

## EDITORIAL

## Recent progress in drug treatment for acute stroke

The publication of the positive results of the National Institutes of Neurological Disease and Stroke (NINDS)<sup>1</sup> trial of alteplase (a recombinant tissue plasminogen activator) for patients with acute stroke in 1995 and its approval by the US Food and Drug Administration as well as by the American Academy of Neurology and American Heart Association<sup>2,3</sup> increased the interest and attention of the medical community for acute stroke treatment. However, the implication of this NINDS Stroke Study and other thrombolytic trials in clinical practice remains extremely controversial and debated. Furthermore, the recent publication of the results from the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study II (ECASS II)<sup>4</sup> will feed the controversy as ECASS II<sup>4</sup> results are disappointing and do not confirm the positive results of the NINDS Stroke Study.<sup>1</sup> Consequently, what is the more reasonable position concerning thrombolysis by alteplase, and what seems to work has not been established yet beyond reasonable doubt. Numerous trials devoted to neuroprotection against acute ischaemic stroke have been prematurely stopped because of safety concerns or poor risk:benefit ratios, but some new neuroprotective drugs seem promising and are being tested. The third area of research in progress is the use of antithrombotic drugs in the acute phase of stroke. In this paper, we review selected recent clinical trials focusing on recent advances in acute stroke therapy.

**Thrombolytic therapy**

The recent publication of the neutral results of the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study II (ECASS II)<sup>4</sup> in October 1998, did not confirm the positive results of the NINDS Stroke Study<sup>1</sup> and constitutes a great problem for people who wanted to obtain a rapid licensing of alteplase in Europe as well as the recognition that alteplase was a treatment for acute ischaemic stroke. ECASS II<sup>4</sup> was designed to test whether intravenous alteplase (rtPA), given within 6 hours of proved acute ischaemic stroke, could increase the proportion of patients having a "favourable outcome" at 3 months, estimated by the modified Rankin scale score of 0 or 1. The dose of alteplase used in ECASS II<sup>4</sup> was the same as for the NINDS Stroke Study<sup>1</sup>—0.9 mg/kg bodyweight with an upper dose limit of 90 mg/patient (a bolus of 10% of the total dose was given over 1–2 minutes, followed by a 60 minute intravenous infusion of the remaining dose)—to match NINDS criteria. Eight hundred patients were enrolled in ECASS II, which was a non-angiographic, randomised, double blind trial; 409 patients received alteplase whereas 391 were randomly designed to have placebo. Alteplase increased the proportion of "favourable outcome" from 36.6% to 40.3%, a non-significant absolute increase of 3.7% ( $p=0.277$ ). However, in a post hoc analysis of modified Rankin scale scores dichotomised for death or dependency, a favourable outcome was found in 54.3% of alteplase group patients and in 46.0% of placebo group patients, a significant absolute difference of 8.3% ( $p=0.024$ ).<sup>5</sup> By comparison, the absolute benefit for a "favourable outcome" (mRS=0 or 1) (16.2%) was significant in the NINDS Stroke Study<sup>1</sup> and non-significant (6.4%) in

ECASS I.<sup>5</sup> If we consider only the first results of ECASS II<sup>4</sup> (without the post hoc dichotomised analysis), the two ECASS studies<sup>4,5</sup> produce the same neutral results. ECASS II<sup>4</sup> showed no evidence that efficacy of intravenous alteplase treatment depends on administration within 3 hours of symptom onset. Indeed, there were no significant differences between the alteplase and placebo groups according to time strata of 0–3 hours and 3–6 hours of stroke onset, although it was not powered to show such a difference (only 158 patients in the 0–3 hours subgroup). The overall mortality rates at 3 months were much lower in ECASS II<sup>4</sup> (10.5% in alteplase group *v* 10.7% in placebo group) than in ECASS I<sup>5</sup> (22.4% *v* 15.8%) or the NINDS Stroke Study<sup>1</sup> (17.3% *v* 20.5%), whereas the rate of symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage was 8.8% (3.4% in the placebo group) *v* 6.4% (0.6% in the placebo group) in ECASS II<sup>4</sup> and NINDS trials,<sup>1</sup> respectively. The ECASS II study group<sup>4</sup> reported that intracranial haemorrhages did not lead to an overall increase in morbidity or mortality in the alteplase group. In conclusion, ECASS II<sup>4</sup> seems an equivocal study—negative for the primary end point (mRS=0 or 1) and positive for post hoc analysis of modified Rankin scale scores dichotomised for death or dependency (mRS=0, 1, or 2 classified as favourable)—and must be interpreted with caution for several reasons.<sup>6</sup> Firstly, stroke patients randomised in ECASS II<sup>4</sup> had less severe neurological deficits at entry to the study, which can represent a possible selection bias; the median baseline NIHSS scores in the alteplase and placebo groups were 13 and 12 in ECASS I,<sup>5</sup> 14, and 15 in the NINDS trial,<sup>1</sup> and 11 in both groups in ECASS II.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, in ECASS II,<sup>4</sup> patients showed fewer signs of early major infarction on baseline CT, presumably as a result of better CT surveillance. Consequently, ECASS II<sup>4</sup> was characterised by a higher number of patients with mild stroke recruited which can explain why a better placebo response and a lower mortality rate were found in ECASS II<sup>4</sup> by comparison with ECASS I<sup>5</sup> or the NINDS trial.<sup>1</sup> Patients with mild stroke are probably less likely to benefit from thrombolysis than those with more severe stroke as many will improve spontaneously. This finding suggests that we need more knowledge about predicting factors of spontaneous recovery as well as about the vascular status of the individual patient (artery occluded or not). ECASS II, ECASS I, and the NINDS trial are non-angiographic studies. Secondly, the primary end point reflecting a "favourable outcome" is uncommon in stroke trials, which normally base it on independence (Rankin scale score of 0–2). Of interest is that the post hoc analysis of ECASS II becomes positive when analysed with independence as the outcome (absolute benefit of 8.3%;  $p=0.024$ ). Thirdly, as for ECASS I<sup>5</sup> and the NINDS trial,<sup>1</sup> ECASS II<sup>4</sup> is insufficient in terms of number of patients to keep a power significance because the thrombolytic studies do not take into account the great heterogeneity of stroke (aetiology, mechanism, prognosis). Additionally, it is important to compare the response to thrombolytic therapy according to the aetiology of stroke, as described in the NINDS trial.<sup>1</sup> In this trial, it was the lacunar stroke subgroup which

paradoxically demonstrated the best response to rtPA treatment. Additional studies with alteplase including over 1500 patients would be useful to recruit a sufficient number of patients in each subtype of stroke. Because of the margin between the probability of decreasing the likelihood of disability by 12% and the increased probability of death by 5% over placebo<sup>7</sup> and because it is currently impossible to predict which patient would be affected by a symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage<sup>6</sup>—the rate of intracranial haemorrhage is strongly increased by alteplase—we think that it is premature to consider alteplase as a therapy to be applied in most cases of routine clinical practice. Much more data are crucial to identify which patients will more likely benefit from thrombolysis and which patients will be more at risk for it. Failure to better understand this issue constitutes a major limitation to the implementation of alteplase in general practice for individual patients with acute stroke. Selection to keep patients with the best risk to benefit ratio with alteplase should lead to a better understanding of: (1) the most appropriate time window. This was less than 3 hours in the NINDS trial,<sup>1</sup> whereas ECASS II<sup>4</sup> and post hoc analysis of ECASS I<sup>8</sup> suggest that the time window for thrombolysis may be as long as 6 hours. For instance, to recruit 624 patients in the NINDS trial within the 3 hour interval, investigators had to screen about 16 000 patients<sup>9</sup> whereas only one in five patients would be treated within 3 hours of stroke onset in ECASS II.<sup>4</sup> (2) Predictor factors of spontaneous recovery from stroke and definition criteria to identify patients with a mild stroke for whom the risk to benefit ratio is smaller. (3) Predictors of brain haemorrhage. As far as the three trials devoted to the use of streptokinase in acute stroke treatment are concerned (MAST-E,<sup>10</sup> MAST-I,<sup>11</sup> and ASK<sup>12</sup>) the classic view is that streptokinase (no significant difference for the primary end point of “unfavourable outcome”) is ineffective and dangerous because all these three studies showed an increased hazard related to intracranial haemorrhage. However, on the one hand, there is no study focusing on the direct comparison between streptokinase and alteplase, and on the other, streptokinase has not been adequately tested by dose ranging studies, unlike those of alteplase. Consequently, the common streptokinase dose, 1.5 MU, might be excessive, which can explain the increased rate of intracranial haemorrhage (leading to a premature interruption of the ASK study).<sup>12</sup> Moreover, the Cochrane systemic review<sup>13</sup> of thrombolytic studies provides indirect evidence that aspirin might increase the risk of ICH in the presence of alteplase or streptokinase. Recently, a new trial ATLANTIS (Alteplase Thrombolysis of Acute Noninterventional Therapy in Ischemic Stroke), which was a placebo controlled, double blind pivotal study of the use of alteplase in patients with acute ischaemic stroke 3 to 5 hours from symptom onset, has been considered as a negative trial. The results of the PROACT-II study (Prolyse in Acute Cerebral Thromboembolism Trial) in which the dose of the pro-urokinase injected into the middle cerebral artery was 9 mg (6 mg pro-urokinase in the PROACT-I study) showed significant clinical benefits of performing an intra-arterial thrombolysis in patients with an acute ischaemic stroke in the middle cerebral artery territory.

We think that thrombolysis is a potentially effective therapy for acute stroke<sup>14 15</sup> but additional information is necessary to establish how to select the best candidates for alteplase treatment before using it routinely, even within 3 hours of stroke onset.

### Neuroprotective drugs

Despite numerous agents which can prevent the excitatory cascade of events leading to ischaemic neuronal death in

experimental conditions, there is still no neuroprotective agent that has been shown conclusively to improve stroke outcome. A plethora of cellular and molecular mechanisms such as free radical production, lipid peroxidation, excitotoxicity, and calcium ion ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ) overload constitute the important therapeutic targets of neuroprotection and it is now known that interventions such as delivering neuroprotective agents can participate to salvage a potentially reversible ischaemic region known as the ischaemic penumbra.

The first neuroprotective agent tested in stroke patients was nimodipine. This compound, a dihydropyridine, has been the most widely tested neuroprotector and provided no benefit in 15 trials<sup>16</sup> involving 5320 patients but a meta-analysis of the nine major nimodipine trials,<sup>17</sup> comprising 3719 patients, showed a significant improvement in functional outcome for those who received nimodipine within 12 hours of stroke onset. Nevertheless, the Intravenous Nimodipine West European Stroke Trial (INWEST)<sup>18</sup> trial with intravenous nimodipine doses of 2 mg/hour has shown that under some conditions, nimodipine may be harmful. This was suggested by an increased mortality, directly correlated with a fall in blood pressure. The second class of neuroprotective drugs is represented by the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-receptor antagonists which inhibit the action of glutamate—the major excitatory neurotransmitter of the brain—excessively released from presynaptic neurons by ischaemic injury of the brain. The NMDA receptor, a well characterised receptor mediated calcium channel, also contains glycine and polyamine modulatory sites that are potential therapeutic targets for neuroprotection. The inhibition of glutamate activated receptors which operate  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  channels has been the focus of several phase II and III trials of different drugs proved to reduce the size of ischaemic lesions in animals. Clinical trials, with competitive—selfotel<sup>19</sup>—and non-competitive—aptiganel,<sup>20</sup> dextrorphan<sup>21 22</sup>—NMDA receptor antagonists have been stopped because of safety concerns or poor risk:benefit ratio. Glutamate antagonists share a propensity to cause psychotomimetic effects.<sup>1</sup> Two phase 3 trials of eliprodil,<sup>23 24</sup> an antagonist at the polyamine site of the NMDA receptor, were also stopped, due to a lack of efficacy in interim analyses. Moreover, eliprodil may potentially cause ECG effects (QT prolongation). A new potent antagonist at the glycine site of the NMDA receptor, GV150526, has just been tried in a phase II study in patients with acute stroke.<sup>24</sup> This antagonist was generally well tolerated and there was no excess of adverse events in the CNS. A phase III trial is under way. Lubeluzole, a benzothiazole compound, is a sodium channel blocker that may inhibit the release of glutamate from ischaemic neurons, reducing postsynaptic excitotoxicity,<sup>16</sup> but it may act through other mechanisms as well, which include inhibition of glutamate induced nitric oxide (NO) related toxicity, with normalisation of peri-infarct neuronal excitability.<sup>24</sup> This corresponds to an NO synthase modulating effect. Three phase 3 placebo controlled trials testing lubeluzole with mortality as the primary end point have been completed, including 1375 patients within 6 hours of stroke onset.<sup>25 26</sup> Lubeluzole was given at a dose of 7.5 mg over 1 hour followed by 10 mg/day for up to 5 days. The European trial<sup>27</sup> was negative, whereas a non-significant trend for decreased mortality and a small significant effect on functional outcome was shown in the United States trial.<sup>25</sup> Combined results suggested a positive effect in mild to moderate—but not severe—stroke. A large phase III study to test the efficacy and safety of lubeluzole in the treatment of acute ischaemic stroke—with an 8 hour time window—has failed to show efficacy. As with eliprodil, an occasional but transient QT

prolongation on ECG was seen. The rationale for using the antioxidants—free radical scavengers—is that ischaemia induces release of highly reactive oxygen free radicals, which are toxic to membranes. A 21aminosteroid tirilazad mesylate has free radical scavenging activity and antioxidant effects. Tirilazad has been evaluated in 1757 patients from six stroke trials. The most recent phase 3 trials with increased tirilazad dosage were stopped because of safety concerns or because they were unlikely to be of benefit.<sup>24–26</sup> There are contradictory findings; in the European-Australian study (TESS II), mortality with tirilazad was 10.5% at 10 days (5% with placebo) and 18% at 3 months (14% with placebo) whereas in the United States-Canadian study (RANTASS),<sup>28</sup> mortality with tirilazad was 11.5% at 10 days (14% with placebo) and 19% at 3 months (38% with placebo). However, analysis of 111 patients enrolled in the high dose study in North America (RANTASS II)<sup>29</sup>—prematurely stopped when questions regarding safety emerged from TESS II—showed an absolute reduction in mortality of 14% and an increase in the proportion of patients who were independent at 3 months. However, the differences were not significant. Another potential neuroprotective agent is ebselen, a seleno-organic compound with antioxidant activity through a glutathione peroxidase-like action. Ebselen seems to increase the functional outcome but the improvement is significant only if the drug is received within 24 hours of stroke onset.<sup>30</sup> Another approach consists of developing  $\gamma$ -amino-butyric acid (GABA) agonists because GABA is the major inhibitory neurotransmitter receptor in the brain and can balance the excitatory effects of glutamate. A recent phase III trial (Clomethiazole Acute Stroke Study (CLASS)), in which 1354 patients received placebo or 75 mg/kg clomethiazole—which has an effect on GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors (gate a chloride channel)—for 24 hours within 12 hours of stroke, showed no overall benefit, but there was a significant (37%) improvement in functional outcome in the subgroup of patients with large or cortical strokes.<sup>31</sup> A new trial has now been targeted at this subgroup and will include 1200 patients. Furthermore, data suggest that 5-clomethiazole is safe even in patients with haemorrhagic stroke.<sup>32</sup> These findings will be further investigated in a prospectively designed trial which is ongoing in the United States and Canada (Clomethiazole Acute Stroke Study-H (CLASS-H)).<sup>32</sup> Based on the role of neutrophils in the development of cerebral infarction as well as their mediation in some aspects of reperfusion injury,<sup>26–33</sup> the administration of anti-intercellular adhesion molecule (anti-ICAM) antibodies directed at neutrophils has been tested in patients with acute stroke. Enlimomab, a monoclonal antibody, was given intravenously within 6 hours ((160 mg (day 1) followed by 40 mg/day (days 2 to 5)) in a placebo controlled phase III trial<sup>34</sup> which included 625 patients. The results were negative, with worse outcome and increased mortality in the treatment group, in relation to increased infections and fever.<sup>16–34</sup> Because paracetamol has been found to be present in the phospholipid membrane models and this probably accounts for the maintenance or improvement of membrane bound cell functions including ATP production, neurotransmission, and secondary messenger activity, a study was planned to investigate the potential therapeutic effect of paracetamol in acute stroke. In a phase 3 trial,<sup>35</sup> 927 patients were randomised within 12 hours to paracetamol (12 g as an initial intravenous bolus, 12 g daily for 4 weeks, and 4.8 g daily for 8 weeks) or placebo, with no difference in functional and neurological outcome. However, a trend toward improvement of the neurological score was found in the subgroup of patients randomised within 7 hours of onset, particularly in patients with stroke of moderate and severe degree. A

new randomised, placebo controlled, multicentre trial with a 7 hour window is now being launched (PASS II). Citicoline (cytidine-5'-diphosphocholine), which is a precursor of phosphatidylcholine contained in neural cell membranes, has antioxidant properties, and promotes brain acetylcholine synthesis as a repairing agent. During ischaemia phosphatidylcholine is separated into free fatty acids, which can then generate free radicals that potentiate ischaemic injury. Although it is often presented as a neuro-protector, it may rather act on recovery through delayed restorative mechanisms. Two trials,<sup>36–37</sup> one in 259 patients, the other in 394 patients, have triggered some interest in this drug, which showed no safety problem. The drug was given orally for several weeks within 24 hours of stroke onset, which clearly distinguishes these trials from usual acute stroke trials. A significant improvement in functional outcome was claimed at 3 months in the treated group, but apparently this was the case only in subgroups of patients (mainly the 500 mg subgroup; moderate to severe strokes). Basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), insulin-like growth factor, brain derived neurotrophic factor, and osteogenic protein 1 are among growth factors with a potential interest for stroke trials.<sup>33</sup> A specific interest is that they may have both acute phase effects and an action on recovery by reinforcing plasticity phenomena. In animal experiments, an improvement in outcome has been found even with delayed treatment (24 hours) despite a lack of reduction of infarct size. A recently completed phase 2 trial showed that bFGF was well tolerated by stroke patients but phase 3 trials have been interrupted for safety or concerns over lack of benefit. Finally, numerous other neuroprotective drugs with potential clinical interest including nitric oxide synthase inhibitors (ARL17477); cell cycle genes involved in apoptosis; immediate early genes (protooncogenes, c-fos, c-jun, etc); heat shock proteins; and trophic factors which may reduce programmed cellular death; a potent and specific opener of large conductance calcium activated (maxi-K) potassium channels ((S)-BMS-204352 for (S)-3-(5-chloro-2-methoxyphenyl)-3-fluoro-1,3-dihydro-6-(trifluoromethyl)-2H-indol-2-one); a serotonin agonist (Bay 3702)<sup>38</sup>; and magnesium (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) which blocks the voltage dependent ion channel of the NMDA-receptor complex, and also acts as a non-competitive NMDA-receptor antagonist at higher doses. Magnesium may block the influx of calcium into ischaemic neurons. The Intravenous Magnesium Efficacy Study in Stroke (IMAGES)<sup>16–39–40</sup> is ongoing.

Several authors<sup>41–44</sup> have shown that hyperthermia (>37.5°C) was associated with a worse prognosis. Although no randomised clinical trials of therapeutic hypothermia in acute ischaemic stroke have yet been announced to establish the efficacy and safety of this therapy, encouraging results have been recorded recently in acute traumatic brain injury. In the interim between studies, some authors<sup>45</sup> think that available evidence is sufficient to recommend to maintain body temperature in a safe normothermic range (36.7°C to 37°C), for at least the first several days after acute stroke. Moreover, in a very recently published study, Schwab *et al*<sup>46</sup> showed that moderate hypothermia—patients were kept at 38°C body core temperature for 48 to 72 hours—in patients with severe ischaemic stroke can help to control critically increased intracranial pressure values in severe space occupying oedema after middle cerebral artery stroke and may improve clinical outcome with no severe side effects.

In general, it is striking how drugs which have been shown to decrease significantly the size of infarct in animal models are not found to be clinically efficient in stroke patients.<sup>33–47–48</sup> Nevertheless, promising results have been obtained with new neuroprotective drugs such as



GV150526, ebselen, glycine site antagonists, clomethiazole, fos-phenytoin, bFGF, NO synthase inhibitors, and BAY 3702,<sup>38</sup> for which further studies are underway to confirm the preliminary results. The discrepancy between experimental and clinical results for neuroprotective drugs may be due to several factors: the marked heterogeneity (aetiology, mechanism) of human stroke, better control of biological variables in specimens, and the use of different time windows. Indeed, most of the earlier clinical trials were performed with a time window greater than 24 hours, which was most often arbitrarily fixed; even an efficient drug cannot possibly be demonstrated to have a positive effect under these conditions.

Questions remain concerning the effective concentration of neuroprotective drug reached in the cerebral ischaemic infarct if the artery supplying this territory is occluded. Should a thrombolytic agent be administered with the neuroprotective drug in a "cocktail" to increase the effective concentration of the neuroprotective drug in the ischaemic region? We think that knowledge of the vascular status of the stroke patient is crucial to determine the best therapeutic strategy. Another problem that may hamper our ability to achieve neuroprotection in stroke patients is a relative lack of understanding of specific pathophysiological issues of brain ischaemia in relation to the modes of action of specific drugs. For instance, antioxidants experimentally have an effect only in temporary focal ischaemia models, which suggests that their main clinical application would be in association with agents that facilitate reperfusion (thrombolytic drugs). Also, certain classes of neuroprotective drugs, which act on specific synapses and receptors, may not work in white matter ischaemia, because these synapses and receptors may be dysfunctional in such a situation or because these synapses and receptors—such as NMDA receptors—are lacking in the white matter. Indeed, in stroke patients, glutamate seems to be a marker for cortical but not for deep hemispheric ischaemia. On the other hand, NMDA receptor antagonists may be particularly appropriate in patients in whom glutamate concentrations rise markedly, such as in progressive ischaemic stroke.

Several critical issues remain unsettled for neuroprotection. Firstly, the duration of treatment that would achieve the best effect is unknown, and is probably different for different drugs. The phase of locally reduced flow is present in 100% of patients scanned within 9 hours and drops to 30% within 4 days with ischaemia, but viable tissue may be seen up to 48 hours after onset of stroke.<sup>49</sup> Consequently, there is a rationale for starting and continuing neuroprotection for up to a least 48 hours after stroke onset. Perhaps neuroprotection should be given for several days or weeks after the first clinical cerebrovascular event, which is the period in which the risk of recurrence is the highest. A second critical issue for neuroprotection is that the interaction and influence between neuroprotective drugs and physiological variables (blood pressure, temperature) as well as with common drugs used in neurology are usually not taken into account. On the one hand, it is well established that benzodiazepines, neuroleptic drugs, antihypertensive drugs (clonidine, prazosin), phenytoin, phenobarbital, and other drugs may modulate the effect of neuroprotecting agents. On the other hand, treatments which act on blood pressure concentrations, mainly in limiting variations and falls, are usually not included among neuroprotecting strategies.

#### Antithrombotic drugs

The rationale for early anticoagulant therapy in acute ischaemic stroke is not consensual. Anticoagulants do not recanalise occluded arteries and do not have neuroprotective

effects. However, they may be useful in preventing progression of thrombosis and early recurrences of embolic stroke. The use of high doses (20 000 U/24 h) of intravenous heparin followed by oral anticoagulant therapy is well accepted in the medical community as treatment shortly after ischaemic stroke in patients with a cardiac source of embolism or rarer disorders, such as the antiphospholipid antibody syndrome. However, there is no consensus on the time to start anticoagulant therapy, because of the early risk of haemorrhagic transformation in the ischaemic area. This decision is usually individual and empirical, based on the severity of brain ischaemia (increased risk of bleeding) and the risk of early recurrence of stroke. Two other conditions are commonly associated with the early administration of full dose intravenous heparin, progressing ischaemic stroke, and crescendo transient ischaemic attack (usually due to developing lacunar infarction).<sup>50</sup> However, there is no randomised evidence of benefit of giving these patients anticoagulants.

The concept of intravenous heparin therapy shortly after ischaemic stroke was recently considered in the International Stroke Trial (IST),<sup>51</sup> an open randomised megatrial with a factorial design (intravenous heparin (10 000 U or 25 000 U/day *v* not); aspirin (300 mg/day *v* not)); 19 435 patients from 467 hospitals were randomised within 48 hours of stroke onset. Primary outcomes (death <14 days; death or dependency at 6 months) showed no difference with or without heparin. Recurrent ischaemic stroke at 14 days showed a significant 0.9% absolute risk reduction with heparin, which was counterbalanced by a significant 0.8% absolute increased risk for haemorrhagic stroke. Haemorrhagic complications (transfused or fatal extracranial bleeds, haemorrhagic stroke) were associated with the high dose heparin regimes. On the other hand, the low dose heparin regimen showed encouraging findings, with a significant 1.2% absolute decrease in risk of death or non-fatal recurrent stroke at 14 days, with haemorrhagic complications in the same range as with aspirin. Although the authors<sup>51</sup> advised against the early use of heparin after ischaemic stroke, these findings with the low dose regimen may in fact comfort the numerous clinicians who have been giving it for years. Moreover, brain CT was not required before starting therapy, and no coagulation monitoring was required either, which imply considerable biases against heparin. For these reasons, many clinicians who were giving low dose heparin (usually subcutaneously) as a prophylaxis of stroke complications, or even high dose intravenous heparin have not changed their practice as a result of the IST results.<sup>51</sup>

Another potential antithrombotic drug is the low molecular weight heparin (LMWH) which has been investigated in the study of Kay *et al*. In this study,<sup>52</sup> 312 patients were randomised to placebo or nadroparin (4100 anti-factor Xa units once or twice a day for 10 days) within 2 days of stroke onset. Death or dependency at 3 months was 45% in the 8200 U/day dose, 52% in the 4100 U/day dose, and 65% in the placebo group ( $p=0.005$ ). However, there was no difference for death, haemorrhagic transformation, or complications at 10 days. This is strange, as LMWH is expected to prevent early stroke complications or recurrences, not to selectively improve late outcome. Indeed, a recent overview of available trials concluded that LMWH may constitute the best prophylaxis of deep venous thrombosis for patients with ischaemic stroke, although a direct comparison with low dose unfractionated heparin is not available in this condition.

The only randomised experience in acute stroke with the low molecular weight heparinoid Org 10172 (danaparoid) has been reported by the TOAST<sup>53 54</sup> investigators. In this double blind placebo controlled trial, 1281 patients were

treated within 24 hours of stroke onset over a 6 year period. Patients with a Glasgow outcome scale I or II and whose Barthel index was >12/20 were judged to have a favourable outcome. At seven days 376/635 (59%) patients who received the heparinoid *v* 344/633 (54%) controls had a favourable outcome ( $p=0.072$ ). This trend to benefit in treated patients was absent at 3 months (482/639 (75%) *v* 467/633 (74%)  $p=0.06$ ). Subgroup analyses suggested that patients with disease of the large arteries may have improved benefit, and that use of aspirin in the week before the onset of stroke was associated with less severe neurological impairment. After the overall disappointing results of TOAST were known, an ongoing European study (EURO-TOAST) was stopped. This low molecular weight heparinoid ORG10172 (danaparoid sodium) is not associated with an improvement in favourable outcome at 3 months, despite an apparent positive response to treatment at 7 days.<sup>54</sup>

Finally, the therapeutic impact of aspirin in the acute phase of stroke has recently been evaluated in two megastudies. The International Stroke Trial (IST) and CAST trial including over 40 000 patients randomised to aspirin *v* not within 48 hours of stroke onset were recently published. The IST<sup>5</sup> evaluated aspirin (300 mg/day) and heparin (10 000 or 25 000 U/day) in a factorial design; 19 435 patients were included from 467 hospitals. Treatment was given for 2 weeks. Both primary end points (mortality at 2 weeks (9%) and mortality or severe disability at 6 months (63%)) were not statistically different between the aspirin, heparin, and placebo groups. Despite this global negativity, it must be emphasised that secondary analyses showed a significant decrease at 2 weeks of recurrence of ischaemic stroke (2.9% *v* 3.8%) and of combined mortality plus non-fatal recurrent stroke (11.3% *v* 12.4%) with aspirin. Aspirin was associated with 5/1000 more transfused of fatal extracranial haemorrhages, whereas haemorrhagic stroke at 2 weeks was not significantly increased (0.9%).

In the Chinese Acute Stroke Trial (CAST),<sup>55</sup> aspirin (160 mg/day) or placebo was given for 4 weeks to 21 106 patients from 416 hospitals. Mortality at 1 month was slightly but significantly decreased with aspirin (3.3% *v* 3.9%), without decrease in combined mortality or severe disability. As in the IST trial,<sup>51</sup> secondary end points showed significant benefit from aspirin, including a decrease in recurrence of ischaemic stroke (1.6% *v* 2.1% at 1 month) and in combined mortality plus non-fatal stroke (5.3% *v* 5.9% at 1 month). A combined analysis of IST and CAST data suggested that one death, myocardial infarct, or new stroke can be avoided by giving aspirin to 100 patients with acute stroke. It must be emphasised that the prescription of aspirin starting at the time of admission was already considered part of best care in many centres around the world, even before IST or CAST were launched, so that the results of these trials may have modified practice only in the centres where the benefit of aspirin was considered uncertain, which may mainly correspond to the IST and CAST participants themselves. In any case, aspirin should not be regarded as an acute stroke treatment, but rather as an early preventive therapy in acute stroke.

New avenues of antithrombotic therapy in acute stroke may be provided by antiglycoprotein GIIb/IIIa receptor antagonists, which have shown a favourable risk to benefit ratio in myocardial infarction and unstable angina.<sup>56 57</sup> A recent dose escalation study of abciximab in acute ischaemia stroke showed no safety or toxicity concern, and a phase II trial is being launched. Finally, ancrod, which is a thrombin-like defibrinogenating agent that converts fibrinogen into soluble fibrin products, with subsequent

decrease in fibrinogen concentration and plasma viscosity, has been shown to benefit patients with acute ischaemic stroke.

## Conclusions

Studies of thrombolytic, neuroprotective, anticoagulant, and antiaggregant drugs currently dominate the field of acute stroke treatment. Crucial information has been obtained on the efficacy and safety of new drugs as well as combined therapy (synergistic drug effects). Advances in stroke therapy will shortly improve the consequences of this potentially devastating but newly treatable disorder.

We have received fees for consultancy from Eli Lilly, Boehringer Ingelheim, Synthelabo, Janssen, Wyeth Lederle, Upjohn, Bristol-Myers-Squibb and Bayer, which produce drugs mentioned in this paper.

G DEVUYST  
J BOGOUSSLAVSKY

CHUV, Department of Neurology, Rue du Bugnon, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Professor J Bogousslavsky, CHUV, Department of Neurology, Rue du Bugnon, 1011 Lausanne, Switzerland. Telephone 0041 21 314 12 30; fax 0041 21 314 12 90; email Julien.Bogousslavsky@hospsvd.ch

- 1 The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke rt-PA Stroke Study Group. Tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:1581-7.
- 2 Adams HP, Brott TG, Furlan AJ, et al. Guidelines for thrombolytic therapy for acute stroke: a supplement to the guidelines for the management of patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Circulation* 1996;94:1167-74.
- 3 Quality Standard Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology (AAN). Thrombolytic therapy for acute ischemic stroke: summary statement. *Neurology* 1996;47:835-9.
- 4 The European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study II Group. Randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial of thrombolytic therapy with intravenous alteplase in acute ischemic stroke (ECASS II). *Lancet* 1998;352:1245-51.
- 5 The ECASS Study Group. Intravenous thrombolysis with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator for acute hemispheric stroke. *JAMA* 1995;274:1017-25.
- 6 Bath P. Alteplase not yet proven for acute ischemic stroke. Commentary. *Lancet* 1998;352:1238-9.
- 7 Wardlaw JM, Warlow CP, Counsell C. Systematic review of evidence on thrombolytic therapy for acute ischaemic stroke. *Lancet* 1997;350:607-14.
- 8 Hacke W, Bluhmki E, Steiner T, et al. Dichotomized efficacy end points and global end-point analysis applied to the ECASS intention-to-treat data set. Post Hoc analysis of ECASS I. *Stroke* 1998;29:2073-5.
- 9 The National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) rt-PA Stroke Study Group. A systems approach to immediate evaluation and management of hyperacute stroke: experience at eight centers and implications for community practice and patient care. *Stroke* 1997;28:1530-40.
- 10 Multicenter Acute Stroke Trial-Europe Study Group. Thrombolytic therapy with streptokinase in acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 1996;335:145-50.
- 11 Multicenter Acute Stroke Trial-Italy (MAST-I) Group. Randomised controlled trial of streptokinase, aspirin, and combination of both in treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *Lancet* 1995;346:1509-14.
- 12 Donnan GA, Davis SM, Chambers BR, et al. for the Australian Streptokinase (ASK) Trial Study Group. Streptokinase for acute ischemic stroke with relationship to time of administration. *JAMA* 1996;276:961-6.
- 13 Wardlaw J, Yamaguchi T, del Zoppo G. Thrombolytic therapy versus control in acute ischemic stroke. In: *The Cochrane Library*, Issue 4, Oxford: update software, 1998.
- 14 Fisher M, Bogousslavsky J. Evolving toward effective therapy for acute ischemic stroke. *JAMA* 1993;270:360-4.
- 15 Bogousslavsky J. Thrombolysis in acute stroke. *BMJ* 1996;313:640-1.
- 16 Silver B, Weber J, Fisher M. Medical therapy for acute ischemic stroke. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 1995;19:101-28.
- 17 Mohr JP, Orgogozo JM, Harrison MJG, et al. Meta-analysis of oral nimodipine trials in acute ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1994;4:197-203.
- 18 Wahlgren NG, MacMahon DG, De Keyser J, et al. for the INWEST study group. Intravenous nimodipine west European stroke trial of nimodipine in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1994;4:204-10.
- 19 Davis SM, Albers GW, Diener HC, et al. Termination of acute stroke studies involving selfotel treatment. ASSIST Steering Committee. *Lancet* 1997;349:32.
- 20 Edwards K, and the CNS 1102-008 Study Group. Cerestat (aptiganel hydrochloride) in the treatment of acute ischemic stroke: results of phase 2 trial. *Neurology* 1996;46(suppl 1):A424.
- 21 Albers GW, Atkinson RP, Kelley RE, et al. on behalf of the Dextrorphan Study Group. Safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of the N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist dextrorphan in patients with acute stroke. *Stroke* 1995;26:254-8.
- 22 Albers GW, Atkinson RP, Kelley RE, for The Dextrorphan Study Group and Hoffmann-La Roche. Safety, tolerability and pharmacokinetics of the N-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist Ro-01-6794/706 in patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1995;765:249-61.
- 23 Lees KR. Cerestat and other NMDA antagonists in ischemic stroke. *Neurology* 1997;49(suppl 4):S66-9.
- 24 Lees K R. Does neuroprotection improve stroke outcome? *Lancet* 1998;351:1447-8.

- 25 The US and Canadian Lubeluzole Ischemic Stroke Study Group. Lubeluzole treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1997;28:2338–46.
- 26 Onal MZ, Fisher M. Acute ischemic stroke therapy. A clinical overview. *Eur Neurol* 1997;38:141–54.
- 27 Withdrawn.
- 28 The RANTTAS Investigators. A randomized trial of tirilazad mesylate in patients with acute stroke (RANTTAS). *Stroke* 1996;27:1453–8.
- 29 Haley EC, on behalf of the RANTTAS II Investigators. High-dose tirilazad for acute stroke (RANTASS II). *Stroke* 1998;29:1256–7.
- 30 Yamaguchi T, Sano K, Takakura K, *et al*, for the Ebselen Study Group. Ebselen in acute ischemic stroke. A placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial. *Stroke* 1998;29:12–17.
- 31 Wahlgren NG, and the Clomethiazole Acute Stroke Study Collaborative Group. The clomethiazole acute stroke study (CLASS) [abstract]. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1997;7(suppl 4):19.
- 32 Wahlgren NG, Clomethiazole Acute Stroke Study Collaborative Group. The clomethiazole acute stroke study (CLASS): results in 94 hemorrhagic stroke patients. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1998;8(suppl 4):20.
- 33 Fisher M, Bogousslavsky J. Further evolution toward effective therapy for acute ischemic stroke. Special communication. *JAMA* 1998;279:1298–303.
- 34 The Enlimolab Acute Stroke Trial Investigators. The enlimolab acute stroke trial: final results. *Neurology* 1997;48:A270.
- 35 De Deyn PP, De Reuck J, Deberdt W, *et al*, for Members of the Piracetam in Acute Stroke Study (PASS) Group. Treatment of acute ischemic stroke with piracetam. *Stroke* 1997;28:2347–52.
- 36 Weiss GB. Mini review: metabolism and actions of citicoline as an endogenous compound and administered exogenously as citicoline. *Life Sci* 1995;56:637–60.
- 37 Clark WM, Warach SJ, Pettigrew LC, *et al*. A randomized dose-response trial of citicoline in acute ischemic stroke. *Neurology* 1997;49:671–8.
- 38 Teal P, on behalf of the BRAIN Study Group. BRAINS, a Phase II study of the neuroprotectant BAY x3702 in patients with ischemic stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1998;8(suppl 4):1–103.
- 39 Muir KW, Lees KR. Images: intravenous magnesium efficacy in stroke trial [abstract]. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1996;6:75–P383.
- 40 Muir KW, Lees KR. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot trial of intravenous magnesium sulfate in acute stroke. *Stroke* 1995;26:1183–8.
- 41 Schwab S, Spranger M, Aschoff A, *et al*. Brain temperature monitoring and modulation in patients with severe MCA infarction. *Neurology* 1997;48:762–7.
- 42 Hindfelt B. The prognostic significance of subfebrility and fever in ischemic cerebral infarction. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1976;53:72–9.
- 43 Castillo J, Martinez F, Leira R, *et al*. Mortality and morbidity of acute cerebral infarction related to temperature and basal analytic parameters. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 1994;4:56–71.
- 44 Azzimondi G, Bassein L, Nonino F, *et al*. Fever in acute stroke worsens prognosis: a prospective study. *Stroke* 1995;26:2040–3.
- 45 Ginsberg MD, Busto R. Combating hyperthermia in acute stroke. A significant clinical concern. *Stroke* 1998;29:529–34.
- 46 Schwab S, Schwarz S, Spranger M, *et al*. Moderate hypothermia in the treatment of patients with severe middle cerebral artery infarction. *Stroke* 1998;29:2461–6.
- 47 Strauss WE, Thies WH, Robertson RM, *et al*. Fighting heart disease and stroke. Recommendations of the AHA stroke positioning task force. *Stroke* 1998;29:1272–3.
- 48 Caplan LR. Stroke treatment. Promising but still struggling [editorial]. *JAMA* 1998;279:1304–6.
- 49 Dyker AG, Lees KR. Duration of neuroprotective treatment for ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1998;29:535–42.
- 50 Moulin T, Bogousslavsky J. Hemorrhagic infarction. In: Ginsberg MD, Bogousslavsky J, eds. *Cerebrovascular disease*. Massachusetts: Blackwell, USA: 1474–86.
- 51 International Stroke Trial Collaborative Group. The international stroke trial (IST): a randomized trial of aspirin, subcutaneous heparin, both, or neither among 19 435 patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Lancet* 1997;349:1569–81.
- 52 Kay R, Wong KS, Yu YL, *et al*. Low-molecular-weight heparin for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:1588–93.
- 53 Trial of ORG 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment Investigators. Usefulness of a low molecular weight heparinoid in improving outcome of 7 days and 3 months after stroke: results of the trial of ORG 10172 in acute stroke treatment (TOAST) [abstract]. *Stroke* 1998;29:286.
- 54 The Publication Committee for the Trial of ORG 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) investigators. Low molecular weight heparinoid, ORG 10172 (danaparoid), and outcome after acute ischemic stroke. A randomized trial. *JAMA* 1998;279:1265–72.
- 55 CAST (Chinese Acute Stroke Trial) Collaborative Group. CAST: randomized placebo-controlled trial of early aspirin use in 20 000 patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Lancet* 1997;349:1641–9.
- 56 Brener SJ, Barr LA, Burchenal JE, *et al*. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa blockade with primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction. ReoPro and Primary PTCA Organization and Randomized Trial (RAPPORT) Investigators. *Circulation* 1998;98:734–41.
- 57 Ghaffari S, Kereiakes DJ, Lincoff AM, *et al*. Platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor blockade with abciximab reduces ischemic complications in patients undergoing directional coronary atherectomy. EPILOG Investigators. Evaluation of PTCA to improve long-term outcome by c7E3 GP IIb/IIIa receptor blockade. *A J Cardiol* 1998;82:7–12.

---

## EDITORIAL COMMENTARY

---

# Homeostatic effects of carotid stenosis

Signalling of blood pressure changes is partly the result of carotid sinus function and activity within the afferent glossopharyngeal and vagus nerves. Carotid stenosis is common and often affects the carotid bulb where the arterial baroreceptors are situated. However, little attention has been paid to the homeostatic effects of stenosis at this point. Akinola *et al* in this issue (pp 428–32)<sup>1</sup> compare autonomic reflexes in a group of hypertensive patients with transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs) and unilateral or bilateral carotid stenosis to matched groups of hypertensive and normotensive controls. Their findings indicate that baroreflex sensitivity is blunted, the effects being equal in patients with either unilateral or bilateral carotid stenosis compared with both control groups. In other respects, autonomic reflexes are blunted to the same extent as seen in hypertensive controls, indicating no specific involvement of cardiovascular efferents in the stenosis group. There are two main caveats. The first concerns the use of antihypertensive medication. Such treatment, especially with angiotensin converting enzyme activators (which may act on AT receptors in the area postrema) or  $\beta$ -blockers can affect baroreflex sensitivity. Although these medications were stopped within 1 day of the study, their central effects may be longer lasting. Secondly, other mechanoreceptors in the left ventricle and aortic arch may be intact in these patients. However, the shrewd use of a hypertensive control group

on similar medication to some extent allays some of the interpretative complications. Why the effects are similar in patients with severe unilateral and bilateral carotid stenosis is a matter of conjecture. This could indicate a complex non-linear compensatory effect within the brain, using baroreceptor input from the aortic arch and other regions. The importance of this study is threefold: firstly, such patients may be at risk of presyncopal symptoms which may be erroneously diagnosed as focal brainstem transient ischaemic attacks; secondly, impaired peripheral blood pressure regulation could affect cerebral blood flow in the post-stroke state as such patients lose cerebral autoregulation. The effects could also be accentuated in patients with tandem intracranial stenosis. Finally, it should not be forgotten that control of blood pressure may be impaired after carotid endarterectomy and the effects of antihypertensive medication in these patients should be monitored most carefully.

S M OPPENHEIMER

Correspondence to: Dr S M Oppenheimer, Cerebrovascular Program, Department of Neurology, Meyer 5–185, Johns Hopkins Hospital, 600 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA. Telephone 00 1 410 502 5356; fax 00 1 410 614 9807.

1 Akinola A, Mathias CJ, Mansfield A, *et al*. Cardiovascular, autonomic, and plasma catecholamine responses in unilateral and bilateral carotid artery stenosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1999;67:428–32.