Original article

Endocervical Gram stain smears and their usefulness in the diagnosis of *Chlamydia* trachomatis

Linda Myziuk, Barbara Romanowski, Myrna Brown

Objective: To evaluate the usefulness of endocervical Gram stain smears in the diagnosis of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in a female population attending a STD clinic. **Methods:** 494 females attending a STD clinic and undergoing a speculum examination had endocervical specimens submitted for *C trachomatis* culture, direct fluorescent antibody testing (DFA), and *N gonorrhoeae* culture. Endocervical smears were also collected for Gram stain. The number of polymorphonuclear leucocytes (PMN) per high power field (HPF), presence of bacteria, yeast, red blood cells, and clue cells were recorded. Clinical signs of cervicitis were also documented.

Results: *N gonorrhoeae* was isolated from one subject who was co-infected with *C trachomatis* and no further analysis was done regarding *N gonorrhoeae*. Analysis was performed on 220 participants. The prevalence of *C trachomatis* infection was 13%. Of the Gram smears collected, 55% were inadequate owing to the presence of vaginal contamination. There were an equal number of *C trachomatis* isolates in patients with ≤ 10 PMN/HPF (48%) and >10 PMN/HPF (52%). Endocervical mucopus and erythema were statistically significant for the presence of *C trachomatis* (p<0.001 and 0.02 respectively). The presence of any signs of cervicitis—that is, mucopus, friability, erythema, and ectropion together with >10 PMN/HPF was statistically significant for the presence of *C trachomatis*.

Conclusion: The use of endocervical Gram smear results together with clinical information can be used to identify high risk women for *C trachomatis* infection.

(Sex Transm Inf 2001;77:103-106)

Keywords: endocervical Gram stain; polymorphonuclear leucocytes; Chlamydia trachomatis

Introduction

Genital infection by Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae cause considerable reproductive morbidity in women. Unfortunately, a large proportion of these women are asymptoinfection matic and their remains undetected.¹⁻⁶ In light of this situation many women attending their general practitioner are not routinely screened for these two pathogens. Additionally, laboratory culture for C trachomatis is costly, time consuming, requires expertise, and extensive laboratory supplies.7 However, cell culture may be replaced as the gold standard by other diagnostic methods such as nucleic acid amplification or direct fluorescent antibody testing (DFA). Endocervical Gram stain smears taken during gynaecological examination are an inexpensive, relatively easy procedure to perform and interpret. If specific endocervical changes could be related to the identification of C trachomatis and N gonorrhoeae, Gram stains would be useful as a screening tool to predict infection by these two micro-organisms.

In a previously published study 214 women attending an STD clinic who were at increased risk of *C trachomatis* infection, underwent endocervical examination and Gram stain interpretation.⁸ From 163 valid smears, women with 10 or more polymorphonuclear cells (PMN) per high power field (HPF) were found to be twice as likely to have positive endocervical cultures for *C trachomatis* as those with fewer than 10 PMN/HPF. Additionally, the presence of mucopurulent endocervical discharge was found to be an independent predictor of infection with chlamydia.

Another study enrolled 193 sexually active female adolescents from a non-sexually transmitted disease clinic.9 It was found that the presence of ≥5 PMN/HPF on Gram stains of endocervical secretions was a useful screening tool in predicting endocervical chlamydia infection, but it was also race dependent. The incidence of C trachomatis was higher among black subjects compared with non-black subjects. The presence of polymorphonuclear cells was a significant indicator associated with Ctrachomatis for black subjects (p<0.001), but not for non-black subjects (p<0.1). The findings of mucopurulent discharge, friability, or erythema oedema of ectopy were not reliable indicators for C trachomatis.

In 1984, a study of 100 women attending a clinic for sexually transmitted diseases observed criteria for the clinical diagnosis of mucopurulent cervicitis.¹ Visualisation of yellow mucopurulent endocervical secretions on a white swab and the presence of 10 or more PMN/HPF were correlated with cervical *C trachomatis* infection. It was recommended that these findings should guide the selective use of confirmatory diagnostic tests for *C trachomatis* infection.

A study was performed at a Seattle STD clinic between 1984 and 1986 which assessed

University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada L Myziuk B Romanowski

M Brown

Correspondence to: Linda Myziuk, Suite 1000, 8215 112 Street, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2C8, Canada Imyziuk@comcept.ab.ca

Accepted for publication 13 December 2000

 Table 1
 Correlation of the number of endocervical polymorphonuclear cells with Chlamydia trachomatis infection

PMN/HPF	C trachomatis				
	Pos n=29 (%)	Neg n=191 (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	p Value
<5	10 (35)	113 (59)	35	41	0.02
5-10	4 (14)	22 (12)	14	89	NS
11-20	7 (24)	23 (12)	24	88	NS
>20	8 (28)	33 (17)	28	83	NS
>10 PMN	15 (52)	56 (29)	52	71	0.02
≤10 PMN	14 (48)	135 (71)	48	29	_

NS = not significant.

779 women by various algorithms to identify clinical epidemiological correlates of cervical and vaginal infections.¹⁰ Their findings demonstrated microscopy added very little sensitivity for cervical infection while increasing costs.

Finally, a 1998 study testing Canadian practice guidelines for the presumptive diagnosis of chlamydial cervicitis was performed.² It demonstrated that a cut-off value of 10 PMN/HPF on a Gram stained cervical smear is not clinically useful in the presumptive diagnosis of chlamydial cervicitis.

This present study was undertaken to further evaluate the usefulness of the endocervical Gram stain along with the clinical picture in the diagnosis of C trachomatis and N gonorrhoeae infection.

Methods

STUDY POPULATION

A total of 494 females, ≤ 25 years of age, attending the STD clinic in Edmonton, Alberta, were informed of the research study and consent was given before study participation. Females undergoing a speculum examination, who had been sexually active within the past month, were eligible. Potential subjects were excluded if they were menstruating, had had a hysterectomy, or had taken antibiotics within 14 days.

STUDY DESIGN

At the time of the speculum examination the cervix was evaluated for the presence of mucopurulent endocervical discharge, friability, erythema, and ectropion. Friability defined as bleeding after any swabbing and endocervical discharge defined as any endocervical mucopurulent discharge. The cervix was then cleaned with gauze and two endocervical smears were obtained and air dried. Routine endocervical samples for C trachomatis and N gonorrhoeae were also collected and submitted for culture. One endocervical smear was Gram stained and the second was used for the DFA test for C trachomatis. The Gram stained smear was evaluated for the number of PMN/HPF, presence of bacteria, yeast, red blood cells, and clue cells. Samples that contained more than 100 squamous epithelial cells were rejected because of the probability of vaginal contamination. Five non-adjacent fields were viewed at a magnification of $\times 1000$, with oil immersion microscopy. The number of polymorphonuclear cells was determined, averaged, and categorised as follows: 0 or rare, <5, 5-10, 11-20, 21-30, 31-40, and >40. The Gram

stains were reviewed without knowledge of subject identification, diagnosis, or test results.

Direct fluorescent antibody test (Syva MicroTrak) for *C trachomatis* was performed according to standardised procedures. *C trachomatis* was cultured in cyclohexamide treated McCoy cells grown on circular coverslips in dram shell vials. Fluorescein conjugated monoclonal antibody stain was used to identify chlamydial inclusions. Specimens collected for *N gonorrhoeae* were inoculated onto biplates containing New York City-Henderson medium, incubated, and interpreted according to established protocol.

Through retrospective chart reviews, age, date of last menstrual period, form of contraception, and physical appearance of the cervix were recorded for each participant.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATION

The study was institutional review board exempt, as no additional procedures were undertaken and it was considered analysis of routine clinical and microbiological data. All subjects were informed of the research study and procedures to be performed. Verbal consent was obtained from all participants.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Two tailed Fisher's exact test was used to analyse the differences between the groups. p Values ≤ 0.05 were considered significant.

Results

A total of 494 participants were recruited between 1997 and 1998; 220 (45%) slides were accepted for analysis. The high prevalence of unacceptable specimens was because of slides with >100 squamous epithelial cells. The mean age of participants was 20.4 years (range 13–25 years).

The prevalence of *C trachomatis* was 13% (29/220). Of the positive *C trachomatis* specimens, three (10%) had discrepant results between the cell culture and DFA test. One specimen was culture positive and DFA negative, two were culture negative and DFA positive, 26 tested positive by both methods, and 191 tested negative for both culture and DFA. The remainder of this analysis is based on the total 29 *C trachomatis* samples.

N gonorrhoeae was isolated from only one female and this was a co-infection with *C trachomatis*. Intracellular Gram negative diplococci were not seen on any of the Gram stained smears.

Gram stain results were stratified with respect to density of PMNs to assess the utility of these diagnostic categories in predicting infection with *C trachomatis*.

CORRELATION BETWEEN *C TRACHOMATIS* AND GRAM STAIN (TABLE 1)

Owing to the small number of positive *C* trachomatis samples, the original PMN breakdown was adjusted to <5, 5–10, 11–20, and >20. With this adjustment, significant data were observed in the <5 PMN group. This same group had the greatest number of positive and negative *C* trachomatis subjects, (p=0.02).

Table 2 Clinical findings as related to identification of Chlamydia trachomatis

	C trachomatis				
Clinical findings	Pos n=29 (%)	Neg n=191 (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	p Value
Mucopus	8 (28)	11 (6)	28	94	0.001
Friable	7 (24)	25 (13)	24	87	NS
Erythema	7 (24)	17 (9)	24	91	0.02
Ectropion	5 (17)	12 (6)	17	93	NS
Cervicitis-presence of any one of the	16 (55)	44 (23)	55	77	< 0.001
following: mucopus, friable, ectropion, erythema					

NS = not significant.

A further classification of >10 PMNs and ≤ 10 PMNs was examined. There was a statistically significant difference when the results were examined by these criteria. The >10 PMN group correlated with *C trachomatis* infection and the ≤ 10 PMN group with absence of infection (p=0.02). However, the sensitivity and specificity was unacceptably low for both parameters. These results do not support the use of the PMN count alone for the presumptive diagnosis of *C trachomatis*.

CORRELATION BETWEEN C TRACHOMATIS,

CLINICAL FINDINGS, AND THE NUMBER OF PMNs (TABLES 2, 3)

Mucopurulent endocervical discharge and endocervical erythema were statistically significant independent indicators for the presence of *C* trachomatis (p<0.001 and p=0.02 respectively). Friability and ectropion alone were not indicators of infection. Any of the following clinical findings were exhibited by 55% of infected subjects: mucopurulent endocervical discharge, friability, erythema, or ectropion, versus only 23% of those not infected (p<0.001).

Among the subjects with >10 PMN/HPF and mucopurulent discharge, 17% were observed to have *C* trachomatis (p=0.002). Significant observations were also seen between *C* trachomatis and the other clinical signs of cervicitis in the presence of >10 PMN/HPF. Although the specificities were acceptable the sensitivities were quite low. These findings indicate that the combination of clinical information and number of polymorphonuclear cells may be useful as a screening mechanism for *C* trachomatis infection.

ASSOCIATION OF *C TRACHOMATIS* INFECTION WITH PATIENT HISTORY

Although two thirds of the participants utilised some form of contraception, only 37% included barrier contraception. However, neither the use of contraception nor the use of barrier methods were predictors for the presence or absence of *C* trachomatis. Similarly, the phase of the menstrual cycle did not affect the identification of *C* trachomatis.

Discussion

The goal of this study was to clarify the usefulness of endocervical Gram smears in the diagnosis of *C* trachomatis and *N* gonorrhoeae infection. However, since only a single isolate of *N* gonorrhoeae was identified, no analysis was done for *N* gonorrhoeae. It is important for the clinician to be able to recognise signs of cervicitis to assist in early diagnosis and to help decrease *C* trachomatis associated morbidity such as salpingitis, infertility, ectopic pregnancy, pelvic inflammatory disease, neonatal pneumonia, and conjunctivitis.^{2-4 6 7 9 11 12} The diagnosis of cervical chlamydial infection utilising the Gram smear and clinical findings demonstrated limited usefulness in this study.

The sensitivity and specificity of newly available laboratory analysis for diagnosis of *C trachomatis* has been continually changing. At the initiation of this study nucleic acid amplification was not available. It is currently accepted that this methodology is the most sensitive and specific. DFA was chosen to compare with culture owing to its improved sensitivity compared with enzyme immunoassays and the ability to determine specimen adequacy from the sample.

In relation to other reported studies, 55% of our slides were judged inadequate, compared with 16% and 24% reported by other authors.18 Our finding was unexpected and definitely limits the diagnostic potential of screening endocervical Gram smears. It is suspected that the samples contained vaginal material because of improper collection methods. Forceps were not always available to clean the cervix, which may have resulted in an inappropriate sample. It is possible to contaminate the swab with vaginal secretions either upon entry or exit of the cervix. Whatever the cause, this finding reinforces the necessity of having the tools available for skilled, trained staff to perform such procedures to ensure quality specimens are collected for analysis.

Our ability to identify *C trachomatis* infection did not appear to be affected by menstrual cycle or method of contraception. These results are in agreement with those of Moscicki

Table 3 Clinical findings and PMN/HPF counts in relation to Chlamydia trachomatis infection

	C trachomatis				
Clinical findings and PMN/HPF counts	Pos n=29 (%)	Neg n=191 (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	p Value
>10 PMN/HPF + mucopus >10 PMN/HPF + friable >10 PMN/HPF + erythema >10 PMN/HPF + ectropion	5 (17) 4 (14) 4 (14) 3 (10)	4 (2) 7 (4) 4 (2) 2 (1)	17 14 14 10	98 96 98 98	0.002 0.04 0.01 0.02

et al who also did not note a significant difference in *C trachomatis* isolation with the phase of menses or the method of birth control.⁹

Various studies support the use of Gram stained smears as screening tools for identifying high risk women for C trachomatis infections. Brunham et al reported that ≥ 10 PMN/ HPF was associated with the isolation of Ctrachomatis infection.¹ As reported by Katz, subjects with ≥10 PMN/HPF were twice as likely to have a positive C trachomatis culture, but an optimal cut-off for the number of PMNs was not found.8 Moscicki et al concluded a cut-off of ≥5 PMN/HPF was effective in determining the presence of C trachomatis.9 Knud-Hansen et al demonstrated limitations of the Gram stain and leucocyte esterase dipsticks to diagnose cervical infection.13 They also concluded no suitable PMN cut-off was shown to be able to predict the presence of C trachomatis or N gonorrhoeae. We observed the presence of PMNs alone on endocervical smears was not indicative of C trachomatis infection. However, the Gram smear did permit specimen quality to be analysed. This is a recommended evaluation to ensure continued proper specimen collection for not only Gram stained smears, but also DFA and culture.

Gram smear information together with the clinical findings were also evaluated. We demonstrated statistically significant data when \geq 10 PMN/HPF were found in the presence of mucopurulent endocervical discharge, friability, ectropion, and erythema. Unfortunately this same data did not result in high sensitivities although the specificity was acceptable.

Clinically significant signs of cervicitis vary between studies. Moscicki et al found a significant association between C trachomatis infection and the presence of erythema and oedema of ectopy, but not with mucopus and friability in a non-STD population.9 Both Katz, and Brunham et al found mucopurulent discharge significant in relation to C trachomatis isolation.¹⁸ Katz also notes ectopy to be an independent predictor of C trachomatis infection.¹ Theijls demonstrated that the absence of fragility/erythema could not be used to exclude C trachomatis infection in a youth outpatient clinic.6 We witnessed a statistically significant association for the isolation of C trachomatis with the presence of mucopus and erythema when analysed individually. When the signs of cervicitis were grouped together we also documented significant associations, but again the sensitivity and specificity were unacceptable.

Overall, the results reported here do not support the use of endocervical Gram smears or clinical signs alone as definitive diagnostic tools for the presence of C trachomatis infection. However, they may be used as an aid to identify a population at high risk of Ctrachomatis and in need of early treatment regardless of diagnostic tests. The use of endocervical Gram smears may be especially useful in resource poor settings or in situations where advanced diagnostics are not available.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the staff of the Sexually Transmitted Disease Clinic, Edmonton, Alberta who helped make this project possible. *Contributors:* BR designed and supervised the study; MB

Contributors: BR designed and supervised the study; MB assisted in the study design and specimen analysis; LM was the principal investigator, performed the specimen analysis, interpreted the data, and was the principal author of the paper.

The preliminary data were partially presented as a poster session at the Thirteenth Meeting of the International Society for Sexually Transmitted Diseases Research, Denver, Colorado, USA, Abstract No 525.

- Brunham RC, Paavonen J, Stevens CE, et al. Mucopurulent cervicitis—the ignored counterpart in women of urethritis in men. N Engl J Med 1984;311:1–6.
- 2 Sellors J, Howard M, Pickard L, et al. Chlamydial cervicitis: testing the practice guidelines for presumptive diagnosis. Can Med Assoc J 1998;158:41-6.
- 3 Ferris DG, Lawler FH, Horner, RD, et al. Test of cure for genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women. *J Fam Pract* 1990;**31**:36–41.
- 4 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1998 Guidelines for treatment of sexually transmitted diseases. MMWR 1998;47(RR-1):52–3.
- 5 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for the prevention and management of Chlamydia trachomatis infections, 1993. MMWR 1993:42(RR-12):1– 39.
- 6 Theijls H, Rahm VA, Rosen G, et al. Correlation between chlamydia infection and clinical evaluation, vaginal wet smear, and cervical swab test in female adolescents. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1987;157:974–6.
- 7 Parsons W, Godwin M, Robbins C, et al. Prevalence of cervical pathogens in women with and without inflammatory changes on smear testing. BMJ 1993;306:1173-4.
- 8 Katz B, Caine V, Jones R. Diagnosis of mucopurulent cervicitis among women at risk for Chlamydia trachomatis infection. Sex Transm Dis 1989;16:103–6.
- 9 Moscicki B, Shafer M-A, Millstein SG, et al. The use and limitations of endocervical Gram stains and mucopurulent cervicitis as predictors for Chlamydia trachomatis in female adolescents. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1987;157:65–71.
- 10 Ryan CA, Courtois BN, Hawes SE, et al. Risk assessment, symptoms, and signs as predictors of vulvovaginal and cervical infections in an urban US STD clinic: implications for use of STD algorithms. Sexy Transm Inf 1998;74(Suppl 1):S59–76.
- 11 Goncalves-Raddi M, Long-Vidal A, Santana D. Characteristics of Gram-stained cervical smear from patients with Chlamydia trachomatis infection. *Rev Lat-Amer Microbiol* 1993;35:150–61.
- 12 Division of STD Prevention and Control. Canadian STD guidelines. 1998 ed. Ottawa, Canada: Bureau of HIV/AIDS, STD and TB. Laboratory Centre of Disease Control. Health Protection Branch. Health Canada,
- 13 Knud-Hansen C, Dallabetta G, Reichart C, et al. Surrogate methods to diagnose gonococcal and chlamydial cervicitis: comparison of leukocyte esterase dipstick, endocervical Gram stain, and culture. Sex Transm Dis 1991;18211–16.