–51.9)	27.9 (10.9–60.3)	
H pylori negative, during omeprazole	0.3 (0.0-6.0)	1.9 (0.0–16.8)	7.4 (0.1–25.7)	14.2 (1.6–28.8)	
omeprazole	0.0 (0.0–4.0)*	0.0 (0.0–7.5)†	1.6 (0.0–15.4)†	3.6 (0.0–25.4)‡	

Acid output expressed as median (range) in mmol/h.

Acid output less in H pylori positive than in H pylori negative subjects at *p<0.04, +p<0.03, +p<0.01.



Figure 5 Median acid outputs (and ranges) to the submaximal doses of G-17 in H pylori negative and positive subjects (A) before and (B) during omeprazole treatment.

 Table 2
 Intragastric acidity at submaximal doses of gastrin 17 in H pylori negative and positive subjects before and during omeprazole

	Gastrin 17 infusion rate (pmol/kg/h)				
	7	20	60	180	
H pylori negative,	69.7 (25.9–116.0)	96.7 (46.8–127.8)	116.7	127.0 (80.9–146.0)	
<i>H pylori</i> positive, before omeprazole	71.6 (11–71)	$(40.0 \ 127.0)$ 93.4 (18.4-114.9)	(37.6 - 137.6) 102.4 (45.6 - 137.4)	(50.9 140.0) 117.5 (58.1–137.0)	
<i>H pylori</i> negative, during omeprazole	7.6 (0.0–57.2)	29.0 (0.0–96.4)	66.2 (8.0–108.1)	87.2 (25.7–108.4)	
H pylori positive, during omeprazole	0.0 (0.0-28.2)*	2.4 (0.0-48.0)†	17.4 (0.0-81.1)‡	30.6 (0.0–96.4)§	

Intragastric acidity expressed as median (range) in mmol/l.

Acidity less in H pylori positive than in H pylori negative subjects at *p<0.02, †p<0.01, ‡p<0.003, p<0.001.

Table 3 Serum gastrin concentrations during infusions of gastrin 17 in H pylori negative and positive subjects before and during omeprazole

	Gastrin 17 infusion rate (pmol/kg/h)				
	7	20	60	180	
H pylori negative,	25.0	70.0	205.0	640.0	
H pylori positive, before	37.5	95.0	203.0	680.0	
<i>H pylori</i> negative, during omeprazole <i>H pylori</i> positive, during omeprazole	35.0	80.0	200.0	540.0	
	97.5*	135.0*	265.0	580.0	

Gastrin concentration expressed in ng/l.

*Significantly greater than H pylori negative subjects during omeprazole, p<0.01.

of the submaximal doses of G-17: 96.45% versus 100% at 7 pmol/kg/h (p<0.05); 88.6% versus 99.5% at 20 pmol/kg/h (p<0.02); 74.05% versus 93.6% at 60 pmol/kg/h (p<0.009); and 56.15% versus 86.95% at 180 pmol/kg/h (p<0.01).

Before omeprazole, there were trends to a significantly higher plasma gastrin in the H pylori positive subjects at the submaximal G-17 doses of 7 and 20 pmol/kg/h and no significant differences at 60 and 180 pmol/kg/h (table 3). However, during omeprazole, the H pylori positive subjects had a significantly higher plasma gastrin at 7 and 20 pmol/kg/h, a trend to a difference at 60 pmol/kg/h, but no significant difference at 180 pmol/kg/h (table 3). Due to the higher gastrin concentrations in the H



Figure 6 Median plasma gastrin concentration versus median acid output curves for the H pylori negative and positive subjects before and during omeprazole treatment.

pylori positive subjects during the lower G-17 infusion doses, the acid response was plotted against gastrin concentration (fig 6). This showed that on omeprazole, the *H pylori* positive subjects had a notably reduced acid response across the full range of gastrin concentrations when compared with the *H pylori* negative subjects.

Discussion

Previous studies have shown that during omeprazole treatment, intragastric pH is more notably elevated in H pylori positive versus negative healthy subjects.²¹ In addition, intragastric pH on omeprazole is higher in H pylori infected subjects than in the same subjects after the infection has been eradicated.^{22 23} In these studies, the mean 24 hour pH in H pylori infected subjects on omeprazole was 5.0-5.5, compared with 3.0-3.5 in H pylori negative or eradicated subjects.²¹⁻²³ This difference in pH represents a very small difference in hydrogen ion concentration of less than 1 mmol/l. This has led the groups which have documented the pH phenomenon to conclude that it may represent nothing more than neutralisation of intragastric acid by *H pylori* produced ammonia.^{22 23} Our current studies investigated whether H pylori status might be affecting the degree of suppression of gastric acid secretion produced by omeprazole, which would make the phenomenon of greater clinical significance.

Our study confirms these previous pH observations. The median fasting pH in the *H* pylori negative subjects on omeprazole was 3.75 versus 7.95 in the *H* pylori positive subjects. Only 25.0% of the *H* pylori negative subjects had neutral basal pH values 24 hours after the previous dose of the PPI, compared with 83.3% of the infected subjects. The previous studies reporting the influence of *H* pylori status on the pH raising efficacy of omeprazole had been conducted after seven days of dosing.²¹⁻²³ Our present study was performed after at least six weeks of omeprazole and indicates that the phenomenon persists with longer courses of therapy.

In addition to measuring fasting intragastric pH, we performed detailed studies of basal, submaximal, and maximal acid output. Prior to commencing omeprazole, there were no differences between the H pylori positive and H pylori negative healthy subjects with respect to basal and G-17 stimulated maximal acid output. This is consistent with our previous studies showing that increased acid secretion induced by *H pylori* infection is mainly confined to duodenal ulcer patients.30 Submaximal G-17 stimulated acid output was slightly lower in the H pylori positive than in the H pylori negative subjects. This was more apparent when the acid output was assessed against the gastrin concentration than against the G-17 dose, as the latter does not take into account the higher endogenous gastrin level in the H pylori positive subjects. The reduced acid response to gastrin in the infected subjects is consistent with our recent report of reduced sensitivity to G-17 in H pylori infected healthy volunteers.³⁰

Marked differences in acid secretion were apparent between the H pylori positive and negative subjects on omeprazole. The median BAO in the *H* pylori positive subjects was 0.0 mmol/h, compared with 0.3 mmol/h in the uninfected subjects. This difference in BAO could not be explained by any neutralising effect of ammonia produced by H pylori. The median ammonia output on omeprazole was 0.13 mmol/h in the H pylori positive and 0.07 mmol/h in the H pylori negative subjects. This represents a difference in ammonia output of only 0.06 mmol/h, which is fivefold less than the difference in BAO. Furthermore, the greater degree of inhibition of BAO in the Hpylori positive versus negative subjects on omeprazole was due to a greater degree of inhibition of both volume of gastric juice secreted and its acidity. This provides further evidence that the difference in acid measured was due to greater inhibition of acid secretion in the *H pylori* positive subjects and not merely neutralisation by either ammonia or other neutralising factors.

MAO to G-17 was also considerably lower in the H pylori positive versus negative subjects on omeprazole, being 6.0 mmol/h and 18.6 mmol/h respectively. As with BAO, this difference in MAO of 12.6 mmol/h could not be explained by a neutralising effect of ammonia produced by H pylori. The median ammonia output during maximal G-17 stimulation of omeprazole was 0.23 mmol/h in the H pylori positive subjects and 0.16 mmol/h in the uninfected subjects. This difference of 0.07 mmol/h between the infected and uninfected subjects could not explain the more than 180-fold greater 12.6 mmol/h difference in median MAO. Furthermore, this difference in acid output on omeprazole was also due to a greater degree of inhibition of volume, as well as acidity, and this again excludes neutralisation by ammonia, or indeed other neutralising substances, as a feasible explanation of this observation.

Acid output on omeprazole in response to submaximal stimulation with G-17 showed a very notable difference between the H pylori positive and negative subjects (figs 5 and 6). At a dose of 60 pmol/kg/h, the median acid output in the H pylori negative subjects was 7.4 mmol/h compared with 1.6 mmol/h in the Hpylori positive subjects. At a dose of 180 pmol/ kg/h, the corresponding values were 14.2 and 3.6 mmol/h respectively. Significant differences in acid output were also apparent at 7 and 20 pmol/kg/h. Furthermore, at 7 and 20 pmol/ kg/h of G-17 during omeprazole, the plasma gastrin concentrations achieved during the gastrin infusion were significantly higher in the H pylori positive versus the H pylori negative subjects, to some degree masking the true magnitude of the difference of the acid response to gastrin at these doses of G-17. This difference in gastrin concentrations between the *H pylori* negative and positive subjects during the lower doses of the G-17 infusion can be explained by the contribution of the higher endogenous gastrin levels in the infected subjects.

Our studies have thus shown that omeprazole produces more notable suppression of BAO, submaximal acid output, and MAO in H*pylori* positive than in H *pylori* negative subjects. The degree of inhibition of BAO was 100% in the H *pylori* positive versus 93.35% in the negative subjects, 93.6% versus 74.05% for submaximal (60 pmol/kg/h) acid output, and 79.8% versus 54.6% respectively for MAO.

Sensitivity to gastrin stimulation can be assessed by plotting plasma gastrin concentration against acid output at the various doses of G-17 and calculating the concentration of G-17 to produce half maximal response.³⁰ However, in this present study, the degree of acid suppression, particularly in the H pylori positive subjects on omeprazole, made it impossible to produce a concentration/acid response curve of sufficient accuracy to calculate the sensitivity to gastrin. Despite this, the observation that the gastrin concentration/acid response curve in the *H* pylori positive subjects on omeprazole is shifted notably to the right, compared with that of the *H* pylori negative subjects on omeprazole, is consistent with the former having a lower sensitivity to gastrin on omeprazole.

All of the previously published studies of the influence of *H pylori* status on the response to omeprazole only measured intragastric pH. These studies concluded that the difference in pH could be largely explained by neutralisation of intragastric acid by H pylori produced ammonia. Our current study indicates that there is a notable difference in the degree of suppression of gastric acid secretion in H pylori positive versus negative subjects and that neutralisation by ammonia production cannot explain more than 20% of the difference in BAO or 0.6% of the difference in MAO. Similarly, the fact that the volume of gastric juice secreted is affected, as well as its acidity, indicates that the presence of any other neutralising substances, such as enhanced mucosal bicarbonate production³¹ or H pylori related duodenogastric reflux32 cannot explain the observation.

The previously reported studies were performed after seven days of therapy, whereas our present study examined subjects after six to eight weeks of treatment, being representative of a typical course in clinical practice. It is possible that intragastric ammonia levels and degree of inhibition of acid varies slightly with different duration of treatment.

Our findings thus indicate that some interaction is occurring between H pylori infection and omeprazole treatment which is potentiating the antisecretory efficacy of the drug. A plausible explanation for this is the intense inflammation of the oxyntic mucosa which develops in Hpositive subjects during PPI pylori treatment.¹⁸⁻²⁰ This increased gastritis is likely to impair the function of the oxyntic mucosa and thereby supplement the pharmacological effect of the drug. Recent observations by ourselves and others^{33–35} that there is a negative correlation between H pylori associated inflammation of the oxyntic mucosa and pentagastrin stimulated peak acid output in H pylori infected patients is consistent with this theory. Furthermore, eradication of the organism and the accompanying resolution of oxyntic inflammation result in prompt recovery of acid secretory function.36 H pylori induced inflammation results in an increased production of a variety of cytokines, including interleukin 1 (IL-1), which has been shown to a very powerful inhibitor of acid secretion.³⁷⁻³⁹ An alternative or additional explanation for the more notable inhibition of acid secretion in H pylori positive subjects is that ammonia produced by the urease in *H pylori* is able to penetrate the oxyntic mucosa during omeprazole treatment due to more being in the more lipophilic unionised form at the higher intragastric pH. This could allow increased delivery of NH4+ ions close to the proton pumps, where they can act as K⁺ surrogates,40 and lead to uncoupling of the proton pumps.⁴¹ We believe that the more profound inhibition of acid secretion in H pylori positive subjects on PPI treatment is unlikely to be due to acid inhibitory products of the bacterium,⁴²⁻⁴⁴ as such treatment does not increase the density of bacterial colonisation of the oxyntic mucosa.20 However, one cannot exclude such products being able to gain greater access to the acid secreting cells when acid secretion is inhibited or their being more active at less acidic pH.

Our observation that the influence of Hpylori status on the pH elevating effect of omeprazole is due to a difference in the actual acidity and volume of the gastric secretion increases the clinical importance of the phenomenon. Gastric acid is an important element of the phylogenetically conserved non-specific immune system.^{45 46} The *H* pylori positive patients rendered profoundly hypochlorhydic by PPIs are therefore likely to be at increased risk of enteric infections, as susceptibility to such infection is known to exist in other low acid states.47-52 Certainly, increased susceptibility to enteric infection on omeprazole has been reported,⁵³ but the *H* pylori status of the patients was not known. Our own group has recently reported a greater number of non-H pylori bacteria colonising the gastric juice of H pylori positive versus negative subjects during omeprazole treatment.⁵⁴ Such bacterial colonisation may also result in the intragastric synthesis of potentially carcinogenic nitrosoamines.55

The findings from our present study that the degree of inhibition of both the volume and acidity of gastric secretion by omeprazole is considerably less in the H pylori negative than positive subjects makes it highly likely that its efficacy in controlling acid/peptic disease will also be less in *H* pylori negative subjects. All the clinical studies to date which have assessed the antisecretory efficacy of PPI treatment have involved groups which have been either predominantly (ulcer patients) or partially H pylori positive.^{6-8 56-63} The current literature on the antisecretory efficacy of PPI treatment may thus overestimate its efficacy in the H pylori negative population. There have been recent reports of difficulty in controlling intragastric acidity in some GORD subjects with PPI

treatment.64 This may be due to reduced efficacy in H pylori negative subjects. Whether increasing the dose of the PPI will achieve increased control is at present unclear and will need to be addressed in H pylori negative subiects.

In summary, H pylori status has a major influence on the inhibition of acid secretion produced by PPI treatment. A more profound inhibition of acid secretion is seen in H pylori positive subjects and this cannot be explained by acid neutralisation, either by ammonia or any other substances. While this increased antisecretory effect will facilitate the control of acid/peptic disease, it will also predispose to enteric infections and gastric colonisation by nitrosating bacterial species.

We gratefully acknowledge the kindness of the Scottish National Blood Transfusion Service in their provision of serum albumin. We are also most grateful to Dr Andrew Kelman for his kind advice on appropriate pharmacokinetic modelling.

- 1 Olbe L, Haglund U, Leth R, et al. Effect of substituted benzimidazole (H149/94) on gastric acid secretion in humans. *Gastroenterology* 1981;83:193–8.
 2 Fellenius E, Berglind T, Sachs G, *et al.* Substituted benzimi-
- dazoles inhibit gastric acid secretion by (H⁺+K⁺)ATPase. *Nature* 1981;**290**:159–61.
- 3 Garner A, Fadlallah H, Parsons M E. 1976 and all that!-20
- years of antisecretory therapy. *Gut* 1996;**39**:784–6. 4 Howden CW, Forrest JAH, Reid JL. Effects of single and repeated doses of omeprazole on gastric acid and pepsin secretion in man. *Gut* 1984;25:707–10. Walt RP, Gomes M de FA, Wood EC, *et al.* Effect of daily
- oral omeprazole on 24 hour intragastric acidity. BMJ 1983; **287**:12–14.
- Cooperative Study. Omeprazole in duodenal ulceration: acid inhibition, symptom relief, endoscopic healing and recurrence. *BMJ* 1984;**289**:525–8.
- Howden CW, Hunt RH. The relationship between suppression of acidity and gastric ulcer healing rates. Aliment Phar-macol Ther 1990;4:25–33.
- 8 Koop H, Arnold MD. Long-term maintenance treatment of reflux esophagitis with omeprazole. Prospective study in patients with H_2 blocker resistant esophagitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1991;**36**:552–7.
- 9 Maton PN, Vinayek R, Frucht H, et al. Long term efficacy and safety of omeprazole in patients with Zollinger-Ellison syndrome: a prospective study. Gastroenterology 1989;97:
- 10 Marshall BJ, Warren JR. Unidentified curved bacilli in the stomach of patients with gastritis and peptic ulceration. Lancet 1984;i:1311-15.
- 11 Graham DY. Campylobacter pylori and peptic ulcer. Gastroenterology 1989;96:615-25.
- 2 Armstrong D. Helicobacter pylori infection and dyspepsia. Scand J Gastroenterol 1996;31(suppl 215):38-47.
 13 Graham D, Klein PD, Opekun AR, et al. Effect of age on frequency of active Campylobacter pylori infection diag-nosed by the ¹³[C]-urea breath test in normal subjects and infection of the state of the sta
- nosed by the "[C]-urea breath test in normal subjects and patients with peptic ulcer, *F Infect Dis* 1988;157:77-80.
 14 McDonagh TA, Woodward M, Morrison C, et al. Lack of independent association of *H*. *pylori* and coronary heart disease [abstract]. Gut 1996;38(suppl 1):A1.
 15 Bugnoli M, Bayeli PF, Rappuoli O, et al. Inhibition of Units and Coronary heart disease. The supervised for the supervised core and the supervised core and
- Helicobacter pylori urease by omeprazole. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 1993;5:683–5.
 McGowan CC, Cover TL, Blaser MJ. The proton pump inhibitor omeprazole inhibits acid survival of Helicobacter pylori by a urease-independent mechanism. Gastroenterol-ogy 1994;107:738-43.
- Danon SJ, O'Rourke JL, Moss ND, et al. The importance of local acid production in the distribution of Helicobacter 17 felis in the mouse stomach. Gastroenterology 1995;108: 1386-95
- 18 Logan RPH, Walker MM, Misiewicz JJ, et al. Changes in the intragastric distribution of Helicobacter pylori during treatment with omeprazole. *Gut* 1995;**36**:12–16.
- Kuipers EJ, Uyterlinde AM, Pena AS, et al. Increase of Helicobacter pylori-associated corpus gastritis during acid suppressive therapy: implications for long-term safety. Am J Gastroenterol 1995:90:1401-6
- 20 Eissele R, Brunner G, Simon B, et al. Gastric mucosa during drug treatment with lansoprazole: Helicobacter pylori is a risk factor for argyrophil cell hyperplasia. Gastroenterology 1997;112:707-17
- Verdu EF, Armstrong D, Fraser R, et al. Effect of 21 Helicobacter pylori status on intragastric pH during treat-ment with omeprazole. *Gut* 1995;**36**:539–43.
- 22 Verdu EF, Armstrong D, Idstrom J-P, et al. Effect of curing Helicobacter pylori infection on intragastric pH during omeprazole treatment. Gut 1995;37:743-8.

- 23 Labenz J, Tillenberg B, Peitz U, et al. Helicobacter pylori augments the pH-raising effect of omeprazole in duodenal ulcer patients. *Gastroenterology* 1996;**110**:725–32. 24 Koop H, Kuly S, Flug M, *et al.* Intragastric pH and serum
- gastrin during administration of different doses of panto-prazole in healthy subjects. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 1996:8.915-18
- 25 Ferrero RL, Hazell SL, Lee A. The urease enzymes of Campylobacter pylori and a related bacterium. *J Clin* Microbiol 1988;27:33-40.
- 26 Mowat C, Murray L, Hilditch TE, et al. Comparison of Helisal rapid blood test and ¹⁴C urea breath test in
- determining H. pylori status and predicting ulcer disease in dyspeptic patients. Am J Gastroenterol 1998;93:20-5.
 27 Hassan HA, Hobsley M. Positioning of subject and of nasogastric tube during a gastric secretion study. BMJ 1970;i:458-60.
- 19703:458-60.
 Mulholland G, Ardill JES, Fillmore D, et al. Helicobacter pylori-related hypergastrinaemia is due to a selective increase in gastrin-17. Gut 1993;34:757-61.
 Neithercut WD, El-Nujumi AM, McColl KEL. The measurement of urea and ammonium concentrations in gastric juice. J Clin Pathol 1993;46:462-4.
- 30 Gillen D, El-Omar E, Wirz AA, et al. The increased acid response to gastrin which distinguishes H. pylori-infected DU patients from healthy volunteers. *Gastroenterology* 1998;**114**:50–7.
- 31 Fandriks L, Stage L. Simultaneous measurements of gastric motility and acid-bicarbonate secretions in the anaesthetised cat. Acta Physiol Scand 1986;**128**:563–73. 32 Ladas SD, Katsogridakis J, Malamou H, et al. Helicobacter
- pylori may induce bile reflux: link between H. pylori and bile induced injury to gastric epithelium. *Gut* 1996;**38**:15–
- 33 Yasunaga Y, Shinomura Y, Kanayama S, et al. Improved fold width and increased acid secretion after eradication of the organism in H. pylori-associated enlarged fold gastritis. Gut
- 1994;**35**:1571–**4**. 34 Feldman M, Cryer B, McArthur CE, *et al.* Effects of aging and gastritis on gastric acid and pepsin secretion in humans: a prospective study. Gastroenterology 1996;110: 1043-52

- 1043-52.
 El-Omar EM, Oien K, El-Nujumi A, et al. Helicobacter pylori infection and chronic gastric acid hyposecretion. Gastroenterology 1997;113:15-24.
 Gutierrez O, Melo M, Graham DY, et al. Improved acid secretion after treatment of H. pylori: time course [abstract]. Gastroenterology 1997;112:A413.
 Robert A, Olafsson AS, Lancaster C, Zhang W. Interleukin-1 is cytoprotective, antisecretory, stimulates PGE₂ synthesis by the stomach and retards gastric empty-ing. Life Sci 1991;48:123-34.
 Wullace H, Cucala M, Mugridge K, et al. Secretagogue-
- ing. Life Sci 1991;48:123–34.
 Wallace JL, Cucala M, Mugridge K, et al. Secretagogue-specific effects of interleukin-1 on gastric acid secretion. Am J Physiol 1991;261:G559–64.
 Taché Y, Saperas E. Potent inhibition of gastric acid secretion and ulcer formation by centrally and peripherally administrated interleuking. Am M Vacad Sci 1002;664.
- administered interleukin-la. Ann NY Acad Sci 1992;664:
- 40 Sachs G. Helicobacter pylori and proton pump inhibitors. Gastroenterology 1997;112:1033–5. 41 Lorentzon P, Jackson R, Wallmark B, et al. Inhibition of pro-
- ton potassium ATPase by omeprazole in isolated gastric vesicles requires proton transport. Biochim Biophys Acta 1987;897:41-51.
- 42 Cave DR, Vargas M. Effect of a Campylobacter pylori protein on acid secretion by parietal cells. *Lancet* 1989;ii: 187–9.
- 43 Huang LL, Cave DR, Kane AV. Purification and characterisation of an acid-inhibitory protein from Helicobacter pylori [abstract]. *Gastroenterology* 1995;**108**:A839.

- 44 Beil W, Birkholz C, Wagner S, et al. Interaction of Helicobacter pylori and its fatty acids with parietal cells and gastric H⁺/K⁺ATPase. Gut 1994;35:1176–80.
- 45 Giannella RA, Broitmans A, Zamcheck N, Gastric acid barrier to ingested micro-organisms: studies in vivo and in vitro. Gut 1972;13:251-6. 46 Drasar BS, Shiner M, McLeod GM. Studies on the intesti-
- nal flora: 1. The bacterial flora of the gastrointestinal tract in healthy and achlorhydric persons. Gastroenterology 1969; 56:71-9.
- 47 Hurst AF. The clinical importance of achlorhydria. BMJ 1934;ii:665-9
- 48 Boyd JF. Pathology of the alimentary tract in Salmonella typhimurium food poisoning. *Gut* 1985;**26**:935–44. Wickramasinghe LSP, Basu SK. Salmonellosis during treat-49
- ment with ranitidine. BMJ 1984;289:1272.
- 50 Ruddell WSJ, Losowsky MS. Severe diarrhoea due to small intestinal colonisation during cimetidine treatment. BMJ 1980;281:273
- Waddell WR, Kunz LJ. Association of salmonella enteritis 51 with operations on the stomach. N Engl J Med 1956;255: 555-9
- 52 Axon ATR, Poole D. Salmonellosis presenting with choleralike diarrhoea. Lancet 1973;i:745-6
- 53 Scott HM, Neal KR, Slack RGB, et al. Omeprazole treatment, a potent risk factor for Campylobacter gastroenteritis [abstract]. Gut 1994;35(suppl 1):S30.
- Williams C, Gillen D, Hossack M, et al. H. pylori infection predisposes to gastric colonisation by other bacteria during 54 omeprazole treatment [abstract]. Gut 1998;42(suppl 1): A3.
- Correa P. Human gastric carcinogenesis: a multistep and multifactorial process—first American Cancer Society Award Lecture on cancer epidemiology and prevention. Cancer Res 1992;**52**:6735–40.
- Kinkeherg-Knol EC, Festen HPM, Jansen JBMJ, et al. Longterm treatment with omeprazole for refractory reflux 56 esophagitis: efficacy and safety. Ann Intern Med 1994;121: $16\bar{1}-'$
- Hetzel DJ, Dent J, Reed WD, et al. Healing and relapse of 57 severe peptic esophagitis after treatment with omeprazole. Gastroenterology 1988;95:903-12
- Klinkenberg-Knol EC, Jansen JBMJ, Lamers CBHW, et al. Use of omeprazole in the management of reflux esophagitis 58 resistant to H₂-receptor antagonists. Scand J Gastroenterol 1989;24(suppl 66):88–93.
- 59 Lundell L, Backman L, Ekstrom P, et al. Omeprazole or high dose ranitidine in the treatment of patients with reflux oesophagitis not responding to "standard doses" of H_2 receptor antagonists. *Aliment Pharm Ther* 1990;4:145–55.
- 60 Zeitoun P, Barbier P, Cayphas JP, et al. Comparison of two dosage regimens of omeprazole—10mg once daily and 20mg weekends—as prophylaxis against recurrence of
- reflux esophagitis. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1989;36:279–80.
 Bell NJ, Burget D, Howden CW, *et al.* Appropriate acid suppression for the management of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Digestion 1992;51(suppl 1):59-67
- Sontag SJ, Hirschowitz BI, Holt S, et al. Two doses of omeprazole versus placebo in symptomatic erosive esophagitis: the US Multicenter Study. Gastroenterology 1992;102:109-18
- Vigneri S, Termini R, Leandro G, et al. A comparison of five 63 maintenance therapies for reflux esophagitis. N Engl J Med 1995:333:1106-10.
- Leite LP, Johnston BT, Just RJ, et al. Persistent acid secretion during omeprazole therapy: a study of gastric acid profiles in patients demonstrating failure of omeprazole therapy. Am f Gastroenterol 1996;91:1527–31.