by their schizophrenic symptoms, However, except for frontal or temporal hypoperfusion on SPECT, they found no characteristic structural imaging abnormalities and, in accordance with Harrison,2 concluded that dementia in elderly schizophrenic patients shows no evidence of any known neurodegenerative disorder and, therefore, requires novel neuropathological explanation. Several recent postmortem studies on such patients showed no excess of Alzheimer's disease or other organic dementing syndromes. This is in line with a personal retrospective study of 99 consecutive necropsy cases of schizophrenic patients aged over 55 (mean age 69.5 (SD 8.25) years) with mean duration of illness of 35.15 (SD 10.1) years, 56% with clinical signs of moderate to severe dementia, where we found a total incidence of definite and probable Alzheimer's disease (using CERAD criteria and Braak staging) of 7.1%, or of 8.7% for those over the age of 65 years. In addition, there was one case each with multi-infarct encephalpathy and Parkinson's disease pathology, and 11 brains showed a lacunary state in the basal ganglia.3 Brain weight in demented elderly schizophrenic patients was significantly lower (mean 1119.5 (SD 106.1) g) than in non-demented ones (mean 1216.3 (SD 36.2) g; p<0.001). These data are in line with previous studies showing that cognitive decline in chronic schizophrenic patients seems best related to loss in brain weight, decrease in brain length, and increased ventricular size.45 However, demented patients in our cohort were significantly older (mean age 73.36 (SD 6.85) v64.02 (SD 6.54) years; p<0.001), and had a significantly longer duration of illness (mean 41.1 v 28.9 years; p<0.001), suggesting a progressive cognitive decline with both age and duration of the disorder. This, at least in part, could be explained by recent studies demonstrating reduction of synaptophysin (a synaptic plasma membrane protein) immunoreactivity in the prefrontal cortex6 and significant negative correlations between age and concentrations of synaptic plasma membrane proteins and syntax in mRNA in the temporal cortex of schizophrenic patients7 reflecting abnormalities in synaptic connectivity; this may cause functional impairment of the limbic circuitry that is thought to be central in the integration of behaviour and cognition.3 These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that changes in synaptic function in both prefrontal or limbic circuits in patients with chronic schizophrenia may contribute to the pathophysiology including cognitive dysfunctions in this disorder.378 However, future prospective clinicopathological and molecular genetic studies using validated methods are necessary to elucidate the bases of cognitive impairment and dementia in chronic schizophrenic patients.

#### K A JELLINGER

Ludwig Boltzmann Institute of Clinical Neurobiology, PKH/B-Building, Baumgartner Hoehe 1, A-1140 Vienna, Austria kurt.jellinger@univie.ac.at

- De Vries PJ, Honer WG, Kemp PM, et al. Dementia as a complication of schizophrenia. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2001;70:588-96.
  Harrison PJ. The neuropathology of schizophre-nia. A critical review of the data and their interpretation. Brain 1999;122:593-624.
- 3 Jellinger KA, Gabriel E. No increased incidence of Alzheimer's disease in elderly schizophren-
- ics. Acta Neuropathol 1999;**97**:165–9. 4 Bruton CJ, Crow TJ, Frith CD, et al. Schizophrenia and the brain: a prospective clini-coneuropathological study. *Psychol Med* 1990; 20:285-304.

4 Rajshsekhar V. Etiology and management of single small CT lesions in patients with seizures: understanding a controversy. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1991;84:465–70.

#### Chacko replies:

All six patients with oval calcified bodies had type A lesions, of which two were ring lesions and four were discs.

Follow up of 6 months to 3 years is available for four of these patients and they are all seizure free, with three of them still on antiepileptic medication.

G CHACKO Department of Neurological Sciences, Christian Medical College and Hospital, Vellore 632 004, Tamil Nadu, India geeta@neuro.cmc.ernet.in

### Change in oxcarbazepine (Trileptal®) formulation is associated with more side effects and higher blood concentrations

In an editorial, Marson and Chadwick review some of the evidence for the effectivity and tolerability of new drug treatments for epilepsy.<sup>1</sup> They discuss the role of randomised clinical trials for providing data that satisfy the requirements of the licensing bodies but that do not reflect day to day clinical practice. With oxcarbazepine (Trileptal<sup>®</sup>) we recently experienced another complicating factor, a change in formulation, which may influence interpretation of results of clinical trials.

Oxcarbazepine had recently been licensed in the United Kingdom and the United States but was already registered in The Netherlands in 1991. In our epilepsy centre we have had extensive clinical experience with oxcarbazepine since 1986.2 In 2001 we noticed new symptoms such as diplopia, dizziness, dysarthria, and ataxia in patients on a stable drug regimen, including oxcarbazepine. These symptoms had a fluctuating course during the day. In the autumn of 2000 the manufacturer Novartis substituted the formulation of oxcarbazepine in The Netherlands for the formulation which had been licensed in the United Kingdom and the United States. Taking into consideration this change in formulation we hypothesise that these new symptoms could be side effects of oxcarbazepine, probably caused by higher blood concentrations of the active compound in relation to this new formulation.

Oxcarbazepine is a 10-keto analogue of carbamazepine (CBZ) with similar anticonvulsant efficacy, but with a different pharmacokinetic profile and possibly a better tolerability.34 It is rapidly reduced to a 10monohydroxy derivative (MHD) with an elimination half life of 1.3-3.8 hours. Oxcarbazepine acts as a prodrug of MHD, the clinically relevant metabolite of oxcarbazepine; MHD is cleared with a half life of 8.8-24.5 hours.

We evaluated steady state oxcarbaepzine and MHD serum concentrations obtained before and after change of the oxcarbaepzine formulation from four patients with presumed side effects. The mean (range) MHD concentrations increased from 27.0(25.4-32.7) µg/ml to 38.4 (37.5-39.6) µg/ml. Routinely, we do not measure oxcarbaepzine concentrations, because they are usually very low or not detectable. As we still had all serum samples from these four

- physin immunoreactivity in the prefrontal cor-tex of subjects with schizophrenia. Regional and diagnostic specificity. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1997;54:943-52.
- 7 Sokolov BP, Tcherepanov AA, Haroutunian V, et al. Levels of mRNAs encoding synaptic vesicle and synaptic plasma membrane proteins in the temporal cortex of elderly schizophrenic
- ternipolitation context spectra and a contract spectra and a context spectra and a context spectra and a contract spectra and spectra and

## The calcified intracorporeal vacuole: an aid to the pathological diagnosis of solitary cerebral cysticercus granulomas

I read with interest the paper by Chacko et al

reporting the significance of calcified intarcorporeal vacuole:an aid to the pathological diagnosis of solitary cysticercus granulomas.1 I appreciate the efforts of the authors for once again proving that the aetiology for single small enhancing CT lesions is neurocysticercosis. The investigators are right in stating that in 26 patients there was no evidence of tuberculosis or fungal pathology. The presence of histiocytes and eosinophils favour a parasitic aetiology. It would be of interest to know the radiological correlation of oval calcified bodies that were detected in six patients. Did they have type A or type B lesions? The authors, in their earlier study, had concluded that neither the duration of seizures nor the type of lesion on CT was predictive of the presence of the parasite in the granuloma.2 I am keen to know the seizure control of these patients with oval calcified bodies, as the authors have stated that a small proportion of study patients had intractable epilepsy. Single small enhancing CT lesions are reported to be the cause of the seizure in 26% of Indian patients who present with partial seizures. Cysticercus granulomas and tuberculomas are the two common differential diagnoses that are considered in a patient with seizures and solitary enhancing lesion on CT.3 The authors have demonstrated very well the aetiology, diagnosis, and management of single small enhancing CT lesions in their previous studies.<sup>3-4</sup> This study adds one more feather to their cap in confirming the aetiology of such leisons. It is heartening to see that general practioners, physicians, and even neurologists in this part of the country treat these patients with antituberculous drugs. Educating general practioners and general physicians about the nature and aetiology of these lesions will definitely improve the management of patients.

J D PANDIAN

Division of Neurology, Christian Medical College, Ludhiana, Punjab 141008, India jegarajpandian@hotmail.com

- 1 Chacko G, Rajshekhar V, Chandy MJ, et al. The calcified intracorporeal vacuole: an aid to the pathological diagnosis of solitary cerebral cysticercus granulomas. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2000;69:525-7.
- 2 Rajshekhar V, Chacko G, Haran RP, et al. Clinicoradiological and pathological correlations in patients with solitary cysticercus granuloma and epilepsy: Focus on presence of the parasite and edema formation. *J Neurol Neurosurg* Psychiatry 1995;59:284-6. 3 Rajshsekhar V,Chandy MJ. Validation of diag-
- nostic criteria for solitary cerebral cysticercus

patients in the freezer, analysis could be done retrospectively. The mean (range) oxcarbazepine concentrations increased from 0.7 (0.5–1.1) µg/ml to 3.2 (2.0–5.6) µg/ml. In four other patients on the new formulation and with the presumed side effects, we found that the mean (range) MHD fluctuation calculated as 100. (Cmax –Cmin)/Cmin was 55.1 (36.3—72.9)%, which is higher than the described mean fluctuation of 32.5% with the first formulation.<sup>5</sup>

Our results suggest that the new formulation of Trileptal<sup>®</sup> has a faster rate of absorption and a higher bioavailability than the old one. It is possible that the higher oxcarbazepine and MHD concentrations are due to a food effect.

It was reported that the systemic availability of the old formulation increased 17% when oxcarbazepine was administered with food, but that this effect of food was absent with the new formulation. However, it is more likely that the changes in the composition of the dosage form have influenced the rate and extent of absorption of oxcarbazepine.

The prescribers of oxcarbazepine should be aware when patients change to the new formulation that the daily dosage will probably have to be decreased in patients with high MHD concentrations. A shorter dosage interval should be considered. Monitoring in blood concentration is advised before and after the change and should not only include MHD but also the parent drug oxcarbazepine itself.

These findings support the plea of the authors for trials that better reflect the needs of the clinician and the patient. A fast clinical and pharmacological evaluation of the new formulation is necessary to avoid the reputation of oxcarbazepine as a valuable anticonvulsive drug being impaired by its unnecessary side effects.

> P M EDELBROEK P B AUGUSTIJN G J DE HAAN M V RADEMAKER H H GEESINK Epilepsy Clinics Foundation of the Netherlands Location Meer and Bosch, PO Box 21, 2100 AA Heemstede, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Dr PM Edelbroek pedelbroek@sein.nl

- Marson AG, Chadwick DW. New drug treatments for epilepsy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2001;70:143-7.
- Houtkooper MA, Lammertsma A, Meijer JWA, et al. Oxcarbazepine (GP 47.680): a possible alternative to carbamazepine? *Epilepsia* 1987; 28:693-8.
   Grant SM, Faulds D. Oxcarbazepine: a review
- 3 Grant SM, Faulds D. Oxcarbazepine: a review of its pharmacology and therapeutic potential in epilepsy, trigeminal neuralgia and affective disorders. *Drugs* 1992;43:873–88.
- 4 Shorvon S. Oxcarbazepine: a review. Seizure 2000;9:75–9.
- 5 May TW, Rambeck B, Sälke-Kellermann A. Fluctuations of 10-hydroxy-carbazepine during the day in epileptic patients. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1996;93:393–7.

# Friedreich's ataxia presenting as an isolated spastic paraparesis

We read with interest the recent report by Castlenovo *et al* of the first reported case of Friedreich's ataxia presenting with a pure spastic paraparesis.<sup>1</sup> Since the identification of the frataxin gene in 1996 the phenotypic range of Friedreich's ataxia has been greatly expanded. After this report we therefore analysed the GAA repeat length in the first intron of the frataxin gene by polymerase chain reaction, using techniques previously described,<sup>2</sup> in affected members from eight families with a spastic paraparesis and evidence of autosomal recessive inheritance. In each case the presenting feature was of a slowly progressive spastic paraparesis. At least one affected member of each family had undergone a full series of investigations based on those proposed by the Hereditary Spastic Paraplegia Working Group to exclude other causes of a spastic paraparesis.3 The age of onset ranged from 5 to 50 years. Additional neurological features such as peripheral neuropathy, mild ataxia, and intellectual impairment developed later in the course of the disease in affected members from four of the families. The GAA repeat lengths in all patients tested fell within the normal range. We therefore conclude that the presentation of Friedreich's ataxia as an autosomal recessive spastic paraparesis is likely to be rare.

P A WILKINSON

J L BRADLEY T T WARNER

Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Royal Free and University College Medical School, Rowland Hill Street, London, NW3 2PF, UK

Correspondence to: Dr TT Warner twarner@rfc.ucl.ac.uk

- Castlenovo G, Biolsi B, Barbaurd A, et al. Isolated spastic paraparesis leading to a diagnosis of Freidriech's ataxia. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2000;69:693.
- 2 Campuzano V, Montermini L, Moltò MD, et al. Friedreich's: autosomal recessive disease caused by an intronic GAA repeat expansion. *Science* 1996;271:1423–7.
- 3 Fink JK, Heimann-Patterson T, for the Hereditary Spastic Paraplegia Working Group. Hereditary spastic paraplegia: advances in genetic research. *Neurology* 1996;46:1507–14.

# BOOK REVIEWS

How to read a paper. The basics of evidence based medicine. By TRISHA GREENHALGH. (Pp 222, £16.95). Published by BMJ Books, London, 2001. ISBN 0 7279 1578 9

The title of this slim paperback is somewhat misleading, as it covers considerably more than reading scientific papers (including how to deal with a visit from a drug rep!). There are two chapters which orientate the reader on why and how to keep abreast of the medical literature, including its electronic forms, nine on various aspects of reading papers, and one on implementing evidence based data. All necessary skills for the medical academic, of course, or for someone approaching a little aired but thorny issue. However, the author works in primary care, and much of her guidance seems directed towards drug trials, and particularly to the needs of her colleagues who may be wondering "how shall I treat the patient actually sitting in my surgery today?". Good as the advice she gives may be, it is difficult to picture the general practitioner, medical registrar, or even less the tyro casualty officer, asking the patient to wait while he or she boots the computer and searches the medical literature, starting with a couple of systematic reviews and delving into an article published in Revista Médica Española, for example, only to do the same during the next consultation and, possibly,

repeating the process next week, as an important new contribution may have appeared. (It is not until the penultimate chapter that we read of the existence of computerised decision support systems!)

I apologise if my first paragraph seems somewhat tetchy because, like many hospital doctors, and particularly many long suffering radiologists, my experience has led me to appreciate only too clearly the messages Dr Greenhalgh is putting across. Maybe I was overly alienated by the almost insufferably smug image she conjures up. The Preface begins "When I wrote this book in 1996, evidence based medicine was a bit of an unknown quantity. A handful of academics (including me) were enthusiastic . . ." and on page 55 the author tells us she was a junior doctor not in any old centre, but in "a world renowned teaching hospital". The term "evidence based medicine" may have been novel in 1996, but many of my former colleagues would, I am sure, reject the idea that the concept was new. Many more might feel miffed by her suggesting that "if you are a practising (and non-academic) physician, your main contact with published papers may well be what gets fed to you by a drug rep".

A book like this inevitably contains criticism of previous publications, although Dr Greenhalgh refrains from naming too many names. However, the right to be highly critical of other people's sloppy work brings with it the corresponding duty to make one's own above criticism. Medical students are among the intended targets of this book, and the literary style ("we need to hang out, listen to what people say"; "check out the literature"; researchers should "describe in detail where they are coming from") may irritate readers more advanced in years, as may the habit of customarily according peers and professors their title(s), while using demotic forms (Dave, Nick, Andy, Sandy) for others, presumably to indicate a degree of familiarity.

One may also quibble with certain of her ideas. She does not, for example, mention that one of the reasons a piece of research which is not original might be undertaken is that one simply does not believe the results in published papers, despite their apparently impeccable methodology; there are enough examples of fraudulent work in the literature for one not to be overly coy about mentioning this as a possibility. About a third of the references to the chapter entitled "Papers that tell you what things cost", to which the author helpfully appends "(economic analyses)" are from American sources, but Dr Greenhalgh fails to make the crucial observation that most transatlantic analyses deal with charges, not costs, a major shortcoming which a comparison of costs of, for instance, MRI in nonprofit and for-profit centres makes abundantly clear. To me, she also seems repeatedly to cop out (as she might say) when faced with rather basic philosophical questions, such as how we define health and disease and what, other than simple efficacy, can reasonably determine choices of management strategy. As a result of the first of these, she paints herself into a corner on what seems to be one of her main topics of interest, referring to the WHO definition of diabetes mellitus as the "gold standard", so that if you conform to it "you can call yourself diabetic", then parenthetically noting that it had changed since her first edition.

Having got that off my chest, I must add that this book contains innumerable useful insights and thought provoking reflections