Citation	Study group	Study type (level of evidence)	Outcome	Key results	Comments
McIntyre <i>et al</i> (1997)	848 children with bacterial meningitis with mean age in studies 1.2 to 7 y	Meta-analysis of 11 randomised controlled trials. Non-randomised studies assessed for adverse effects (1a)	Hearing loss and neurological outcome other than hearing loss	In H influenzae meningitis dexamethasone reduced severe hearing loss (OR 0.31, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.69). Pneumococcal meningitis OR 0.52 (95% CI 0.17 to 1.46). Protection against other neurological deficits was not statistically significant (OR 0.59, 95% CI 0.34 to 1.02)	Authors do not report a method for assessing validity. Difference between subgroups may be observed by chance. Potential publication bias
Thomas <i>et al</i> (1999)	60 adult patients with bacterial meningitis	Multicentre, double blind, randomised trial (1b)	Rate of patients cured without clinical neurologic sequelae at 30 days	Rate of cured patients without neurological sequelae was not significant (p = 0.071) between the 2 groups. RRR of severe neurologic sequelae following dexamethasone therapy was 44% (95% CI –57 to 100)	Adult patient groups. First dose of dexamethasone was given within 3 h of first antibiotic dose rather than with or before the dose
Syrogiannopoulos et al (1994)	118 children aged 2.5 mth to 15 y with suspected or proven bacterial meningitis	Single blind randomised control trial (1b)	Neurological and audiological assessment at 6 weeks and 4–24 months	No difference in the rate of neurologic and/or audiologic sequelae between 2 groups; RRR –135% (95% CI –11 to 100)	Both groups received dexamethasone
Schaad <i>et al</i> (1993)	115 children (age 3 mth to 16 y) with suspected or confirmed bacterial meningitis	Double blind randomised control trial (1b)	Neurologic and audiologic test at 3, 9, and 15 months	16% of 55 placebo recipients and 5% of 60 dexamethasone recipients had 1 or more neurologic/audiologic sequelae ($p=0.066$); the relative risk of sequelae was 3.27 (95% CI 0.93 to 11.47)	55% of children in control group and 62% in experimental group had <i>H</i> influenzae meningitis
Odio <i>et al</i> (1991)	101 children aged 6 weeks to 13 years with suspected or proven bacterial meningitis	Double blind randomised controlled trial (1b)	Neurological follow up up to 12 months, audiologic follow up up to 24 months	Favourable neurological outcome in dexamethasone treated group; RRR 68% (95% Cl 11 to 100) with NNT 6. No difference in audiologic sequelae between two groups; RRR 63% (95% Cl –13 to 100)	Only 2 patients (4%) in dexamethasone group and none in control group had meningcocccal meningitis

- 2 Thomas R, Le Tulzo Y, Bouget J, et al. Trial of dexamethasone treatment for severe bacterial meningitis in adults. Intensive Care Med 1999;25:475–80.
- 3 Syrogiannopoulos GA, Lourida AN, Theodoridou MC, et al. Dexamethasone therapy for bacterial meningitis in children: 2- versus 4-day regimen. J Infect Dis 1994;169:853–8.
- 4 Schaad UB, Lips U, Gnehm HE, et al. Dexamethasone therapy for bacterial meningitis in children. Lancet 1993;342:457–61.
- 5 Odio CM, Faingezicht I, Paris M, et al. The beneficial effects of early dexamethasone administration in infants and children with bacterial meningitis. N Engl J Med 1991;324:1525–31.
- 6 Bedford H, de Louvois J, Halket S, et al. Meningitis in infancy in England and Wales: follow up at age 5 years. BMJ 2001;323:533-6.
- 7 Richardson MP, Reid A, Tarlow MJ, et al. Hearing loss during bacterial meningitis. Arch Dis Child 1997;76:134–8.
- 8 Pollard AJ, Britto J, Nadel S, et al. Emergency management of meningococcal disease. Arch Dis Child 1999;80:290–6.
- 9 Davies EG, Elliman DAC, Hart CA, et al, eds. In: Manual of childhood infections. WB Saunders Company, 2001:50–4.

Should nifedipine be used to counter low blood sugar levels in children with persistent hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia?

Report by

Dominik Müller, Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Charité, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany **Miriam Zimmering,** Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Charité, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany

Charles Christoph Roehr, Department of Neonatology, Charité Campus Mitte, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany; christoph.roehr@charite.de

5 year old boy, suffering from hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia since infancy and arterial hypertension secondary to polycystic kidney disease, was given nifedipine (0.3 mg/kg three times a day) to treat his high blood pressure. Normotension was restored and his blood sugar levels normalised. We wondered whether nifedipine could be used safely as long term treatment to counter hypoglycaemia in persistent hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia of infancy (PHHI)?

Structured clinical question

In a child with persistent hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia of infancy [patient], can nifedipine [intervention] safely be given to treat hypoglycaemia [outcome]?

Citation	Study group	Study type (level of evidence from the Oxford CEBM)	Outcome	Key results	Comments
Bas <i>et al</i> (1999)	3 infants. Intervention: nifedipine 0.7, 0.5, and 0.8 mg/kg/day	Case series (level 4)	Glycaemic control	Normoglycemia on therapy, hypoglycaemia after tapering of nifedipine	Challenge-dechallenge- rechallenge studies. Follow up 12 months, side effects not reported (see ref 7)
Lindley et al (1996)	1 preterm baby. Intervention: nifedipine 0.7 mg/kg/day	Case report (level 4)	Glycaemic control	Blood sugar increased (from 3.5 to 4.8 mmol/l), fasting tolerance from 3 to 10.5 h	Nifedipine introduced after diazoxide, glucagon, steroids ACTH, and pancreatectomy were unsuccessful
Suprasongsin et al (1999)	2 infants. Intervention: nifedipine 0.5 and 0.7 mg/kg/day plus raw corn starch 8 g/kg/day	Case series (level 4)	Glycaemic control	Persistent rise in blood sugar from baseline 1.5 mmol/l and 1.9 mmol/l	Follow up of 8 years and 14 months, side effects not reported
Eichmann <i>et al</i> (1999)	2 infants. Intervention: diazoxide and nifedipine 0.7 mg/kg/day and nifedipine 2 mg/kg/day	Case series (level 4)	Glycaemic control	One patient stable on nifedipine monotherapy, the other stable while diazoxide could be reduced	Very low baseline blood suga levels: 0.78 mmol/l and 0.96 mmol/l, no side effects t nifedipine reported
Shanbag et al (2002)	1 infant. Intervention: nifedipine 0.5 mg/kg/day	Case report (level 4)	Glycaemic control	Blood sugar stable on nifedipine monotherapy	Follow up 9 months, no side effects reported
Darendeliler et al (2002)	4 children. Intervention: nifedipine at a median of 0.65 mg/kg/day	Case series (level 4)	Glycaemic control	All stable on nifedipine monotherapy	Follow up 4 mth to 7.3 years 3 children from previous report ³ included.

Search strategy and outcome

Search terms: "persistent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycaemia of infancy" and "hyperinsulinism" and "nifedipine" and "safety" and "calcium antagonist".

Cochrane Library (nifedipine or persistent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycaemia of infancy): no relevant study found. PubMed (limits: language English; age 0–18 years): one practice guideline,¹ six case reports or patient series of PHHI treated with nifedipine,^{2–7} one report on the safety of calcium channel blockers in children.¹² See table 2.

Commentary

Persistent hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia of infancy (PHHI) is the most common cause of persistent hypoglycaemia in infancy.¹ In Central Europe it is a rare disorder, occurring sporadically (incidence approx. 1:50000), but has much higher incidences (1:2500 due to a familial form) in parts of the world with high consanguinity (for example, Arabian peninsula or Scandinavia).⁸ The majority of cases present in the neonatal period with pronounced hypoglycaemia. Severe long term neurological complications due to prolonged hypoglycaemia are common, hence treatment needs to be commenced immediately.^{1 9 11} Genetic abnormalities of intracellular metabolic pathways or membrane cation transport have been found in 30-50% of cases, which cause constant insulin secretion through abnormally stimulated ATP-sensitive potassium channels and voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels of the pancreatic β cell.¹ ¹² Initially, high doses of glucose infusions are required to establish euglycaemia. traditionally followed by a treatment with either diazoxide or long acting somatostatin (octreotide), sometimes combined with dietary measures (high in starch, glucose, or protein).¹⁰ Partial to complete pancreatectomy is pursued in patients refractory to medical treatment, but complicated by high incidences of secondary diabetes mellitus later in life.11

Aims to answer our question regarding the medical treatment of PHHI by searching the Cochrane Collaboration's internet archive of systematic reviews led to no positive result. A search of PubMed revealed no relevant controlled clinical studies. One consensus statement (evidence level 5) by Aynsley-Green and colleagues¹ discussed the treatment options for hyperinsulinism in childhood and was available in electronic form: among the standard treatments of PHHI were diazoxide, chlorothiazide, and somatostatin; Ca²⁺ channel blockers were not regarded a routine treatment due to lack of convincing studies. Since the time of publication of the consensus statement, however, several case reports and case series of nifedipine for PHHI have been published which showed encouraging results.^{2 4–7} In these studies, nifedipine (either alone or in combination with other drugs or dietary measures) was introduced to avoid complications of diazoxide or somatostatin treatment (abdominal discomfort, vomiting, or anorexia).¹¹ No severe episodes of hypoglycaemia or side effects to nifedipine (hypotension, nausea, dizziness) were reported; the longest period of follow up was eight years.⁴ ⁷ The author of the largest case series⁷ was contacted and asked about his experience with nifedipine beyond the published cases. No complications in maintaining treatment were reported. A comprehensive review on the use of Ca²⁺ channel blockers in children convincingly illustrated the safety of nifedipine as long term treatment in childhood.¹²

Nifedipine has been successfully used to treat PHHI and increasing evidence from case reports suggests that it can safely be given as long term treatment without serious adverse effects. Facing the varied clinical severity of PHHI, it promises to become a valuable treatment option for some children. The mounting evidence from the quoted case reports suggests that nifedipine could be tried in patients failing the standard treatment before pancreatectomy is considered. A large, multicentre, randomised clinical trial

CLINICAL BOTTOM LINE

- Nifedipine has apparently been used successfully to treat persistent hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia in infancy.
- Without further study, the value of nifedipine in the treatment cascade for persistent hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia of infancy is unclear.

would be desirable to elucidate the effectiveness and safety of nifedipine in this setting.

REFERENCES

- 1 Aynsley-Green A, Hussain K, Hall J, et al. Practical management of hyperinsulinism in infancy. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2000;82:F98– 107.
- 2 Bas F, Darendeliler F, Demirkol D, et al. Successful therapy with calcium channel blocker (nifedipine) in persistent neonatal hyperinsulinemic hypogly-
- caemia of infancy. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 1999;12:873–8.
 3 Lindley KJ, Dunne MJ, Kane C, et al. Ionic control of beat cell function in nesidioblastosis. A possible role for calcium channel blockade. Arch Dis Child 1006-74,272.9. 1996;74:373-8.
- 4 Suprasongsin C, Suthutvoravut U, Mahachoklertwattana P, et al. Combined raw cornstarch and nifedipine as an additional treatment in persistent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycaemia of infancy. J Med Assoc Thai 1999;82(suppl 1):S39-42
- 5 Eichmann D, Hufnagel M, Quick P, et al. Treatment of hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia with nifedipine. Eur J Pediatr 1999;158:204-6.
 6 Shanbag P, Pathak A, Vaidya M, et al. Persistent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia of infracy-successful treatment therapy with nifedipine. Indian J Pediatr 2002;69:271-2.
- 7 Darendeliler F, Fournet JC, Bas F, et al. ABCC8 (SUR1) and KCNJ11 (KIR6.2) evaluation of different therapeutic measures. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2002;15:993-1000
- 8 Thomas PM, Cote GJ, Hallman DM, et al. Homozygosity mapping, to chromosome 11p, of the gene for familial persistent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia of infancy. *Am J Hum Genet* 1995;**56**:416–21.
- 9 Menni F, de Lonlay P, Sevin C, et al. Neurologic outcomes of 90 neonates and infants with persistent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia. Pediatrics 2001; 107:476-9
- 10 Glaser B, Hirsch HJ, Landau H. Persistent hyperinsulinemic hypoglycemia of infancy: long-term octreotide treatment without pancreatectomy. J Pediatr 1993:123:644-50.
- de Lonlay P, Touati G, Robert JJ, et al. Persistent hyperinsulinaemic hypoglycaemia. Semin Neonatol 2002;7:95–100.
- 12 Flynn JT, Pasko DA. Calcium channel blockers: pharmacology and place of therapy in pediatric hypertension. Pediatr Nephrol 2000;15:302-16.

Do steroids help children with acute urticaria?

Report by

Myra Poon, Registrar, Paediatrics, Alice Springs Hospital, Alice Springs, NT, Australia; myrap@ausdoctors.net

Cliff Reid, Registrar, Emergency Medicine, Liverpool Hospital, NSW, Australia

4 year old girl presents with an itchy urticarial rash. There were no other symptoms. Her general practitioner has prescribed an oral antihistamine but the rash has persisted. You wonder if there is a role for oral steroids in this otherwise well child.

Structured clinical question

In a child with acute urticaria [patient], does the addition of oral steroids to antihistamines [intervention] lead to more rapid resolution of symptoms [outcome]?

Search strategy and outcome

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews using search term "urticaria": no relevant results.

Medline 1966 to October 2002 using the OVID interface. ("exp urticaria OR urticaria\$.mp" AND "exp steroids OR steroid\$.mp OR exp adrenal cortex hormones OR corticosteroid\$.mp") LIMIT to [human AND RCT]. Search results - 21 articles, of which two were relevant.

A further search of Medline without the RCT filter and of SUMsearch using search terms "steroids" and "urticaria" vielded no further relevant results.

See table 3.

Commentary

There are no studies specifically aimed at children with acute urticaria. These limited trials show improvement in symptoms when prednisolone is prescribed, but larger studies are needed. The decision to treat with steroids should be based

Table 3 Steroids in children with acute urticaria

Citation	Study group	Study type (level of evidence)	Outcome	Key result	Comments
Pollack et al (1995)	43 adult outpatients with acute urticaria given i.m. diphenhydramine then randomised to oral hydroxyzine plus either 20 mg prednisone 12 hourly for 4 days or placebo	RCT (level 1b)	10 point visual analogue itch score at 48 hours. Itch score at 5 days. Description of rash at 48 hours and 5 days	Mean 48 hour itch score 1.3 in prednisone group v 4.4 in control group. 5 day itch score 0 in prednisone group v 1.6 in control group. No difference between groups at 48 hours. Rash resolved completely at 5 days in prednisone group	Adult patients only. Small study, no power calculation. Rash not described at 5 days in contro group
Zuberbier et al (1996)	109 adult and paediatric patients with acute urticaria treated with loratidine 10 mg daily or prednisolone 50 mg daily for 3 days followed by loratidine 10 mg daily until remission of symptoms	Non-randomised prospective cohort study (level 2b)	Days until cessation of whealing	65.9% of had cessation of whealing by 3 days and a further 15.9% by 7 days in loratidine group, compared with 93.8% by 3 days and a further 3.1% by 7 days in the prednisolone group. Resolution in all patients after >21 days. NNT with prednisolone for resolution of symptoms by 3 days = 4	Number of children unstated. Different exclusion criteria between groups (potentially pregnai women)