EDITORIAL

- 26 Tiemessen MM, Van Hoffen E, Knulst AC, et al. CD4 CD25 regulatory T cells are not functionally impaired in adult patients with IgE-mediated cow's milk allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;110:934-6
- Bellinghausen I, Klostermann B, Knop J, et al. 27 Human CD4+CD25+ T cells derived from the majority of atopic donors are able to suppress TH1 and TH2 cytokine production. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;111:862–8.
- 28 Ling EM, Smith T, Nguyen XD, et al. Relation of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T-cell suppression of allergen-driven T-cell activation to atopic status and expression of allergic disease. Lancet 2004;**363**:608-15.
- Woo EY, Yeh H, Chu CS, et al. Cutting edge: Regulatory T cells from lung cancer patients directly inhibit autologous T cell proliferation. J Immunol 2002;168:4272-6.
- 30 Bennett CL, Christie J, Ramsdell F, et al. The immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked syndrome (IPEX) is caused by mutations of FOXP3. Nat Genet 2001:27:20-1
- Brunkow ME, Jeffery EW, Hjerrild KA, et al. Disruption of a new forkhead/winged-helix protein, scurfin, results in the fatal lymphoproliferative disorder of the scurfy mouse. Nat Genet 2001;**27**:68–73.
- 32 Hori S, Nomura T, Sakaguchi S. Control of regulatory T cell development by the transcription factor Foxp3. Science 2003;299:1057-61.
- 33 Fontenot JD, Gavin MA, Rudensky AY. Foxp3 programs the development and function of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells. *Nat Immunol* 2003;**4**:330–6.
- 34 Khattri R, Cox T, Yasayko SA, et al. An essential role for scurfin in CD4+CD25+ T regulatory cells. Nat Immunol 2003;4:337-42.
- 35 Walker MR, Kasprowicz DJ, Gersuk VH, et al. Induction of FoxP3 and acquisition of T regulatory activity by stimulated human CD4+CD25-T cells. J Clin Invest 2003;**112**:1437–43.
- 36 Bruder D, Probst-Kepper M, Westendorf AM, et al. Neuropilin 1: a surface marker of regulatory T cells. Eur J Immunol 2004;**34**:623–30.
- 37 O'Garra A. Cytokines induce the development of functionally heterogeneous T helper cell subsets. Immunity 1998;**8**:275–83.
- **Eisenbarth SC**, Piggott DA, Huleatt JW, *et al.* Lipopolysaccharide-enhanced, toll-like receptor 4-dependent T helper cell type 2 responses to inhaled antigen. J Exp Med 2002;**196**:1645–51.
- Pasare C, Medzhitov R. Toll-like receptors: balancing host resistance with immune tolerance Curr Opin Immunol 2003;15:677-82.
- 40 Pasare C, Medzhitov R. Toll pathway-dependent blockade of CD4+CD25+ T cell-mediated suppression by dendritic cells. *Science* 2003;**299**:1033–6.

Treatment of SARS

- 41 Akbari O, Freeman GJ, Meyer EH, et al. Antigenspecific regulatory T cells develop via the ICOS-ICOS-ligand pathway and inhibit allergeninduced airway hyperreactivity. Nat Med 2002;**8**:1024–32
- 42 Umetsu DT, McIntire JJ, Akbari O, et al. Asthma:
- an epidemic of dysregulated immunity. Nat Immunol 2002;3:715–20.
 Baldini M, Lohman IC, Halonen M, et al. A polymorphism in the 5' flanking region of the CD14 gene is associated with circulating soluble CD14 gene is associated with circulating soluble CD14 levels and with total serum immunoglobulin E. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol 1999;**20**:976–83.
- 44 Eder W, Klimecki W, Yu L, et al. Toll-like receptor 2 as a major gene for asthma in children of European farmers. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;113:482-8.
- 45 Platts-Mills T, Vaughan J, Squillace S, et al. Sensitisation, asthma, and a modified Th2 response in children exposed to cat allergen: a population-based cross-sectional study. Lancet 2001;**357**:752-6.
- 46 Jiang S, Camara N, Lombardi G, et al. Induction of allopeptide-specific human CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells ex vivo. Blood 2003;102:2180-6.
- Wolker LS, Chodos A, Eggena M, et al. Antigen-dependent proliferation of CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cells in vivo. J Exp Med 2003:**198**:249–58
- 48 Barrat FJ, Cua DJ, Boonstra A, et al. In vitro generation of interleukin 10-producing regulatory CD4(+) T cells is induced by immunosuppressive drugs and inhibited by T helper type 1 (Th1)- and Th2-inducing cytokines. *J Exp Med* 2002;**195**:603–16.
- Robinson DS, Nguyen XD. Fluticasone propionate 49 increases suppression of allergen-driven T cell proliferation by CD4+CD25+ T cells. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;113(suppl):1190 (abstract).
- Groux H, O'Garra A, Bigler M, et al. A CD4+ Tcell subset inhibits antigen-specific T-cell responses and prevents colitis. Nature 1997:**389**:737-42
- Cottrez F, Hurst SD, Coffman RL, et al. T 51 regulatory cells 1 inhibit a Th2-specific response in vivo. *J Immunol* 2000;**165**:4848–53.
- Sundstedt A, O'Neill EJ, Nicolson KS, et al. Role 52 for IL-10 in suppression mediated by peptide induced regulatory T cells in vivo. J Immunol 2003;**170**:1240-8.
- **Chen Y**, Kuchroo VK, Inobe J, *et al.* Regulatory T cell clones induced by oral tolerance: suppression 53 of autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Science 1994;265:1237-40
- 54 Durham SR, Walker SM, Varga EM, et al. Longterm clinical efficacy of grass-pollen immunotherapy. N Engl J Med 1999;**341**:468–75.
- 55 Walker SM, Pajno GB, Lima MT, et al. Grass pollen immunotherapy for seasonal rhinitis and

asthma: a randomized, controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2001;107:87-93

- 56 Francis JN, Till SJ, Durham SR. Induction of IL-10+CD4+CD25+ T cells by grass pollen immunotherapy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;111:1255-61.
- Jutel M, Akdis M, Budak F, *et al.* IL-10 and TGF-beta cooperate in the regulatory T cell response to 57 mucosal allergens in normal immunity and specific immunotherapy. Eur J Immunol 2003;33:1205-14.
- 58 Ling EM, Calderon M, Nguyen D, et al. Allergen immunotherapy increases suppressive activity by CD4+CD25-, IL-10 producing T cells but does not affect suppression by CD4+CD25+ T cells. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2004;113(suppl): 330 (abstract).
- BSACI Working Party. Position paper on allergen immunotherapy. Clin Exp Allergy 1993;23(Suppl 3):1-44
- 60 **Haselden BM**, Kay AB, Larche M. Immunoglobulin E-independent major histocompatibility complex-restricted T cell peptide epitope-induced late asthmatic reactions. J Exp Med 1999;**189**:1885–94.
- **Oldfield WL**, Larche M, Kay AB. Effect of T-cell peptides derived from Fel d 1 on allergic reactions 61 and cytokine production in patients sensitive to cats: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2002.360.47-53
- 62 Oldfield WL, Kay AB, Larche M. Allergen-derived T cell peptide-induced late asthmatic reactions precede the induction of antigen-specific hyporesponsiveness in atopic allergic asthmatic subjects. J Immunol 2001;167:1734-9
- Smith TRF, Alexander C, Kay AB, et al. Cat 63 allergen peptide immunotherapy reduces CD4⁺ T cell responses to cat allergen but does not alter suppression by CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells. Allergy 2004 (in press).
 64 Tighe H, Takabayashi K, Schwartz D, et al.
- Conjugation of immunostimulatory DNA to the short ragweed allergen amb a 1 enhances its immunogenicity and reduces its allergenicity.
- J Allergy Clin Immunol 2000;106:124–34. Zuany-Amorim C, Sawicka E, Manlius C, *et al.* Suppression of airway eosinophilia by killed 65 Mycobacterium vaccae-induced allergen specific regulatory T-cells. Nat Med 2002;8:625-9.
- Pajno GB, Barberio G, De Luca F, et al. Prevention of new sensitizations in asthmatic children monosensitized to house dust mite by specific immunotherapy. A six-year follow-up study. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2001;**31**:1392–7.
- 67 Moller C, Dreborg S, Ferdousi HA, et al. Pollen immunotherapy reduces the development of asthma in children with seasonal rhinoconjunctivitis (the PAT-study). J Allergy Clin Immunol 2002;**109**:251–6.

treatment was inevitably empirical as controlled clinical trials were not possible during the epidemic of this new and serious illness. Many antiviral and immunomodulatory drugs, as well as other treatments such as convalescent patient plasma and traditional Chinese medicines, have been tried. Ribavirin and corticosteroids are by far the most widely used treatments for SARS. In the later phase of the epidemic lopinavir and ritonavir in combination were also used in Hong Kong.

ANTIVIRAL AGENTS Ribavirin

Ribavirin is used extensively for the treatment of SARS and was given to over 90% of patients in Hong Kong. It is a nucleoside analogue that has activity against a number of DNA and RNA

W C Yu, D S C Hui, M Chan-Yeung

Antiviral agents and corticosteroids in

the treatment of severe acute

respiratory syndrome (SARS)

Systematic evaluation of treatment modalities for SARS is still needed

 he epidemic of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) of 2003 caught the medical profession by surprise. The accumulated global total

number of cases was 8098 with 774 deaths, a case-fatality ratio of 9.6%.¹ Although the novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV) was discovered within weeks,²

viruses in vitro.3 The mechanism of action of ribavirin has been studied for decades and is still under active debate.4 In early March 2003, before the isolation of the SARS-CoV, many experts believed that the mysterious severe illness was due to an unknown virus and ribavirin was empirically given because of its broad spectrum antiviral activity. Furthermore, corticosteroids were increasingly prescribed for the treatment of SARS and some believed that such treatment would be dangerous if not covered with an antiviral agent. The published reports on the effectiveness of ribavirin were mostly retrospective case series with intrinsic methodological issues and it is difficult to draw conclusions. The major side effect of ribavirin is anaemia which occurs in 27-59% of patients.5-9 Anaemia reduces oxygen transport and potentiates the existing problem of oxygenation and tissue hypoxia. Other significant side effects include raised transaminases and bradycardia,⁵ as well as hypocalcaemia, hypomagnesaemia, and risk of teratogenicity.10 In a detailed study on the clinical course and viral load. Peiris et al¹¹ reported that 14 patients given a standard regimen of ribavirin and steroids showed a peak viral load at day 10 from onset of illness. This study, although involving a small number of subjects, clearly indicated the inability of ribavirin to clear SARS-CoV from patients with SARS. The result of this study also explained why patients treated with ribavirin early in the illness were able to infect healthcare workers when they subsequently required endotracheal intubation. The lack of in vitro activity of the drug against SARS-CoV¹²⁻¹⁴ cast further doubts on the usefulness of ribavirin in SARS. The use of ribavirin in SARS has been reviewed elsewhere.15 10

Lopinavir and ritonavir

Lopinavir and ritonavir (LPV/r) are protease inhibitors which, in combination, have been licensed for the treatment of HIV disease. Ritonavir has little antiviral activity and its role is to inhibit CYP3A mediated metabolism of lopinavir, thus increasing the serum concentration of lopinavir. In the laboratory lopinavir and ribavirin have significant synergism in inhibiting SARS-CoV6 and, on that basis, this combinationtogether with steroids-have been used in some centres in Hong Kong since mid April 2003. In this retrospective study the authors found that the 12 patients who received early treatment with LPV/r together with ribavirin and steroids had significantly fewer 21 day adverse clinical outcomes (acute respiratory distress syndrome or death) than 111 historical controls receiving ribavirin and steroids.

Other benefits of the LPV/r group included favourable viral load profiles (in six patients), early rise of lymphocyte counts, and a reduced need for "rescue" pulse steroid doses. Adverse events attributable to LPV/r were minimal. Similar findings were reported in a case controlled study involving more patients from Hong Kong.¹⁷ Randomised controlled trials are being planned in Hong Kong to confirm these results should SARS re-emerge.

CORTICOSTEROIDS

Corticosteroids have been used widely to treat SARS, first in mainland China and then in Hong Kong. The main rationale for their use in SARS is that, in acute viral respiratory infections, early response cytokines such as interferon gamma (IFN- γ), tumour necrosis factor, interleukin 1 (IL-1), and interleukin 6 (IL-6) contribute to tissue injury,18 19 and corticosteroid treatment may suppress the "cytokine storm".20 Peiris et al hypothesised that the clinical worsening often observed during the second phase of illness is the result of immunopathological damage from an overexuberant host response.13 In a newly published report Wong et al²¹ showed in 20 consecutive adults with SARS that there was a marked increase in the Th1 cytokine IFN-y, inflammatory cytokines IL-1, IL-6, and IL-12 for at least 2 weeks after disease onset. The chemokine profile showed a significant increase in IL-8, monocyte chemoatttractant protein-1 (MCP-1), and IFN- γ inducible protein-10 (IP-10). Corticosteroids significantly reduce IL-8, MCP-1, and IP-10 concentrations 5-8 days after treatment. The data confirmed the Th1 cell mediated immunity and hyperinnate inflammatory response in SARS through the accumulation of monocytes/macrophages and neutrophils. Another rationale for use of steroids in SARS is the necroscopic finding of features of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS),²²²³ and there have been reports of successful use of steroids in the treatment of ARDS²⁴ and septic shock.25 In addition, systemic steroids have been used in the treatment of some infections with variable success.26-29 On the other hand, the potential for corticosteroids to suppress the innate host defence against SARS-CoV resulting in increased viral replication has to be considered. Chu et al reported an increase in viral load in one patient following pulse methylprednisolone therapy.6 Increased replication of other respiratory viruses has also been reported following steroid therapy.26 30-32

Whereas "low dose" steroids at 0.5– 1.0 mg/kg/day prednisolone (or equivalent) have been used in infections, ARDS and septic shock, "pulse doses" at 0.5–1.0 g/day methylprednisolone have generally not been recommended for these conditions but were used extensively in SARS, particularly in the second week of illness when patients often show acute clinical deterioration. The efficacy of pulse steroids in SARS remains to be determined, but it is conceivable that higher steroid doses will result in a higher incidence and severity of side effects.

Published case series examining the clinical efficacy of steroid treatment in SARS^{7 9 33-40} suffer the same methodological problems as those of ribavirin. In addition, there is a wide variety of steroid dosing schedules making retrospective analysis of steroid efficacy exceptionally difficult. There is so far no systematic review of the efficacy of corticosteroid treatment in SARS based on the numerous published studies. Some investigators do feel that judicious use of corticosteroids is beneficial. but randomised controlled studies are needed to confirm the beneficial effects as well as to give insight into the optimal regimen. The possible beneficial effects, however, have to be balanced against the significant side effects including nosocomial infections,7 9 40 41 hyperglycaemia, hypokalaemia, hypertension, and gastrointestinal haemorrhage.7-9 Avascular necrosis of bone (AVN) is perhaps the most distressing medium term side effect of steroids in patients with SARS. Preliminary data on a cohort of 330 adult patients from Princess Margaret Hospital, Hong Kong who received various doses of steroids and in whom magnetic resonance imaging was performed at an average of 7.5 months from illness onset showed that AVN was present in 48 of them (14.5%, (unpublished data). Of the 48, 16 (33%) had unilateral involvement of the femoral head and 19 (40%) had bilateral involvement of the femoral head. Univariate analysis showed that the total steroid dose was significantly associated with development of AVN (unpublished data).

CONCLUSIONS

As SARS has only recently appeared and a limited number of patients have been managed in different locations, it is understandable that there has been a lack of systematic and critical evaluation of treatment in the form of randomised controlled trials. Nonetheless, the enormous effort that researchers put into looking for effective treatments for SARS is highly commended. The recent re-emergence of SARS did not result in secondary spread, but is nevertheless a reminder that it could strike again. What may be even more threatening is the deadly avian influenza A (H5N1) which has repeatedly demonstrated its ability to infect humans, and may acquire the ability for efficient human to human transmission in the future. It is hoped that, when epidemics of new disease strikes, a systematic way of evaluating treatment modalities would be in place to provide answers to important questions in the shortest possible time.

Thorax 2004;**59**:643–645. doi: 10.1136/thx.2003.017665

Authors' affiliations

W C Yu, Department of Medicine & Geriatrics, Princess Margaret Hospital, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China D S C Hui, Faculty of Medicine & Therapeutics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative

Region, China **M Chan-Yeung**, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

Correspondence to: Dr W C Yu, Department of Medicine, Princess Margaret Hospital, Lai King, Hong Kong SAR, China; yuwc@ha.org.hk

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization: SARS: cumulative number of reported probable cases. http:// www.who.int/csr/sars/country/en/ (accessed 24 January 2004).
- 2 Ksiazek TG, Erdman D, Goldsmith CS, et al. A novel coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1953–66.
- 3 Sidwell RW, Huffman JH, Khare GP, et al. Broadspectrum antiviral activity of virazole: 1-beta-Dribofuranosyl-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide. *Science* 1972;177:705–6.
- 4 Cameron CE, Castro C. The mechanism of action of ribavirin: lethal mutagenesis of RNA virus genomes mediated by the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2001;12:261–72.
- 5 Booth CM, Matukas LM, Tomlinson GA, et al. Clinical features and short term outcomes of 144 patients with SARS in the greater Toronto area. JAMA 2003;289:2801–9.
- 6 Chu CM, Cheng VC, Hung IF, et al. The role of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of SARS: initial virological and clinical findings. *Thorax* 2004;59:252–6.
- 7 Chan JWM, Ng CK, Chan YH, et al. Short term outcome and risk factors for adverse clinical

outcomes in adults with severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Thorax* 2003;**58**:686-9.

- 8 Choi KW, Chau TN, Tsang O, et al. Outcomes and prognostic factors in 267 patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. Ann Intern Med 2003;139:715–23.
- 9 Sung JJ, Wu A, Joynt GM, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome: report of treatment and outcome after a major outbreak. *Thorax* 2004;59:414–20.
- 10 Knowles SR, Phillips EJ, Dresser L, et al. Common adverse events associated with the use of ribavirin for severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Clin Infect Dis* 2003;37:1139–42.
- 11 Peiris JS, Chu CM, Cheng VC, et al. Clinical progression and viral load in an outbreak of coronavirus-associated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study. *Lancet* 2003;361:1767–72.
- 12 Huggins JW. Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and coronavirus testing—United States. MMWR 2003;**52**:297–302.
- 13 Health Canada. Management of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in adults: Interim guidance for healthcare providers, http:// www.hc-sc.gc.ca/(accessed 24 January 2004).
- 14 Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, et al. Glycyrrhizin, an active component of liquorice roots, and replication of SARS-associated coronavirus. *Lancet* 2003;361:2045–6.
- 15 van Vonderen MGA, Bos JC, Prins JM, et al. Ribavirin in the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Neth J Med 2003;61:238–41.
- 16 Zhaori G. Antiviral treatment for SARS: can we draw any conclusions? Can Med Assoc J 2003;169:1165–6.
- 17 Chan KS, Lai ST, Chu CM, et al. Treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome with lopinavir/ ritonavir: a multicentre retrospective matched cohort study. Hong Kong Med J 2003;9:399–406.
- 18 Van Reeth K, Van Gucht S, Penseart M. Correlations between lung proinflammatory cytokine levels, virus replication, and disease after swine influenza virus challenge of vaccinationimmune pigs. Viral Immunol 2002;15:583–94.
- 19 Cheung CY, Poon LL, Lau AS, et al. Induction of proinflammatory cytokines in human macrophages by influenza A (H5N1) viruses: a mechanism for the unusual severity of human disease? Lancet 2002;360:1831–7.
- Lee N, Sung J. The use of corticosteroids in SARS. N Engl J Med 2003;348:2034–5.
 Wong CK, Lam CKW, Wu AKL, et al. Plasma
- 21 Wong CK, Lam CKW, Wu AKL, et al. Plasma inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2004;136:95–103.
- 22 Nicholls JM, Poon LL, Lee KC, et al. Lung pathology of fatal severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 2003;361:1773–8.
- 23 Franks TJ, Chong PY, Chui P, et al. Lung pathology in severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS): a study of 8 autopsy cases in Singapore. Hum Pathol 2003;34:743–8.
- 24 Meduri GU, Headley AS, Golden E, et al. Effect of prolonged methylprednisolone therapy in unresolving acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 1998;280:159-65.

- 25 Keh D, Boehnke T, Weber-Cartens S, et al. Immunologic and hemodynamic effects of "low dose" hydrocortisone in septic shock. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003;167:512–20.
- 26 Buckingham SC, Jafri HS, Bush AJ, et al. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of dexamethasone in severe respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infection: effects on RSV quantity and clinical outcome. J Infect Dis 2002;185:1222–8.
- 27 Pareja JG, Garland R, Koziel H. Use of adjunctive corticosteroids in severe adult non-HIV Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia. *Chest* 1998;113:1215–24.
- 28 Smego RA, Ahmed N. A systematic review of the adjunctive use of systemic corticosteroids for pulmonary tuberculosis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2003;7:208–13.
- 29 Wormser GP, Horowitz H, Dworkin B. Low-dose dexamethasone as adjunctive therapy for disseminated Mycobacterium avium complex infections in AIDS patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1994;38:2215–7.
- 30 Gustafson LM, Proud D, Hendley JO, et al. Oral prednisone therapy in experimental rhinovirus infection. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996;97:1009–14.
- Domachowske JB, Bonville CA, Ali-Ahmad D, et al. Glucocorticoid administration accelerates mortality of pneumovirus-infected mice. J Infect Dis 2001;184:1518–23.
- Puhakka T, Makela MJ, Malmstrom, K, et al. The common cold: effects of intranasal fluticasone propionate treatment. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1998;101:726–31.
 Tsang KW, Ho PL, Ooi GC, et al. A cluster of
- 33 Tsang KW, Ho PL, Ooi GC, et al. A cluster of cases of acute severe respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. N Engl J Med 2004;348:1977–85.
- 34 Lee N, Hui D, Wu A, et al. A major outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Hong Kong. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1986–94.
- So LK, Lau AC, Yam LY, et al. Development of a standard treatment protocol for severe acute respiratory syndrome. Lancet 2003;361:1615–7.
- Zhao Z, Zhang F, Xu M, et al. Description and early treatment of an early outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Guangzhou, PR China. J Med Microbiol 2003;52:715–20.
 Ho JC, Ooi GC, Mok TY, et al. High-dose pulse
- 37 Ho JC, Ooi GC, Mok TY, et al. High-dose pulse versus nonpulse corticosteroid regimens in severe acute respiratory syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003;168:1449–56.
- 38 Tsui PT, Kwok NL, Yuen H, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome: clinical outcomes and prognostic correlates. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2003;9:1064–9.
- 39 Wu W, Wang J, Liu P, et al. A hospital outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome in Guangzhou, China. Chin Med J 2003;16:811–8.
- 40 Li N, Ma J, Nie L, et al. Retrospective analysis of corticosteroid treatment in severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Beijing Da Xue Xue
- respiratory syndrome (SARS). Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao 2003;35(Suppl): 16–18.
 41 Wang H, Ding Y, Li X, et al. Fatal aspergillosis in a patient with SARS who was treated with corticosteroids. N Engl J Med 2003;349:507–8.