SUPPORTING ONLINE MATERIAL

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Fig S1 | (A-C) Pharmacological inactivation of Crm1 by LMB affects the centromeric localization of (A) Survivin-GFP, Aurora-B, (C) INCENP and, (D) Borealin-GFP. (B) LMB 5 treatment also affects the localization of Survivin-GFP to the midbody. Cells were treated with LMB for 6 h. Aurora-B, INCENP and Survivin were detected by immunostaining (red). DNA was stained with Hoechst. Arrows mark midbody. (E) The Survivin NES is active in trans. Nuclear injected recombinant GST-SurvNES-GFP is efficiently exported, in contrast to export deficient GST-SurvNESmut-GFP. GFP-fusions were detected by fluorescence 10 microscopy. Bars, 10 µm. (F) Alignment of mammalian Survivin NESs: Homo sapiens (Hs), Pan trophogloydes (Pt), Bos taurus (Bt), Sus scrofa (Ss), Canis familiarias (Cf), Felis canis (Fc), *Mus musculus* (Mm), *Rattus norvegicus* (Rn). Ω denotes aa M, V, or F. (G) Localization of siRNA-resistant Survivin_{mNFS}-GFP in cells transfected with Survivin siRNA or a control siRNA together with a RFP expression plasmid as the transfection control. (H) Crm1-siRNA 15 induced ablation of Crm1. Survivin-GFP expressing cells were transfected with Crm1 or a control (ctl) siRNA. 48 h later, the expression of Crm1 and Survivin-GFP was analyzed by immunoblot. Actin served as the loading control. (J) Crm1 and Survivin-GFP partially colocalize at the centromere. Survivin-GFP shows a significant colocalization with Crm1 compared to Survivin_{mNES}-GFP and metaphase chromosomes, which served as the negative 20 control. Maximal colocalization is observed for Survivin-GFP stained with α -Survivin Ab. Cells were pre-extracted with digitonin before fixation and stained using α -Crm1 and α -Survivin Abs. DNA was visualized using TO-PRO[®]-3 iodide. The correlation coefficient was guantified by 2D scatterplot analysis using the Leica colocalization software. Bars, 10 μ m.

25

Fig S2 |(**A-D**) Time-lapse imaging of GFP-fusion proteins during G2/M transition was followed by confocal microscopy in A431 cells. Bars, 10 μ m.

(E) The localization of Survivin-GFP, Survivin_{mNES}-GFP and Borealin-GFP during mitosis was followed in the cells depicted in Fig 2F, S2A,D.

- 30 (F) IPs from metaphase enriched synchronised HeLa cell extracts was performed using α-Survivin Ab. Cells arrested in mitosis were collected by shake-off after overnight incubation with 50 ng/ml nocodazole and released from the arrest in nocodazole-free medium for 1 h prior to lysate preparation. Precipitated proteins were detected by immunoblot using α-Crm1, -Survivin, and -Aurora-B Ab.
- 35 (G) IPs from mitotic HeLa cell extracts was performed using α-Borealin Ab. Borealin, INCENP, Aurora-B and Survivin-FLAG or Survivin_{mNES}-FLAG were detected by immunoblot.

5

(H) HeLa cells stably expressing intermediate (sort1) or low (sort2) amounts of siRNAresistant Survivin-GFP fusions (Survivin_{R-mNES}-GFP), were transfected with Survivin siRNA or a control siRNA together with a RFP expression plasmid. The number of cells with two or more nuclei was examined in at least 100 GFP- and RFP-double-positive cells, and the percentages of multinucleated cells were determined (mean \pm SD, n=3).

- (J) Expression levels of Survivin_{R-mNES}-GFP and endogenous Survivin as well as depletion of endogenous Survivin were analyzed by immunoblot using α -Survivin Ab. Actin served as the loading control.
- Fig S3 | Model for the role of Crm1 in targeting the CPC to the centromere. Borealin is complexed with Survivin, which can bind to Aurora-B kinase and is incorporated into the CP-holocomplex by interacting with INCENP. The NES in Survivin mediates recruitment of Crm1/RanGTP, which seems to be involved in guiding the CPC to the centromeres in early prophase by a still unknown mechanism. This process might be catalyzed by the activity of the guanine nucleotide-exchange factor RCC1 or TD60. Hydrolysis of RanGTP, by factors like RanBPs/Ran-GAP1, may facilitate the release of Crm1 and deposition of the CPC at the inner centromere.

Supplementary Figure 1



Knauer et al.



Knauer et al.

Supplementary Figure 3



SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

Plasmids. To generate NES-deficient Survivin, aa ⁸⁹VKKQFEELTL⁹⁸ were changed to ⁸⁹VKKQFEE<u>ATA⁹⁸</u> by mutagenesis as described (Knauer et al., 2005a). Likewise,
pc3Survivin_T⁹⁷A-GFP and pc3Survivin_T⁹⁷D-GFP encode Survivin mutants in which the T⁹⁷ residue was changed to A or D by mutagenesis. Plasmids pc3Survivin_{R-WT}-GFP and pc3Survivin_{g-WT}-GFP encode siRNA-resistant Survivin mutants, and were generated by introducing silent mutations at positions essential for siRNA-binding (nucleotides ¹²²⁹C→T and ¹²³⁶A→C). Plasmids are: pc3Survivin and pc3Survivin_{mNES}, encoding WT and NES deficient Survivin; pc3Survivin-GFP and pc3Survivin_{mNES}-GFP, and pc3Survivin-FLAG and pc3Survivin_{mNES}-FLAG encode WT and NES-deficient Survivin-FLAG or -GFP fusions. Bacterial expression plasmids are: pGEX-Survivin-GFP and pGEX-SurvivinNES-GFP and pGEX-SurvivinNES-GFP and pGEX-SurvivinNES-GFP fusions.

15

In vitro protein binding assay. Coupled transcription/translation was performed using the TNT reticulocyte lysate system (Promega) supplemented with [³⁵S] labelled methionine (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) and the plasmids pc3Crm1-HA, pc3Survivin-GFP, pBSK_hINCENP, pc3-Borealin, and pc3AuroraB-GFP as the templates.

Pull-down assays with the specific recombinant GST-GFP substrates bound to glutathione sepharose beads were carried according to (Knauer et al., 2005a). Briefly, 4 µg of the bound GST-GFP proteins were incubated with equal amounts of the transcription/translation mix. Following several washing steps, complexes were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by fluorography. Crm1 pull-down assays were performed in the presence of 5 mM GTP-RanQ69L. GST-RanQ69L was purified in the presence of 0.1 mM GTP and stored in Ran-Buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1

mM GTP) following proteolytic removal of GST to ensure GTP-loading.

3